

Generalization of Bi- Γ -Ideals in Ternary Γ -Semirings

K. Maha lakshmi,^{1,2} P. Siva Prasad³, D. Madhusudana Rao⁴,

¹ Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, VFSTR Deemed to be University, Vadlamudi, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India, mailid: mhlakahmi@gmail.com.

² Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Vignan Nirulla Engineering College, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India, mailid: mhlakahmi@gmail.com.

³ Associate Professor, Department of Computer Science & Engineering, School of Computing & Informatics, VFSTR Deemed to University, Vadlamudi, Guntur, A.P, India, mailid: pusapativaprasad@gmail.com

⁴ Professor of Mathematics, Government College For Women(A), Samba Siva Peta Rd, Opp: AC College, Samba Siva Pet, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India, mailid: dmrmaths@gmail.com

Article History:

Received: 01-06-2024

Revised: 03-07-2024

Accepted: 29-07-2024

Abstract:

Murali Krishna Rao was presented the idea of Γ -semirings as a speculation of the thought of Γ -rings as well as of semirings. We have realized that the thought of Γ -semirings is a generality of the idea of semirings. During this paper we sum up the thought of summed up bi- Γ -ideals of Ternary Γ -semirings and examine a few related properties of summed up bi- Γ -ideals.

Keywords: Ternary Γ -Ideal, generalized bi- ternary Γ -ideal, bi- ternary Γ -ideal

Mathematics Subject Classification. 16Y30, 16Y99

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

The possibility of Γ -semirings was laid out and concentrated in 1995 by Murali Krishna Rao [10] as a speculation of the thought of Γ -rings as well as of semiring, and summed up bi-ideals was first presented for rings in 1970 by Szasz[12, 13] and afterward for semigroups by Lajos[8]. Many sorts of ideals on the mathematical designs were portrayed by a few creators, for example, In 2000, concentrated on the portrayal of semiprime ideals and irreducible ideals of Γ -semirings concentrated by Dutta and Sardar[3] and primitive ideals of Γ -semirings, Pianskool, Sangwirotjanapat and Tipyota[9] presented and concentrated on valuation of Γ -semirings and valuation of Γ -ideals of a Γ -semiring, and Chinram[1] gave a few properties of quasi-ideals in Γ -semirings.

Jagatap and Pawar [6] presented the idea of minimal quasi-ideals in Γ -semirings in 2009. A few properties of minimal quasi-ideals in Γ -semirings are given. In 2010, Ghosh and Samanta[5] concentrated on the connection between the fuzzy left (separately, right) ideals of Γ -semirings and that of operator semiring. In 2011, Dutta, Sardar and Goswami[4] presented various kinds of procedure on fuzzy ideals of Γ -semirings and demonstrated consequently that these activities bring about various designs like complete lattice, modular lattice on some limited class of fuzzy ideals of Γ -semirings. In 2012, Bektas, Bayrak and Ersoy[2] presented and concentrated on the portrayal of soft Γ -semirings and soft sub- Γ -semiring.

The view of ideals for some kinds of Γ -semirings is the truly significant and intrigued thing with regards to Γ -semirings. Hence, we will start and concentrate on summed up bi-Ternay Γ -ideals of Γ -

semirings similarly as of bi- Γ -ideals of Ternary Γ -semirings which was concentrated by Kaushik, Moin and Khan[7].

“Throughout this paper generalized bi- ternary Γ -ideal- GBTTI, smallest generalized bi- ternary Γ -ideal- SGBTTI, Ternary Γ -semiring- TFS, minimal generalized bi- Γ -ideal- MGBTTI, Quasi ternary Γ -Ideal – QTII, partial ternary Γ -ideal- PTII”

Definition 1.1: Let U, Γ be two additive commutative semigroups. Then U is entitled a *ternary gamma semiring* presented \exists a mapping $U \times \Gamma \times U \times \Gamma \times U \rightarrow U$ suiting the next conditions:

- (1) $x\eta y\zeta(z\lambda p\psi q) = x\eta(y\zeta z\lambda p)\psi q = (x\eta y\zeta z)\lambda p\psi q$
- (2) $[(p + q)\eta r\zeta s] = [(p\eta r\zeta s) + [q\eta r\zeta s]$
- (3) $[p\eta(q + r)\zeta s] = [(p\eta q\zeta s) + [p\eta r\zeta s]$
- (4) $[p\eta q\zeta(r + s)] = [(p\eta q\zeta r) + [p\eta q\zeta s] \forall p, q, r, s \in U \ \& \ \eta, \zeta, \psi, \lambda \in \Gamma.$

Example: 1.2 Set N of natural numbers & $\Gamma = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Then (Γ, \max) & (N, \max) are commutative semigroups. Characterize the mapping $N \times \Gamma \times N \times \Gamma \times N \rightarrow N$, by $b\eta c\zeta d = \min\{b, \eta, c, \zeta, d\} \forall b, c, d \in N \ \& \ \eta, \zeta \in \Gamma$. Next N is a TFS.

Example: 1.3 Rational numbers set (Q) & $\Gamma = N$ the set of natural numbers. Afterward $(N, +)$, $(Q, +)$ are commutative semigroups. Identify the mapping $Q \times \Gamma \times Q \times \Gamma \times Q \rightarrow Q$ by $b\eta c\zeta d$ usual product $b, \eta, c, \zeta, d \forall b, c, d \in Q \ \& \ \eta, \zeta \in \Gamma$. Followed by Q is a TFS.

Example: 1.4 Rational numbers set (Q) . The commutative semigroup $(S, +)$ of all 2×3 matrices over Q & $(\Gamma, +)$ commutative semigroup of all 3×2 matrices over Q . Classify $W\eta Y\zeta X$ standard matrix product of $W, \eta, Y, \zeta, X \forall W, Y, X \in S \ \& \ \forall \eta, \zeta \in \Gamma$.

Afterward S is not a semiring & a TFS.

Definition 1.5: A partial ternary Γ -semiring known to have a L (L_a, R) unity element afford $\exists \eta_i, \zeta_i : i \in I, e_i : i \in I$ of M & of $\Gamma \ni$

$$\sum e_i \eta_i e_i \zeta_i c = c (\sum e_i \eta_i c \zeta_i e_i = c, \sum c \eta_i e_i \zeta_i e_i = c) \text{ for any } c \in M.$$

Definition 1.6: Let M be a partial ternary Γ -semiring. $A (\neq \phi) \subseteq M$ is known to be L (L_a, R) *partial ternary Γ -ideal* of M afford

- (i) $(v_i : i \in I)$ is a sum able family & $v_i \in A \ \forall i \in I \Rightarrow \sum_i v_i \in A$
- (ii) $\forall u, v \in M \ \& \ q \in A \Rightarrow q\eta u\zeta v \in A \ (u\eta q\zeta v \in A, u\eta v\zeta q \in A)$

If A is L (L_a, R) PTII of M .

Definition 1.7: Let M be a TFS. $A (\neq \phi) \subseteq M$ is known as a L (L_a, R) *TII* of M , if it satisfy the next:

- (i) A is a L (L_a, R) PTII of M .
- (ii) $v \in M \ \& \ w \in A \ni v \leq w$ then $v \in A$.

If A is L (L_a, R) TFI of M, afterward A is recognized as TFI of M.

Definition1.8: A $O(\neq \phi) \subseteq N$ is entitled

- (1) a BTFI of N if O is a sub- Γ -semiring of N & $O\Gamma M\Gamma O\Gamma M\Gamma O \subseteq O$.
- (2) a GBTFI of N if $O\Gamma M\Gamma O\Gamma M\Gamma O \subseteq O$.
- (3) a QTFI of N if O is a sub- Γ -semiring of N & $(N\Gamma O\Gamma O) \cap (O\Gamma N\Gamma O + O\Gamma O\Gamma N\Gamma O\Gamma O) \cap (O\Gamma O\Gamma N) \subseteq N$

Remark1.9: Let M be a TFS. Then:

- (1) Each QTFI of M is a BTFI.
- (2) Each BTFI of M is a GBTFI.

Definition1.10: A TFS is named a GB-simple TF-semiring if M is the unique GBTFI of M.

2.Properties of GBTFI's:

Before the portrayals of generalized bi- Γ -ideals of Γ -semirings for the primary outcomes, we give a few helper results which are vital in what follows.

Lemma2.1: Let U be a TFS and $l \in U$. Then $l\Gamma l\Gamma U, l\Gamma U\Gamma l$ & $U\Gamma l\Gamma l$ are GBTFI's of U.

Lemma2.2: Let M be a TFS, $\{D_i / i \in I\}$ a non-empty family of GBTFI's of M with $\bigcap_{i \in I} D_i \neq \phi$.

Then $\bigcap_{i \in I} D_i$ is a GBTFI of M.

Proof: $\forall i \in I$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\bigcap_{i \in I} D_i)\Gamma M\Gamma (\bigcap_{i \in I} D_i)\Gamma M\Gamma (\bigcap_{i \in I} D_i) \\ & \subseteq D_i\Gamma M\Gamma D_i\Gamma M\Gamma D_i \subseteq D_i \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(\bigcap_{i \in I} D_i)\Gamma M\Gamma (\bigcap_{i \in I} D_i)\Gamma M\Gamma (\bigcap_{i \in I} D_i) \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in I} D_i.$$

Hence $\bigcap_{i \in I} D_i$ is a GBTFI of M.

Lemma 2.3: Let M be a TFS & $\phi \neq E \subseteq S$. Then $E \cup E\Gamma S\Gamma E\Gamma S\Gamma E$ is the SGBTFI of S containing E.

Proof: Let $D = E \cup E\Gamma S\Gamma E\Gamma S\Gamma E$. Then $E \subseteq D$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} D\Gamma S\Gamma D\Gamma S\Gamma D &= (E \cup E\Gamma S\Gamma E\Gamma S\Gamma E)\Gamma S\Gamma (E \cup E\Gamma S\Gamma E\Gamma S\Gamma E)\Gamma S\Gamma (E \cup E\Gamma S\Gamma E\Gamma S\Gamma E) \\ &\subseteq [E(\Gamma S\Gamma S)(E \cup E(\Gamma S\Gamma S)\Gamma E\Gamma S\Gamma E\Gamma S\Gamma E)] \cup \\ & [E\Gamma S\Gamma E\Gamma S\Gamma E(\Gamma S\Gamma S)E \cup E\Gamma S\Gamma E\Gamma S\Gamma E(\Gamma S\Gamma S)\Gamma E\Gamma S\Gamma E\Gamma S\Gamma E] \\ &= E\Gamma S\Gamma E\Gamma S\Gamma E \subseteq E \cup E\Gamma S\Gamma E\Gamma S\Gamma E = D. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $D = E \cup \{e\}$ is a GBTFI of S . We shall explain that D is the SGBTFI of S containing E . Let C be a GBTFI of S . Then

$$E \cup \{e\} \subseteq C \subseteq S.$$

Thus $D = E \cup \{e\} \subseteq C$.

Hence D is the SGBTFI of S having E .

$$\therefore (E) = E \cup \{e\}$$

It is also denoted the SGBTFI of S containing $\{e\}$ as (e) .

Lemma 2.4: Let T be a sub Γ -semiring of a TFS M , $a \in M$ & $(a\Gamma a) \cap T \neq \emptyset$. Then $(a\Gamma a) \cap T$ is a GBTFI of T .

Proof: Believe

$$\begin{aligned} (a\Gamma a) \cap T &\subseteq [(a\Gamma a)\Gamma T] \cap T \\ &\subseteq [(a\Gamma a)\Gamma T] \cap T \\ &\subseteq [(a\Gamma a)\Gamma T] \cap T \quad \text{Hence} \\ &\subseteq [(a\Gamma a)\Gamma T] \cap T \\ &\subseteq [(a\Gamma a)\Gamma T] \cap T \end{aligned}$$

$(a\Gamma a) \cap T$ is a generalized bi- Γ -ideal of T .

Lemma 2.5: Let J be a TFS & $j \in J$. Then $j\Gamma j$ is a GBTFI of J .

Proof: Consider

$$\begin{aligned} (j\Gamma j) &\subseteq (j\Gamma j)\Gamma (j\Gamma j) \\ &= j\Gamma (j\Gamma j) \\ &\subseteq j\Gamma j \end{aligned}$$

Hence $j\Gamma j$ is a GBTFI of J .

Proposition 2.6: Let M be a TFS & T a sub-TFS of M . Then each subset of T having $M\Gamma M$ is a sub-TFS of M .

Proof: Let $J \subseteq T \ni M\Gamma M \subseteq J$.

$$\Rightarrow J\Gamma J \subseteq M\Gamma M \subseteq J.$$

Consequently J is a sub-TFS of M .

Proposition 2.7: Let Z be a TFS & T is TFI of M . Next each subset of T including $Z\Gamma Z$ is a TFI of M .

Proof: Let $B \subseteq T \ni Z\Gamma Z \subseteq B$.

Then $Z\Gamma B \subseteq Z\Gamma Z \subseteq B$

$$Z\Gamma B \subseteq Z\Gamma Z \subseteq B$$

$$B\Gamma Z\Gamma Z \subseteq T\Gamma Z\Gamma Z \subseteq Z\Gamma Z\Gamma T \cup Z\Gamma T\Gamma Z \cup T\Gamma Z\Gamma Z \subseteq B$$

Thus B is a TFI of Z.

Proposition 2.8: Let L be a TFS & T is TFI of L. Afterward each subset of T containing $(T\Gamma L\Gamma L) \cap (L\Gamma T\Gamma L + L\Gamma L\Gamma T\Gamma L\Gamma L) \cap (L\Gamma L\Gamma T)$ is a QTFI of L.

Proof: Allow $C \subseteq T \ni (T\Gamma V\Gamma V) \cap (V\Gamma T\Gamma V + V\Gamma V\Gamma T\Gamma V\Gamma V) \cap (V\Gamma V\Gamma T) \subseteq C$. Then

$$C\Gamma C\Gamma C \subseteq (T\Gamma L\Gamma L) \cap (L\Gamma T\Gamma L + L\Gamma L\Gamma T\Gamma L\Gamma L) \cap (L\Gamma L\Gamma T) \subseteq C$$

And $(C\Gamma L\Gamma L) \cap (L\Gamma C\Gamma L + L\Gamma L\Gamma C\Gamma L\Gamma L) \cap (L\Gamma L\Gamma C) \subseteq$
 $(T\Gamma L\Gamma L) \cap (L\Gamma T\Gamma L + L\Gamma L\Gamma T\Gamma L\Gamma L) \cap (L\Gamma L\Gamma T) \subseteq C$.

So C is a QTFI of L.

Proposition 2.9: Let M be a TFS & T be BTFI of M. Afterward each subset of T holding $T\Gamma M\Gamma T\Gamma M\Gamma T$ and all of its images is a BTFI of M.

Proof: Permit $I \subseteq T \ni T\Gamma M\Gamma T\Gamma M\Gamma T \subseteq I \& I\Gamma I\Gamma I \subseteq I$.

$$\Rightarrow I\Gamma M\Gamma I\Gamma M\Gamma I \subseteq T\Gamma M\Gamma T\Gamma M\Gamma T \subseteq I$$

Consequently I is a BTFI of M.

Proposition 2.10. Let M be a TFS & T is a GBTFI of M. Then each subset of T holding $T\Gamma M\Gamma T\Gamma M\Gamma T$ is a GBTFI of M.

Proof: Permit $E \subseteq T \ni T\Gamma M\Gamma T\Gamma M\Gamma T \subseteq E$.

Then $E\Gamma M\Gamma E\Gamma M\Gamma E \subseteq T\Gamma M\Gamma T\Gamma M\Gamma T \subseteq E$.

$\therefore E$ is a GBTFI of M.

Theorem 2.11. Let M be a TFS. Then the next declarations are correspondent.

(1) M is a GB-simple Γ -semiring.

(2) $h\Gamma M\Gamma h\Gamma M\Gamma h = M \forall h \in M$.

(3) $(h) = M \forall h \in M$.

Proof: (1) \Rightarrow (2) Believe that M is a GB-simple TFS & $h \in M$.

$h\Gamma M\Gamma h\Gamma M\Gamma h$ is a GBTFI of M by lemma 2.5,

$\therefore M$ is a GB-simple Γ -semiring, $M = h\Gamma M\Gamma h\Gamma M\Gamma h$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Believe that $M = h\Gamma M\Gamma h\Gamma M\Gamma h \forall h \in M$ & let $h \in M$. Then, by (2.2), we cover

$$(h) = \{h\} \cup h\Gamma M\Gamma h\Gamma M\Gamma h = \{h\} \cup M = M.$$

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Assume that $(h) = M$ for all $h \in M$, and let H be a GBTFI of M & $h \in H$. $\Rightarrow (h) \subseteq H$. With statement, $M = (h) \subseteq H \subseteq M$.

Thus $M = H$. $\therefore M$ is a GB-simple Γ -semiring.

Lemma 2.12: Let B be a GBTTI of a TFS M & T a sub-TT-semiring of M . If T is a GB-simple TT-semiring $\ni T \cap B \neq \emptyset$, then $T \subseteq B$.

Proof: Presume T is a GB-simple TT-semiring $\ni T \cap B \neq \emptyset$ & let $h \in T \cap B$.

Via Lemma 2.3, $T = \{h\} \cup h\Gamma T\Gamma h\Gamma T\Gamma h \subseteq B \cup B\Gamma M\Gamma B\Gamma M\Gamma B \subseteq B \cup B \subseteq B$.

Hence $T \subseteq B$.

Theorem 2.13: Let V be a TFS, B be a GBTTI of V & $G(\neq \emptyset) \subseteq V$ then $G\Gamma G\Gamma B, G\Gamma B\Gamma G$ & $B\Gamma G\Gamma G$ are GBTTI of V .

Proof: $\because B$ is a GBTTI of V ,

$$\Rightarrow (B\Gamma G\Gamma G)\Gamma V\Gamma (B\Gamma G\Gamma G) = (B\Gamma (G\Gamma G\Gamma V)\Gamma B)\Gamma G \subseteq B\Gamma G\Gamma G$$

$$(G\Gamma B\Gamma G)\Gamma V\Gamma (G\Gamma B\Gamma G) = (G\Gamma (B\Gamma G\Gamma V\Gamma G\Gamma B)\Gamma G) \subseteq G\Gamma B\Gamma G$$

Similarly $(G\Gamma G\Gamma B)\Gamma V\Gamma (G\Gamma G\Gamma B) \subseteq G\Gamma G\Gamma B$ are GBTTI of V .

Theorem 2.14: Let M be a TFS. Subsequently $i\Gamma M\Gamma i\Gamma M\Gamma i = M \forall i \in M$ iff M is a GB-simple TT-semiring.

Proof: Presume $i\Gamma M\Gamma i\Gamma M\Gamma i = M \forall i \in M$ & let B is a GBTTI of M & $b \in B$.

By hypothesis, $M = b\Gamma M\Gamma b\Gamma M\Gamma b \subseteq B\Gamma M\Gamma B\Gamma M\Gamma B \subseteq B \subseteq M$.

Hence $M=B$, so M is a GB- simple TT-semiring.

On the contrary, assume that M is a GB- simple TT-semiring $i \in M$. By Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.13, we have $i\Gamma M\Gamma i\Gamma M\Gamma i$ is a GBTTI of M . $\because M$ is a GB-simple TT-semiring ,

$$\Rightarrow i\Gamma M\Gamma i\Gamma M\Gamma i = M.$$

Theorem 2.15: Let Q be a TFS & D a BTII of Q . Then D is a MGBTII of Q iff D is a GB-simple TT-semiring.

Proof: Presume that D is a MGBTII of Q . Via supposition, D is a TFS.

Let B be a GBTTI of D . Next $B\Gamma D\Gamma B\Gamma D\Gamma B \subseteq B \subseteq D$.

$\because D$ is a GBTTI of Q & by Th 2.13, cover $B\Gamma D\Gamma B\Gamma D\Gamma B$ is a GBTTI of Q . As D is a MGBTII of Q , we get $B\Gamma D\Gamma B\Gamma D\Gamma B = D$. Via (2.3), $D=B$. So D is GB-simple TT-semiring.

Permit B be a GBTTI of $Q \ni B \subseteq Q$.

$$\Rightarrow B\Gamma D\Gamma B\Gamma D\Gamma B \subseteq B\Gamma Q\Gamma B\Gamma Q\Gamma B \subseteq B.$$

Consequently C is a GBTTI.

$\because D$ is a GB-simple TT-semiring, enclose $D=B$.

$\therefore D$ is a MGBTII of Q .

Theorem 2.16: Let M be a TTS having a proper GBTTI. Afterward each proper GBTTI of M is minimal iff the intersection of any two distinct proper GBTTI's is empty.

Proof: Similar to the above theorem

References:

- [1] R.Chinram, A note on quasi-ideals in Γ -semirings, International Mathematical Forum 26(2008), 1253-1259.
- [2] O.Bektas, N.Bayrak, and A.Ersoy, Soft Γ -semirings, arXiv:1202.1496[math.RA], 7 Feb 2012.
- [3] T.K.Dutta and S.K.Sardar, Semiprime ideals and irreducible ideals of Γ -semirings, Novi Sad Journal of Mathematics 30(2000), 97-108.
- [4] T.K.Dutta, S.K.Sardar, and S.Goswami, Operations on fuzzy ideals of Γ -semirings, arXiv:1101.4791[math.RA], 25 Jan 2011.
- [5] J.Ghosh and T.K.Samanta Fuzzy ideals in Γ -semirings, arXiv:1010.2469[math.GM], 12 Oct 2010.
- [6] R.D.Jagatap and Y.S.Pawar, Quasi-ideals and minimal quasi-ideals in Γ -semirings, Novi Sad Journal of Mathematics 39(2009), 79-87.
- [7] J.P.Kaushik, M.A.Ansari and M.R.Khan, On bi- Γ -ideal in Γ -semirings, International Journal of Contemporary Mathematical Sciences 3(2008), 1255-1260.
- [8] S.Lajos, Notes on generalized bi-ideals in semigroups, Soochow Journal of Mathematics 10(1984), 55-59.
- [9] S.Pianskool, S.Sangwirotnjanapat, and S.Tipyota, Valuation Γ -of semirings and valuation Γ -ideals, Tahi Journal of Mathematics Special Issue (Annual Meeting in Mathematics) (2008), 93-102.
- [10] M.Murali Krishna Rao, Γ -semirings I, Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics 19(1995), 49-54.
- [11] G. Srinivasa Rao P.Siva Prasad, M. Vasantha, Dr. D. Madhusudhana Rao "On Strongly Duo and Duo Left Γ -TS-Acts over ternary-semigroups", International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics Volume 113 No. 6 2017, 65 – 73, ISSN: 1311-8080 (printed version); ISSN: 1314-3395 (on-line version) PP 67-73.
- [12] Ch. ManikyaRao P.Siva Prasad, D. Madhusudhana Rao, G. SrinivasaRao,"Maximal ideal of compact connected topological ternary semigroups" International conference on mathematics 2015,At: kerela,Volume: Volume 4 Issue 2
- [13] P. Sivaprasad , Dr. D. Madhusudhana Rao , G. Srinivasa Rao," A STUDY ON STRUCTURE OF PO-TERNARY SEMIRINGS", JOURNAL OF ADVANCES IN MATHEMATICS, Vol .10, No.8,PP:3717-3724.
- [14] P. Sivaprasad , Dr. D. Madhusudhana Rao , Mamidipalli. Vasantha , B. Srinivasa Kumar," On Γ -TS-Acts Over Ternary Γ -Semigroups" International Journal of Engineering & Technology, 7 (4.10) (2018)PP:812-815.
- [15] Siva Prasad.P, Revathi.K, 2, Sundarayya.P , Madhusudhana Rao.D , "Compositions of Fuzzy T-Ideals in Ternary - Semi ring", International Journal of Advanced in Management, Technology and Engineering Sciences Volume 7, Issue 12, 2017 ISSN NO : 2249-7455,PP:135-145.
- [16] P. Sivaprasad,C. Sreemannarayana, D. Madhusudhana Rao, T. Nageswara Rao, K. Anuradha," On Le- Ternary Semi groups-I" International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering (IJRTE) ISSN: 2277-3878, Volume-7, Issue- ICETESM, March 2019,PP:165-167.
- [17] P. Sivaprasad,C. Sreemannarayana, D. Madhusudhana Rao, T. Nageswara Rao, Sajani Lavanya. M," On Le- Ternary Semi groups-II" International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering (IJRTE) ISSN: 2277-3878, Volume-7, Issue-ICETESM, March 2019,PP:168-170.
- [18] S.K.Sardar and U.Dasgupta, On primitive Γ -semirings, Novi Sad Journal of Mathematics 34(2004), 1-12.
- [19] F.A.Sz`asz, Generalized biideals of rings I, Mathematische Nachrichten 47(1970), 355-360.
- [20] F.A.Sz`asz, Generalized biideals of rings II, Mathematische Nachrichten 47(1970), 361-364
- [21] Bhagyalakshmi Kothuru ,V.AmarendraBabu Ternary Γ -SO semirings-3, International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering,2019, 8(12), pp.210-213.
- [22] Bhagyalakshmi Kothuru ,Dr.V.AmarendraBabu Ternary Γ -SO semirings-4, international journal of Advanced Science and Technology, 2019, 28(14), pp.172-180.
- [23] Kothuru Bhagyalakshmi and V.AmarendraBabu3-Absorbing Primary Ideals, AIP Conference Proceedings, 2021, 2375, 0066394.
- [24] Amarendra Babu.V, Ankarao.M and Kothuru Bhagyalakshmi Irreducible and Strongly Irreducible Bi-Ideals in Ternary Γ -SO-semirings, AIP Conference Proceedings, 2021, 2375, 0066394.

- [25] V. Amarendra Babu, M. Ankarao and Kothuru Bhagyalakshmi Bi-ideals in ternary Γ -SO-semirings, AIP Conference Proceedings, 2021, 2375, 0066389.
- [26] G. Srinivasa Rao, D. Madhusudhanarao and P. Siva Prasad, Simple Ternary Semi-rings, The Global Journal of Mathematics & Mathematical Sciences, 9(2) (2016), 185-196.
- [27] D. Madhusudhana Rao, G. Srinivasa Rao, Special Elements in ternary semi rings, International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications, 4(11) (2014), 123-130.
- [28] G. Srinivasa Rao, D. Madhusudhana Rao, Structure of certain ideals in ternary semi rings, Int. J. of Innovative Science and Modern Engg., 3(3) (2015), 49-56.
- [29] G. Srinivasa Rao, D. Madhusudhana Rao, A Study on Ternary Semi rings, Int. J. of Math. Archive, 5(12) (2014), 24-30.
- [30] G. Srinivasa Rao, D. Madhusudhana Rao, Characteristics of Ternary Semi rings, Int. J. of Engg. Res. and Mgt., 2(1) (2015), 3-6.