

# Bellarmino Law Society Review

---

Volume XV | Issue II

---

## **Editor's Note: Volume XV No. II of the *Bellarmino Law Society Review***

Simon K. Hoefling  
*Boston College*, [hoeflisi@bc.edu](mailto:hoeflisi@bc.edu)

**EDITOR'S NOTE: VOLUME XV NO. II OF THE *BELLARMINA LAW SOCIETY*  
*REVIEW***

SIMON K. HOEFLING

It is my pleasure to present the second issue of Volume XV of Boston College's *Bellarmino Law Society Review*. I am delighted to step into the role of Editor-in-Chief, and I am joined by our new Managing Editor, Jessica K. Orrell. We are both grateful for the opportunity and excited to help promote undergraduate legal scholarship at Boston College and beyond. I would also like to welcome our new associate editors to the team: Lily Hillis, Genevieve Morrison, Jocelyn Tucker, Sabrina Reyes, Tim Niemann, and Logan Corvisiero. We are confident that their contributions will strengthen the quality and consistency of the *Review*.

For this fall edition, we are featuring four papers from authors inside and outside of the Boston College community. First, Valerie Kandel of Cornell University undertakes a topical exploration of the use of AI in hiring and how it may perpetuate discrimination against disabled individuals, ultimately presenting a thorough policy framework for ensuring that these communities are not disparately affected by AI tools. Next, Joseph Murphy of Boston College, a second-time author for the *Review*, discusses how arbitration clauses in terms of service agreements can restrict legal action against companies, raising important questions about the scope and fairness of these clauses. After that, Kiruthiga Balamurugan of Georgetown University examines the constitutional issues around the ban of TikTok, utilizing both state and federal cases to unpack and understand the Supreme Court ruling that upheld the Biden administration's ban of the app. Finally, Cindy Toh of Stanford argues that the Supreme Court's decision in *Dobbs v. Jackson* (2022) created a new rule of law rooted in new textualism and characterized by skepticism towards stare decisis.

These works represent a broad range of topics and reflect the inter-disciplinary orientation of the *Bellarmino Law Society Review*, illustrating how law intersects with every aspect of life. We are excited to feature contributions from three authors at universities outside Boston College, reflecting our commitment to expanding the *Review's* reach and promoting undergraduate legal writing nationwide. This cycle brought in many high-quality submissions, and we are proud that the pieces selected for this issue showcase that standard of excellence. We hope that this broader scope will foster greater engagement with the *Review* and encourage deeper legal discourse. To both first-time and longtime readers, we thank you for your support and hope you enjoy this edition of the *Bellarmino Law Society Review*.