American Journal of Arts and Human Science (AJAHS)

ISSN: 2832-451X (ONLINE)

VOLUME 4 ISSUE 1 (2025)

PUBLISHED BY
E-PALLI PUBLISHERS, DELAWARE, USA

American Journal of
Arts and Human Science (AJAHS)

Volume 4 Issue 1, Year 2025
ISSN: 2832-451X (Online)
DOI: https://doi.org/10.54536/ajahs.v4i1.3922
https://journals.e-palli.com/home/index.php/ajahs

The Interplay of Development and Disaster: Reducing Vulnerability and Promoting Resilience in Tanzania

Salum Haji Hamisi[1*]

Article Information

Received: October 18, 2024
Accepted: November 25, 2024
Published: February 13, 2025

Keywords
Development, Disaster, Resilience and Tanzania, Vulnerabilities

ABSTRACT

Natural disasters are increasingly being integrated into development processes. Disasters are often the result of inadequate development to mitigate the effects of extreme natural events. The connection between disaster and development is clear in Tanzania, where disasters often derail development initiatives, jeopardize successes, and reverse progress. This article examines the interplay between development and disasters in Tanzania, focusing on how economic inequalities, inadequate infrastructure, and social inequalities increase vulnerability to natural hazards. Using a comprehensive documentary analysis approach, this study examines existing literature, reports and data to identify key factors that influence vulnerability to disasters. The theoretical framework includes vulnerability theory, disaster risk reduction (DRR) frameworks, Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), resilience theory, social constructivist theory, and the political economy of disasters. The results show that socioeconomic status, gender, and geographical location have a significant impact on the impact of disasters. Furthermore, the study highlights the urgent need to integrate disaster risk reduction into development planning to increase resilience and reduce vulnerability. Integrating DRR strategies into development efforts can mitigate the negative impacts of disasters and contribute to long-term sustainable development. The research also highlights the importance of addressing social and economic inequalities, as these factors increase vulnerability and hinder recovery efforts. The article's conclusion argues for holistic approaches that combine economic development, infrastructure improvement and social justice to reduce disaster risks and promote sustainable development in Tanzania. By adopting comprehensive and inclusive strategies, Tanzania can build resilient communities capable of withstanding and recovering from natural disasters. This study provides valuable insights for policymakers, development practitioners and researchers, highlighting the interactions between development and disaster and the need for integrated approaches to achieve sustainable development and disaster resilience.

INTRODUCTION

Background

Natural disasters have profound impacts on development, particularly in developing countries such as Tanzania. Disasters cause physical damage to property and infrastructure, impact livelihoods and increase health risks, destroy development initiatives and reverse progress (Collins, 2009). For example, Hurricane Mitch in 1998 led to a decade-long reversal in Honduras and Nicaragua (Coppola, 2007). Likewise, disasters such as floods and droughts in Tanzania strain resources and hinder development efforts (UNDP, 2004). The relationship between disasters and development is complex and interdependent. Disasters can be seen as both a cause and a consequence of inadequate development. The lack of adequate infrastructure, social security systems and economic opportunities increases vulnerability to natural hazards (UNDP, 2004). Poorly developed areas often lack the resilience needed to withstand natural disasters, which can exacerbate existing vulnerabilities and hinder development progress (Wisner *et al.*, 2004). Flooding is a recurring problem in Tanzania. Over the past two decades, severe flooding has caused significant damage to homes, infrastructure and agriculture. For example, the 2009 floods affected over 214,000 people and resulted in the displacement of approximately 70,000 people (UNISDR, 2011). Such events highlight the vulnerability of communities that are often located in flood-prone areas due to socioeconomic constraints (Smith, 2019).

Additionally, the 2015/2016 droughts in Tanzania had a devastating impact on agriculture, which is critical to the country's economy and food security. These droughts resulted in crop failures and livestock deaths, exacerbating food insecurity and poverty (FAO, 2017). The economic impact of such disasters is significant as agriculture employs a large proportion of Tanzania's population and contributes significantly to the GDP (NBS, 2020). The interplay between disasters and development is further complicated by climate change, which is expected to increase the frequency and intensity of extreme weather events. This adds another layer of risk to development planning and requires the integration of climate change adaptation strategies to strengthen resilience (IPCC, 2018). Economic inequalities also play a crucial role in vulnerability to disasters. Poorer communities often live in areas that are more exposed to natural hazards and have fewer resources to recover from disasters. This creates a cycle of poverty and vulnerability that is difficult to break

[1] Muslim University of Morogoro, Tanzania
[*] Corresponding author's email: salumhaji@mum.ac.tz

(Blaikie *et al.*, 1994). Addressing these inequalities through inclusive development policies is critical to reducing disaster risk and promoting sustainable development (Collins, 2009).

In addition, effective governance and institutional capacity are crucial for disaster risk reduction. In Tanzania, challenges such as limited resources, inadequate infrastructure, and lack of coordination among agencies can hamper disaster preparedness and response efforts (Twigg, 2015). Strengthening these areas is critical to building resilience and ensuring that development gains are not lost to natural disasters. In summary, integrating disaster management and development is critical to reducing disaster risk and achieving sustainable development goals. By addressing the root causes of vulnerability, improving infrastructure and promoting economic opportunities, Tanzania can build resilience to natural hazards and protect its development gains (UNDP, 2004). Natural disasters have profound impacts on development, particularly in developing countries such as Tanzania. Disasters cause physical damage to property and infrastructure, impact livelihoods and increase health risks, destroying development initiatives and reversing progress (Collins, 2009). For example, Hurricane Mitch in 1998 reversed trends in Honduras and Nicaragua for decades (Coppola, 2007). In Tanzania, too, disasters such as floods and droughts are putting a strain on resources and hindering development efforts (Mudflood in Babati, 2024, flood in Morongo and Dar es Salaam, 2024). The relationship between disasters and development is complex and interdependent. Disasters can be seen as both a cause and a consequence of inadequate development. The lack of adequate infrastructure, social protection systems and economic opportunities increases vulnerability to natural hazards (UNDP, 2004). Integrating disaster management and development is critical to reducing disaster risks and achieving sustainable development goals (Blaikie *et al.*, 1994).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study uses an in-depth documentary analysis approach and meticulously examines a variety of different sources to examine disaster management in Tanzania. The chosen methodology is particularly suitable for understanding the complex interplay between natural hazards and social vulnerability, which is crucial for the development of effective disaster management strategies. The specific steps of this approach are as follows:;

LITERATURE REVIEW

Scientific Journals

The first phase includes a comprehensive review of peer-reviewed scientific journals. These journals provide a wealth of theoretical and empirical research on disaster management, vulnerability and resilience. Notable journals include the International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction, the Journal of Environmental Management, and Natural Hazards (Bowen, 2009). Government Publications: Key documents from Tanzanian government reports are analyzed to understand national disaster management policies and strategies. These include reports and policy papers from the Tanzania Disaster Management Authority and the Ministry of Interior as well as agencies (e.g. Tanzania Disaster Management Policy, Disaster Management Structure of Tanzania, Red Cross, Crime and Road Accident 0f 2019) that provide insights into the country's situation provide situation disaster preparedness, response and recovery efforts (O'Leary, 2014).

Reports from International Organizations

Reports from international organizations such as the United Nations, the World Bank and non-governmental organizations (NGOs) provide a more comprehensive view of disaster management practices and challenges in Tanzania. These reports often include comprehensive assessments, case studies, and best practices (Bryman, 2016).

Data Collection

The data collection phase involves collecting documents from multiple sources. This includes accessing online databases, libraries, and archives to obtain relevant scientific articles, government reports, and international reviews (Creswell & Poth, 2018). Data analysis: This involves identifying and labeling key concepts, themes and patterns related to disaster vulnerability and management (Merriam & Tisdell, 2015). Categorization: After coding, the data is categorized into thematic areas. These categories help to understand the different dimensions of disaster vulnerability, such as economic inequalities, inadequate infrastructure, social inequalities and the effectiveness of disaster management measures (Yin, 2014).

Thematic Analysis

Thematic analysis examines the categorized data to identify recurring themes and patterns. This process helps to make connections between different factors and understand how they work together to influence the consequences of a disaster (Bowen, 2009).

Case Studies

To provide a deeper understanding of the social dimensions of disasters, specific case studies of notable disasters in Tanzania are conducted. These case studies include detailed examinations of events such as the 2024 Morogoro floods, the 2024 Babati mudflow, the 2018 and 2024 Dar es Salaam floods, the 2024 Lindi floods, droughts in the central regions of Tanzania and earthquakes, with a focus on socio-economic inequalities. Infrastructure deficiencies and social inequalities influenced the impact and response to these disasters (Stake, 1995).

In the final phase, the results of the literature review, government publications, international reports and case studies are summarized. The aim of this synthesis is to

provide a comprehensive understanding of the social causes of disasters in Tanzania and to highlight the key social factors that increase vulnerability and influence disaster outcomes (Merriam & Tisdell, 2015). Using this multi-layered documentary analysis approach, the study attempts to uncover the complex interactions between social factors and natural hazards. Lessons learned will contribute to the development of more effective and inclusive disaster management strategies in Tanzania.

Literature Review. Tanzania is frequently affected by a range of natural disasters, including floods, droughts, cyclones and landslides (United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction, 2019). These disasters pose significant challenges to the country's development efforts and impact the livelihoods of its people. Understanding the interplay between development and disaster is critical to reducing vulnerability and promoting resilience in Tanzania. Floods are among the most common disasters in Tanzania, often resulting from heavy rainfall and causing widespread damage to infrastructure, agriculture and homes (United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction, 2019). Droughts are also widespread, affecting large parts of the country and leading to water shortages, crop failures and food insecurity (United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction, 2019). Cyclones and storms can cause significant damage, particularly in coastal regions, while landslides pose a risk in hilly and mountainous areas (United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction, 2019). Efforts to reduce vulnerability and promote resilience in Tanzania must take into account these common disasters and their impact on the population. By integrating disaster risk reduction strategies into development planning, Tanzania can improve its ability to withstand and recover from natural disasters, ultimately contributing to sustainable development and an improved quality of life for its people.

Theoretical Framework

The interaction between development and disaster is a critical area of research, particularly in regions such as Tanzania that are vulnerable to natural hazards. The theoretical framework for understanding this interaction integrates concepts from disaster risk reduction, sustainable development and resilience theory. This framework assumes that development and disaster are interrelated phenomena, where the level of development influences the level of vulnerability and resilience to disasters. Conversely, the occurrence of disasters can have a significant impact on development progress and trajectories

Vulnerability Theory

Vulnerability theory proposes that the susceptibility of individuals and communities to damage during disasters is influenced by a range of socioeconomic factors, access to resources, and the strength of social networks (Wisner *et al.*, 2004). In essence, vulnerability is not just a function of exposure to natural hazards but is deeply rooted in social conditions. In the context of Tanzania, this theory is particularly relevant. For example, communities in rural areas are often at greater risk due to limited access to vital services such as healthcare, education and infrastructure. These communities may also lack robust social safety nets and resources to prepare for and respond to disasters. Additionally, poverty increases vulnerability because people with fewer economic resources are less able to invest in disaster-proof housing or diversify their livelihoods to mitigate risks. This creates a cycle of vulnerability in which the poorest are constantly at highest risk of severe impacts from natural hazards.

Social Constructivist Theory

Social constructivist theory emphasizes that disasters are not purely natural events but are shaped by human perceptions, cultural norms, social practices and institutional settings (Tierney *et al.*, 2001). This perspective suggests that the way societies understand and cope with disasters is influenced by their social constructs. In Tanzania, this theory can be observed in how different communities perceive and respond to disaster risks. Cultural beliefs and traditional practices can influence how communities interpret warning signs and take precautions. For example, some communities may have culturally embedded practices that guide their response to floods or droughts. Furthermore, the role of local institutions, including government agencies and NGOs, in disseminating information and resources plays a crucial role in shaping disaster responses. Socially constructed frameworks also determine which groups receive priority in disaster relief and recovery, often leading to inequities in support among different populations.

Political Economy of Disasters

The political economy of disasters examines the impact of power dynamics, economic policies, and political decisions on vulnerability to disasters and recovery (Blaikie *et al.*, 1994). This approach highlights that vulnerability is not evenly distributed but is influenced by structural factors that determine resource allocation and decision-making processes. In Tanzania, political and economic structures have a significant impact on vulnerability to disasters. For example, regions with limited political representation may receive less investment in critical infrastructure, making them more vulnerable to the impacts of natural hazards. Economic policies that prioritize urban development over rural development can exacerbate inequalities and make rural communities more vulnerable. In addition, the distribution of international aid and disaster relief is often determined by political considerations, which can lead to unequal support for affected populations. Addressing these structural inequalities is critical to reducing vulnerability to disasters and promoting equitable recovery.

The Disaster Risk Reduction (DRR)

Frameworks outlined by the United Nations Office

for Disaster Risk Reduction (UNDRR) emphasize the importance of proactive measures to reduce hazard exposure and vulnerability. These measures include establishing early warning systems, implementing disaster-resilient infrastructure, and community-based risk management strategies (UNDRR, 2015). By reducing vulnerability, DRR efforts can mitigate the negative impacts of disasters on development.

Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

particularly Goal 11 (Sustainable Cities and Communities) and Goal 13 (Climate Action), provide a comprehensive agenda for integrating disaster risk reduction into development planning (United Nations, 2015). These goals underscore the need to build resilient infrastructure and promote inclusive, sustainable urbanization to reduce vulnerability and improve resilience.

Resilience theory contributes to this theoretical framework by focusing on the ability of communities and systems to absorb, adapt, and recover from adverse events. Resilience is not just the absence of vulnerability, but the presence of adaptive capacities that enable communities to transform challenges into opportunities for growth and development (Folke, 2006). The integration of these theoretical perspectives forms the basis for the analysis of development and disaster dynamics in Tanzania. This study uses a multidimensional approach to examine how development initiatives can reduce vulnerability and promote resilience to common natural hazards. By understanding these interactions, policymakers, development professionals and communities can develop strategies that not only address immediate disaster risks but also contribute to long-term sustainable development.

Application in Tanzania Today

Integrating these theoretical perspectives provides a comprehensive framework for understanding disaster vulnerability in Tanzania. By recognizing the multifaceted nature of vulnerability, this framework highlights the need for holistic approaches to disaster management that address socioeconomic inequalities, cultural contexts and political structures. For example, implementing community-based disaster preparedness programs that incorporate local knowledge and practices can increase resilience. Strengthening social safety nets and improving access to education and health care can reduce vulnerability by equipping communities with the resources they need to prepare and respond to disasters. Furthermore, advocating for equitable policies that ensure fair distribution of resources and fair political representation can address the causes of vulnerability and promote sustainable development. In Notshel, the application of the theoretical framework of vulnerability theory, social constructivist theory and the political economy of disasters provides valuable insights into the complex interplay of natural hazards and social factors in Tanzania. By considering these dimensions, Tanzania can develop more effective and inclusive strategies to reduce disaster risks and build resilient communities

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results show a complex interplay between natural hazards and social vulnerability in Tanzania. Economic inequalities have a significant impact on the impact of disasters, with poorer communities bearing the brunt of disasters. For example, regions with higher poverty rates are exposed to greater impacts from floods and droughts (World Bank, 2020). This is consistent with other studies highlighting how poverty increases exposure to natural hazards (Cutter *et al.*, 2003; Adger, 2006). Inadequate infrastructure further exacerbates the vulnerability, particularly in rural areas without adequate drainage systems and in urban informal settlements with inadequate housing and infrastructure (Smith, 2019). The lack of investment in infrastructure in these regions leaves communities less able to deal with and recover from disasters. This is consistent with findings from other developing countries where inadequate infrastructure is a key factor in vulnerability to disasters (Pelling, 2003; Cannon & Müller-Mahn, 2010). Social inequalities, including gender, age and disability status, further increase vulnerability to disasters. Women, children, and people with disabilities face greater challenges due to limited access to resources and support systems (Wisner *et al.*, 2004). Gender disparities are particularly pronounced in Tanzania, where traditional roles often limit women's access to economic opportunities and decision-making processes, making them more vulnerable during disasters (Bradshaw & Fordham, 2013).

Age is another crucial factor, as older adults and children are particularly vulnerable to the effects of disasters. Older adults may face mobility and health problems that impact their ability to evacuate or seek help, while children rely on adults for their safety and well-being (Enarson *et al.*, 2006). Disability status also plays an important role, as people with disabilities often face additional barriers to accessing emergency information, resources, and evacuation routes (Cutter *et al.*, 2003). These findings highlight the need for comprehensive disaster management strategies that address the needs of all community members. Traditional approaches that focus exclusively on natural hazards are not enough. A holistic approach that takes into account socio-economic factors, infrastructure and social justice is crucial to reducing disaster risks and promoting resilience (UNISDR, 2009). This includes integrating disaster risk reduction into development planning, ensuring fair distribution of resources and strengthening social protection systems (Adger, 2006; Twigg, 2015). Additionally, promoting community-based disaster risk reduction initiatives can improve resilience by leveraging local knowledge and practices. Community participation in disaster planning and decision-making processes ensures that the specific needs and capacities of vulnerable groups are taken into account, leading to more effective and sustainable outcomes (Pelling, 2003). Addressing the complex interplay between natural hazards and social vulnerability in Tanzania therefore requires a multifaceted approach that goes beyond traditional disaster management practices. By integrating

socio-economic factors, improving infrastructure and promoting social justice, Tanzania can build resilience and protect its development gains from the negative impacts of natural disasters (UNDP, 2004).

CONCLUSION

To effectively reduce vulnerability and promote resilience in Tanzania, integrating disaster risk reduction into development planning is essential. This involves eliminating economic inequalities, improving infrastructure, and promoting social justice. A holistic approach can mitigate the effects of natural hazards and achieve sustainable development goals.

Investing in resilient infrastructure, such as better land use planning and enforcing building codes, can significantly reduce disaster damage (UNDRR, 2015). Improved drainage systems and other flood mitigation measures protect communities from extreme weather events (IPCC, 2018).Promoting economic empowerment through anti-poverty initiatives, microfinance programs, and vocational training can enhance community resilience (World Bank, 2020). Ensuring economic growth reaches vulnerable populations breaks the cycle of poverty and vulnerability (Adger, 2006).Inclusive disaster risk reduction strategies consider the specific needs of marginalized groups, empowering them in planning and decision-making processes (Bradshaw & Fordham, 2013). Social protection systems, such as insurance and emergency assistance, provide safety nets for vulnerable populations (Cutter *et al.*, 2003).

Strengthening governance and institutional frameworks is crucial, including better coordination among agencies, enhancing disaster relief capacity, and ensuring transparency in resource allocation (Twigg, 2015). International cooperation provides technical assistance and financing, further building resilience (UNDP, 2004).

In summary, integrating disaster risk reduction into development planning by addressing economic inequalities, improving infrastructure, and promoting social justice will help Tanzania mitigate natural hazards and achieve sustainable development.

Declaration

I, Salum Hamisi, declare that this manuscript is my original work and has not been submitted for publication elsewhere. All research, data analysis and writing was conducted independently and in accordance with academic integrity and ethical standards. The content and conclusions in this manuscript are entirely my own and based on thorough research and analysis of the topic. All references to existing literature and sources have been properly cited and acknowledged.

Conflict of Interest

The author declares that there is no conflict of interest. I have no financial, personal, or professional connections that could influence the content or conclusions of this manuscript. All research activities and findings were conducted in an impartial and objective manner, with the aim of contributing to the academic community and the broader understanding of the interplay between development and disaster in Tanzania. Potential conflicts of interest were disclosed and measures were taken to ensure transparency and integrity in the research process.

REFERENCES

Adger, W. N. (2006). Vulnerability. *Global Environmental Change, 16*(3), 268-281.

Bryman, A. (2016). *Social research methods* (5th ed.). Oxford University Press.

Bradshaw, S., & Fordham, M. (2013). *Women, girls and disasters: A review for DFID.* Department for International Development.

Bowen, G. A. (2009). Document analysis as a qualitative research method. *Qualitative Research Journal, 9*(2), 27-40.

Blaikie, P., Cannon, T., Davis, I., & Wisner, B. (1994). *At risk: Natural hazards, people's vulnerability, and disasters.* Routledge.

Cutter, S. L., Boruff, B. J., & Shirley, W. L. (2003). Social vulnerability to environmental hazards. *Social Science Quarterly, 84*(2), 242-261.

Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches* (4th ed.). Sage Publications.

Coppola, D. P. (2007). *Introduction to international disaster management.* Butterworth-Heinemann.

Collins, A. E. (2009). *Disaster and development.* Routledge.

Cannon, T., & Müller-Mahn, D. (2010). Vulnerability, resilience, and development discourses in the context of climate change. *Natural Hazards, 55*(3), 621-635.

Enarson, E., Fothergill, A., & Peek, L. (2006). Gender and disaster: Foundations and new directions for research and practice. In *Handbook of disaster research* (pp. 130-146). Springer.

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (2017). *Drought response in Tanzania.*

Folke, C. (2006). Resilience: The emergence of a perspective for social-ecological systems analyses. *Global Environmental Change, 16*(3), 253-267.

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. (2018). *Global warming of 1.5°C: An IPCC special report on the impacts of global warming of 1.5°C above preindustrial levels and related global greenhouse gas emission pathways.*

Merriam, S. B., & Tisdell, E. J. (2015). *Qualitative research: A guide to design and implementation* (4th ed.). Jossey-Bass.

National Bureau of Statistics. (2020). *Tanzania in figures 2019.*

O'Leary, Z. (2014). *The essential guide to doing your research project* (2nd ed.). Sage Publications.

Pelling, M. (2003). *The vulnerability of cities: Natural disasters and social resilience.* Earthscan.

Stake, R. E. (1995). *The art of case study research.* Sage Publications.

Smith, K. (2019). *Environmental hazards: Assessing risk and reducing disaster.* Routledge.

Twigg, J. (2015). *Disaster risk reduction.* Overseas

Development Institute.

Tierney, K., Lindell, M., & Perry, R. (2001). *Facing the unexpected: Disaster preparedness and response in the United States*. Joseph Henry Press.

United Nations International Strategy for Disaster Reduction. (2011). *Tanzania floods report.*

United Nations International Strategy for Disaster Reduction. (2009). *Terminology on disaster risk reduction.*

United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction. (2015). *Sendai framework for disaster risk reduction 2015-2030.*

United Nations Development Programme. (2004). *Reducing disaster risk: A challenge for development.*

United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction. (2019). *Disaster risk profile - Tanzania.* Retrieved from https://www.undrr.org/publication/disaster-risk-profile-tanzania

United Nations. (2015). *Transforming our world: The 2030 agenda for sustainable development.* Retrieved from https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/post2015/transformingourworld

United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction (UNDRR). (2015). *Sendai framework for disaster risk reduction 2015-2030.* Retrieved from https://www.undrr.org/publication/sendai-framework-disaster-risk-reduction-2015-2030

World Bank Group. (2020). *Tanzania economic update: Enhancing economic growth.*

Wisner, B., Blaikie, P., Cannon, T., & Davis, I. (2004). *At risk: Natural hazards, people's vulnerability, and disasters.* Routledge.

Watkiss, P., Hunt, A., & Nicholls, R. (2011). What do weather disasters cost? An analysis of weather impacts in Tanzania. *Frontiers in Climate, 3,* 567162. Retrieved from https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fclim.2021.567162/full

Yin, R. K. (2014). *Case study research: Design and methods* (5th ed.). Sage Publications.