

## On Primitive Ternary $\Gamma$ -Semirings

K. Maha lakshmi,<sup>1,2</sup> P. Siva Prasad<sup>3</sup>, D.Madhusudana Rao<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, VFSTR Deemed to be University, Vadlamudi, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India, mailid: mhlakahmi@gmail.com.

<sup>2</sup> Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Vignan Nirulla Engineering College, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India, mail id: mhlakahmi@gmail.com.

<sup>3</sup> Associate Professor, Department of Computer Science & Engineering, School of Computing & Informatics, VFSTR Deemed to University, Vadlamudi, Guntur, A.P, India, mailid: pusapatisivaprasad@gmail.com

<sup>4</sup> Professor of Mathematics, Government College For Women(A), Samba Siva Peta Rd, Opp: AC College, Samba Siva Pet, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India, mailid: dmmaths@gmail.com

### Article History:

**Received:** 01-06-2024

**Revised:** 03-07-2024

**Accepted:** 29-07-2024

### Abstract:

Subsequent to presenting the thoughts of primitive Ternary  $\Gamma$ -semi ring along with primitive ideal of a  $\Gamma$ -semi ring we concentrate on them through operator semi ring and get a few outcomes similar to those of semi ring hypothesis.

**Keywords:** Semi-irreducible, Irreducible, faithful  $\Gamma$ S-semimodules, primitive Ternary  $\Gamma$ -semi ring.

**AMS Mathematics Subject Classification.** 16Y60, 16Y99, 20N10

### 1. Introduction :

Presenting the thought of Ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring S-Semimodule entitled  $\Gamma$ S-semimodule alongside the thoughts of semi-irreducible, irreducible, faithful  $\Gamma$ S-semimodules with a goal to present the idea of primitive  $\Gamma$ -semiring in addition to prospect to present the idea of Jacobson radical. Now we concentrate on primitive  $T\Gamma$ -semiring by means of the operator semirings. We confirm that by [6] a right operator semiring R is primitive iff  $T\Gamma$ -semiring S is primitive. In conclusion, “primitive h-ideal of a  $T\Gamma$ -semiring S utilizing the connection amid the Annihilator of an irreducible  $T\Gamma$ S-semimodule M in S with the aim of M in the right operator semiring R of  $T\Gamma$ -semiring S”.

“Throughout this paper  $T\Gamma$ -semiring -  $T\Gamma$ -S, Ternary  $\Gamma$ S-semimodule denoted by  $T\Gamma$ S-S, Ternary  $\Gamma$ S-subsemimodule denoted by  $T\Gamma$ S-SS, irreducible  $T\Gamma$ -semimodule-  $IT\Gamma$ -S, irreducible  $T\Gamma$ S-semimodule-  $IT\Gamma$ S-S, Primitive Ideal-PI, right operator semiring-ROS faithful irreducible  $T\Gamma$ S-semimodule-  $FT\Gamma$ S-S, faithful irreducible R-semimodule-FIR-S, irreducible R-semimodule-IR-S, faithful irreducible  $R/P^{*'}-semimodule- FI(R/P^{*'})-S$ , additive commutative monoid-ACM,  $T\Gamma$ S-semiring-  $T\Gamma$ SS”

### Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1:** Let U,  $\Gamma$  be two additive commutative semigroups. Then U is entitled a *ternary gamma semiring* presented  $\exists$  a mapping  $U \times \Gamma \times U \times \Gamma \times U \rightarrow U$  suiting the next conditions:

$$(1) \quad x\eta y\zeta(z\lambda p\psi q) = x\eta(y\zeta z\lambda p)\psi q = (x\eta y\zeta z)\lambda p\psi q$$

$$(2) \quad [(p + q)\eta r\zeta s] = [(p\eta r\zeta s) + [q\eta r\zeta s]$$

$$(3) [p\eta(q+r)\zeta s] = [(p\eta q\zeta s) + [p\eta r\zeta s]$$

$$(4) [p\eta q\zeta(r+s)] = [(p\eta q\zeta r) + [p\eta q\zeta s] \forall p, q, r, s \in U \ \& \ \eta, \zeta, \psi, \lambda \in \Gamma.$$

An ideal J in a  $\Gamma$ -semiring S is called a k-ideal if  $x_1 + y_1 \in J, x_1 \in S, y_1 \in J \Rightarrow x_1 \in J$ .

In a  $\Gamma$ -S semiring S an ideal I is entitled an ‘h-ideal’ if  $x_1 + y_1 + z_1 = y_2 + z_1, x_1, z_1 \in S \ \& \ y_1, y_2 \in I \Rightarrow x_1 \in I$ . permit S be a  $\Gamma$ -S & free additive commutative semigroup G generate by  $\Gamma \times S \times \Gamma \times S$ . subsequently relation  $\rho$  on G, defined by If

$$\sum_i [\tau_i, k_i] = \sum_j [\sigma_j, l_j] \text{ in } R \text{ then } \sum_i s\tau_i t\lambda_i k_i = \sum_j s\sigma_j t\mu_j k_j \forall s, t \in S.$$

**Semi-irreducible, Irreducible, Faithful  $\Gamma$ -Semimodules :**

**Definition 3.1:** Permit S is a  $\Gamma$ -S. An ACM ‘M’ is known as merely TFS-S, if  $\exists N \times \Gamma \times S \times \Gamma \times S \rightarrow N$  (Images to be denoted by  $k\nu s\eta t : k \in N, \nu, \eta \in \Gamma$ ) satisfying the following conditions:

- (i)  $(k+l)\nu s\eta t = k\nu s\eta t + l\nu s\eta t$
- (ii)  $k\nu s\eta(t+u) = k\nu s\eta t + k\nu s\eta u$
- (iii)  $k\nu(s+t)\eta u = k\nu s\eta u + k\nu t\eta u$
- (iv)  $(k\nu s\eta t)\varepsilon u \pi v = k\nu(s\eta t\varepsilon u)\pi v + k\nu s\eta(t\varepsilon u \pi v)$
- (v)  $0_N \eta t \varepsilon u = 0_N = k\varepsilon s \pi 0_S = k\varepsilon 0_S \pi s \forall k, l \in N, s, t, u, v \in S.$

In addition to the above conditions if  $\sum k\varepsilon e \phi f = k \forall k \in N$ , where {e, f} is an identity element of S, then N is believed to be a unitary right ternary  $\Gamma$ S-semimodule.

A left TFS-semimodule can be defined likewise.

**Example: 3.2:** A TFS ‘S’, wherever the ‘additive commutative semigroup’ S of all  $2 \times 3$  matrices above  $Q_0^+$  &  $\Gamma$  is also ‘additive commutative semigroup’ of all  $3 \times 2$  matrices over the identical set &  $n\varepsilon k\eta l$  denote product of matrices of  $n, \varepsilon, k, \eta, l; n \in N, k, l \in S \ \& \ \varepsilon, \eta \in \Gamma$  now the right unity of S is

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 [\gamma_i, e_i, \varepsilon_i, f_i] \text{ where } \gamma_1 = \varepsilon_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \gamma_2 = \varepsilon_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \gamma_3 = \varepsilon_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$f_1 = e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{3} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, f_2 = e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{3} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, f_3 = e_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

A subset  $N (\neq \phi)$  of a TFS-S N is known as a TFS-S of N if (i)  $k+l \in N$ , (ii)  $k\varepsilon s\eta t \in N \forall s, t \in S, \varepsilon, \eta \in \Gamma, k, l \in N$  holds the zero of N.

A TFS-SS N of a TFS-subsemimodule O is named KFS-subsemimodule O if  $k+l \in N, l \in N, k \in O \Rightarrow k \in N$ . Let N be a TFS-SS of a TFS-subsemimodule O. Subsequently k-

closure of N, is described by  $\overline{N} = \{j \in O : j + k = l : k, l \in N\}$ . A TFS-SS ‘N’ of a TFS-S O is named as ternary (hGS-) subsemimodule of O if  $x_1 + n_1 + z_1 = n_2 + z_1, n_1, n_2 \in N, x_1, z_1 \in O \Rightarrow x_1 \in N$ .

Let N be a TFS-SS of a TFS-S ‘O’. Afterward h-closure of N, is named by  $\overline{N} = \{o \in N : o + n_1 + z = n_2 + z, n_1, n_2 \in N, z \in O\}$ .

**Proposition 3.03:** Let N be a TFS-SS ‘N’ of a TFS-S ‘O’. Afterward kGS-( hGS-) subsemimodule iff  $\overline{N} = N$ .

Proof: The confirmation involves routine check. A TFS-S ‘O’ is known as cancellative if  $k + l = k + m, k, l, m \in O \Rightarrow l = m$ .

All through the remainder of the paper TFS-S is ‘cancellative’.

**Definition 3.04:** A TFS-S  $\{0\} \neq O$  is called as ‘irreducible’ iff random set  $u, v \in O$  among  $u \neq v$  for any  $k \in N \exists f_i, g_i, h_j, i_j \in S, \sigma_i, \tau_i \in \Gamma (j = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots, s \ \& \ i = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots, r, s, r \in Z^+)$  such that

$$\exists k + \sum_i u \tau_i f_i \sigma_i g_i + \sum_j v \lambda_j h_j \mu_j i_j = \sum_j u \lambda_j h_j \mu_j i_j + \sum_i v \tau_i f_i \sigma_i g_i .$$

A TFS-S ‘O’ is said to be semi-irreducible iff  $O \Gamma S \Gamma S \neq \{0\}$  & O doesn’t have any kGS-subsemimodule except 0 & O.

The thoughts of both semi-irreducibility & irreducibility concur by the idea of irreducibility in a TΓ-ring S([7],[8],[9]).

**Proposition 3.05:** An ideal Q of a TΓ-semiring S & a TFS-S ‘N’

by  $N \Gamma S \Gamma Q \neq \{0\}$ . Then, at that point, the accompanying assertions are valid.

(1) If N is semi-irreducible &  $n \in N$  afterward  $n=0$  iff  $n \tau s \varepsilon q = 0 \forall \tau, \varepsilon \in \Gamma \ \& \ \forall s \in S, q \in Q$  i.e.,  $n=0$  iff  $N \Gamma S \Gamma Q = \{0\}$ .

(2) If N is irreducible &  $u, v \in N$  afterward  $u=v$  iff

$$\sum_{i=1}^n u \tau_i k_i \sigma_i l_i = \sum_{i=1}^n v \tau_i k_i \sigma_i l_i, \forall \tau_i, \sigma_i \in \Gamma, \forall k_i, l_i \in S, i = 1, 2, \dots, p; p \text{ is any positive integer.}$$

*Proof:* (1) Given N is semi-irreducible &  $n \tau s \varepsilon q = 0 \forall \tau, \varepsilon \in \Gamma \ \& \ \forall s \in S, q \in Q$ . Let  $N_0 = \{y \in N : y \Gamma S \Gamma Q = \{0\}\}$ .  $\Rightarrow n \in N_0$ .

Let  $k, l \in N_0$ . Then  $(k + l) \Gamma S \Gamma Q \subseteq k \Gamma S \Gamma Q + l \Gamma S \Gamma Q = \{0\}$ . Thus  $(k + l) \in N_0$ .

Thus  $N_0$  is a TFS-subsemimodule of N. Let  $(k + l), l \in N_0 \ \& \ k \in N$ . Then

$$(k + l) \tau s \sigma q = 0 \ \& \ l \tau s \sigma q = 0 \forall \tau, \sigma \in \Gamma \ \& \ \forall q \in Q, s \in S.$$

$$\Rightarrow k \tau s \sigma q = k \tau s \sigma q + l \tau s \sigma q = (k + l) \tau s \sigma q \forall \tau, \sigma \in \Gamma \ \& \ \forall q \in Q, s \in S \text{ hence } k \Gamma S \Gamma Q = \{0\}.$$

Hence  $k \in N_0$  proving  $N_0$  is a ternary K-subsemimodule of N.  $\therefore N \Gamma S \Gamma Q \neq \{0\}, N_0 \neq N$ .  $\therefore$  S is semi-irreducible subsequently  $N_0 = \{0\}$ .  $\therefore n=0$ . On the contrary, if  $n=0$  then

$$n \tau s \varepsilon q = 0 \forall \tau, \varepsilon \in \Gamma \ \& \ \forall s \in S, q \in Q.$$

(2) Let N be irreducible &  $u, v \in N \ \exists u \neq v$ .  $\therefore N \Gamma S \Gamma Q \neq \{0\}, \exists n \in N, t \in S \ \& \ q \in Q \ \exists n \tau t \sigma q \neq 0$ .

Again since N is irreducible, for this n,

$\exists f_i, g_i, h_j, i_j \in S (1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq s; r, s \in \mathbb{Z}^+)$  such that

$$n + \sum_{i=1}^r u\tau f_i \sigma g_i + \sum_{j=1}^s v\lambda h_j \mu i_j = \sum_{j=1}^s u\tau h_j \sigma i_j + \sum_{i=1}^r v\lambda f_i \mu g_i.$$

Hence 
$$n\tau\delta t \varepsilon p + \sum_{i=1}^r u\tau f_i \sigma g_i \eta t \vartheta p + \sum_{j=1}^s v\lambda h_j \mu i_j \pi t \zeta p = \sum_{j=1}^s u\tau h_j \sigma i_j t \vartheta p + \sum_{i=1}^r v\lambda f_i \mu g_i t \vartheta p$$

$$\forall t \in S \ \& \ p \in P, \delta, \varepsilon, \tau, \sigma, \eta, \mu, \vartheta, \zeta, \lambda \in \Gamma.$$

$$\Rightarrow n\delta t \varepsilon p + \sum_{i=1}^r u\tau f_i \sigma g_i' + \sum_{j=1}^s v\lambda h_j \mu i_j' = \sum_{j=1}^s u\tau h_j \sigma i_j' + \sum_{i=1}^r v\lambda f_i \mu g_i'$$

Where  $g_i' = g_i \eta t \vartheta p \in P$  &  $i_j' = i_j \pi t \zeta p \in P$ .

Since  $N$  is cancellative and  $n\delta t \varepsilon p \neq 0$  so atleast one of

$$\sum_{i=1}^r u\tau f_i \sigma g_i' \neq \sum_{i=1}^r v\tau f_i \sigma g_i' \ \& \ \sum_{j=1}^s u\lambda h_j \mu i_j' \neq \sum_{j=1}^s v\lambda h_j \mu i_j'$$
 holds. The converse part follows easily.

**Proposition 3.6:** Let  $N$  be a TFS-S &  $N \neq \{0\}$ . Subsequently  $N$  is semi-irreducible iff for every non-zero  $n \in N, N\Gamma S\Gamma S = N$  i.e. for any

$$k \in N \exists k_i, l_i \in S, \sigma_i, \tau_i \in \Gamma (j=1, 2, 3, 4 \dots s \ \& \ i=1, 2, 3, 4 \dots r, s, r \in \mathbb{Z}^+) \ \ni k + \sum_i n\tau_i f_i \sigma_i g_i = \sum_j n\lambda_j h_j \mu_j i_j$$

Proof: Let  $N \neq 0$  be semi-irreducible. Then  $N\Gamma S\Gamma S \neq \{0\}$ . Let  $n \in N \ni n \neq 0$ .

Hence by Proposition 3.5,  $N\Gamma S\Gamma S \neq \{0\}$ ; so  $\overline{N\Gamma S\Gamma S} \neq \{0\}$ .

Since  $\overline{N\Gamma S\Gamma S}$  is a ternary  $k\Gamma S$ -subsemimodule of  $N$ ,  $\overline{N\Gamma S\Gamma S} \neq N$ . Hence for any  $\overline{N\Gamma S\Gamma S} \neq \{0\}$ .

Hence for any  $k \in N \exists k_i, l_i \in S, \sigma_i, \tau_i \in \Gamma (j=1, 2, 3, 4 \dots s \ \& \ i=1, 2, 3, 4 \dots r, s, r \in \mathbb{Z}^+)$  such that

$$k + \sum_i n\tau_i f_i \sigma_i g_i = \sum_j n\lambda_j h_j \mu_j i_j$$

In opposition, assume for any  $n (\neq 0) \in N, \overline{n\Gamma S\Gamma S} = N$ . Let  $\{0\} \neq N$  is ternary  $k\Gamma S$ -subsemimodule of  $N$ .  $\exists m \in M \ni n \neq 0$ . So, by the given condition  $\overline{n\Gamma S\Gamma S} = N$ . Hence for any for any  $k \in N \exists f_i, g_i, h_j, i_j \in S, \sigma_i, \tau_i \in \Gamma (i=1, 2, 3, \dots r \ \& \ j=1, 2, 3, \dots s, r, s \in \mathbb{Z}^+)$  such that

$$k + \sum_i n\tau_i f_i \sigma_i g_i = \sum_j n\lambda_j h_j \mu_j i_j \quad . \quad \text{Since } M \text{ is a } k\Gamma S \text{-subsemimodule of } N \ \&$$

$$\sum_i n\tau_i f_i \sigma_i g_i, \sum_j n\lambda_j h_j \mu_j i_j \in M, k \in N. \text{ Hence } M=N. \text{ Now if } N\Gamma S\Gamma S = \{0\} \text{ then } n\Gamma S\Gamma S = \{0\} \forall n \in N.$$

In particular,  $N\Gamma S\Gamma S = \{0\}$  for any nonzero  $n \in N$ . Hence  $\overline{n\Gamma S\Gamma S} = \{0\}$  for any  $n (\neq 0) \in N$ .  $\Rightarrow N=0$ -a disagreement.

Consequently  $N$  is ‘semi-irreducible’.

**Corollary 3.07:** If a TF-S  $N$  is ‘irreducible’, subsequently  $\overline{n\Gamma S\Gamma S} = N$  & semi-irreducible.

Proof: Let  $N$  be an ITF-S.  $\Rightarrow N \neq \{0\}$ .  $\therefore \exists n (\neq 0) \in N$ .

$$\text{any } k \in N \exists f_i, g_i, h_j, i_j \in S, \sigma_i, \tau_i \in \Gamma (j=1, 2, 3, \dots s \ \& \ i=1, 2, 3, \dots r, s, r \in \mathbb{Z}^+)$$

$$\ni k + \sum_i n\tau_i f_i \sigma_i g_i = \sum_j n\lambda_j h_j \mu_j i_j. \text{ } N \text{ is semi-irreducible TF-semimodule, by proposition (3.6),}$$

Subsequently  $N\Gamma S\Gamma S \neq \{0\} \Rightarrow \overline{n\Gamma S\Gamma S} = \{0\}$ . Since  $\overline{n\Gamma S\Gamma S}$  is a ternary  $k\Gamma S$ -subsemimodule of  $N$ ,  $\overline{n\Gamma S\Gamma S} = N$ .

**Proposition 3.08:** In a  $\Gamma$ -S ‘S’,  $R$  exist its ROS. Next  $N$  is an ITFS-S iff  $N$  is an IR-S.

Proof: Let  $N$  be an ITFS-S. Characterize  $R$ -action on  $M$  as go behind: meant for  $f, g \in N, \sum_i [\tau_i, k_i] \in R, f, g \sum_i [\tau_i, k_i] = \sum_i f \tau_i g \lambda_i k_i$ . If

$\sum_i [\tau_i, k_i] = \sum_j [\sigma_j, l_j]$  in  $R$  then  $\sum_i s \tau_i t \lambda_i k_i = \sum_j s \sigma_j t \mu_j l_j \forall s, t \in S$ . Since  $N$  is an irreducible TFS-semimodule,  $\overline{n\Gamma S\Gamma S} = N$ . (Corollary 3.7). Then for

$n \in N \exists f_k, g_k, h_i, i_t \in N, \tau_k, \sigma_k \in \Gamma, s_k, v_t \in S (t = 1, 2, \dots, q \ \& \ k = 1, 2, \dots, p; q, p \in \mathbb{Z}^+)$

$\ni n + \sum_k f_k \tau_k g_k \sigma_k v_k = \sum_t h_t \lambda_t i_t \mu_t v_t$ . So,

$$\sum_i n \chi_i k_i \delta_i l_i + \sum_{k,i} f_k \tau_k g_k \sigma_k s_k \varepsilon_k k_i \gamma_i l_i = \sum_{t,i} h_t \lambda_t i_t \mu_t \gamma_i l_i.$$

$$\Rightarrow (1) \sum_i n \chi_i k_i \delta_i l_i + \sum_{k,j} f_k \tau_k g_k \sigma_k s_k \varepsilon_k k_j \gamma_j l_j = \sum_{t,j} h_t \lambda_t i_t \mu_t v_t k_j \zeta_j l_j.$$

Again

$$\Rightarrow (2) \sum_j n \phi_j o_j \eta_j p_j + \sum_{k,j} f_k \tau_k g_k \sigma_k s_k \varepsilon_k k_j \gamma_j l_j = \sum_{t,j} h_t \lambda_t i_t \mu_t v_t k_j \zeta_j l_j.$$

$\therefore$  From (1) and (2)  $N$  is cancellative.

$$\sum_i n \chi_i k_i \delta_i l_i = \sum_j n \phi_j o_j \eta_j p_j.$$

Accordingly the  $R$ -action define on  $N$  is well defined. Currently validate that  $N$  as  $R$ -semimodule.

Let  $u, v \in N, u \neq v$ .

$$k \in N \exists f_i, g_i, h_j, i_j \in S, \tau_i, \sigma_i \in \Gamma \ni k + \sum_i u \tau_i f_i \sigma_i g_i + \sum_j v \lambda_j h_j \mu_j i_j = \sum_j u \lambda_j h_j \mu_j i_j + \sum_i v \tau_i f_i \sigma_i g_i \quad (\text{using}$$

irreducibility of  $N$  as TFS-semimodule).

$$\Rightarrow k + u \sum_i [\tau_i, f_i, \sigma_i, g_i] + v \sum_j [\lambda_j, h_j, \mu_j, i_j] + v \sum_i [\tau_i, f_i, \sigma_i, g_i] \quad \text{where}$$

$$\sum_i [\tau_i, f_i, \sigma_i, g_i], \sum_j [\lambda_j, h_j, \mu_j, i_j] \in R.$$

Consequently  $N$  is an IR-S ([6]).

On the contrary, presume  $N$  is an IR-S. Name  $\Gamma$ -action of  $S$  on  $N$  as go after: for  $f \in N, \varpi, \zeta \in \Gamma \ \& \ s_1, s_2 \in S, f \varpi S \zeta S = f[\varpi, s_1, \zeta, s_2]$ .  $\therefore N$  is a TFS-S.

$$\Rightarrow u, v \in N, u \neq v \ \& \ k \in N, \exists \sum_i [\tau_i, f_i, \sigma_i, g_i], \sum_j [\lambda_j, h_j, \mu_j, i_j] \in R \ni$$

$$\Rightarrow k + u \sum_i [\tau_i, f_i, \sigma_i, g_i] + v \sum_j [\lambda_j, h_j, \mu_j, i_j] = u \sum_j [\lambda_j, h_j, \mu_j, i_j] + v \sum_i [\tau_i, f_i, \sigma_i, g_i]. S.$$

$$\therefore k + \sum_i u \tau_i f_i \sigma_i g_i + \sum_j v \lambda_j h_j \mu_j i_j = \sum_j u \lambda_j h_j \mu_j i_j + \sum_i v \tau_i f_i \sigma_i g_i.$$

Consequently by description  $N$  is an ITFS-S.

Let  $S$  be a  $\Gamma$ -S. Zeroed of  $S$ , is identified as  $Z(S) = \{y_1 \in S : y_1 + z_1 = z_1; z_1 \in S\}$ . evidently,  $0$  is a associate of  $Z(S)$  of a  $\Gamma$ -S ‘ $S$ ’ among zero ( $0$ ) component.  $Z(S)$  of a  $\Gamma$ -S ‘ $S$ ’ is an ‘h-ideal’. Permit  $O$  is a TFS-S.  $\Rightarrow (0: O) = \{y \in S : o\Gamma y\Gamma s = \{0\} \& o\Gamma s\Gamma y = 0 \forall o \in O, \forall s \in S\}$  where

$$O\Gamma y\Gamma s = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k o_i \varpi_i y_i \vartheta_i s_i : o_i \in O, \varpi_i, \vartheta_i \in \Gamma, y_i, s_i \in S, k \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \right\}. \text{ We call } (0: O) \text{ the annihilator of } O \text{ in } S.$$

Designate it by  $A_S(O)$ . A TFS-S ‘ $O$ ’ is held elect faithful if  $A_S(O) = Z(S)$ .

**Proposition 3.09:** A TFS-S ‘ $O$ ’. Afterward  $A_S(O)$  is an ‘h-ideal’ of  $S$ . Likewise,  $O$  is faithful  $\Gamma(S / A_S(O))$ - semi module.

Proof: Obviously  $A_S(O)$  is an additive subsemigroup of  $S$ . Currently  $y \in A_S(O), \tau, \vartheta \in \Gamma, s \in S$ . Next  $O\Gamma(y\vartheta s\tau S) = (O\Gamma y)\vartheta s\tau S = \{0\}$ . Hence  $y\vartheta s\tau S \in A_S(O)$  verifying as R- ideal. Correspondingly we confirm  $A_S(O)$  is a L/L<sub>a</sub> ideal of  $S$ .

After that  $y + s_1 + z = s_2 + z$  wherever  $y, z \in S, s_1, s_2 \in A_S(O)$ . Afterward  $\forall y_1, y_2 \in A_S(O), o\eta t\varpi y_1 = o\eta y_1\varpi t = 0 \& o\eta t\varpi y_2 = o\eta y_2\varpi t = 0 \forall o \in O \& \forall t \in S$ .

Now  $y + s_1 + z = s_2 + z \Rightarrow o\eta t\varpi x + o\eta t\varpi y_1 + o\eta t\varpi z = o\eta t\varpi y_2 + o\eta t\varpi z$ .

This leads to  $o\eta t\varpi y = 0 \because o\eta t\varpi y_1 = o\eta t\varpi y_2 = 0 \& O$  is additively cancellative.

Similarly, we can show that  $o\eta y\varpi t = 0 \forall o \in O \& \forall y, t \in S$ .

Thus  $x \in A_S(O)$  and hence  $A_S(O)$  is an ‘h-ideal’ of  $S$ .

Currently describe a  $\Gamma$ -action of  $S / A_S(O)$  on  $O$  as below:

$$o\varepsilon(s / A_S(O))\zeta(t / A_S(O)) = o\varepsilon(S\zeta S) : s, t \in S, \varepsilon, \zeta \in \Gamma, s / A_S(O) \in S / A_S(O).$$

If  $t / A_S(O) = t' / A_S(O)$  then  $t + i_1 + z_1 = t' + i_2 + z_1 \forall i_1, i_2 \in A_S(O) \& z_1 \in S$ .

$\because i_1, i_2 \in A_S(O)$ , we have  $o\nu s\zeta i_1 = o\nu s\zeta i_2 = 0$ .

$$t + i_1 + z_1 = t' + i_2 + z_1$$

Now  $\Rightarrow o\zeta s\nu t + o\zeta s\nu i_1 + o\zeta s\nu z_1 = o\zeta s\nu t' + o\zeta s\nu i_2 + o\zeta s\nu z_1 \forall o \in O, s \in S \& \zeta, \nu \in \Gamma$

$$\Rightarrow o\zeta s\nu t = o\zeta s\nu t' \forall o \in O, \zeta, \nu \in \Gamma.$$

$\therefore \Gamma$ -action of  $S / A_S(O)$  on  $O$  is well defined.

Presently seeing that is  $O$  is a  $\Gamma(S / A_S(O))$ -semimodule.

It stays to confirm that  $A_{S/A_S(O)}(O) = Z(S / A_S(O))$ .

Clearly  $Z(S / A_S(O)) \subseteq A_{S/A_S(O)}(O)$ .

$$x / A_S(O) \in A_{S/A_S(O)}(O) \Rightarrow o\Gamma(t / A_S(O))\Gamma(x / A_S(O)) = 0$$

Now let  $o\Gamma(x / A_S(O))\Gamma(t / A_S(O)) = 0$

$$i.e. ovt\eta x = 0 \ \& \ ovx\eta t = 0 \ \forall o \in O \ \& \ t \in S.$$

Thus  $x \in A_S(O)$  and hence

Consequently,  $x / A_S(O) \in Z(S / A_S(O))$

Thus  $A_{S/A_S(O)}(O) \subseteq Z(S / A_S(O))$ .

Hence  $A_{S/A_S(O)}(O) \subseteq Z(S / A_S(O))$ .

**Proposition 3.10:** A TΓSS ‘O’ & R exist as ROS. Afterward

(i)  $A_S(O)^{*'} = A_R(O) \ \& \ A_R(O)^* = A_S(O)$ ; Anywhere M is an ITFS-S

(ii)  $Z(S) = Z(R)^*, Z(S)^{*'} = Z(R)$ .

$$A_S(O)^{*'} = \left\{ \sum_i [\theta_i, y_i] \in R : S \left( \sum_i [\theta_i, y_i] \right) \subseteq A_S(O) \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ \sum_i [\theta_i, y_i] \in R : O\Gamma S\Gamma T \left( \sum_i [\theta_i, y_i] \right) \subseteq A_S(O) \right\}$$

Proof:  $= \left\{ \sum_i [\theta_i, y_i] \in R : M \left( \sum_i [\theta_i, y_i] \right) = \{0\} \right\} = A_R(O)$ .

$$A_R(O)^* = \{ y \in S : [\Gamma, t] \subseteq A_R(o) \}$$

$$= \{ y \in S : O[\Gamma, t] = \{0\} \}$$

$$= \{ y \in S : O\Gamma T\Gamma y = \{0\} \} = A_S(O).$$

(ii) Via known 6.14-1 proposition & zeroid is an ‘h-ideal’,  $Z(S) = (Z(S)^*)^* \ \& \ Z(R) = (Z(R)^*)^*$ .

Accordingly demonstrating one of 2 relations is adequate. Permit  $x \in Z(R)^*$ . Subsequently

$Z(R) \supseteq [\Gamma, x] \ \therefore S\Gamma S\Gamma x \subseteq SZ(R) \subseteq Z(S)$ .  $\therefore S$  have ‘left unity’,  $x \in Z(S)$ .  $\Rightarrow Z(R)^* \subseteq Z(S)$ . Now

let  $\sum_{i=1}^m [\theta_i, x_i] \in [\Gamma, Z(S)]$  wherever  $\forall i = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots, m, x_i \in Z(S)$ .  $\Rightarrow x_i + z_i = z_i$  for some  $z_i \in S$ .

$$[\theta_i, x_i] + [\theta_i, z_i] = [\theta_i, z_i] \ \forall i = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots, m.$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^m [\theta_i, x_i] + \sum_{i=1}^m [\theta_i, z_i] = \sum_{i=1}^m [\theta_i, z_i] \ \sum_{i=1}^m [\theta_i, z_i] \in Z(R) \ \& \ [\Gamma, Z(S)] \subseteq Z(R). \Rightarrow Z(R)^* \supseteq Z(S)$$

where  $\sum_{i=1}^m [\theta_i, z_i] \in R$ .

$$\therefore Z(S) = Z(R)^*.$$

**Proposition 3.11:** Let S be a TΓ-S moreover R exist its ROS. Afterward O is a FTFS-S iff O is a

FI R-S.

**Proof:** Let O be a FTFS-S. Subsequently via Proposition 3.8, O is an ITFS-S. Over  $A_S(O) = Z(S)$ .

$\therefore A_S(O)^{*'} = Z(S)^{*'}$ . Via 3.10-proposition,  $A_R(O) = Z(R)$ . Therefore O is a FITFS-S. Speak follows

by switching the above contention.

**Definition3.12:** A  $\Gamma$ -S  $S$  is known to be primitive if it has a FTFS-S.  $P$  is an ‘ideal’ of  $S$  is entitled Primitive if the Bourne factor TFS  $(S/P)$  is primitive. So a TFS  $S$  is ‘primitive’ if  $\{0\}$  is a PI.

**Lemma3.13:** A  $\Gamma$ -S ‘ $S$ ’ &  $R$  is its ROS ‘ $Q$ ’ be a proper ideal of  $S$ . Subsequently  $R(S/Q), R/Q^*$  are isomorphic, anywhere  $R(S/Q)$  is the ROS of the Bourne factor TFS  $S/Q$ .

**Proposition3.14:** Let  $S$  be a  $\Gamma$ -S &  $R$  be its ROS. If  $P$  is a PI of  $S$  followed by a PI  $P^*$  of  $R$ .

Proof: Permit  $P$  be a PI of  $S$ . Afterward  $S/P$  is a ‘primitive’ TFS.

$\exists$  an irreducible faithfully  $\Gamma(S/P)$ -semimodule  $O$ .  $O$  is a faithful irreducible  $R(S/Q)$ - semimodule via 3.11-proposition wherever  $R(S/Q)$  is the ROS of  $(S/P)$ .  $\therefore R/P^*, R(S/P)$  are isomorphic(3.13-lemma),  $O$  is a FI( $R/P^*$ )-S. Accordingly,  $R/P^*$  is a ‘primitive semiring’ ([6]), ie.,  $P^*$  is PI.

**Proposition 3.15** A  $\Gamma$ -S ‘ $S$ ’ &  $R$  exist its ROS. If  $U$  is a PI of  $R$  afterward  $U^*$  is a PI of  $S$ .

Proof: Presume  $U$  is a PI of  $R$ . Subsequently  $R/U$  is a ‘primitive ternary semiring’. Accordingly,  $\exists$  a FI  $R/U$ -S ‘ $O$ ’.  $O$  is a faithful irreducible  $\Gamma(S/U^*)$ -semimodule via 3.11-proposition, So  $S/U^*$  is a primitive TFS, where  $U^*$  is a PI of the TFS  $S$ .

From the over two recommendations & Hypothesis 6.6([1]) the accompanying hypothesis follows without any problem:

**Theorem3.17:** Let  $S$  be a  $\Gamma$ -S &  $R$  be its ROS.  $\exists$  a bijection all PI’s of  $S$  & the set of all PI’s of  $R$  using the mapping  $P \rightarrow P^*$ , wherever an ideal  $P$  of  $S$ .

**Theorem3.18:** A  $\Gamma$ -S ‘ $S$ ’ is ‘primitive’ iff its ROS ‘ $R$ ’ is ‘primitive’.

Proof: Permit  $S$  be a ‘primitive’ TFS.  $\exists$  FTFS-S ‘ $O$ ’.

Subsequently, via 3.11-proposition,  $O$  is a FIR-S. Accordingly,  $R$  is a primitive semiring -[6]. Contrary follows via reversing the above argument.

Ultimately, the accompanying portrayal of ‘primitive h-ideal’ of a TFS closely resembles that of a PI.

**Theorem 3.19:** A ‘h-ideal’  $P$  of a  $\Gamma$ -S  $S$  is ‘primitive’ iff  $P = A_S(O)$  for some ITFS-S  $O$ .

Proof: Let the ‘h-ideal’  $P$  of the TFS  $S$  be primitive. Via proposition 3.14, proposition-6.11([1])  $P^*$  is a ‘primitive h-ideal’ of  $R$   $\therefore P^* = A_R(O)$  ([6]), wherever  $O$  is an ‘irreducible  $R$ -semimodule’ (Proposition 3.8). Thus  $(P^*)^* = A_S(O)^* \Rightarrow P = A_S(O)$  (3.10-proposition). By reversing the above argument converse follows.

## References

- [1] R.Chinram, A note on quasi-ideals in  $\Gamma$ -semirings, International Mathematical Forum 26(2008), 1253-1259.
- [2] O.Bektas, N.Bayrak, and A.Ersoy, Soft  $\Gamma$ -semirings, arXiv:1202.1496[math.RA], 7 Feb 2012.
- [3] T.K.Dutta and S.K.Sardar, Semiprime ideals and irreducible ideals of  $\Gamma$ -semirings, Novi Sad Journal of Mathematics 30(2000), 97-108.
- [4] T.K.Dutta, S.K.Sardar, and S.Goswami, Operations on fuzzy ideals of  $\Gamma$ -semirings, arXiv:1101.4791[math.RA], 25 Jan2011.
- [5] J.Ghosh and T.K.Samanta Fuzzy ideals in  $\Gamma$ -semirings, arXiv:1010.2469[math.GM], 12 Oct 2010.

- [6] R.D.Jagatap and Y.S.Pawar, Quasi-ideals and minimal quasi-ideals in  $\Gamma$ -semirings, *Novi Sad Journal of Mathematics* 39(2009), 79-87.
- [7] J.P.Kaushik, M.A.Ansari and M.R.Khan, On bi-  $\Gamma$ -ideal in  $\Gamma$ -semirings, *International Journal of Contemporary Mathematical Sciences* 3(2008), 1255-1260.
- [8] S.Lajos, Notes on generalized bi-ideals in semigroups, *Soochow Journal of Mathematics* 10(1984), 55-59.
- [9] S.Pianskool, S.Sangwirojtanapat, and S.Tipyota, Valuation  $\Gamma$ -of semirings and valuation  $\Gamma$ -ideals, *Tahj Journal of Mathematics Special Issue (Annual Meeting in Mathematics) (2008)*, 93-102.
- [10] M.Murali Krishna Rao,  $\Gamma$ -semirings I, *Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics* 19(1995), 49-54.
- [11] G. Srinivasa Rao P.Siva Prasad, M. Vasantha, Dr. D. Madhusudhana Rao "On Strongly Duo and Duo Left  $\Gamma$ -TS-Acts over ternary-semigroups", *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics Volume 113 No. 6 2017*, 65 – 73, ISSN: 1311-8080 (printed version); ISSN: 1314-3395 (on-line version) PP 67-73.
- [12] Ch. ManikyaRao P.Siva Prasad, D. Madhusudhana Rao, G. SrinivasaRao,"Maximal ideal of compact connected topological ternary semigroups" *International conference on mathematics 2015,At: kerela,Volume: Volume 4 Issue 2*
- [13] P. Sivaprasad , Dr. D. Madhusudhana Rao , G. Srinivasa Rao," A STUDY ON STRUCTURE OF PO-TERNARY SEMIRINGS", *JOURNAL OF ADVANCES IN MATHEMATICS*, Vol .10, No.8,PP:3717-3724.
- [14] P. Sivaprasad , Dr. D. Madhusudhana Rao , Mamidipalli. Vasantha, , B. Srinivasa Kumar," On  $\Gamma$ -TS-Acts Over Ternary  $\Gamma$ -Semigroups" *International Journal of Engineering & Technology*, 7 (4.10) (2018)PP:812-815.
- [15] Siva Prasad.P, Revathi.K, 2, Sundarayya.P , Madhusudhana Rao.D , "Compositions of Fuzzy T-Ideals in Ternary - Semi ring", *International Journal of Advanced in Management, Technology and Engineering Sciences Volume 7, Issue 12, 2017 ISSN NO : 2249-7455,PP:135-145.*
- [16] P. Sivaprasad,C. Sreemannarayana, D. Madhusudhana Rao, T. Nageswara Rao, K. Anuradha," On Le- Ternary Semi groups-I" *International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering (IJRTE) ISSN: 2277-3878, Volume-7, Issue- ICETESM, March 2019,PP:165-167.*
- [17] P. Sivaprasad,C. Sreemannarayana, D. Madhusudhana Rao, T. Nageswara Rao, Sajani Lavanya. M," On Le- Ternary Semi groups-II" *International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering (IJRTE) ISSN: 2277-3878, Volume-7, Issue-ICETESM, March 2019,PP:168-170.*
- [18] S.K.Sardar and U.Dasgupta, On primitive  $\Gamma$ -semirings, *Novi Sad Journal of Mathematics* 34(2004), 1-12.
- [19] F.A.Sz`asz, Generalized biideals of rings I, *Mathematische Nachrichten* 47(1970), 355-360.
- [20] F.A.Sz`asz, Generalized biideals of rings II, *Mathematische Nachrichten* 47(1970), 361-364
- [21] Bhagyalakshmi Kothuru ,V.AmarendraBabu **Ternary  $\Gamma$ -SO semirings-3**, *International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering*,2019, 8(12), pp.210-213.
- [22] Bhagyalakshmi Kothuru ,Dr.V.AmarendraBabu **Ternary  $\Gamma$ -SO semirings-4**, *international journal of Advanced Science and Technology*, 2019, 28(14), pp.172-180.
- [23] Kothuru Bhagyalakshmi and V.AmarendraBabu**3-Absorbing Primary Ideals**, *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 2021, 2375, 0066394.
- [24] Amarendra Babu.V, Ankarao.M and Kothuru Bhagyalakshmi **Irreducible and Strongly Irreducible Bi-Ideals in Ternary  $\Gamma$ -SO-semirings**, *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 2021, 2375, 0066394.
- [25] V.AmarendraBabu, M.Ankarao and KothuruBhagyalakshmi**Bi-ideals in ternary  $\Gamma$ -SO-semirings**, *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 2021, 2375, 0066389.
- [26] G. Srinivasa Rao, D. Madhusudhanarao and P. Siva Prasad, **Simple Ternary Semi-rings**, *The Global Journal of Mathematics & Mathematical Sciences*, 9(2) (2016), 185-196.
- [27] D. Madhusudhana Rao, G. Srinivasa Rao, **Special Elements in ternary semi rings**, *International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications*, 4(11) (2014), 123-130.
- [28] G. Srinivasa Rao, D. Madhusudhana Rao, **Structure of certain ideals in ternary semi rings**, *Int. J. of Innovative Science and Modern Engg.*, 3(3) (2015), 49-56.
- [29] G. Srinivasa Rao, D. Madhusudhana Rao, **A Study on Ternary Semi rings**, *Int. J. of Math. Archive*, 5(12) (2014), 24-30.
- [30] G. Srinivasa Rao, D. Madhusudhana Rao, **Characteristics of Ternary Semi rings**, *Int.J. of Engg. Res. and Mgt.*, 2(1) (2015), 3-6.