

Search keywords

Countermarketing Alcohol and Unhealthy Food: An Effective Strategy for Preventing Noncommunicable Diseases? Lessons from Tobacco

P. Christopher Palmedo,¹ Lori Dorfman,² Sarah Garza,¹ Eleni Murphy,¹ and Nicholas Freudenberg¹

¹School of Public Health, City University of New York, New York, NY 10027; email: Nick.Freudenberg@sph.cuny.edu

²Berkeley Media Studies Group, Berkeley, California 94704

Annu. Rev. Public Health 2017. 38:119-44

The *Annual Review of Public Health* is online at publhealth.annualreviews.org

https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-publhealth-031816-044303

Copyright © 2017 Annual Reviews. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 (CC-BY-SA) International License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium and any derivative work is made available under the same, similar, or a compatible license. See credit lines of images or other third-party material in this article for license information.



Keywords

tobacco control, alcohol, beverages, health communications, industry practices

Abstract

Countermarketing campaigns use health communications to reduce the demand for unhealthy products by exposing motives and undermining marketing practices of producers. These campaigns can contribute to the prevention of noncommunicable diseases by denormalizing the marketing of tobacco, alcohol, and unhealthy food. By portraying these activities as outside the boundaries of civilized corporate behavior, countermarketing can reduce the demand for unhealthy products and lead to changes in industry marketing practices. Countermarketing blends consumer protection, media advocacy, and health education with the demand for corporate accountability. Countermarketing campaigns have been demonstrated to be an effective component of comprehensive tobacco control. This review describes common elements of tobacco countermarketing such as describing adverse health consequences, appealing to negative emotions, highlighting industry manipulation of consumers, and engaging users in the design or implementation of campaigns. It then assesses the potential for using these elements to reduce consumption of alcohol and unhealthy foods.

INTRODUCTION

The World Health Organization has identified tobacco, alcohol, and processed food as leading causes of noncommunicable diseases (144) and the primary contributors to global premature deaths, preventable illnesses, and national and international inequalities in health (149). Each year, the tobacco, alcohol, and food industries spend billions of dollars marketing their products (103), which increases the number of people who consume these products and the amount of product they consume (65, 136).

Public health professionals have used multiple strategies, including taxation, product reformulation, mandates, regulation, and public education, to reduce the consumption of tobacco, alcohol, and unhealthy food and beverages (14, 20, 103). Although these interventions have been effective, they often encounter powerful industry opposition. Evidence-based strategies that educate people about the harms of specific products and the practices involved in marketing them can enhance the impact of comprehensive approaches to reducing the consumption of these harmful products (77).

In this review, we examine the potential for countermarketing (CM) to reduce the consumption of alcohol and unhealthy food products (including beverages), drawing on lessons learned from tobacco control. Although CM has been used in the public health literature to define a wide range of activities, from risk reduction education (33) to organized boycotts of selected products (7), we define CM as communications strategies designed to reduce the consumption of unhealthy products by exposing the motives of and denormalizing marketing activities initiated by the producers of these products (69). In tobacco, denormalization has been defined as activities that "shift the focus from individual smokers' judgment to corporate misbehavior showing how the industry has 'operated outside the boundaries of civilized corporate behavior' by marketing a deadly product" (96, p. 5).

Thirty years of research has shown that mass-media campaigns that rely on CM have been effective in reducing tobacco use (5, 22, 27, 28, 51, 109, 130, 140). Although public health and advocacy groups have launched various initiatives to apply the CM approach to alcohol and unhealthy food, no systematic evaluations of such campaigns have been reported. In this article, we identify eight key components of successful tobacco CM campaigns and assess their relevance for campaigns against alcohol and unhealthy food and beverages. Our broader goal is to advance public health practice and research on CM.

Toward a Common Understanding of Countermarketing

The term demarketing was first introduced by Kotler & Levy (88) in 1971 to define how marketing firms can discourage the demand for their own products. The term has subsequently been used in behavioral economics and social marketing to describe strategies for reducing consumption to achieve a social good, such as energy conservation or reduced illicit drug use (91). Our definition of CM distinguishes it from demarketing (23, 91) by its specific intention to expose and thus counter the practices that marketers use to sell unhealthy products. Exposing the

DEFINITION OF COUNTERMARKETING

Countermarketing campaigns use health communications strategies to reduce the demand for unhealthy products by exposing the motives of their producers and portraying their marketing activities as outside the boundaries of civilized corporate behavior.

marketing of unhealthy products as irresponsible has also been referred to as "adbusting" (67) and "counter-advertising" (45, 66).

Precursors of CM

As mass media began to play a stronger role in society early in the twentieth century, several public concerns about corporate influence over community well-being served as precursors for CM. In the 1920s and 1930s, various federal laws set standards for corporate broadcasters to operate in the public interest (92, 99). In 1949, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) required broadcasters to cover controversial issues of public importance in a fair and balanced manner (129). The Fairness Doctrine required TV stations to give equal time to political candidates from different parties and was one of the few limitations placed over the power that corporations had to shape public culture (129).

In 1967, the FCC ruled that the Fairness Doctrine applied to cigarette advertising, thus requiring radio and television stations that ran cigarette commercials to donate airtime to antismoking messages in order to balance coverage (29, 117, 143). This regulation ended with the ban of radio and television cigarette advertisements in 1971. As an early precursor to CM, antitobacco ads reduced the rates of smoking in the United States more than tobacco advertising increased them (68). Soon after, Supreme Court rulings tilted speech rights back toward advertisers and called into question the constitutionality of the Fairness Doctrine (129). By 1987, the Fairness Doctrine was eliminated completely and in 2011 the FCC formally withdrew it (129).

During the 1960s and 1970s, a rising consumer movement argued that government efforts to regulate advertising were not sufficient to protect health and safety (63, 107). Citizen organizations explored their role in countering an underregulated corporate environment. Rising consumer (97), environmental (105), and social (81) movements addressed the role of advertising and marketing in health and social equity. As the government withdrew public protections against the unscrupulous marketing of harmful products, citizen groups began to take on responsibilities that governments were forfeiting (39).

In 1974, a British citizen organization published "The Baby Killer," a pamphlet that documented Swiss-based food company Nestlé's aggressive international marketing of powdered milk as a substitute for breastfeeding (119). This effort led to a worldwide campaign in 1977 to boycott Nestlé products (119). Global boycotts thus became a new tactic within the evolving practice of CM.

The early 1990s saw the rise of "culture jamming" (26), a practice of transforming corporate advertising through parodying advertisements and hijacking billboards in order to transform the messages on these advertisements (87). The practice captured an emerging anticorporate attitude among young activists who used CM to fuel their outrage, "targeting transnational corporations particularly those with very high brand-name recognition" (87, p. ii). Billboard Utilising Graffitists Against Unhealthy Promotions, an Australian-based graffiti movement, used this approach by removing or defacing billboards for tobacco, alcohol, and unhealthy food products (34). In the United States, African American community and church group leaders also defaced or removed billboard alcohol ads, arguing that targeted marketing of unhealthy products to their communities constitutes another form of racism (72).

CM and Tobacco: From California to Truth

In 1990, the California Department of Health Services Tobacco Control Program launched a 14-month \$28.6 million tobacco education campaign funded by a statewide tobacco tax (135). The advertising campaign directly attacked the tobacco industry on the basis of its planners'

understanding of the role of advertising in promoting tobacco use. This campaign was credited with contributing to tripling the rate of decline of cigarette consumption in California over the next eight years (116).

In 1997, the state of Florida received an \$11.3 billion settlement from the tobacco industry, allocating \$200 million for antitobacco youth education. In 1998, the state of Florida launched the first statewide Truth pilot (75). On the basis of state-level success and using available funding through the Master Settlement Agreement, the American Legacy Foundation launched a national Truth campaign in 2000. Truth sought to elicit angry emotions triggered when personal freedom is seen to be threatened by corporate industry manipulation or deception. This was a central and consistent messaging strategy of the Truth campaign from the beginning (75).

Commercial marketers have long known that the goal of any campaign is to engage emotions (40, 46). Tobacco companies elicited the desires for socialization and rebelliousness in order to market cigarettes to young people and women (8, 67, 93, 139). The Truth campaign sought to engage these same feelings by exposing industry efforts to manipulate emotions and deceive consumers. The campaign consciously sought to engage the same emotions, such as rebelliousness, that tobacco companies were using to sell their products to young people (74, 75).

In fiscal year 2001–2002, funding appropriated from the Master Settlement Agreement for tobacco control in California was eliminated when the funds were securitized to address a state budget deficit, a trend followed in other states (125). Nevertheless the success of the California Department of Health Services and the subsequent Truth campaigns in using CM to reduce youth smoking rates raises the question of whether this strategy may be applied to other products such as alcohol and the unhealthy food and beverages that also contribute to noncommunicable disease.

The Rise of Digital and Social Media

The emergence and growth of digital and social media in the 2000s created new opportunities for marketers of tobacco, alcohol, and unhealthy food—and also for CM. For decades, tobacco marketing drew from research indicating that social environments encouraged cigarette consumption (93). Compared with traditional mass media, these new media offered several advantages in shaping these environments: They were less expensive, more suitable for market segmentation and targeted marketing, easier to use to interact with potential consumers, and more immune to parental supervision and government oversight (55). Consequently, social media have been used to market tobacco (124), alcohol (35, 112, 147), food (37, 102), and sugary beverages (43). Moreover, research shows that well-funded industry marketing campaigns designed to create and engage new users reach many more consumers than do health promotion messages that seek to counter the effects of these industry campaigns (24).

Nevertheless, social media provide opportunities for CM to gain access to social networks and disseminate messages that challenge industry marketing practices. Millions of viewers have watched videos that are critical of food industry practices (106), as social media enable individuals to share their disapproval of corporate practices (86). Social media have also played an important role in the new politics of dissent as seen in movements such as the Arab Spring, Occupy Wall Street, and Black Lives Matter. Online platforms provide opportunities for like-minded groups and large populations who are skeptical of corporate hegemony to congregate and communicate across the globe (59, 60, 138).

Media Advocacy and Media Literacy

CM can be used to reach individual consumers and also regulators, influencers of public policy, journalists, investors, and corporate executives. In this way, CM can intersect with media advocacy

and campaigns to hold corporations accountable. Media advocacy, the strategic use of mass media to support community organizing and advance healthy public policy (141), seeks to shift the locus of intervention upstream from individual behaviors to social policies. Consistent with Beauchamp's (16) formulation of public health as social justice, media advocacy aims to strengthen community organizing efforts by creating news around core issues that identifies public officials or bodies responsible for enacting or enforcing relevant policies and using the influence of the media to hold decision makers accountable for creating healthier, more equitable environments (18).

Media advocacy, like CM, seeks to reframe public perceptions of issues so that the public health perspective is evident. It thus shares with CM the goal of exposing the role of corporations and their allies in marketing unhealthy products (44). CM strategies can intersect with media advocacy to generate news coverage and reach the general public (142). Successful tobacco CM campaigns suggest that media advocacy may complement campaigns aimed primarily at individual behavioral change (123).

Promoting media literacy, the capacity to critically analyze and understand media (17), can also intersect with CM as media literacy programs educate youth about the media's influence on knowledge and attitudes (61). Media literacy has been used effectively as a public health strategy for reducing tobacco and alcohol use (13) where it can provide young people with a sense of agency (120, 126). Because media literacy helps youth to question the social norms portrayed in media, these programs may serve as effective components of a comprehensive CM strategy.

Race and Ethnicity-Specific Countermarketing Campaigns

Because tobacco, alcohol, and unhealthy food and beverage marketers target their advertising campaigns at specific population segments (65), some CM campaigns have used the same strategy to undermine these targeted appeals (141). Recent research has already demonstrated that informational campaigns exposing embedded racism in communities of color have resulted in improved health outcomes within those communities (89). This success indicates that exposing racially targeted marketing campaigns may be a promising avenue for CM. The national debate on racism and racial profiling provoked by the police shootings of unarmed African Americans brought to light by the Black Lives Matter movement in the United States (58) may indicate an interest in CM campaigns that describe targeted marketing of unhealthy products to specific vulnerable populations as a type of ethnic profiling. In the 1990s, a successful effort by the Stop Uptown Coalition to block RJ Reynolds from test marketing a new brand of mentholated cigarettes to African Americans in Philadelphia shows the potential for mobilizing communities to resist commercial exploitation of racial identities (12). African Americans in the United States have also led a prolonged campaign to eliminate menthol flavoring for tobacco, built on considerable evidence that compared with unflavored cigarettes, mentholated ones are more addictive and harmful for African Americans (36). More recently, Puerto Rican groups in New York protested the use of ethnic imagery when Coors Beer used the Puerto Rican flag on its beer cans as part of a promotional campaign linked to the Puerto Rican Day Parade (98).

METHODS

To chart developments and identify emerging opportunities in research and practice on CM, we conducted a systematic review of peer-reviewed and other sources to identify descriptions, evaluations, or planning studies for CM initiatives on tobacco, alcohol, or unhealthy food. Because several previous reviews have evaluated the quality of the evidence for the use of CM in tobacco control communications campaigns and established its effectiveness as one component of

Supplemental Material

comprehensive tobacco control, particularly for youth and young adults (5, 22, 27, 28, 51, 109, 130, 140), this review assesses the relevance of this body of work to CM for alcohol and unhealthy food.

Search Strategy

Our search starts with the first reports of the California tobacco CM and the Truth campaign in Florida in 1998, and it ends with reports published by June 2016. We included articles that provided empirical evidence on the design, planning, implementation, or evaluation of CM initiatives for tobacco, alcohol, and unhealthy food in the United States and other English-speaking countries. We searched PubMed, SCOPUS, Google, and Google Scholar using a variety of search terms, including each product category (i.e., tobacco, alcohol, food and beverages), and variants of the following words: counter-marketing, counter advertising, demarketing, social marketing, and health advocacy.

Our initial screen yielded 87 articles that warranted further review. An examination of references of the included articles yielded another 10 relevant studies. Of the 94 studies, 57 focused on tobacco, 19 on alcohol, and 18 on food. These are listed in **Supplement 1** (follow the **Supplemental Material link** from the Annual Reviews home page at http://www.annualreviews.org). Of the 94 articles, 41 were empirical studies: 35 for tobacco, 2 for alcohol, and 4 for food. The alcohol and food empirical studies were needs assessments, elicitation research, or experimental studies and not evaluation studies of field-based CM campaigns.

On the basis of the lack of empirical evaluations of CM campaigns for alcohol and unhealthy food, we expanded our search to look for descriptions of CM activities on alcohol or food using such search engines as Google and Lexis/Nexis as well as communications with colleagues working in the field. We included projects for which sufficient information was available to determine whether activities met our definition of CM, identifying 7 projects on alcohol and 9 on food. Our aim was to assess whether the evidence-based practices identified in the evaluation studies of tobacco CM could generate additional practice-based evidence that could advance the study of CM for alcohol and unhealthy food (62).

Characteristics Associated with Success

From the reviews of tobacco communications campaigns we identified common characteristics of CM campaigns that were associated with success, as listed in **Table 1**; the sources for this table are available in **Supplement 2** (follow the **Supplemental Material link** from the Annual Reviews home page at **http://www.annualreviews.org**). We define below each of these characteristics and assess their use in the major tobacco CM campaigns.

In the second part of our review, we determine whether the projects that used CM to counter alcohol and food marketing included these eight key elements of the tobacco CM initiatives. Our main goal was to explore the extent to which lessons learned in CM tobacco were applied to CM projects targeting other products. Our findings are shown in **Table 2**.

FINDINGS

Key Components of Tobacco CM Campaigns

Our process for identifying recurring themes from tobacco CM studies is consistent with our definition of CM. The criteria for our eight common elements of antitobacco campaigns are defined below (see **Table 1**). Not every campaign included all eight elements, but together these characteristics define CM and distinguish it from other approaches to tobacco communication.

projects tare

Annu. Rev. Public Health 2017.38:119-144. Downloaded from www.annualreviews.org Access provided by Carnegie Mellon University on 04/05/21. See copyright for approved use.

Table 1 Characteristics of Major Tobacco Countermarketing Campaigns

		Industry		Campaign	Campa	Campaign tailored	73		Campaign	Participants engaged in campaign design,	
Name of campaign/ intervention	Health consequences	manipula- tion of consumers	Appeals to negative emotions	disparages existing brand	By race/ ethnicity	By gender	By	Campaign created its own brand	industry- targeted marketing	as spokesperson, and/or in message delivery	References (available in Supplement 2)
National Truth campaign	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Spokesperson and message delivery	National Truth 1–18
Florida Truth campaign	m No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	All	Florida Truth 1-7
California Tobacco Control Program	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	$ m N_{o}$	Yes	Yes	Yes	Spokesperson and message delivery	California 1–3
Massachusetts	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	No	No	oN.	Yes	Unclear	Spokesperson and message delivery	Massachusetts 1–3
Mixed markets in multiple states	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Spokesperson and message delivery	Mixed markets
Commune	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Spokesperson and message delivery	Commune 1
Total with Characteristic	4/6	9/9	9/9	4/6	1/6	2/6	5/6	9/9	4/6	Design [1] Spokesperson [6] Message delivery [6]	

Annu. Rev. Public Health 2017.38:119-144. Downloaded from www.annualreviews.org Access provided by Carnegie Mellon University on 04/05/21. See copyright for approved use.

Table 2 Characteristics of alcohol and food and beverage countermarketing campaigns

e-based al	cohol counterr	Practice-based alcohol countermarketing interventions	suc	,			:	;	\$			
			•	Inter	vention inclu	ded elements	on this the	me: Yes	Intervention included elements on this theme: Yes, No, Insufficient information	ent informati	no	
			Health	Industry manipu- lation of	Appeals	Campai	Campaign tailored		Criticism of industry targeting	Campaign dispar-	Campaign created	Audience engaged in design, as spokesper- son, and/or
Organization (Citation)	Campaign	Description	conse-	con- sumers	to to emotions	By race/ ethnicity	By gender	By age	by demo- graphics	existing brand	its own brand	in message delivery
Social Change at the Maryland Institute College of College of Art, Baltimore Youth and Oliver Youth Gounter Ad Group (US)	Beer and Alcohol Ruins Futures (BARE) and #Sippen- Stupid	New media and social media campaigns design and achieve behavioral change through peer-to-peer education	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ž	Yes	Insufficient information	Yes	Yes	NI N
center for Science in the Public Interest (US) (30)	Campaign for Alcohol- Free Sports TV	Policy-driven campaign that concentrates on removal of alcohol ads from college sports	Yes	Yes	Yes	°Z	°Z	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Insufficient information
leohol Concern and Youth Alcohol Advertising Council (UK)	It's The Drink Talking	Youth, 13–24 years, lead new media campaigns to regulate alcohol marketing through policy change	Yes	Yes	Yes	°N	$ m N_{o}$	Yes	Yes	Insufficient information	Yes	All
(US) (3)	Stop AlcoPops	Countermarketing youth movement that dedicates efforts to banning the sales and marketing of AlcoPops	No	Yes	Yes	°Z	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	All

Annu. Rev. Public Health 2017.38:119-144. Downloaded from www.annualreviews.org Access provided by Carnegie Mellon University on 04/05/21. See copyright for approved use.

Design and message delivery	Message delivery	Message delivery
Yes	Yes	Yes
Yes	°Z	ž
Yes	Yes	Yes
Yes	Yes	Yes
Yes	°Z	ž
Ž	°Z	Ž
Yes	Yes	Yes
Yes	Yes	Yes
Yes	Yes	Yes
Poster campaign that exposes the alcohol industry deliberate marketing to young girls with "girlie drinks" and "alcopops." The poster message targets parents who can advocate for alcohol marketing policy change	Video campaign and petition seeks an increase of alcohol MUP; and regulates the price and promotion of alcohol.	Photography and video campaign that presents the alcohol marketing environment from a youth vantage point. The campaign targets adults who can advocate for alcohol marketing policy change
Girlie	Minimum Unit Price	See What Sam Sees
The American Medical Association Alcohol Policy (6)	Balance (UK) (11)	Balance (UK) (10)

Table 2 (Continued)

		Audience engaged in design, as spokesperson, and/or		All	None
	ion	Campaign	its own brand	°Z	°Z
	cient informati	Campaign dispar- ages	existing brand	Yes	Yes
	Intervention included elements on this theme: Yes, No, Insufficient information	Criticism of industry targeting	by demographics	Yes	°Z
	me: Ye	_	By	Yes	°Z
	s on this the	Campaign tailored	By gender	°Z	°Z
	ided element	Campa	By race/ ethnicity	Yes	$\overset{\circ}{Z}$
	rvention inclu	Appeals	to emotions	Yes	Yes
su	Inte	Industry manipu-	con-	Yes	Yes
g interventio		Health	conse-	Yes	Yes
Practice-based food and beverage countermarketing interventions			Description	Mixed-media campaign that educates youth on social and ensocial and enfactors contributing to type 2 diabetes and encourages consumption behavior change. Short films call attention to industry profits from unhealthy products	Music video with an adapted Coca-Cola jingle that counters Coca-Cola's "Soda is Happiness" message. The video calls attention to consumption behavior chance
ood and beverage			Campaign	The Bigger Picture	Change the Tune
Practice-based for			Organization (Citation)	University of California San Francisco Center for Vulnerable Populations at San Francisco General Hospital and collaboration with Youth Speaks, a nonprofit San Francisco based organization (150)	Center for Science in the Public Interest (32)

Annu. Rev. Public Health 2017.38:119-144. Downloaded from www.annualreviews.org Access provided by Carnegie Mellon University on 04/05/21. See copyright for approved use.

W W W	Message delivery	None
Yes	Š	Š
Yes	Yes	Yes
°Z	Yes	Yes
Yes	Yes	°Z
ž	\mathring{Z}	ž
Š	Ž	°Z
Ž	Yes	Yes
Yes	Yes	Yes
Yes	Yes	Yes
A multimedia campaign drawing on popular culture introduces the slogan "Defeat Soda Tron," the evil soda monster, and encourages youth to rebel against unhealthy foods and beverages	Multimedia campaign educates parents to take action against unhealthy food marketing that targets children. The overarching goal is increased regulation of unhealthy food marketing marketing	Website provides information on national campaigns that advocate for reduction of sugar- sweetened beverage consumption and counter beverage industry tactics
Dunk the Junk	Junk Busters	Kick the Can
Founded by pediatrician Revin Strong (Maine) (47)	Cancer Council NSW (Australia) (25)	California Center for Public Health Advocacy (84)

Annu. Rev. Public Health 2017.38:119-144. Downloaded from www.annualreviews.org Access provided by Carnegie Mellon University on 04/05/21. See copyright for approved use.

Table 2 (Continued)

	Fractice-based food and beverage countermarkening interventions
Industry manipu-	Industry manipu- Health lation of
Yes	Oesigns Yes Yes youth-led countermar-keting campaigns with brand appeal that emphasizes consumption behavior change.
Yes	Multimedia Yes Yes campaign exposes soda industry marketing troard youth and communities of color. Open Truth promotes change of consumption behavior and industry marketing policy

Annu. Rev. Public Health 2017.38:119-144. Downloaded from www.annualreviews.org Access provided by Carnegie Mellon University on 04/05/21. See copyright for approved use.

None	None
Yes	°Z
Yes	Yes
Yes	Yes
ž	°Z
°Z	°Z
$\overset{\circ}{Z}$	$\overset{\circ}{Z}$
Yes	°Z
Yes	Yes
Yes	Yes
Animated health satire of Coca-Cola's polar bears that reveals long-term health consequences of drinking song "Sugar" is performed by popular artists Jason Mraz and MC Flow	Multimedia campaign that reveals deceptive marketing to children, exposes industry counter claims, and provides facts with intentions of changing consumption behavior and policy
The Real Bears	We're Not Buying It
Center for Science in the Public Interest (31)	Prevention Institute (121)

(Continued)

Annu. Rev. Public Health 2017.38:119-144. Downloaded from www.annualreviews.org Access provided by Carnegie Mellon University on 04/05/21. See copyright for approved use.

Table 2 (Continued)

Practice-based f	ood and beverag	Practice-based food and beverage countermarketing interventions	g interventio	su								
				Inte	rvention inclu	nded elements	s on this the	me: Yes	Intervention included elements on this theme: Yes, No, Insufficient information	ent informati	nc	
			Health	Industry manipu-	Ameals	Campa	Campaign tailored		Criticism of industry	Campaign dispar-	Campaign	Audience engaged in design, as spokesperson, and/or
Organization (Citation)	Campaign	Description	conse-	con- sumers	to emotions	By race/ ethnicity	By	By	by demographics	existing brand	its own brand	in message delivery
Alcohol totals			6/7	717	717	1/7	2/7	7/7	6/7	3/7	717	Audience engaged in design [4/7], as spokesperson [3/7], and in message delivery [6/7]
Food and beverage totals	ye totals		6/6	6/6	6/9	2/9	6/0	6/5	2/6	6/6	3/9	Audience engaged in design [3/9], as spokesperson [4/9], and in message delivery [4/9]

Communicating the adverse health consequences of smoking. Evaluations of public education campaigns from as far back as 1967 have demonstrated the effectiveness of highlighting the health consequences of tobacco use (79). Although the core messaging strategy of the California Department of Health Services and Florida Truth campaigns was to expose deception and manipulation by the tobacco industry (73), subsequent CM campaigns in tobacco, notably the nationwide Truth campaign, clearly communicated the health consequences of smoking within an anti-industry context. The Truth television advertisement featuring body bags being deposited outside tobacco company headquarters and the Marlboro label substituting for a corpse toe tag in a magazine ad illustrate this theme.

Industry manipulation of consumers. Messages that highlight deceptive or predatory tobacco industry practices are central to the CM campaigns and have been shown to reduce smoking intentions and behaviors (74). Practices that CM campaigns have targeted include industry denial that tobacco is addictive, distortion or obfuscation of scientific findings on the harms of tobacco, and targeted marketing to youth and other vulnerable populations. The early Truth billboard that read "No wonder tobacco executives hide behind sexy models" and featured a tobacco executive wearing a bikini illustrated this industry manipulation message.

Appeal to negative emotions. Seeking to elicit emotions such as outrage and resistance to manipulation has been a successful component of CM tobacco campaigns, especially those focusing on youth (19). Truth magazine ads showing stitched eyes, ears, and mouths of youth convey the message that tobacco companies seek to prevent young people from seeing, hearing, and telling the truth about industry marketing practices.

Disparagement of specific brands. Campaigns used messages and images that challenged, mocked, or undermined corporate brand images. A post–Master Settlement Agreement ad run by the California Department of Health Services in 1998 featured one Marlboro Man telling the other, "Bob, I've got emphysema." Another showed the Marlboro Man's horse dead from exposure to secondhand smoke.

Tailoring campaigns by demographics or psychographics. Substantial empirical evidence indicates that tailoring campaigns by race and ethnicity (5) and "peer crowd" (49, p. 79) can be effective in reaching population segments. The Commune campaign, which reduced smoking among young-adult hipsters in San Diego, is an example of successful psychographic tailoring to reach a segmented audience (94).

Criticizing industry targeting of vulnerable populations. Some campaigns highlight marketing that targets vulnerable populations such as children, Blacks, or immigrants. For example, Truth ads stated that "[t]obacco gives black males 50% more lung cancer than white males."

Establishment of CM campaign brand. Some CM includes design elements such as a logo, which differentiates the brand from its competitors (48). The Truth campaign featured a clear and deliberate strategy to market its antismoking anti-industry message as a brand with a logo and specific color schemes (50). Postcampaign reviews have listed Nike (51), Abercrombie (75), Sprite (50), and Burton Snowboards (51) as models for the Truth brand. Although there are no universally accepted criteria for what constitutes a brand, one simple measure is whether the campaign has been given a name, such as for Truth and recent Commune and HAVOC (49).

Engaging users in all phases of the campaign. Evidence from tobacco CM studies indicates that target audience engagement through all phases of a campaign may contribute to success. Three stages of engagement are described: (*a*) meaningful input on campaign design, (*b*) peers as spokespeople for the campaign, and (*c*) interactive peer participation in message delivery. Social-cognitive theory, which places a strong value on self-concept and social influence, specifically connects the role of peer influence on transitions in smoking behavior (132). However, the Truth and Commune campaigns went beyond merely showcasing youth and young adults in their campaigns by engaging their audiences throughout campaign development and execution (50, 75, 94, 113, 133).

As shown in **Table 1**, each of the major tobacco CM campaigns used four of these eight elements (industry manipulation of consumers appeals to negative emotions, creation of its own brand, and engagement of users in the campaign) and five of six also tailored the campaign by age. These five elements constituted core components of the major tobacco CM campaigns. On average, these six campaigns employed 6.8 of the 8 elements.

Use of Key Elements from Tobacco CM in Projects to Address Alcohol and Unhealthy Food and Beverages

The second step of our review considered CM projects that targeted alcohol and unhealthy food and beverages. For the projects that we identified, we evaluated for the presence of the eight key elements from our review of the tobacco CM literature. As shown in **Table 2**, we found that many of these projects implemented key elements of the tobacco CM campaigns.

Alcohol Countermarketing

A 2002 review of counteradvertising for alcohol found some evidence of effectiveness, although some alcohol industry–sponsored public service announcements designed to reduce youth drinking were found to encourage risky drinking (1). In general, the studies described in this review did not meet our definition for CM because they did not expose or seek to denormalize industry marketing practices. Two studies examined alcohol industry marketing practices to inform future alcohol CM campaigns. The first analyzed internal alcohol industry marketing documents to identify ways that alcohol advertising in the United Kingdom violated industry codes, e.g., by implicitly promoting drunkenness or targeting young girls (71). As with tobacco, these insights provide possible targets for denormalization. The second study examined the role of alcohol industry messages on social media, finding that intentions to share alcohol industry messages predicted intentions to consume alcohol, suggesting possible intervention points for social media alcohol CM (4).

As shown in **Table 2**, all alcohol CM campaigns employed at least four of the successful elements identified from tobacco CM campaigns.

Food and Beverage Countermarketing

Most of the peer-reviewed studies on food and beverages we identified described food industry marketing, not CM (see **Supplement 1**; follow the **Supplemental Material link** from the Annual Reviews home page at **http://www.annualreviews.org**). Two experimental studies assessed whether exposure to counteradvertising messages could reduce the susceptibility of Australian children (mean age 11) (41) and parents of Australian children aged 5–12 (42) to front-of-package promotions of unhealthy food. The authors found that both children and parents rated the promotional messages as less believable and the product as less healthy after



viewing the counteradvertising message. However, children who misunderstood the counter messages rated the unhealthy product more favorably after viewing the counter ads, which suggests that misunderstood ads may have unintended negative consequences.

Two other studies sought to expose food industry use of social media to promote unhealthy food. They found that children and youth were highly engaged with these advertisements (78, 145), suggesting, as with alcohol, that CM campaigns for unhealthy food that disrupt engagement may reduce the influence of such advertising.

Of the nine food and beverage CM projects (8 in the United States and 1 in Australia) shown in **Table 2**, all employed 3 of the 8 key elements from tobacco CM campaigns and 3–7 projects included the other 4 elements. Insufficient information was available to assess whether these projects targeted by race/ethnicity, age, or gender, although most seemed aimed at either youth or parents. These projects included, on average, 5.3 of the 8 key elements we assessed.

Implications of Lessons from Tobacco CM for Food and Alcohol

Because there is no universally recognized database of all food and alcohol CM campaigns, the projects we reviewed may not be representative of all CM initiatives on alcohol or food. Nevertheless, this dissemination of elements of evidence-based practice into other domains suggests that those working to reduce the consumption of tobacco, alcohol, and unhealthy food are exchanging information on CM. Similar exchanges among those using strategies such as regulation, taxes, and litigation have also been documented (20, 56, 103).

Several decades of evaluation—and advocacy—have established tobacco CM campaigns as an evidence-based practice. By systematically studying unhealthy food and alcohol CM and integrating evidence-based practice and practice-based evidence, those in public health may be able to accelerate the translation of these strategies into interventions that can reduce the burdens of noncommunicable diseases.

Our review of CM activities for tobacco, alcohol, and unhealthy food showed important similarities and differences described here for each of the essential elements.

Addressing health consequences. Before the Florida Truth campaign, formative research indicated that knowledge levels among youth about the dangers of tobacco were high (75). This preknowledge allowed the campaign to leverage that understanding into messages that unveiled, vilified, and otherwise undermined industry practices.

Later tobacco CM campaigns incorporated the health effects of smoking into messages about the manipulation of cigarette production to include ammonia and concealment of knowledge about nicotine addiction. Dissemination of these facts informed the call to action among youth to rebel against and resist deceptive tobacco industry messages and practices (51).

Can these processes be applied to campaigns for unhealthy food and beverages? Surveys show that levels of understanding of the dangers of binge drinking and unhealthy foods and beverages vary considerably among different population groups (66, 83, 115, 127), which suggests the importance of population-specific tailoring. For tobacco, public understanding of the harmful effects of the product took place over decades. The levels of knowledge among children and youth about unhealthy food and beverages are not now at the levels that they were for tobacco when Truth was introduced (41, 50, 75). However, increased media coverage of the harmful effects of sugary beverages and the role of the soda industry in distorting and manipulating science (82, 111) may set the stage for effective CM campaigns. More research on the associations between health literacy and receptivity to specific anti-industry messages may inform effective CM campaigns for unhealthy food.

Some analysts have argued that criticizing the food or alcohol industries is more challenging than criticizing the tobacco industry (100, 137). Food and alcohol are ubiquitous in our society and are perceived to have health benefits; however, messages highlighting adverse health consequences of alcohol have been shown to reduce urges to drink alcohol among young people (134).

Further research is necessary to determine whether CM campaigns will be more effective than traditional health campaigns that target individual behavioral change. Given the intense and variable relationships that individuals, families, and cultures have with alcohol and food, participatory research is warranted (100). In general, CM campaigns that rely on eliciting fears about the health consequences of using a particular product must take into account the population's level of knowledge about its health effects. Elicitation research that maps the beliefs of various populations is a critical formative research area for food and alcohol CM.

Criticism of industry manipulation. Criticism of industry practices is a fundamental component of CM campaigns in tobacco that has been used in several alcohol and food and beverage CM projects. Research should assess whether anti-industry messages enhance positive health behavior change as has been demonstrated with tobacco (74) for alcohol and unhealthy food and beverages. Although such research has been conducted in the realm of sugar-sweetened beverage policy change (80), studies of the effects of such messaging on health behavior are less developed.

Appeals to emotions. Although Truth and its successors appealed to youths' need to assert their independence and individuality by triggering rebelliousness, other CM campaigns can engage different types of emotions. For example, emotions related to young women's desire not to be gender stereotyped or parents' fears for their children's well-being can be activated in CM campaigns that challenge marketing of alcohol to girls or manipulation of children to influence their desire for sugary breakfast cereals.

Although the research that led to Truth was specific to tobacco (75), the success of these campaigns in reclaiming the same emotions used by tobacco companies to engage with potential consumers may guide countermarketers of alcohol and unhealthy food. By providing alternative means to fulfill similar psychosocial needs, CM can appeal to values such as rebelliousness and socialization but also friendship (CM for alcohol), community (for alcohol and unhealthy food), or aspirations for being a good parent (for unhealthy food) (8). Emotions relating to pride and heritage frequently used by soda companies to market to Latinos, for example, can also be used for CM campaigns to expose and undermine these industry practices.

Tailoring and segmentation. Market segmentation or specifically tailoring campaign elements to subpopulations (9, 64, 90) has shown promise in some tobacco CM that includes messages on industry manipulation (50, 94). The national Truth campaign evolved into a multiethnic campaign with special components tailored to appeal to African American, Latino, and Asian youth, a characteristic identified as a key to its success (50). However, some research has suggested that Truth did not speak clearly to Latino youth (52). Given that patterns of alcohol and unhealthy food consumption vary by class, race/ethnicity (57), gender (146), and other characteristics, CM can enhance effectiveness by tailoring messaging to subpopulations.

Campaigns may also need to be segmented by other characteristics, including psychographic and cultural factors such as values, activities, aspirations, or lifestyle (49). Recent success using components of CM strategies to reduce smoking rates among the young adult hipster population (94) indicates that psychographic segmentation is a promising area for tobacco CM and perhaps alcohol and food as well.

Criticizing industry demographic targeting. The exposure of racial and ethnic targeting is a current area of focus for CM campaigns about sugar-sweetened beverages (70, 110). For example, upon passage in 2016 of a 1.5-cent-per-ounce increase on sugar-sweetened beverages in Philadelphia, when the industry contended that the tax disproportionately affects poorer people, the mayor stated that these arguments were "hypocritical frankly because big soda companies have been marketing to poor neighborhoods for generations" (54).

Disparaging specific brands. Because people are often more likely to be aware of the corporation behind well-known brands, CM efforts should look for opportunities for increasing the vulnerability of unhealthy consumer brands. Our review of recent food and alcohol campaigns found that this was a common practice especially for those campaigns seeking to reduce individual consumption.

Creation of a countermarketing brand. Because brands seek to build relationships with individuals, peer-to-peer identity can be an important component of any brand, as Truth demonstrates. In fact, evidence from Truth (54, 75, 113, 133) and more recently Commune (94) tobacco control campaigns indicates that a brand with a strong peer-driven focus may contribute to these campaigns' success in reducing smoking rates. In recent years, tobacco, alcohol, and food marketers have used social media, viral marketing and other strategies to create "brand ambassadors" (102) and "brand communities" (53). These users view themselves as a tribe of consumers organized around the lifestyle and ethos of the brand and a hashtag. For marketers, the rationale is that brand community members buy more, remain loyal, and reduce marketing costs through word-of-mouth support. To what extent and in what ways CM campaigns on alcohol and food can offer alternative communities with as much or greater appeal will require additional research and field tests. Similarly, research will need to determine whether public criticism of industry efforts to create "brand communities" around products associated with premature death and preventable illness can generate the outrage that was an important part of Truth's appeal (50).

User engagement. The peer-driven component of Truth reflects evidence from both commercial marketing (15) and demarketing of alcohol (21) that peer-to-peer communication is a critical component of successful CM. Because peer influence is greater in products with high social involvement, the role of relatable peers as part of the brand can be a critical influence over the brand's effectiveness (38, 118, 148). Strong peer-to-peer components within a branded food or alcohol CM campaign may contribute to its success, a process that warrants further research.

Meaningful audience interaction throughout the campaign can play an essential role in peer-to-peer CM engagement processes. With Truth, this included such tactics as the "truth truck" (75) engaging with youth at concerts. The Commune campaign featured events with significant local artist involvement and brand ambassadors who interacted directly with their audiences (94).

CONCLUSIONS

Upstream interventions affecting health environments can be regarded as prerequisites for a society replete with healthy choices (76). Indeed, CM campaigns may be most effective if they consider both individual and policy-level interventions. In some cases, individual interventions may not be warranted. For example, in the United Kingdom, research indicates that responsible drinking campaigns are inherently ineffective, given the existing marketing and policy environment (137). While CM may be able to modify that, a policy approach might be the more effective approach.

By integrating CM with other public health strategies and expanding potential audiences to include policy makers and advocates as well as consumers, this strategy may make multiple contributions to health (128). For alcohol, for example, a regulatory-based campaign directed at policy makers to communicate the dangers of binge drinking and the potential for policies to restrict industry marketing and retail practices may be an effective approach. For food CM efforts, parents of young children vulnerable to the harms of these products may constitute the most receptive audience.

Media advocacy represents a promising area for CM where the goal is not just individual behavior change but also regulation or policy change. Through the use of earned media, this strategy can be a critical method to overcome this financial barrier to fair and balanced health communication. A recent example is the incorporation of the message into news stories reporting that soda companies such as Coca-Cola are "Hispandering," targeting Hispanics, in their advertisements (104).

For tobacco control, denormalization of the health-damaging practices of the industry helped to change public opinion and set the stage for wider policy changes to support prevention (69, 96). By shifting public opinion on the acceptability of the food and alcohol industries' targeted marketing, appeals to children and youth, and obstruction of public health regulation, alcohol and food countermarketers may similarly be able to accelerate reforms in other prevention policy domains such as taxation, limits on advertising, and pricing.

CM efforts in tobacco, alcohol, and food face several challenges. One of these is the phenomenon of corporate social responsibility campaigns, which serve as a countervailing force to the promise of CM. From the Nestlé boycott during the 1970s and 1980s (131) to the tobacco reduction campaigns before, during, and after Truth (24), industry opposition to CM has been aggressive, sustained, and creative. One component of the industry's strategy has been corporate social responsibility campaigns used to oppose tobacco regulation and CM and now widely used by processed food and beverage companies to influence public opinion and government policy away from policies such as taxes, warning labels, and procurement regulations (43). An important goal of these industry campaigns, one that could be contested by CM, is to reframe the public debate, e.g., the soda industry's efforts to downplay the role of soda in obesity and diabetes while emphasizing the importance of physical activity.

Despite the promise that social media holds for community-organized and peer-driven campaigns, research indicates that alcohol, tobacco, and processed food companies often have a reach that far exceeds the capacity of community-organized grassroots health-focused social media (24). Campaigns such as "Defeat SodaTron" and "Dunk the Junk" center on YouTube videos with views that number in the hundreds, whereas corporate food company commercials often generate millions of viewers.

Perhaps the most significant challenge for alcohol and food CM is the issue of scale. Numerous analyses have indicated that the success of Truth would not have been possible without "real money," i.e., the two-year \$200 million advertising campaign employed in Florida beginning in 1998 and the Master Settlement Agreement funds used for the national Truth campaign (75). Whether the public health community and elected officials will be able to generate the resources needed to bring CM to scale remains an open question. In some jurisdictions, local officials are using revenues from soda taxes to fund public education campaigns as a possible strategy for the future (95). Others have proposed a prevention fund with multiple streams of support as a possible revenue source (122).

CM campaigns on alcohol and food can reach scale when they are part of organized multisectoral efforts, including those of government agencies, community organizations, and advocacy groups that seek to shape and sustain responses to the threat of unhealthy industry practices, a goal achieved with tobacco during the 1990s and early 2000s. The American Stop Smoking Intervention Study for Cancer Prevention (ASSIST) program, a partnership between the National Cancer Institute and the American Cancer Society, which supported tobacco control for 17 state health departments, is an example of the coordinated effort that occurred around tobacco control (108).

Our review has shown that food and alcohol CM campaigns can apply the lessons learned in tobacco control. To sustain and expand these efforts, these campaigns will need to coordinate more seamlessly with upstream policy efforts. To achieve their full potential, they must be integrated with sustained and coordinated multisectoral efforts to reduce and counter the marketing of alcohol and unhealthy food, which are among the primary contributors to global premature deaths, preventable illnesses, and national and international inequalities in health.

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

The authors are not aware of any affiliations, memberships, funding, or financial holdings that might be perceived as affecting the objectivity of this review.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

For providing thoughtful feedback on an earlier draft of this article, the authors thank Michael Bakal of the Berkeley Media Studies Group and anonymous reviewers from the *Annual Review of Public Health*. No funding was involved in the research and production of this paper; the views presented herein represent those of the authors alone.

LITERATURE CITED

- 1. Agostinelli G, Grube J. 2002. Alcohol counter-advertising and the media. Alcohol Res. Health 26(1):15-21
- Alcohol Concern. 2013. It's the drink talking: giving young people a voice on alcohol. Alcohol Concern. London. http://www.itsthedrinktalking.co.uk/
- Alcohol Justice. 2007. Stop Alcopops. San Rafael, CA. https://alcoholjustice.org/campaigns/stopalcopops
- Alhabash S, McAlister AR, Quilliam ET, Richards JI, Lou C. 2015. Alcohol's getting a bit more social: when alcohol marketing messages on Facebook increase young adults' intentions to imbibe. Mass Commun. Soc. 18(3):350–75
- Allen JA, Duke JC, Davis KC, Kim AE, Nonnemaker JM, Farrelly MC. 2015. Using mass media campaigns to reduce youth tobacco use: a review. Am. J. Health Promot. 30(2):e71–82
- Am. Med. Assoc., Alcohol Policy MD. 2004. Teenage girls targeted for sweet-flavored alcoholic beverages. Press Release, Dec. 16. http://www.alcoholpolicymd.com/press_room/Press_releases/ girlie_drinks_release.htm
- 7. Am. Public Health Assoc. 1982. Nestle boycott. Am. 7. Public Health 72(2):205
- Anderson SJ, Glantz SA, Ling PM. 2005. Emotions for sale: cigarette advertising and women's psychosocial needs. Tob. Control 14(2):127–35
- Andreasen AR. 2002. Marketing social marketing in the social change marketplace. J. Public Policy Mark. 21(1):3–13
- Balance. 2011. See what Sam sees. Balance North East, Durham, UK. http://www.balancenortheast.co.uk/library/documents/See_What_Sam_Sees_leaflet.pdf
- 11. Balance. 2012. The real price of cheap alcohol. Balance North East, Durham, UK. http://www.balancenortheast.co.uk/our-campaigns/mup/
- Balbach ED, Gasior RJ, Barbeau EM. 2003. R.J. Reynolds' targeting of African Americans: 1988–2000.
 Am. 7. Public Health 93:822–27
- Banerjee SC, Greene K. 2006. Analysis versus production: adolescent cognitive and attitudinal responses to anti-smoking interventions. *J. Commun.* 56:773–94

- Beaglehole R, Bonita R, Horton R, Adams C, Alleyne G, et al. 2011. Priority actions for the noncommunicable disease crisis. *Lancet* 377:1438–47
- Bearden WO, Etzel MJ. 1982. Reference group influence on product and brand purchase decision. 7. Consum. Res. 9(10):183–94
- 16. Beauchamp DE. 1976. Public health as social justice. Inquiry 13(1):3-14
- Bergsma LJ, Carney ME. 2008. Effectiveness of health-promoting media literacy education: a systematic review. Health Educ. Res. 23(3):522–42
- Berkeley Media Stud. Group. 2009. Working Upstream: Skills for Social Change. Berkeley, CA: Berkeley Media Stud. Group http://bmsg.org/sites/default/files/bmsg_handbook_working_upstream.pdf
- Biener L, Ji M, Gilpin EA, Albers AB. 2004. The impact of emotional tone, message, and broadcast parameters in youth anti-smoking advertisements. 7. Health Commun. 9(3):259–74
- Blecher E. 2015. Taxes on tobacco, alcohol and sugar sweetened beverages: linkages and lessons learned Soc. Sci. Med. 136:175–79
- Bochner S. 1994. The effectiveness of same-sex versus opposite-sex role models in advertisements to reduce alcohol consumption in teenagers. *Addict. Behav.* 19(1):69–82
- 22. Bonnie R, Stratton K, Wallace R, eds. 2007. Ending the Tobacco Problem: A Blueprint for the Nation. Washington, DC: Natl. Acad. Press
- 23. Bradley N, Blythe J. 2013. Demarketing. London/New York: Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group
- Burton S, Dadich A, Soboleva A. 2013. Competing voices: marketing and counter-marketing alcohol on Twitter. J. Nonprofit Public Sector Mark. 25(2):186–209
- Cancer Counc. 2016. Junk busters. Cancer Counc., Woolloomooloo, NSW, Aust. http://junkbusters.com.au/
- 26. Carducci V. 2006. Culture jamming: a sociological perspective. 7. Consum. Cult. 6(1):116-38
- Carson KV, Brinn MP, Labiszewski NA, Esterman AJ, Chang AB, Smith BJ. 2011. Community interventions for preventing smoking in young people. Cochrane Database Syst. (7):D001291
- CDC (Cent. Dis. Control Prev.). 2007. Best Practices for Comprehensive Tobacco Control Programs—2007.
 Atlanta: US Dep. Health Hum. Serv., CDC, Natl. Cent. Chronic Dis. Prev. Health Promot., Off. Smok. Health
- CDC (Cent. Dis. Control Prev.). 2010. Selected Actions of the US Government Regarding the Regulation of Tobacco Sales, Marketing, and Use (Excluding Laws Pertaining to Agriculture or Excise Tax). Atlanta: CDC
- Cent. Sci. Public Interest. 2004. American College Health Association praised for commitment to end alcohol ads on college sports broadcasts. July 24, Cent. Sci. Public Interest, Washington, DC. https://cspinet.org/ new/200407301.html
- Cent. Sci. Public Interest. 2012. The real bears. Cent. Sci. Public Interest, Washington, DC. http://www.therealbears.org/
- 32. Cent. Sci. Public Interest. 2015. *Change the tune*. Video posted June 23, 1 min 40 s. Cent. Sci. Public Interest, Washington, DC. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3F1U95v0JPs
- 33. Chan S. 2009. New targets in the fat fight: soda and juice. New York Times Aug. 31, p. A22
- Chapman S. 1996. Civil disobedience and tobacco control: the case of BUGA UP. Billboard Utilising Graffitists Against Unhealthy Promotions. Tob. Control 5(3):179–85
- Chester J, Montgomery K, Dorfman L. 2010. Alcohol Marketing in the Digital Age. Berkeley, CA: Berkeley Media Stud. Group
- Cheyne A, Dorfman L, Daynard RA, Mejia P, Gottlieb M. 2014. The debate on regulating menthol cigarettes: closing a dangerous loophole versus removing the right to have a choice. Am. J. Public Health 104:1048–51
- 37. Cheyne A, Mejia P, Nixon L, Dorfman L. 2014. Food and beverage marketing to youth. *Curr. Obes. Rep* 3(4):440–50
- Christian A, Sunday E. 2013. Factors influencing brand preference of beer consumption in Port-Harcourt Metropolis, Rivers State, Nigeria. Eur. 7. Bus. Manag. 5(7):76–87
- 39. Cohen L. 2003. A Consumers' Republic: The Politics of Mass Consumption in Postwar America. New York: Vintage
- 40. Dichter E. 1960. The Strategy of Desire. Garden City, NY: Doubleday

- Dixon H, Scully M, Kelly B, Chapman K, Wakefield M. 2014. Can counter-advertising reduce preadolescent children's susceptibility to front-of-package promotions on unhealthy foods? Experimental research. Soc. Sci. Med. 116:211–19
- Dixon H, Scully M, Kelly B, Donovan R, Chapman K, Wakefield M. 2014. Counter-advertising may reduce parent's susceptibility to front-of-package promotions on unhealthy foods. J. Nutr. Educ. Behav. 46(6):476–74
- Dorfman L, Cheyne A, Friedman LC, Wadud A, Gottlieb M. 2012. Soda and tobacco industry corporate social responsibility campaigns: How do they compare? PLOS Med. 9(6):e1001241
- 44. Dorfman L, Krasnow ID. 2014. Public health and media advocacy. Public Health 35:293-306
- Dorfman L, Wallack L. 1993. Advertising health: the case for counter-ads. Public Health Rep. 108.6:716– 26
- Doyle P. 1987. Managing the marketing mix. In *The Marketing Book*, ed. MJ Baker, pp. 287–313.
 Burlington, MA: Butterworth-Heinemann
- 47. Dunk the Junk. 2016. Defeat SodaTron. Dunk the Junk, Camden, ME. http://www.dunkthejunk.org/
- 48. Evans WD, Blitstein J, Vallone D, Post S, Nielsen W. 2015. Systematic review of health branding: growth of a promising practice. *Transl. Behav. Med.* 5(1):24–36
- Fallin A, Neilands TB, Jordan JW, Hong JS, Ling PM. 2015. Wreaking "havoc" on smoking: social branding to reach young adult "partiers" in Oklahoma. Am. J. Prev. Med. 48(1):S78–85
- Farrelly MC, Healton CG, Davis KC, Messeri P, Hersey JC, Haviland ML. 2002. Getting to the "truth": evaluating national tobacco countermarketing campaigns. Am. J. Public Health 92(6):901–7
- Farrelly MC, Niederdeppe J, Yarsevich J. 2003. Youth tobacco prevention mass media campaigns: past, present, and future directions. Tob. Control 12(Suppl. 1):i35–47
- Foraker RE, Patten CA, Lopez KN, Croghan IT, Thomas JL. 2005. Beliefs and attitudes regarding smoking among young adult Latinos: a pilot study. Prev. Med. 41(1):126–33
- 53. Fournier S, Lee L. 2009. Getting brand communities right. Harvard Bus. Rev. 87(4):105-11
- Fox M. 2016. Philadelphia council passes sweet drink tax. NBC News, June 16. http://www.nbcnews.com/health/health-news/philadelphia-council-passes-sugary-drink-tax-n593936
- 55. Freeman B. 2012. New media and tobacco control. Tob. Control 21(2):139-44
- Freudenberg N. 2014. Lethal but Legal: Corporations, Consumption and Protecting Public Health. New York: Oxford Univ. Press
- Galvan FH, Caetano R. 2003. Alcohol use and related problems among ethnic minorities in the United States. Alcohol Res. Health 27(1):87–95
- García JL, Sharif MZ. 2015. Black Lives Matter: a commentary on racism and public health. Am. J. Public Health 105(8):e27–30
- 59. Gerbaudo P. 2012. Tweets and the Streets: Social Media and Contemporary Activism. London: Pluto Press
- Gitlin T. 2012. Occupy Nation: The Roots, the Spirit, and the Promise of Occupy Wall Street. New York: Harper Collins
- Gonzales R, Glik D, Davoudi M, Ang A. 2004. Media literacy and public health integrating theory, research, and practice for tobacco control. Am. Behav. Sci. 48(2):189–201
- 62. Green LW. 2006. Public health asks of systems science: To advance our evidence-based practice, can you help us get more practice-based evidence? *Am. J. Public Health* 96(3):406–9
- Green M, Nader R. 1973. Economic regulation versus competition: Uncle Sam the monopoly man. Yale Law 7. 82(5):871–89
- 64. Grier S, Bryant CA. 2005. Social marketing in public health. Annu. Rev. Public Health 26:319-39
- Grier SA, Kumanyika S. 2010. Targeted marketing and public health. Annu. Rev. Public Health 31:349–69
- Grube JW, Lawrence W. 1994. Television beer advertising and drinking knowledge, beliefs, and intentions among schoolchildren Am. J. Public Health 84(2):254–59
- Hafez N, Ling PM. 2005. How Philip Morris built Marlboro into a global brand for young adults: implications for international tobacco control. Tob. Control 14(4):262–71
- Hamilton JL. 1972. The demand for cigarettes: advertising, the health scare, and the cigarette advertising ban. Rev. Econ. Stat. 54:401–11
- Hammond D, Fong GT, Zanna MP, Thrasher JF, Borland R. 2006. Tobacco denormalization and industry beliefs among smokers from four countries. Am. 7. Prev. Med. 31(3):225–32

- 70. Harris JL, Schwartz MB, Brownell KD, Javadizadeh J, Weinberg M. 2011. Evaluating Sugary Drink Nutrition and Marketing to Youth. New Haven, CT: Yale Rudd Cent. Food Policy and Obesity
- 71. Hastings G. 2009. "They'll drink bucket loads of the stuff": an analysis of internal alcohol industry advertising documents. Memo. AL 81, Alcohol Educ. Res. Counc. http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm200910/cmselect/cmhealth/memo/alcohol/al81memo.pdf
- 72. Herd D. 2011. Voices from the field: the social construction of alcohol problems in inner-city communities. *Contemp. Drug Probl.* 38(1):7–39
- Hersey JC, Niederdeppe J, Ng SW, Mowery P, Farrelly M, Messeri P. 2005. How state counter-industry campaigns help prime perceptions of tobacco industry practices to promote reductions in youth smoking. *Tob. Control* 14(6):377–83
- 74. Hershey JC, Niederdeppe J, Evans WD, Nonnemaker J, Blahut S, et al. 2005. The theory of "truth": how counter industry campaigns affect smoking behavior among teens. *Health Psychol.* 24(1):22–31
- 75. Hicks JJ. 2001. The strategy behind Florida's "truth" campaign. Tob. Control 10(1):3-5
- Hoek J, Jones SC. 2011. Regulation, public health and social marketing: a behaviour change trinity. J. Soc. Mark. 1(1):32–44
- 77. Hoffman SJ, Tan C. 2015. Overview of systematic reviews on the health-related effects of government tobacco control policies. *BMC Public Health* 15(1):744
- Holmberg C, Chaplin J, Hillman T, Berg C. 2016. Adolescents' presentation of food in social media: an explorative study. *Appetite* 99:121–29
- Ibrahim JK, Glantz S. 2007. The rise and fall of tobacco control media campaigns, 1967–2006. Am. J. Public Health 97(8):1383–96
- 80. Jou J, Niederdeppe J, Barry CL, Gollust SE. 2014. Strategic messaging to promote taxation of sugarsweetened beverages: lessons from recent political campaigns. *Am. 7. Public Health* 104(5):847–53
- 81. Kassarjian HH. 1969. The Negro and American advertising, 1946-1965. J. Mark. Res. 6:29-39
- 82. Kell J. 2016. Coke spent more on health research than previously reported. *Fortune*, March 25. http://fortune.com/2016/03/25/coke-health-research-spending/
- Kelly Y, Goisis A, Sacker A, Cable N, Watt RG, Britton A. 2016. What influences 11-year-olds to drink?
 Findings from the Millennium Cohort Study. BMC Public Health 16(1):169
- Kick the Can. 2016. Kick the Can. Giving the boot to sugary drinks. Public Health Advocates, Davis, CA. http://www.kickthecan.info/
- 85. Kidz Bite Back. 2011. Kidz Bite Back campaigns. St. Petersburg, FL. http://www.kidzbiteback.com
- Kietzmann JH, Hermkens K, McCarthy IP, Silvestre BS. 2011. Social media? Get serious! Understanding the functional building blocks of social media. Bus. Horiz. 54(3):241–51
- 87. Klein N. 2010. No Logo. London: Fourth Estate. 10th anniv. ed.
- 88. Kotler P, Levy SJ. 1971. Demarketing, yes, demarketing. Harvard Bus. Rev. 49(6):74-80
- Kwate NOA. 2014. Racism still exists: a public health intervention using racism "countermarketing" outdoor advertising in a Black neighborhood. J. Urban Health 91(5):851–72
- Lee YO, Jordan JW, Djakaria M, Ling PM. 2014. Using peer crowds to segment Black youth for smoking intervention. Health Promot. Pract. 15(4):530–37
- 91. Lefebvre RC, Kotler P. 2011. Design Thinking, Demarketing and Behavioral Economics. London: Sage
- Lefevre-Gonzalez C. 2013. Restoring historical understandings of the 'public interest' standard of American broadcasting: an exploration of the Fairness Doctrine. Int. 7. Commun. 7:89–109
- Ling PM, Glantz SA. 2002. Why and how the tobacco industry sells cigarettes to young adults: evidence from industry documents. Am. J. Public Health 92(6):908–16
- 94. Ling PM, Lee YO, Hong J, Neilands TB, Jordan JW, Glantz SA. 2014. Social branding to decrease smoking among young adults in bars. *Am. 7. Public Health* 104(4):751–60
- Lynn J. 2016. City Council votes to allocate 'soda tax' revenue to school district, city organizations. *Daily Californian*, Jan. 20. http://www.dailycal.org/2016/01/20/city-council-votes-allocatesoda-tax-revenue-school-district-city-organizations/
- Mahood G. 2004. Tobacco Industry Denormalization: Telling the Truth about the Tobacco Industry's Role in the Tobacco Epidemic. Ottawa: Can. Non-Smokers' Rights Assoc. http://www.nsra-adnf.ca/cms/files/pdf/TID_Booklet.pdf

- 97. Mayer RN. 1989. Consumer Movement: Guardians of the Marketplace. New York: Twayne
- Mays J. 2013. Coors Light to stop producing beer cans that offended Puerto Ricans. DNAinfo May 30. https://www.dnainfo.com/new-york/20130530/east-harlem/coors-light-stop-producing-beer-can-that-offended-puerto-ricans
- 99. McChesney RW. 1993. Telecommunications, Mass Media, and Democracy. New York: Oxford Univ. Press
- Mercer SL, Green LW, Rosenthal AC, Husten CG, Khan LK, Dietz WH. 2003. Possible lessons from the tobacco experience for obesity control. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 77(4):1073S–82
- 101. MICA Cent. Soc. Design, Oliver Youth Counter-Ad Worksh. 2015. Advocacy Through Creativity. Baltimore, MD: MICA Cent. Soc. Design https://issuu.com/micasocialdesign/docs/oycaw_book_final_lulu
- Montgomery KC, Chester J. 2009. Interactive food and beverage marketing: targeting adolescents in the digital age. J. Adolesc. Health 45(Suppl. 3):S18–29
- 103. Moodie R, Stuckler D, Monteiro C, Sheron N, Neal B, et al. 2013. Profits and pandemics: prevention of harmful effects of tobacco, alcohol, and ultra-processed food and drink industries. *Lancet* 381:670–79
- 104. Morales X, Lebeau M, Lew R, Poole S. 2016. Big Soda targets communities of color—It's time to fight back! Los Angeles Sentinel, Mar. 30. http://bit.ly/10oizxC
- 105. Murphy PC. 2005. What a Book Can Do: The Publication and Reception of Silent Spring. Amherst/Boston: Univ. Mass. Press
- Murray RL, Heumann JK. 2012. Contemporary eco-food films: the documentary tradition. Stud. Doc. Film 6(1):43–59
- 107. Nader R. 2002. Crashing the Party: Taking on the Corporate Government in an Age of Surrender. New York: St. Martin's Press/Macmillan
- Natl. Cancer Inst. 2005. ASSIST: Shaping the Future of Tobacco Prevention and Control. Tob. Control Monogr. No. 16. Bethesda, MD: US Dep. Health Hum. Serv., Natl. Inst. Health, Natl. Cancer Inst.
- 109. Natl. Cancer Inst. 2008. The Role of the Media in Promoting and Reducing Tobacco Use. Tob. Control Monogr. 19. Bethesda, MD: US Dep. Health Hum. Serv., Natl. Inst. Health, Natl. Cancer Inst. http://umm.edu/programs/cancer/healthinfo/overviews/for-patients/monograph-19
- Nesheim MC, Nestle M. 2015. The Fight Against Hunger and Malnutrition: The Role of Food, Agriculture, and Targeted Policies. New York: Oxford Univ. Press
- 111. Nestle M. 2015. Soda Politics: Taking on Big Soda (and Winning). New York: Oxford Univ. Press
- Nicholls J. 2012. Everyday, everywhere: alcohol marketing and social media—current trends. Alcohol. Alcohol. 47(4):486–93
- Niederdeppe J, Farrelly MC, Haviland ML. 2004. Confirming "truth": more evidence of a successful tobacco countermarketing campaign in Florida. Am. J. Public Health 94(2):255–57
- 114. Open Truth. 2016. Open Truth/Destapa La Verdad campaign. Open Truth, San Francisco. http://www.opentruthnow.org/
- Park S, Onufrak S, Sherry B, Blanck HM. 2014. The relationship between health-related knowledge and sugar-sweetened beverage intake among US adults. J. Acad. Nutr. Diet 114(7):1059–66
- 116. Parmley WW. 1995. The tobacco industry: blowing smoke. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 25(7):1736-37
- 117. Pechacek TF. 1999. Best Practices for Comprehensive Tobacco Control Programs. Darby, PA: Diane Publ.
- Perkins HW. 2002. Social norms and the prevention of alcohol misuse in collegiate contexts. J. Stud. Alcohol Suppl. 14:64–172
- Post JE. 1985. Assessing the Nestlé boycott: corporate accountability and human rights. Calif. Manag. Rev. 27(2):113–31
- Poyntz SR. 2006. Independent media, youth agency, and the promise of media education. Can. J. Educ./Rev. Can. Péduc. 29:154–75
- Prev. Inst. 2011. We're not buying it. Prev. Inst., Oakland, CA. http://preventioninstitute.org/focusareas/supporting-healthy-food-a-activity/supporting-healthy-food-and-activity-environmentsadvocacy.html
- 122. Prev. Inst. 2015. Sustainable Investments in Health: Prevention and Wellness Funds. Oakland, CA: Prev. Inst.
- Randolph W, Viswanath K. 2004. Lessons learned from public health mass media campaigns: marketing health in a crowded media world. Annu. Rev. Public Health 25:419–37

- Ribisl KM, Jo C. 2012. Tobacco control is losing ground in the Web 2.0 era: invited commentary. Tob. Control 21(2):145–46
- 125. Roeseler A, Burns D. 2010. The quarter that changed the world. Tob. Control 19(Suppl. 1):i3-15
- Rogow F. 2004. Shifting from media to literacy: one opinion on the challenges of media literacy education.
 Am. Behav. Sci. 48(1):30–34
- Rothman RL, Housam R, Weiss H, Davis D, Gregory R, et al. 2006. Patient understanding of food labels: the role of literacy and numeracy. Am. J. Prev. Med. 31(5):391–98
- Rothschild ML. 2000. Carrots, sticks, and promises: a conceptual framework for the management of public health and social issue behaviors. Soc. Mark. Q. 6(4):86–114
- 129. Ruane KA. 2012. Fairness doctrine: history and controversial issues. *J. Curr. Issues Media Telecommun.* 4(3):189–202
- Schar E, Gutierrez K, Murphy-Hoefer R, Nelson DE. 2006. Tobacco Use Prevention Media Campaigns. Atlanta: US Dep. Health Hum. Serv., Cent. Dis. Control Prev., Off. Smok. http://www.cdc.gov/tobacco
- 131. Sethi SP. 1994. Multinational corporations and the impact of public advocacy on corporate strategy: Nestlé and the infant formula controversy. 7. Int. Bus. Stud. 25(3):658–60
- 132. Shadel WG, Cervone D. 2011. The role of the self in smoking initiation and smoking cessation: a review and blueprint for research at the intersection of social-cognition and health. Self Identity 10(3):386–95
- Sly DF, Trapido E, Ray S. 2002. Evidence of the dose effects of an antitobacco counteradvertising campaign. Prev. Med. 35(5):511–18
- 134. Stautz K, Marteau TM. 2016. Viewing alcohol warning advertising reduces urges to drink in young adults: an online experiment. *BMC Public Health* 16(1):530
- 135. Stevenson RW. 1990. The media business: advertising; tough anti-smoking effort aims at cigarette marketers. New York Times, April 25. http://www.nytimes.com/1990/04/26/business/media-business-advertising-tough-anti-smoking-effort-aims-cigarette-marketers.html
- 136. Stuckler D, McKee M, Ebrahim S, Basu S. 2012. Manufacturing epidemics: the role of global producers in increased consumption of unhealthy commodities including processed foods, alcohol, and tobacco. PLOS Med. 9(6):e1001235
- 137. Szmigin I, Bengry-Howell A, Griffin C, Hackley C, Mistral W. 2011. Social marketing, individual responsibility and the "culture of intoxication." *Eur. 7. Mark.* 45(5):759–79
- Tufekci Z, Wilson C. 2012. Social media and the decision to participate in political protest: observations from Tahrir Square. J. Commun. 62(2):363–79
- 139. Tye L. 1998. The Father of Spin: Edward L. Bernays and the Birth of Public Relations. New York: Crown
- Wakefield MA, Loken B, Hornik RC. 2010. Use of mass media campaigns to change health behaviour. Lancet 376:1261–71
- 141. Wallack L, Dorfman L, Jernigan D, Themba-Nixon M. 1993. Media Advocacy and Public Health: Power for Prevention. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage
- 142. Wallack LM, Woodruff K, Dorfman L, Diaz I. 1999. News for a Change: An Advocate's Guide to Working with the Media. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage
- 143. Warner KE. 1979. Clearing the airwaves: the cigarette ad ban revisited. *Policy Anal.* 5:435–50
- 144. WHO (World Health Organ.). 2011. Global status report on noncommunicable diseases, 2010. In Description of the Global Burden of NCDs, Their Risk Factors and Determinants, pp. 33–37. Geneva: WHO
- 145. Williams S. 2013. Action needed to combat food and drink companies' social media to adolescents. Perspect. Public Health 133(3):146–47
- Wilsnack RW, Vogeltanz ND, Wilsnack SC, Harris TR. 2000. Gender differences in alcohol consumption and adverse drinking consequences: cross-cultural patterns. Addiction 95(2):251–65
- 147. Winpenny EM, Marteau TM, Nolte E. 2014. Exposure of children and adolescents to alcohol marketing on social media websites. *Alcohol Alcohol*. 49(2):154–59
- 148. Witt RE, Bruce GD. 1970. Purchase decisions and group influence. 7. Mark. Res. 7(4):533-35
- Yach D, Hawkes C, Gould CL, Hofman KJ. 2004. The global burden of chronic diseases: overcoming impediments to prevention and control. JAMA 291(21):2616–22
- Youth Speaks. 2016. The Bigger Picture campaign. Youth Speaks, San Francisco. http://youthspeaks. org/thebiggerpicture/about/



Annual Review of Public Health

Volume 38, 2017

Contents

Epidemiology and Biostatistics

An Overview of Research and Evaluation Designs for Dissemination and Implementation	
C. Hendricks Brown, Geoffrey Curran, Lawrence A. Palinkas, Gregory A. Aarons,	
Kenneth B. Wells, Loretta Jones, Linda M. Collins, Naihua Duan,	
Brian S. Mittman, Andrea Wallace, Rachel G. Tabak, Lori Ducharme,	
David A. Chambers, Gila Neta, Tisha Wiley, John Landsverk, Ken Cheung, and Gracelyn Cruden	ί
Bias Analysis for Uncontrolled Confounding in the Health Sciences Onyebuchi A. Arah	2
Onyevium A. Arun	,
Natural Experiments: An Overview of Methods, Approaches, and	
Contributions to Public Health Intervention Research	
Peter Craig, Srinivasa Vittal Katikireddi, Alastair Leyland, and Frank Popham39)
Public Health Surveillance Systems: Recent Advances in Their Use and Evaluation	
Samuel L. Groseclose and David L. Buckeridge57	7
The Changing Epidemiology of Autism Spectrum Disorders Kristen Lyall, Lisa Croen, Julie Daniels, M. Daniele Fallin, Christine Ladd-Acosta,	
Brian K. Lee, Bo Y. Park, Nathaniel W. Snyder, Diana Schendel, Heather Volk,	1
Gayle C. Windham, and Craig Newschaffer	L
Social Environment and Behavior	
An Appraisal of Social Network Theory and Analysis as Applied to Public Health: Challenges and Opportunities	
Thomas W. Valente and Stephanie R. Pitts	3
Countermarketing Alcohol and Unhealthy Food: An Effective Strategy	
for Preventing Noncommunicable Diseases? Lessons from Tobacco	
P. Christopher Palmedo, Lori Dorfman, Sarah Garza, Eleni Murphy,	
and Nicholas Freudenberg119)
Obesity in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: Burden, Drivers, and	
Emerging Challenges Nicole D. Ford, Shivani A. Patel, and K.M. Venkat Narayan. 14	
TALLUNG 17. CULUS AUGUSTULI / L. L. MARGA, MARGA IN. IVI. V. CHEKUL INMITAVULI	,

Smoking, Mental Illness, and Public Health *Judith J. Prochaska, Smita Das, and Kelly C. Young-Wolff
Surveillance Systems to Track and Evaluate Obesity Prevention Efforts Deanna M. Hoelscher, Nalini Ranjit, and Adriana Pérez
Environmental and Occupational Health
Assessing the Exposome with External Measures: Commentary on the State of the Science and Research Recommendations Michelle C. Turner, Mark Nieuwenhuijsen, Kim Anderson, David Balshaw, Yuxia Cui, Genevieve Dunton, Jane A. Hoppin, Petros Koutrakis, and Michael Jerrett
Climate Change and Collective Violence Barry S. Levy, Victor W. Sidel, and Jonathan A. Patz
Climate Change and Global Food Systems: Potential Impacts on Food Security and Undernutrition Samuel S. Myers, Matthew R. Smith, Sarah Guth, Christopher D. Golden, Bapu Vaitla, Nathaniel D. Mueller, Alan D. Dangour, and Peter Huybers
Informatics and Data Analytics to Support Exposome-Based Discovery for Public Health Arjun K. Manrai, Yuxia Cui, Pierre R. Bushel, Molly Hall, Spyros Karakitsios, Carolyn J. Mattingly, Marylyn Ritchie, Charles Schmitt, Denis A. Sarigiannis, Duncan C. Thomas, David Wishart, David M. Balshaw, and Chirag J. Patel 279
Organic Food in the Diet: Exposure and Health Implications Anne Lise Brantsæter, Trond A. Ydersbond, Jane A. Hoppin, Margaretha Haugen, and Helle Margrete Meltzer
Toward Greater Implementation of the Exposome Research Paradigm within Environmental Epidemiology Jeanette A. Stingone, Germaine M. Buck Louis, Shoji F. Nakayama, Roel C.H. Vermeulen, Richard K. Kwok, Yuxia Cui, David M. Balshaw, and Susan L. Teitelbaum 315
Public Health Practice and Policy
Engagement of Sectors Other than Health in Integrated Health Governance, Policy, and Action Evelyne de Leeuw
Evaluating the Health Impact of Large-Scale Public Policy Changes: Classical and Novel Approaches Sanjay Basu, Ankita Meghani, and Arjumand Siddiqi

Generalizing about Public Health Interventions: A Mixed-Methods Approach to External Validity
Laura C. Leviton
Macro Trends and the Future of Public Health Practice Paul Campbell Erwin and Ross C. Brownson
Strengthening Integrated Care Through Population-Focused Primary Care Services: International Experiences Outside the United States Rene Loewenson and Sarah Simpson
Public Health Surveillance Systems: Recent Advances in Their Use and Evaluation Samuel L. Groseclose and David L. Buckeridge
Health Services
China's Health Reform Update Gordon G. Liu, Samantha A. Vortherms, and Xuezhi Hong
Impact of Provider Incentives on Quality and Value of Health Care Tim Doran, Kristin A. Maurer, and Andrew M. Ryan
Moving From Discovery to System-Wide Change: The Role of Research in a Learning Health Care System: Experience from Three Decades of Health Systems Research in the Veterans Health Administration David Atkins, Amy M. Kilbourne, and David Shulkin
The Affordable Care Act's Impacts on Access to Insurance and Health Care for Low-Income Populations Gerald F. Kominski, Narissa J. Nonzee, and Andrea Sorensen
The Impact of Trauma Care Systems in Low- and Middle-Income Countries Teri A. Reynolds, Barclay Stewart, Isobel Drewett, Stacy Salerno, Hendry R. Sawe, Tamitza Toroyan, and Charles Mock
Strengthening Integrated Care Through Population-Focused Primary Care Services: International Experiences Outside the United States Rene Loewenson and Sarah Simpson
Indexes
Cumulative Index of Contributing Authors, Volumes 29–38
Cumulative Index of Article Titles, Volumes 29–38
Errata
An online log of corrections to <i>Annual Review of Public Health</i> articles may be found at http://www.annualreviews.org/errata/publhealth