

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE

Science serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in *Science*—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated

Editorial Board

1974

ALFRED BROWN	FRANK W. PUTNAM
JAMES F. CROW	MAXINE F. SINGER
SEYMOUR S. KETY	GORDON WOLMAN
FRANK PRESS	

1975

HERBERT S. GUTOWSKY	DONALD LINDSLEY
N. BRUCE HANNAY	RUTH PATRICK
DONALD KENNEDY	RAYMOND H. THOMPSON
DANIEL E. KOSHLAND, JR.	

Editorial Staff

Editor

PHILIP H. ABELSON

<i>Publisher</i>	<i>Business Manager</i>
WILLIAM BEVAN	HANS NUSSBAUM

Managing Editor: ROBERT V. ORMES

Assistant Editors: ELLEN E. MURPHY, JOHN E. RINGLE

Assistant to the Editor: NANCY TEIMOURIAN

News and Comment: JOHN WALSH, LUTHER J. CARTER, DEBORAH SHAPLEY, ROBERT GILLETTE, NICHOLAS WADE, CONSTANCE HOLDEN, BARBARA J. CULLITON, SCHERRAINE MACK

Research News: ALLEN L. HAMMOND, WILLIAM D. MEIZ, THOMAS H. MAUGH II, JEAN L. MARX, ARTHUR L. ROBINSON

Book Reviews: SYLVIA EBERHART, KATHERINE LIVINGSTON, ANN O'BRIEN

Cover Editor: GRAYCE FINGER

Editorial Assistants: MARGARET ALLEN, ISABELLA BOULDIN, BLAIR BURNS, NINKIE BURNS, ELEANORE BUTZ, MARY DORFMAN, JUDITH GIVELBER, CORRINE HARRIS, NANCY HARTNAGEL, OLIVER HEATWOLE, CHRISTINE KARLIK, GINA BARI KOLATA, MARGARET LLOYD, ERIC POGGENPOHL, JEAN ROCKWOOD, PATRICIA ROWE, LEAH RYAN, LOIS SCHMITT, MICHAEL SCHWARTZ, RICHARD SEMIKLOSE, YA LI SWIGART, ELEANOR WARNER

Guide to Scientific Instruments: RICHARD SOMMER

Membership Recruitment: GWENDOLYN HUDDLE;
Subscription Records and Member Records: ANN RAGLAND

Advertising Staff

<i>Director</i>	<i>Production Manager</i>
EARL J. SCHERAGO	MARGARET STERLING

Advertising Sales Manager: RICHARD L. CHARLES

Sales: NEW YORK, N.Y. 10036: Herbert L. Burkland, 11 W. 42 St. (212-PE-6-1858); SCOTCH PLAINS, N.J. 07076: C. Richard Callis, 12 Unami Lane (201-889-4873); CHICAGO, ILL. 60611: Jack Ryan, Room 2107, 919 N. Michigan Ave. (312-DE-7-4973); BEVERLY HILLS, CALIF. 90211: Winn Nance, 111 N. La Cienega Blvd. (213-657-2772)

EDITORIAL CORRESPONDENCE: 1515 Massachusetts Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20005. Phones: (Area code 202) Central Office: 467-4350; Book Reviews: 467-4367; Business Office: 467-4411; Circulation: 467-4417; Guide to Scientific Instruments: 467-4480; News and Comment: 467-4430; Reprints and Permissions: 467-4483; Research News: 467-4321; Reviewing: 467-4440. Cable: Advancesci, Washington. Copies of "Instructions for Contributors" can be obtained from the editorial office. See also page xv, *Science*, 28 December 1973. ADVERTISING CORRESPONDENCE: Room 1740, 11 W. 42 St., New York, N.Y. 10036. Phone: 212-PE-6-1858.

Out of the Energy Crunch by 1976

At the moment, the chief hope for an amelioration of the energy crisis lies in an easing of the oil embargo, but valves that can be opened can be closed. Solemn agreements with the oil producing and exporting countries, ostensibly valid for decades, have been scrapped in weeks. The public and the economy cannot long tolerate the uncertainties of being a Yo-Yo in the hands of others.

Prior to the embargo, we were importing 35 percent of our consumption. If we were to lower that to 20 percent, consumers would pay less for hydrocarbons, foreign exchange problems would ease, and we would no longer need to obtain oil from the Arabs. Such a major step to energy independence could and should be taken by 1976.

The quickest path toward balancing supply and demand is conservation combined with the replacement of use of hydrocarbons by coal. Thus far, the main burden of conservation has been carried by the public, which consumes directly only a minor fraction of the energy. The major potential for quick savings of hydrocarbons lies with industry. It is the largest consumer of energy; it has substantial technical resources; and, with costs soaring, it has incentives to seek economies. Like the public, industry generally has governed its behavior on the assumption of cheap energy. Thus it has much room for improvement.

All of industry has not been asleep. Two good examples of organizations with foresight and ingenuity are DuPont and Dow. Both companies have emphasized conservation of energy in their plant designs and operation. During the past decade, DuPont increased its volume of products 100 percent, while energy used rose only 50 percent. DuPont has advised other large consumers about conservation through a consultant service. Broad experience has shown that significant conservation at an industrial plant will, on the average, result in a 15 percent reduction in the plant's total energy consumption, and about half the saving can be achieved without new investment.*

At Dow Chemical during 1972, the company achieved a 10 percent reduction in energy used while increasing yield. The company had as its goal a like reduction in 1973.†

Another way of conserving hydrocarbons is to avoid burning them merely to produce heat. "One of the quickest and most effective ways to reduce short-falls in gas and oil is to substitute coal for them under electric utility and industrial boilers. Approximately 65 percent of the natural gas used goes to the electric and industrial sectors. Some 30 percent of the oil used goes to the same sectors. . . ."

Thus far, the Administration has not been even-handed in its efforts to meet the energy crisis. The consumer has been the target of exhortations, shortages, and higher costs. Industry, and especially the utilities, which usually can pass on higher prices, have been largely protected from shortages.

By concentrating more attention on industry and the utilities, by invoking some of the can-do attitudes of World War II, by setting up a priority system to expedite procurement of scarce items, by unleashing coal as a primary energy source, and by making its use mandatory in some applications, an effective government could get us out of the energy crisis within 2 years. It could free us from any need to use oil from undependable sources, and our example and reduced imports would contribute to loosening the worldwide grip of the oil cartel.

—PHILIP H. ABELSON

* D. H. Dawson, *Context* 2, 17 (1973). † J. C. Robertson, *Chem. Eng.* 81, 104 (21 January 1974). ‡ *Report of the Cornell Workshops on the Major Issues of a National Energy Research and Development Program* (College of Engineering, Cornell University, Ithaca, N.Y., rev. ed., 1973), p. 24. The report of the Cornell workshops provides an excellent summary of many aspects of the energy problem. It was prepared for the Atomic Energy Commission. Copies can be obtained from the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Technical Information Center, P.O. Box 62, Oak Ridge, Tenn. 37830.

Science

Out of the Energy Crunch by 1976

Philip H. Abelson

Science **183** (4126), 707.

DOI: 10.1126/science.183.4126.707

ARTICLE TOOLS

<http://science.sciencemag.org/content/183/4126/707.citation>

PERMISSIONS

<http://www.sciencemag.org/help/reprints-and-permissions>

Use of this article is subject to the [Terms of Service](#)

Science (print ISSN 0036-8075; online ISSN 1095-9203) is published by the American Association for the Advancement of Science, 1200 New York Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20005. The title *Science* is a registered trademark of AAAS.

1974 by the American Association for the Advancement of Science