

Some Product in Bipolar Valued Multi I-Fuzzy Subrings of a Ring

¹K.Vairamuthu, ²S. Loganathan

¹ Department of Mathematics, Sethupathy Government Arts College, Ramanathapuram -623 502, Affiliated to Alagappa University, Tamilnadu, India. Email: vairammathi83@gmail.com

²Department of Mathematics, Sethupathy Government Arts College, Ramanathapuram -623 502, Affiliated to Alagappa University, Tamilnadu, India. Email: logaamaths2010@gmail.com

Article History:

Received: 01-06-2024

Revised: 03-07-2024

Accepted: 29-07-2024

Abstract:

The cited sources help to construct this paper. Here, theorems on products in bipolar valued multi-I-fuzzy subrings of rings are presented together with their attributes, which are stated and demonstrated.

Keywords: Interval-valued fuzzy subset, bipolar valued fuzzy subset, bipolar valued multi fuzzy subset, bipolar valued multi I-fuzzy subset, bipolar valued multi fuzzy subring, bipolar valued multi I-fuzzy subring, product, and strongest relation.

Introduction

The concept of a fuzzy subset of a set was first suggested by Zadeh [17] in 1965. Fuzzy sets are a helpful mathematical structure that can be used to describe a group of objects whose boundaries are not clearly defined. Since then, there have been many generalizations of this basic idea, including intuitionistic fuzzy sets, interval-valued fuzzy sets, vague sets, soft sets, etc. It has also become a burgeoning field of study in other disciplines. [1, 1] are called bipolar-valued fuzzy sets. Intuitionistic fuzzy sets and bipolar-valued fuzzy sets have a similar appearance. They differ from one another, nevertheless [9, 10]. Azriel Rosenfeld introduced the fuzzy group [4]. Following that, Anthony J. M. and H. Sherwood proposed fuzzy groups redefined [2], and Chitra V. and K. Arjunan extended Q-fuzzy principles to nearring [6]. T.V. Ramakrishnan and Sabu Sebastian introduced multi fuzzy sets [12]. The concept of bipolar-valued fuzzy sets was suggested by Lee [9]. Fuzzy sets that have their membership degree range expanded from $[0, 1]$ to $[-1, 1]$. Following that, Anitha M.S et al. [1] introduced bipolar-valued fuzzy subgroups of a group, while Arsham Borum and Saeid [3] introduced bipolar-valued fuzzy BCK/BCI-algebras. Balasubramanian introduced properties of Bipolar interval-valued fuzzy subgroups of a group and associates [5]. Kyoung Ja Lee introduced bipolar fuzzy subalgebras and bipolar fuzzy ideals of BCK/BCI-algebras [8]. Murugalingam.K and K. Arjunan [11] presented a study on interval-valued fuzzy subsemirings of a semiring, while Shanmugapriya.M.M & K. Arjunan [13] presented the (Q, L) -Fuzzy subnearrings of a nearring. Somasundra Moorthy's work, "A study on interval valued fuzzy, anti-fuzzy, intuitionistic fuzzy subrings of a ring, [14], writing this work benefited from the thesis. The idea of product in the bipolar valued multi I-fuzzy subring of an is explored in this article.

1. Preliminaries.

Definition 1.1. [17] An interval-valued fuzzy subset F of the set Ω is a function $F: \Omega \rightarrow D[0, 1]$. Here $D[0, 1]$ denotes the family of all closed subintervals of $[0, 1]$.

Definition 1.2. [9] *The ordered structure $\mathfrak{I} = \{(z, \mathfrak{I}^+(z), \mathfrak{I}^-(z)) : z \in \mathbb{W}\}$ is called a bipolar valued fuzzy subset (BVFS) of \mathbb{w} , where $\mathfrak{I}^+ : \mathbb{w} \rightarrow [0,1]$ is a positive membership map and $\mathfrak{I}^- : \mathbb{w} \rightarrow [-1,0]$ is a negative membership map.*

Example 1.3. Let $\Omega = \{\varpi, \omega, \upsilon\}$ be a set. Then $\varphi = \{\langle \varpi, 0.4, -0.7 \rangle, \langle \omega, 0.9, -0.3 \rangle, \langle \upsilon, 0.8, -0.03 \rangle\}$ is a bipolar valued fuzzy subset of Ω .

Definition 1.4. [16] *The ordered structure $\wp = \{(z, \wp_1^+(z), \wp_2^+(z), \dots, \wp_n^+(z), \wp_1^-(z), \wp_2^-(z), \dots, \wp_n^-(z)) : z \in \mathcal{M}\}$ is called a bipolar-valued multi-fuzzy subset (BVMIFS) of \mathcal{M} with order n , where $\wp_i^+ : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow [0,1]$ are positive membership maps and $\wp_i^- : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow [-1,0]$ are negative membership maps, where $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.*

Example 1.5. Let $\Omega = \{\varpi, \omega, \upsilon\}$ be a set. Then $\varphi = \{\langle \varpi, 0.4, 0.5, 0.2, -0.7, -0.4, -0.1 \rangle, \langle \omega, 0.9, 0.5, 0.8, -0.3, -0.2, -0.8 \rangle, \langle \upsilon, 0.8, 0.1, 0.4, -0.4, -0.3, -0.6 \rangle\}$ is a bipolar valued multi fuzzy subset of Ω with order 3.

Definition 1.6. [15] *The ordered structure $\wp = \{(z, \wp_1^+(z), \wp_2^+(z), \dots, \wp_n^+(z), \wp_1^-(z), \wp_2^-(z), \dots, \wp_n^-(z)) : z \in \mathcal{M}\}$ is called a bipolar-valued multi-I-fuzzy subset (BVMIFS) of \mathcal{M} with order n , where $\wp_i^+ : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow D[0,1]$ are positive membership maps and $\wp_i^- : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow D[-1,0]$ are negative membership maps, where $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Here $D[0, 1]$ denotes the family of all closed subintervals of $[0, 1]$ and $D[-1,0]$ denotes the family of all closed subintervals of $[-1, 0]$. Note that $[0] = [0, 0]$, $[1] = [1, 1]$ and $[-1] = [-1, -1]$.*

Example 1.7. Let $\Omega = \{\varpi, \omega, \upsilon\}$ be a set. Then $\varphi = \{\langle \varpi, [0.4, 0.6], [0.5, 0.7], [0.2, 0.6], [-0.7, -0.4], [-0.4, -0.1], [-0.3, -0.1] \rangle, \langle \omega, [0.5, 0.9], [0.5, 0.7], [0.8, 0.9], [-0.3, -0.2], [-0.2, -0.1], [-0.8, -0.5] \rangle, \langle \upsilon, [0.8, 0.9], [0.1, 0.6], [0.4, 0.7], [-0.4, -0.2], [-0.3, -0.1], [-0.6, -0.2] \rangle\}$ is a bipolar valued multi I-fuzzy subset of Ω with order 3.

Definition 1.8. [15] *A BVMIFS $\wp = \langle \wp_1^+, \wp_2^+, \dots, \wp_n^+, \wp_1^-, \wp_2^-, \dots, \wp_n^- \rangle$ of a ring \mathfrak{Y} is said to be a bipolar valued multi I – fuzzy subring of \mathfrak{Y} (BVMIFSRS) if \wp has the following condition ,*

- (i) $\wp_i^+(\eta - \omega) \geq \text{rmin}\{\wp_i^+(\eta), \wp_i^+(\omega)\}$,
- (ii) $\wp_i^+(\eta\omega) \geq \text{rmin}\{\wp_i^+(\eta), \wp_i^+(\omega)\}$,
- (iii) $\wp_i^-(\eta - \omega) \leq \text{rmax}\{\wp_i^-(\eta), \wp_i^-(\omega)\}$,
- (iv) $\wp_i^-(\eta\omega) \leq \text{rmax}\{\wp_i^-(\eta), \wp_i^-(\omega)\}$, for all $\eta, \omega \in \mathfrak{Y}$.

Example 1.9. Let $\mathbb{z}_3 = \{0, 1, 2\}$ be a ring with \oplus_3 and \otimes_3 . Then \wp is defined as $\wp = \{(0, [0.7, 0.8], [0.8, 0.9], [0.9, 1.0], [-0.9, -0.8], [-0.8, -0.7], [-0.7, -0.6]), (1, [0.5, 0.6], [0.6, 0.7], [0.7, 0.8], [-0.6, -0.5], [-0.5, -0.4], [-0.4, -0.3]), (2, [0.5, 0.6], [0.6, 0.7], [0.7, 0.8], [-0.6, -0.5], [-0.5, -0.4], [-0.4, -0.3])\}$, is a BVMIFSRS of \mathbb{z}_3 .

Definition 1.10. *Let $\mathfrak{K} = \langle \mathfrak{K}_1^+, \mathfrak{K}_2^+, \dots, \mathfrak{K}_n^+, \mathfrak{K}_1^-, \mathfrak{K}_2^-, \dots, \mathfrak{K}_n^- \rangle$ be BVMIFS of the set \mathfrak{L}_1 , the strongest BVMIFS relation on \mathfrak{L}_1 , that is a BVMIFS relation on \mathfrak{K} is $\wp = \{\langle (q, \zeta), \wp_1^+(q, \zeta), \wp_2^+(q, \zeta), \dots, \wp_n^+(q, \zeta), \wp_1^-(q, \zeta), \wp_2^-(q, \zeta), \dots, \wp_n^-(q, \zeta) \rangle / \text{for all } q, \zeta \in \mathfrak{L}_1\}$, where $\wp_i^+(q, \zeta) = \text{rmin}\{\mathfrak{K}_i^+(q), \mathfrak{K}_i^+(\zeta)\}$ and $\wp_i^-(q, \zeta) = \text{rmax}\{\mathfrak{K}_i^-(q), \mathfrak{K}_i^-(\zeta)\}$, for all $q, \zeta \in \mathfrak{L}_1, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.*

Definition 1.11. *Let $\mathfrak{K} = \langle \mathfrak{K}_1^+, \mathfrak{K}_2^+, \dots, \mathfrak{K}_n^+, \mathfrak{K}_1^-, \mathfrak{K}_2^-, \dots, \mathfrak{K}_n^- \rangle$ and $\wp = \langle \wp_1^+, \wp_2^+, \dots, \wp_n^+, \wp_1^-, \wp_2^-, \dots, \wp_n^- \rangle$ be BVMIFSs of the sets \mathfrak{L}_1 and \mathfrak{L}_2 respectively. The product of \mathfrak{K} and \wp , denoted by $\mathfrak{K} \times \wp$, is defined as $\mathfrak{K} \times \wp = \{\langle (q, \zeta), (\mathfrak{K}_1 \times \wp_1)^+(q, \zeta), (\mathfrak{K}_2 \times \wp_2)^+(q, \zeta), \dots, (\mathfrak{K}_n \times \wp_n)^+(q, \zeta), (\mathfrak{K}_1 \times \wp_1)^-(q, \zeta), (\mathfrak{K}_2 \times \wp_2)^-(q, \zeta), \dots, (\mathfrak{K}_n \times \wp_n)^-(q, \zeta) \rangle\}$.*

$(\mathfrak{K}_2 \times \wp_2)^-(\varrho, \zeta), \dots, (\mathfrak{K}_n \times \wp_n)^-(\varrho, \zeta) / \text{for all } (\varrho, \zeta) \in \mathfrak{L}_1 \times \mathfrak{L}_2$, where $(\mathfrak{K}_i \times \wp_i)^+(\varrho, \zeta) = \text{rmin}\{\mathfrak{K}_i^+(\varrho), \wp_i^+(\zeta)\}$ and $(\mathfrak{K}_i \times \wp_i)^-(\varrho, \zeta) = \text{rmax}\{\mathfrak{K}_i^-(\varrho), \wp_i^-(\zeta)\}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

2. Some Theorems.

Theorem 2.1. *If $\mathfrak{K} = \langle \mathfrak{K}_1^+, \mathfrak{K}_2^+, \dots, \mathfrak{K}_n^+, \mathfrak{K}_1^-, \mathfrak{K}_2^-, \dots, \mathfrak{K}_n^- \rangle$ and $\wp = \langle \wp_1^+, \wp_2^+, \dots, \wp_n^+, \wp_1^-, \wp_2^-, \dots, \wp_n^- \rangle$ are BVMIFSRS of the rings \mathfrak{L}_1 and \mathfrak{L}_2 respectively, then $\mathfrak{K} \times \wp$ is a BVMIFSRS of the ring $\mathfrak{L}_1 \times \mathfrak{L}_2$.*

Proof. Let ϱ, v be in \mathfrak{L}_1 and ζ, ξ be in \mathfrak{L}_2 . Then (ϱ, ζ) and (v, ξ) are in $\mathfrak{L}_1 \times \mathfrak{L}_2$.

For all $i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

$$(\mathfrak{K}_i \times \wp_i)^+[(\varrho, \zeta) - (v, \xi)] = (\mathfrak{K}_i \times \wp_i)^+(\varrho - v, \zeta - \xi) = \text{rmin}\{\mathfrak{K}_i^+(\varrho - v), \wp_i^+(\zeta - \xi)\} \geq \text{rmin}\{\text{rmin}\{\mathfrak{K}_i^+(\varrho), \mathfrak{K}_i^+(v)\}, \text{rmin}\{\wp_i^+(\zeta), \wp_i^+(\xi)\}\} = \text{rmin}\{\text{rmin}\{\mathfrak{K}_i^+(\varrho), \wp_i^+(\zeta)\}, \text{rmin}\{\mathfrak{K}_i^+(v), \wp_i^+(\xi)\}\} = \text{rmin}\{(\mathfrak{K}_i \times \wp_i)^+(\varrho, \zeta), (\mathfrak{K}_i \times \wp_i)^+(v, \xi)\}, \text{for all } (\varrho, \zeta), (v, \xi) \text{ in } \mathfrak{L}_1 \times \mathfrak{L}_2.$$

And $(\mathfrak{K}_i \times \wp_i)^+[(\varrho, \zeta)(v, \xi)] = (\mathfrak{K}_i \times \wp_i)^+(\varrho v, \zeta \xi) = \text{rmin}\{\mathfrak{K}_i^+(\varrho v), \wp_i^+(\zeta \xi)\} \geq \text{rmin}\{\text{rmin}\{\mathfrak{K}_i^+(\varrho), \mathfrak{K}_i^+(v)\}, \text{rmin}\{\wp_i^+(\zeta), \wp_i^+(\xi)\}\} = \text{rmin}\{\text{rmin}\{\mathfrak{K}_i^+(\varrho), \wp_i^+(\zeta)\}, \text{rmin}\{\mathfrak{K}_i^+(v), \wp_i^+(\xi)\}\} = \text{rmin}\{(\mathfrak{K}_i \times \wp_i)^+(\varrho, \zeta), (\mathfrak{K}_i \times \wp_i)^+(v, \xi)\}, \text{for all } (\varrho, \zeta), (v, \xi) \text{ in } \mathfrak{L}_1 \times \mathfrak{L}_2.$

Also $(\mathfrak{K}_i \times \wp_i)^-[(\varrho, \zeta) - (v, \xi)] = (\mathfrak{K}_i \times \wp_i)^-(\varrho - v, \zeta - \xi) = \text{rmax}\{\mathfrak{K}_i^-(\varrho - v), \wp_i^-(\zeta - \xi)\} \leq \text{rmax}\{\text{rmax}\{\mathfrak{K}_i^-(\varrho), \mathfrak{K}_i^-(v)\}, \text{rmax}\{\wp_i^-(\zeta), \wp_i^-(\xi)\}\} = \text{rmax}\{\text{rmax}\{\mathfrak{K}_i^-(\varrho), \wp_i^-(\zeta)\}, \text{rmax}\{\mathfrak{K}_i^-(v), \wp_i^-(\xi)\}\} = \text{rmax}\{(\mathfrak{K}_i \times \wp_i)^-(\varrho, \zeta), (\mathfrak{K}_i \times \wp_i)^-(v, \xi)\}, \text{for all } (\varrho, \zeta), (v, \xi) \text{ in } \mathfrak{L}_1 \times \mathfrak{L}_2.$

And $(\mathfrak{K}_i \times \wp_i)^-[(\varrho, \zeta)(v, \xi)] = (\mathfrak{K}_i \times \wp_i)^-(\varrho v, \zeta \xi) = \text{rmax}\{\mathfrak{K}_i^-(\varrho v), \wp_i^-(\zeta \xi)\} \leq \text{rmax}\{\text{rmax}\{\mathfrak{K}_i^-(\varrho), \mathfrak{K}_i^-(v)\}, \text{rmax}\{\wp_i^-(\zeta), \wp_i^-(\xi)\}\} = \text{rmax}\{\text{rmax}\{\mathfrak{K}_i^-(\varrho), \wp_i^-(\zeta)\}, \text{rmax}\{\mathfrak{K}_i^-(v), \wp_i^-(\xi)\}\} = \text{rmax}\{(\mathfrak{K}_i \times \wp_i)^-(\varrho, \zeta), (\mathfrak{K}_i \times \wp_i)^-(v, \xi)\}, \text{for all } (\varrho, \zeta), (v, \xi) \text{ in } \mathfrak{L}_1 \times \mathfrak{L}_2. \text{ Hence } \mathfrak{K} \times \wp \text{ is a BVMIFSRS of } \mathfrak{L}_1 \times \mathfrak{L}_2.$

Theorem 2.2. *If $\wp_1, \wp_2, \dots, \wp_m$ are BVMIFSRS of the rings $\mathfrak{L}_1, \mathfrak{L}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{L}_m$ respectively, then $\wp_1 \times \wp_2 \times \dots \times \wp_m$ is a BVMIFSRS of the ring $\mathfrak{L}_1 \times \mathfrak{L}_2 \times \dots \times \mathfrak{L}_m$.*

Proof. From the theorem 2.1, the proof is trivial.

Theorem 2.3. *If $\mathfrak{K} \times \wp$ is a BVMIFSRS with degree n of a ring $\mathfrak{L}_1 \times \mathfrak{L}_2$, then for all $i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $(\mathfrak{K}_i \times \wp_i)^+(-v, \xi^{-1}) = (\mathfrak{K}_i \times \wp_i)^+(v, \xi)$, $(\mathfrak{K}_i \times \wp_i)^-(-v, \xi^{-1}) = (\mathfrak{K}_i \times \wp_i)^-(v, \xi)$, $(\mathfrak{K}_i \times \wp_i)^+(v, \xi) \leq (\mathfrak{K}_i \times \wp_i)^+(0, 1)$ and $(\mathfrak{K}_i \times \wp_i)^-(v, \xi) \geq (\mathfrak{K}_i \times \wp_i)^-(0, 1)$, for all (v, ξ) in $\mathfrak{L}_1 \times \mathfrak{L}_2$, where $(0, 1)$ is the identity element of $\mathfrak{L}_1 \times \mathfrak{L}_2$.*

Proof. Let (v, ξ) be in $\mathfrak{L}_1 \times \mathfrak{L}_2$ and $(0, 1)$ be the identity element of $\mathfrak{L}_1 \times \mathfrak{L}_2$.

For all $i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

$$(\mathfrak{K}_i \times \wp_i)^+(v, \xi) = (\mathfrak{K}_i \times \wp_i)^+(-(-v), (\xi^{-1})^{-1}) \geq (\mathfrak{K}_i \times \wp_i)^+(-v, \xi^{-1}) \geq (\mathfrak{K}_i \times \wp_i)^+(v, \xi). \text{ Thus } (\mathfrak{K}_i \times \wp_i)^+(-v, \xi^{-1}) = (\mathfrak{K}_i \times \wp_i)^+(v, \xi), \text{ for all } (v, \xi) \text{ in } \mathfrak{L}_1 \times \mathfrak{L}_2.$$

$$\text{And } (\mathfrak{K}_i \times \wp_i)^-(v, \xi) = (\mathfrak{K}_i \times \wp_i)^-(-(-v), (\xi^{-1})^{-1}) \leq (\mathfrak{K}_i \times \wp_i)^-(-v, \xi^{-1}) \leq (\mathfrak{K}_i \times \wp_i)^-(v, \xi). \text{ Thus } (\mathfrak{K}_i \times \wp_i)^-(-v, \xi^{-1}) = (\mathfrak{K}_i \times \wp_i)^-(v, \xi), \text{ for all } (v, \xi) \text{ in } \mathfrak{L}_1 \times \mathfrak{L}_2.$$

$$\text{Also } (\mathfrak{K}_i \times \wp_i)^+(0, 1) = (\mathfrak{K}_i \times \wp_i)^+(v - v, \xi \xi^{-1}) = \text{rmin}\{\mathfrak{K}_i^+(v - v), \wp_i^+(\xi \xi^{-1})\} \geq \text{rmin}\{\text{rmin}\{\mathfrak{K}_i^+(v), \mathfrak{K}_i^+(v)\}, \text{rmin}\{\wp_i^+(\xi), \wp_i^+(\xi)\}\} = \text{rmin}\{\mathfrak{K}_i^+(v), \wp_i^+(\xi)\} = (\mathfrak{K}_i \times \wp_i)^+(v, \xi). \text{ Thus } (\mathfrak{K}_i \times \wp_i)^+(v, \xi) \leq (\mathfrak{K}_i \times \wp_i)^+(0, 1), \text{ for all } (v, \xi) \text{ in } \mathfrak{L}_1 \times \mathfrak{L}_2.$$

$$\text{And } (\mathfrak{K}_i \times \wp_i)^-(0, 1) = (\mathfrak{K}_i \times \wp_i)^-(v - v, \xi \xi^{-1}) = \text{rmax}\{\mathfrak{K}_i^-(v - v), \wp_i^-(\xi \xi^{-1})\} \leq \text{rmax}\{\text{rmax}\{\mathfrak{K}_i^-(v), \mathfrak{K}_i^-(v)\}, \text{rmax}\{\wp_i^-(\xi), \wp_i^-(\xi)\}\} = \text{rmax}\{\mathfrak{K}_i^-(v), \wp_i^-(\xi)\} = (\mathfrak{K}_i \times \wp_i)^-(v, \xi). \text{ Thus } (\mathfrak{K}_i \times \wp_i)^-(v, \xi) \geq (\mathfrak{K}_i \times \wp_i)^-(0, 1), \text{ for all } (v, \xi) \text{ in } \mathfrak{L}_1 \times \mathfrak{L}_2.$$

Theorem 2.4. *Let \mathfrak{H} and \mathfrak{W} be any two BVMIFSRS of the rings \mathfrak{H}_1 and \mathfrak{H}_2*

respectively. If $\mathfrak{Y} \times \mathfrak{W}$ is a $\mathbb{BVMIFS}\mathbb{R}$ of the ring $\mathfrak{S}_1 \times \mathfrak{S}_2$, then at least one of the following two statements must hold; (i) For all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $\mathfrak{W}_i^+(o) \geq \mathfrak{Y}_i^+(\rho)$, $\mathfrak{W}_i^-(o) \leq \mathfrak{Y}_i^-(\rho)$, for all $\rho \in \mathfrak{S}_1$, (ii) $\mathfrak{W}_i^+(\zeta) \leq \mathfrak{Y}_i^+(e)$, $\mathfrak{W}_i^-(\zeta) \geq \mathfrak{Y}_i^-(e)$, for all $\zeta \in \mathfrak{S}_2$, where e, o are identity elements of \mathfrak{S}_1 and \mathfrak{S}_2 .

Proof. By contraposition, suppose that none of the statements (i) and (ii) holds. For $\rho \in \mathfrak{S}_1$ and $\zeta \in \mathfrak{S}_2$ such that $\mathfrak{W}_i^+(o) < \mathfrak{Y}_i^+(\rho)$, $\mathfrak{W}_i^-(o) > \mathfrak{Y}_i^-(\rho)$ and $\mathfrak{W}_i^+(\zeta) > \mathfrak{Y}_i^+(e)$, $\mathfrak{W}_i^-(\zeta) < \mathfrak{Y}_i^-(e)$. For all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

$$(\mathfrak{Y}_i \times \mathfrak{W}_i)^+(\rho, \zeta) = \text{rmin}\{\mathfrak{Y}_i^+(\rho), \mathfrak{W}_i^+(\zeta)\} > \text{rmin}\{\mathfrak{Y}_i^+(e), \mathfrak{W}_i^+(o)\} = (\mathfrak{Y}_i \times \mathfrak{W}_i)^+(e, o).$$

$$\text{Also } (\mathfrak{Y}_i \times \mathfrak{W}_i)^+(\rho, \zeta) = \text{rmax}\{\mathfrak{Y}_i^+(\rho), \mathfrak{W}_i^+(\zeta)\} < \text{rmax}\{\mathfrak{Y}_i^+(e), \mathfrak{W}_i^+(o)\} = (\mathfrak{Y}_i \times \mathfrak{W}_i)^+(e, o).$$

Thus $\mathfrak{Y} \times \mathfrak{W}$ is not a $\mathbb{BVMIFS}\mathbb{R}$ of the ring $\mathfrak{S}_1 \times \mathfrak{S}_2$.

Hence either $\mathfrak{W}_i^+(o) \geq \mathfrak{Y}_i^+(\rho)$, $\mathfrak{W}_i^-(o) \leq \mathfrak{Y}_i^-(\rho)$, for all $\rho \in \mathfrak{S}_1$ or

$\mathfrak{W}_i^+(\zeta) \leq \mathfrak{Y}_i^+(e)$, $\mathfrak{W}_i^-(\zeta) \geq \mathfrak{Y}_i^-(e)$, for all $\zeta \in \mathfrak{S}_2$.

Theorem 2.5. Let \mathfrak{P} and \mathfrak{W} be any two \mathbb{BVMIFS} s of the rings \mathfrak{D}_1 and \mathfrak{D}_2

respectively and $\mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{W}$ be a $\mathbb{BVMIFS}\mathbb{R}$ of the ring $\mathfrak{D}_1 \times \mathfrak{D}_2$. Then the following are true;

(i) For all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, if $\mathfrak{W}_i^+(o) \geq \mathfrak{P}_i^+(\rho)$, $\mathfrak{W}_i^-(o) \leq \mathfrak{P}_i^-(\rho)$, for all $\rho \in \mathfrak{D}_1$, then \mathfrak{P} is a $\mathbb{BVMIFS}\mathbb{R}$ of \mathfrak{D}_1 ; (ii) if $\mathfrak{W}_i^+(\zeta) \leq \mathfrak{P}_i^+(e)$, $\mathfrak{W}_i^-(\zeta) \geq \mathfrak{P}_i^-(e)$, for all $\zeta \in \mathfrak{D}_2$, then \mathfrak{W} is a $\mathbb{BVMIFS}\mathbb{R}$ of \mathfrak{D}_2 ; where e, o are identity elements of \mathfrak{D}_1 and \mathfrak{D}_2 .

Proof. Let ρ, v be in \mathfrak{D}_1 . Then (ρ, o) and (v, o) are in $\mathfrak{D}_1 \times \mathfrak{D}_2$. For all $i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

$$(i) \mathfrak{P}_i^+(\rho-v) = \text{rmin}\{\mathfrak{P}_i^+(\rho-v), \mathfrak{W}_i^+(o-o)\} = (\mathfrak{P}_i \times \mathfrak{W}_i)^+(\rho-v, o-o) = (\mathfrak{P}_i \times \mathfrak{W}_i)^+[(\rho, o)-(v, o)] \geq \text{rmin}\{(\mathfrak{P}_i \times \mathfrak{W}_i)^+(\rho, o), (\mathfrak{P}_i \times \mathfrak{W}_i)^+(v, o)\} = \text{rmin}\{\text{rmin}\{\mathfrak{P}_i^+(\rho), \mathfrak{W}_i^+(o)\}, \text{rmin}\{\mathfrak{P}_i^+(v), \mathfrak{W}_i^+(o)\}\} = \text{rmin}\{\mathfrak{P}_i^+(\rho), \mathfrak{P}_i^+(v)\}, \text{ for all } \rho, v \text{ in } \mathfrak{D}_1.$$

$$\text{And } \mathfrak{P}_i^+(\rho v) = \text{rmin}\{\mathfrak{P}_i^+(\rho v), \mathfrak{W}_i^+(oo)\} = (\mathfrak{P}_i \times \mathfrak{W}_i)^+(\rho v, oo) = (\mathfrak{P}_i \times \mathfrak{W}_i)^+[(\rho, o)(v, o)] \geq \text{rmin}\{(\mathfrak{P}_i \times \mathfrak{W}_i)^+(\rho, o), (\mathfrak{P}_i \times \mathfrak{W}_i)^+(v, o)\} = \text{rmin}\{\text{rmin}\{\mathfrak{P}_i^+(\rho), \mathfrak{W}_i^+(o)\}, \text{rmin}\{\mathfrak{P}_i^+(v), \mathfrak{W}_i^+(o)\}\} = \text{rmin}\{\mathfrak{P}_i^+(\rho), \mathfrak{P}_i^+(v)\}, \text{ for all } \rho, v \text{ in } \mathfrak{D}_1.$$

$$\text{Also } \mathfrak{P}_i^-(\rho-v) = \text{rmax}\{\mathfrak{P}_i^-(\rho-v), \mathfrak{W}_i^-(o-o)\} = (\mathfrak{P}_i \times \mathfrak{W}_i)^-(\rho-v, o-o) = (\mathfrak{P}_i \times \mathfrak{W}_i)^-[(\rho, o)-(v, o)] \leq \text{rmax}\{(\mathfrak{P}_i \times \mathfrak{W}_i)^-(\rho, o), (\mathfrak{P}_i \times \mathfrak{W}_i)^-(v, o)\} = \text{rmax}\{\text{rmax}\{\mathfrak{P}_i^-(\rho), \mathfrak{W}_i^-(o)\}, \text{rmax}\{\mathfrak{P}_i^-(v), \mathfrak{W}_i^-(o)\}\} = \text{rmax}\{\mathfrak{P}_i^-(\rho), \mathfrak{P}_i^-(v)\}, \text{ for all } \rho, v \text{ in } \mathfrak{D}_1.$$

$$\text{And } \mathfrak{P}_i^-(\rho v) = \text{rmax}\{\mathfrak{P}_i^-(\rho v), \mathfrak{W}_i^-(oo)\} = (\mathfrak{P}_i \times \mathfrak{W}_i)^-(\rho v, oo) = (\mathfrak{P}_i \times \mathfrak{W}_i)^-[(\rho, o)(v, o)] \leq \text{rmax}\{(\mathfrak{P}_i \times \mathfrak{W}_i)^-(\rho, o), (\mathfrak{P}_i \times \mathfrak{W}_i)^-(v, o)\} = \text{rmax}\{\text{rmax}\{\mathfrak{P}_i^-(\rho), \mathfrak{W}_i^-(o)\}, \text{rmax}\{\mathfrak{P}_i^-(v), \mathfrak{W}_i^-(o)\}\} = \text{rmax}\{\mathfrak{P}_i^-(\rho), \mathfrak{P}_i^-(v)\}, \text{ for all } \rho, v \text{ in } \mathfrak{D}_1.$$

Hence \mathfrak{P} is a $\mathbb{BVMIFS}\mathbb{R}$ of \mathfrak{D}_1 .

(ii) Let ρ, v be in \mathfrak{D}_2 . Then (e, ρ) and (e, v) are in $\mathfrak{D}_1 \times \mathfrak{D}_2$. For all $i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

$$\mathfrak{W}_i^+(\rho-v) = \text{rmin}\{\mathfrak{P}_i^+(e-e), \mathfrak{W}_i^+(\rho-v)\} = (\mathfrak{P}_i \times \mathfrak{W}_i)^+(e-e, \rho-v) = (\mathfrak{P}_i \times \mathfrak{W}_i)^+[(e, \rho)-(e, v)] \geq \text{rmin}\{(\mathfrak{P}_i \times \mathfrak{W}_i)^+(e, \rho), (\mathfrak{P}_i \times \mathfrak{W}_i)^+(e, v)\} = \text{rmin}\{\text{rmin}\{\mathfrak{P}_i^+(e), \mathfrak{W}_i^+(\rho)\}, \text{rmin}\{\mathfrak{P}_i^+(e), \mathfrak{W}_i^+(v)\}\} = \text{rmin}\{\mathfrak{W}_i^+(\rho), \mathfrak{W}_i^+(v)\}, \text{ for all } \rho, v \text{ in } \mathfrak{D}_2.$$

$$\text{And } \mathfrak{W}_i^+(\rho v) = \text{rmin}\{\mathfrak{P}_i^+(ee), \mathfrak{W}_i^+(\rho v)\} = (\mathfrak{P}_i \times \mathfrak{W}_i)^+(ee, \rho v) = (\mathfrak{P}_i \times \mathfrak{W}_i)^+[(e, \rho)(e, v)] \geq \text{rmin}\{(\mathfrak{P}_i \times \mathfrak{W}_i)^+(e, \rho), (\mathfrak{P}_i \times \mathfrak{W}_i)^+(e, v)\} = \text{rmin}\{\text{rmin}\{\mathfrak{P}_i^+(e), \mathfrak{W}_i^+(\rho)\}, \text{rmin}\{\mathfrak{P}_i^+(e), \mathfrak{W}_i^+(v)\}\} = \text{rmin}\{\mathfrak{W}_i^+(\rho), \mathfrak{W}_i^+(v)\}, \text{ for all } \rho, v \text{ in } \mathfrak{D}_2.$$

$$\text{Also } \mathfrak{W}_i^-(\rho-v) = \text{rmax}\{\mathfrak{P}_i^-(e-e), \mathfrak{W}_i^-(\rho-v)\} = (\mathfrak{P}_i \times \mathfrak{W}_i)^-(e-e, \rho-v) = (\mathfrak{P}_i \times \mathfrak{W}_i)^-[(e, \rho)-(e, v)] \leq \text{rmax}\{(\mathfrak{P}_i \times \mathfrak{W}_i)^-(e, \rho), (\mathfrak{P}_i \times \mathfrak{W}_i)^-(e, v)\} = \text{rmax}\{\text{rmax}\{\mathfrak{P}_i^-(e), \mathfrak{W}_i^-(\rho)\}, \text{rmax}\{\mathfrak{P}_i^-(e), \mathfrak{W}_i^-(v)\}\} = \text{rmax}\{\mathfrak{W}_i^-(\rho), \mathfrak{W}_i^-(v)\}, \text{ for all } \rho, v \text{ in } \mathfrak{D}_2.$$

And $\mathfrak{W}_i^-(\varrho v) = r\max\{\mathfrak{P}_i^-(ee), \mathfrak{W}_i^-(\varrho v)\} = (\mathfrak{P}_i \times \mathfrak{W}_i)^-(ee, \varrho v) = (\mathfrak{P}_i \times \mathfrak{W}_i)^-[(e, \varrho)(e, v)] \leq r\max\{(\mathfrak{P}_i \times \mathfrak{W}_i)^-(e, \varrho), (\mathfrak{P}_i \times \mathfrak{W}_i)^-(e, v)\} = r\max\{r\max\{\mathfrak{P}_i^-(e), \mathfrak{W}_i^-(\varrho)\}, r\max\{\mathfrak{P}_i^-(e), \mathfrak{W}_i^-(v)\}\} = r\max\{\mathfrak{W}_i^-(\varrho), \mathfrak{W}_i^-(v)\}$, for all ϱ, v in \mathfrak{D}_2 .

Hence \mathfrak{W} is a $\mathbb{B}\mathbb{V}\mathbb{M}\mathbb{I}\mathbb{F}\mathbb{S}\mathbb{R}$ of \mathfrak{D}_2 .

Theorem 2.6. Let $\mathfrak{P}_1, \mathfrak{P}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{P}_n$ be the $\mathbb{B}\mathbb{V}\mathbb{M}\mathbb{I}\mathbb{F}\mathbb{S}\mathbb{R}$ s of the rings $\mathfrak{D}_1, \mathfrak{D}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{D}_n$ respectively and $\mathfrak{P}_1 \times \mathfrak{P}_2 \times \dots \times \mathfrak{P}_n$ be a $\mathbb{B}\mathbb{V}\mathbb{M}\mathbb{I}\mathbb{F}\mathbb{S}\mathbb{R}$ of the ring $\mathfrak{D}_1 \times \mathfrak{D}_2 \times \dots \times \mathfrak{D}_n$. Then the following are true;

For all $i, j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, if $\mathfrak{P}_{kj}^+(o) \geq \mathfrak{P}_{ij}^+(\varrho), \mathfrak{P}_{kj}^-(o) \leq \mathfrak{P}_{ij}^-(\varrho)$, for all $\varrho \in \mathfrak{D}_i$, then \mathfrak{P}_i is a $\mathbb{B}\mathbb{V}\mathbb{M}\mathbb{I}\mathbb{F}\mathbb{S}\mathbb{R}$ of \mathfrak{D}_i . where e, o are identity elements of \mathfrak{D}_i and \mathfrak{D}_k .

Proof. The proof follows from the theorem 2.5.

Theorem 2.7. If $\mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{W}$ is a $\mathbb{B}\mathbb{V}\mathbb{M}\mathbb{I}\mathbb{F}\mathbb{S}\mathbb{R}$ of the ring $\mathfrak{K}_1 \times \mathfrak{K}_2$, then

$\mathfrak{F} = \{(h, \delta) \in \mathfrak{K}_1 \times \mathfrak{K}_2 : (\mathfrak{P}_i^+ \times \mathfrak{W}_i^+)(h, \delta) = (\mathfrak{P}_i^+ \times \mathfrak{W}_i^+)(o, \delta) \text{ and } (\mathfrak{P}_i^- \times \mathfrak{W}_i^-)(h, \delta) = (\mathfrak{P}_i^- \times \mathfrak{W}_i^-)(o, \delta), \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is either empty or a subring $\mathfrak{K}_1 \times \mathfrak{K}_2$, where o, δ are first operation identity elements of \mathfrak{K}_1 and \mathfrak{K}_2 .

Proof. If any elements not satisfies the condition, then \mathfrak{F} is empty.

Let $(h_1, \delta_1), (h_2, \delta_2) \in \mathfrak{F}$. For all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then

$$(\mathfrak{P}_i^+ \times \mathfrak{W}_i^+)[(h_1, \delta_1) - (h_2, \delta_2)] \geq r\min\{(\mathfrak{P}_i^+ \times \mathfrak{W}_i^+)(h_1, \delta_1), (\mathfrak{P}_i^+ \times \mathfrak{W}_i^+)(h_2, \delta_2)\} = r\min\{(\mathfrak{P}_i^+ \times \mathfrak{W}_i^+)(o, \delta), (\mathfrak{P}_i^+ \times \mathfrak{W}_i^+)(o, \delta)\} = (\mathfrak{P}_i^+ \times \mathfrak{W}_i^+)(o, \delta).$$

Thus $(\mathfrak{P}_i^+ \times \mathfrak{W}_i^+)[(h_1, \delta_1) - (h_2, \delta_2)] = (\mathfrak{P}_i^+ \times \mathfrak{W}_i^+)(o, \delta)$, for all $(h_1, \delta_1), (h_2, \delta_2) \in \mathfrak{F}$.

$$\text{And } (\mathfrak{P}_i^- \times \mathfrak{W}_i^-)[(h_1, \delta_1) - (h_2, \delta_2)] \leq r\max\{(\mathfrak{P}_i^- \times \mathfrak{W}_i^-)(h_1, \delta_1), (\mathfrak{P}_i^- \times \mathfrak{W}_i^-)(h_2, \delta_2)\} = r\max\{(\mathfrak{P}_i^- \times \mathfrak{W}_i^-)(o, \delta), (\mathfrak{P}_i^- \times \mathfrak{W}_i^-)(o, \delta)\} = (\mathfrak{P}_i^- \times \mathfrak{W}_i^-)(o, \delta).$$

Thus $(\mathfrak{P}_i^- \times \mathfrak{W}_i^-)[(h_1, \delta_1) - (h_2, \delta_2)] = (\mathfrak{P}_i^- \times \mathfrak{W}_i^-)(o, \delta)$, for all $(h_1, \delta_1), (h_2, \delta_2) \in \mathfrak{F}$.

Therefore $(h_1, \delta_1) - (h_2, \delta_2) \in \mathfrak{F}$.

$$\text{Also } (\mathfrak{P}_i^+ \times \mathfrak{W}_i^+)[(h_1, \delta_1)(h_2, \delta_2)] \geq r\min\{(\mathfrak{P}_i^+ \times \mathfrak{W}_i^+)(h_1, \delta_1), (\mathfrak{P}_i^+ \times \mathfrak{W}_i^+)(h_2, \delta_2)\} = r\min\{(\mathfrak{P}_i^+ \times \mathfrak{W}_i^+)(o, \delta), (\mathfrak{P}_i^+ \times \mathfrak{W}_i^+)(o, \delta)\} = (\mathfrak{P}_i^+ \times \mathfrak{W}_i^+)(o, \delta).$$

Thus $(\mathfrak{P}_i^+ \times \mathfrak{W}_i^+)[(h_1, \delta_1)(h_2, \delta_2)] = (\mathfrak{P}_i^+ \times \mathfrak{W}_i^+)(o, \delta)$, for all $(h_1, \delta_1), (h_2, \delta_2) \in \mathfrak{F}$.

$$\text{And } (\mathfrak{P}_i^- \times \mathfrak{W}_i^-)[(h_1, \delta_1)(h_2, \delta_2)] \leq r\max\{(\mathfrak{P}_i^- \times \mathfrak{W}_i^-)(h_1, \delta_1), (\mathfrak{P}_i^- \times \mathfrak{W}_i^-)(h_2, \delta_2)\} = r\max\{(\mathfrak{P}_i^- \times \mathfrak{W}_i^-)(o, \delta), (\mathfrak{P}_i^- \times \mathfrak{W}_i^-)(o, \delta)\} = (\mathfrak{P}_i^- \times \mathfrak{W}_i^-)(o, \delta).$$

Thus $(\mathfrak{P}_i^- \times \mathfrak{W}_i^-)[(h_1, \delta_1)(h_2, \delta_2)] = (\mathfrak{P}_i^- \times \mathfrak{W}_i^-)(o, \delta)$, for all $(h_1, \delta_1), (h_2, \delta_2) \in \mathfrak{F}$.

Therefore $(h_1, \delta_1)(h_2, \delta_2) \in \mathfrak{F}$. Hence \mathfrak{F} is a subring of $\mathfrak{K}_1 \times \mathfrak{K}_2$.

Theorem 2.8. If $\mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{W}$ is a $\mathbb{B}\mathbb{V}\mathbb{M}\mathbb{I}\mathbb{F}\mathbb{S}\mathbb{R}$ of the ring $\mathfrak{G}_1 \times \mathfrak{G}_2$, then

$\mathfrak{Y} = \{(h, \delta) \in \mathfrak{G}_1 \times \mathfrak{G}_2 : (\mathfrak{P}_i^+ \times \mathfrak{W}_i^+)(h, \delta) = [1] \text{ and } (\mathfrak{P}_i^- \times \mathfrak{W}_i^-)(h, \delta) = [-1], \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is either empty or a subring $\mathfrak{G}_1 \times \mathfrak{G}_2$.

Proof. If any elements not satisfies the condition, then \mathfrak{Y} is empty.

Let $(h_1, \delta_1), (h_2, \delta_2) \in \mathfrak{Y}$. For all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then

$$(\mathfrak{P}_i^+ \times \mathfrak{W}_i^+)[(h_1, \delta_1) - (h_2, \delta_2)] \geq r\min\{(\mathfrak{P}_i^+ \times \mathfrak{W}_i^+)(h_1, \delta_1), (\mathfrak{P}_i^+ \times \mathfrak{W}_i^+)(h_2, \delta_2)\} = r\min\{[1], [1]\} = [1].$$

Thus $(\mathfrak{P}_i^+ \times \mathfrak{W}_i^+)[(h_1, \delta_1) - (h_2, \delta_2)] = [1]$, for all $(h_1, \delta_1), (h_2, \delta_2) \in \mathfrak{Y}$.

$$\text{And } (\mathfrak{P}_i^- \times \mathfrak{W}_i^-)[(h_1, \delta_1) - (h_2, \delta_2)] \leq r\max\{(\mathfrak{P}_i^- \times \mathfrak{W}_i^-)(h_1, \delta_1), (\mathfrak{P}_i^- \times \mathfrak{W}_i^-)(h_2, \delta_2)\} = r\max\{[-1], [-1]\} = [-1].$$

Thus $(\mathfrak{P}_i^- \times \mathfrak{W}_i^-)[(h_1, \delta_1) - (h_2, \delta_2)] = [-1]$, for all $(h_1, \delta_1), (h_2, \delta_2) \in \mathfrak{Y}$.

Therefore $(h_1, \beta_1) - (h_2, \beta_2) \in \mathbb{Y}$.

$$\text{Also } (\mathfrak{P}_i^+ \times \mathfrak{W}_i^+)[(h_1, \beta_1)(h_2, \beta_2)] \geq \text{rmin} \{(\mathfrak{P}_i^+ \times \mathfrak{W}_i^+)(h_1, \beta_1), (\mathfrak{P}_i^+ \times \mathfrak{W}_i^+)(h_2, \beta_2)\} \\ = \text{rmin} \{[1], [1]\} = [1].$$

Thus $(\mathfrak{P}_i^+ \times \mathfrak{W}_i^+)[(h_1, \beta_1)(h_2, \beta_2)] = [1]$, for all $(h_1, \beta_1), (h_2, \beta_2) \in \mathbb{Y}$.

$$\text{And } (\mathfrak{P}_i^- \times \mathfrak{W}_i^-)[(h_1, \beta_1)(h_2, \beta_2)] \leq \text{rmax} \{(\mathfrak{P}_i^- \times \mathfrak{W}_i^-)(h_1, \beta_1), (\mathfrak{P}_i^- \times \mathfrak{W}_i^-)(h_2, \beta_2)\} \\ = \text{rmax} \{[-1], [-1]\} = [-1].$$

Thus $(\mathfrak{P}_i^- \times \mathfrak{W}_i^-)[(h_1, \beta_1)(h_2, \beta_2)] = [-1]$, for all $(h_1, \beta_1), (h_2, \beta_2) \in \mathbb{Y}$.

Therefore $(h_1, \beta_1)(h_2, \beta_2) \in \mathbb{Y}$. Hence \mathbb{Y} is a subring of $\mathfrak{G}_1 \times \mathfrak{G}_2$.

Theorem 2.9. Let \mathfrak{P} be a \mathbb{BVMIFS} of a ring \mathfrak{Z} and \mathfrak{M} be the strongest \mathbb{BVMIF} relation of \mathfrak{Z} . Then \mathfrak{P} is a $\mathbb{BVMIFSR}$ of \mathfrak{Z} if and only if \mathfrak{M} is a $\mathbb{BVMIFSR}$ of $\mathfrak{Z} \times \mathfrak{Z}$.

Proof. Let ϱ, v be in \mathfrak{Z} and ζ, ξ be in \mathfrak{Z} . Then (ϱ, ζ) and (v, ξ) are in $\mathfrak{Z} \times \mathfrak{Z}$. For all i, \dots, n , if \mathfrak{P} is a $\mathbb{BVMIFSR}$ of \mathfrak{Z} , then $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, if \mathfrak{P} is a $\mathbb{BVMIFSR}$ of \mathfrak{Z} , then

$$\mathfrak{W}_i^+[(\varrho, \zeta) - (v, \xi)] = \mathfrak{W}_i^+(\varrho - v, \zeta - \xi) = \text{rmin} \{ \mathfrak{P}_i^+(\varrho - v), \mathfrak{P}_i^+(\zeta - \xi) \} \geq \text{rmin} \{ \text{rmin} \{ \mathfrak{P}_i^+(\varrho), \mathfrak{P}_i^+(v) \}, \text{rmin} \{ \mathfrak{P}_i^+(\zeta), \mathfrak{P}_i^+(\xi) \} \} \\ = \text{rmin} \{ \text{rmin} \{ \mathfrak{P}_i^+(\varrho), \mathfrak{P}_i^+(\zeta) \}, \text{rmin} \{ \mathfrak{P}_i^+(v), \mathfrak{P}_i^+(\xi) \} \} = \text{rmin} \{ \mathfrak{W}_i^+(\varrho, \zeta), \mathfrak{W}_i^+(v, \xi) \}, \text{ for all } (\varrho, \zeta), (v, \xi) \text{ in } \mathfrak{Z} \times \mathfrak{Z}.$$

$$\text{And } \mathfrak{W}_i^+[(\varrho, \zeta)(v, \xi)] = \mathfrak{W}_i^+(\varrho v, \zeta \xi) = \text{rmin} \{ \mathfrak{P}_i^+(\varrho v), \mathfrak{P}_i^+(\zeta \xi) \} \geq \text{rmin} \{ \text{rmin} \{ \mathfrak{P}_i^+(\varrho), \mathfrak{P}_i^+(v) \}, \text{rmin} \{ \mathfrak{P}_i^+(\zeta), \mathfrak{P}_i^+(\xi) \} \} \\ = \text{rmin} \{ \text{rmin} \{ \mathfrak{P}_i^+(\varrho), \mathfrak{P}_i^+(\zeta) \}, \text{rmin} \{ \mathfrak{P}_i^+(v), \mathfrak{P}_i^+(\xi) \} \} = \text{rmin} \{ \mathfrak{W}_i^+(\varrho, \zeta), \mathfrak{W}_i^+(v, \xi) \}, \text{ for all } (\varrho, \zeta), (v, \xi) \text{ in } \mathfrak{Z} \times \mathfrak{Z}.$$

$$\text{Also } \mathfrak{W}_i^-[(\varrho, \zeta) - (v, \xi)] = \mathfrak{W}_i^-(\varrho - v, \zeta - \xi) = \text{rmax} \{ \mathfrak{P}_i^-(\varrho - v), \mathfrak{P}_i^-(\zeta - \xi) \} \leq \text{rmax} \{ \text{rmax} \{ \mathfrak{P}_i^-(\varrho), \mathfrak{P}_i^-(v) \}, \text{rmax} \{ \mathfrak{P}_i^-(\zeta), \mathfrak{P}_i^-(\xi) \} \} \\ = \text{rmax} \{ \text{rmax} \{ \mathfrak{P}_i^-(\varrho), \mathfrak{P}_i^-(\zeta) \}, \text{rmax} \{ \mathfrak{P}_i^-(v), \mathfrak{P}_i^-(\xi) \} \} = \text{rmax} \{ \mathfrak{W}_i^-(\varrho, \zeta), \mathfrak{W}_i^-(v, \xi) \}, \text{ for all } (\varrho, \zeta), (v, \xi) \text{ in } \mathfrak{Z} \times \mathfrak{Z}.$$

$$\text{And } \mathfrak{W}_i^-[(\varrho, \zeta)(v, \xi)] = \mathfrak{W}_i^-(\varrho v, \zeta \xi) = \text{rmax} \{ \mathfrak{P}_i^-(\varrho v), \mathfrak{P}_i^-(\zeta \xi) \} \leq \text{rmax} \{ \text{rmax} \{ \mathfrak{P}_i^-(\varrho), \mathfrak{P}_i^-(v) \}, \text{rmax} \{ \mathfrak{P}_i^-(\zeta), \mathfrak{P}_i^-(\xi) \} \} \\ = \text{rmax} \{ \text{rmax} \{ \mathfrak{P}_i^-(\varrho), \mathfrak{P}_i^-(\zeta) \}, \text{rmax} \{ \mathfrak{P}_i^-(v), \mathfrak{P}_i^-(\xi) \} \} = \text{rmax} \{ \mathfrak{W}_i^-(\varrho, \zeta), \mathfrak{W}_i^-(v, \xi) \}, \text{ for all } (\varrho, \zeta), (v, \xi) \text{ in } \mathfrak{Z} \times \mathfrak{Z}.$$

Hence \mathfrak{M} is a $\mathbb{BVMIFSR}$ of $\mathfrak{Z} \times \mathfrak{Z}$.

Conversely, assume that \mathfrak{M} is a $\mathbb{BVMIFSR}$ of $\mathfrak{Z} \times \mathfrak{Z}$.

For all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

$$\text{rmin} \{ \mathfrak{P}_i^+(\varrho - v), \mathfrak{P}_i^+(\zeta - \xi) \} = \mathfrak{W}_i^+(\varrho - v, \zeta - \xi) = \mathfrak{W}_i^+[(\varrho, \zeta) - (v, \xi)] \geq \text{rmin} \{ \mathfrak{W}_i^+(\varrho, \zeta), \mathfrak{W}_i^+(v, \xi) \} = \\ = \text{rmin} \{ \text{rmin} \{ \mathfrak{P}_i^+(\varrho), \mathfrak{P}_i^+(\zeta) \}, \text{rmin} \{ \mathfrak{P}_i^+(v), \mathfrak{P}_i^+(\xi) \} \},$$

put $\zeta = \circ$ and $\xi = \circ$, where \circ is an first operation identity element of \mathfrak{Z} , then

$$\mathfrak{P}_i^+(\varrho - v) \geq \text{rmin} \{ \mathfrak{P}_i^+(\varrho), \mathfrak{P}_i^+(v) \}, \text{ for all } \varrho, v \text{ in } \mathfrak{Z}.$$

$$\text{And } \text{rmin} \{ \mathfrak{P}_i^+(\varrho v), \mathfrak{P}_i^+(\zeta \xi) \} = \mathfrak{W}_i^+(\varrho v, \zeta \xi) = \mathfrak{W}_i^+[(\varrho, \zeta)(v, \xi)] \geq \text{rmin} \{ \mathfrak{W}_i^+(\varrho, \zeta), \mathfrak{W}_i^+(v, \xi) \} = \\ = \text{rmin} \{ \text{rmin} \{ \mathfrak{P}_i^+(\varrho), \mathfrak{P}_i^+(\zeta) \}, \text{rmin} \{ \mathfrak{P}_i^+(v), \mathfrak{P}_i^+(\xi) \} \},$$

put $\zeta = \circ$ and $\xi = \circ$, where \circ is an first operation identity element of \mathfrak{Z} , then

$$\mathfrak{P}_i^+(\varrho v) \geq \text{rmin} \{ \mathfrak{P}_i^+(\varrho), \mathfrak{P}_i^+(v) \}, \text{ for all } \varrho, v \text{ in } \mathfrak{Z}.$$

$$\text{Also } \text{rmax} \{ \mathfrak{P}_i^-(\varrho - v), \mathfrak{P}_i^-(\zeta - \xi) \} = \mathfrak{W}_i^-(\varrho - v, \zeta - \xi) = \mathfrak{W}_i^-[(\varrho, \zeta) - (v, \xi)] \leq \text{rmax} \{ \mathfrak{W}_i^-(\varrho, \zeta), \mathfrak{W}_i^-(v, \xi) \} \\ = \text{rmax} \{ \text{rmax} \{ \mathfrak{P}_i^-(\varrho), \mathfrak{P}_i^-(\zeta) \}, \text{rmax} \{ \mathfrak{P}_i^-(v), \mathfrak{P}_i^-(\xi) \} \},$$

put $\zeta = \circ$ and $\xi = \circ$, where \circ is an first operation identity element of \mathfrak{Z} , then

$$\mathfrak{P}_i^-(\varrho - v) \leq \text{rmax} \{ \mathfrak{P}_i^-(\varrho), \mathfrak{P}_i^-(v) \}, \text{ for all } \varrho, v \text{ in } \mathfrak{Z}.$$

And $\text{rmax}\{\mathfrak{F}_i^-(\varrho v), \mathfrak{F}_i^-(\zeta\xi)\} = \mathfrak{W}_i^-(\varrho v, \zeta\xi) = \mathfrak{W}_i^-[(\varrho, \zeta)(v, \xi)] \leq \text{rmax}\{\mathfrak{W}_i^-(\varrho, \zeta), \mathfrak{W}_i^-(v, \xi)\} = \text{rmax}\{\text{rmax}\{\mathfrak{F}_i^-(\varrho), \mathfrak{F}_i^-(\zeta)\}, \text{rmax}\{\mathfrak{F}_i^-(v), \mathfrak{F}_i^-(\xi)\}\},$

put $\zeta = \circ$ and $\xi = \circ$, where \circ is an first operation identity element of \mathfrak{Z} , then

$\mathfrak{F}_i^-(\varrho v) \leq \text{rmax}\{\mathfrak{F}_i^-(\varrho), \mathfrak{F}_i^-(v)\}$, for all ϱ, v in \mathfrak{Z} .

Hence \mathfrak{F} is a $\mathbb{B}\text{VMIIFS}\mathbb{R}$ of \mathfrak{Z} .

Theorem 2.10. Let $\mathfrak{F}_1, \mathfrak{F}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{F}_m$ be $\mathbb{B}\text{VMIIFS}$ s of a ring \mathfrak{Z} and \mathfrak{M} be the strongest $\mathbb{B}\text{VMIIF}$ n-dimensional relation of \mathfrak{Z} . Then $\mathfrak{F}_1, \mathfrak{F}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{F}_m$ are $\mathbb{B}\text{VMIIFS}\mathbb{R}$ of \mathfrak{Z} if and only if \mathfrak{M} is a $\mathbb{B}\text{VMIIFS}\mathbb{R}$ of $\mathfrak{Z} \times \mathfrak{Z} \dots \times \mathfrak{Z}$ (m times).

Proof. From the Theorem 2.9, the proof is trivial.

3. Conclusion

Properties of transformations of $\mathbb{B}\text{VMIIFS}\mathbb{R}$ of a ring have been discussed. The above concepts can be extended into bipolar valued multi I-fuzzy subfield of a field, bipolar interval valued multi fuzzy subspace of a linear space and any other algebraic system.

References

- [1] Anitha.M.S., Muruganantha Prasad & K.Arjunan, “Notes on Bipolar-valued fuzzy subgroups of a group”, *Bulletin of Society for Mathematical Services and Standards*, Vol. 2 No. 3 (2013), pp. 52 – 59.
- [2] Anthony.J.M and H.Sherwood, “Fuzzy groups Redefined”, *Journal of mathematical analysis and applications*, 69(1979), 124 –130.
- [3] Arsham Borumand Saeid, “Bipolar-valued fuzzy BCK/BCI-algebras”, *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 7 (11) (2009), 1404 – 1411.
- [4] Azriel Rosenfeld, “Fuzzy groups”, *Journal of mathematical analysis and applications*, 35(1971), 512 – 517.
- [5] Balasubramanian.A, K.L.Muruganantha Prasad & K.Arjunan, “Properties of Bipolar interval valued fuzzy subgroups of a group”, *International Journal of Scientific Research*, Vol. 4, Iss. 4 (2015), 262 - 268.
- [6] Chitra.V & K.Arjunan, “A study on Q-fuzzy subnearings of a nearing”, *Journal of advances in Mathematics*, Vol. 4, No. 1 (2013), 320 –324.
- [7] Grattan-Guinness, “Fuzzy membership mapped onto interval and many valued quantities”, *Z.Math.Logik. Grundladen Math.* 22 (1975), 149 – 160.
- [8] Kyoung Ja Lee, “Bipolar fuzzy subalgebras and bipolar fuzzy ideals of BCK/BCI-algebras”, *Bull. Malays.Math. Sci. Soc.*, (2) 32(3) (2009), 361 – 373.
- [9] K.M.Lee, “Bipolar-valued fuzzy sets and their operations”. *Proc. Int. Conf. on Intelligent Technologies, Bangkok, Thailand*, (2000), 307 – 312.
- [10] K.M.Lee, “Comparison of interval-valued fuzzy sets, intuitionistic fuzzy sets and bipolarvalued fuzzy sets”. *J. fuzzy Logic Intelligent Systems*, 14 (2) (2004), 125 –129.
- [11] Murugalingam.K and K.Arjunan, “A study on interval valued fuzzy subsemirings of a semiring”, *International Journal of Applied Mathematics and Modeling*, Vol. 1, No. 5 (2013), 1 – 6.
- [12] Sabu Sebastian, T.V.Ramakrishnan, “Multi fuzzy sets”, *International Mathematical Forum*, 5, no.50 (2010), 2471 –2476.
- [13] Shanmugapriya.M. M & K.Arjunan, “Notes on (Q, L)-Fuzzy sub-nearings of a nearing”, *International Journal of Engg. Research and Applications*, Vol.2, Issue 2 (2012), pp. 1633 – 1637.
- [14] Somasundra Moorthy.M.G., “A study on interval valued fuzzy, anti fuzzy, intuitionistic fuzzy subrings of a ring”, *Ph.D Thesis, Bharathidasan University, Trichy*, 2014.

- [15] Vairamuthu.K and Loganathan.S, “Bipolar valued multi I-fuzzy subrings of a ring”, *Indian Journal of Natural Sciences*, Vol.13, Issue 76 (2023), 53008-53013.
- [16] Yasodara.S, KE. Sathappan, “Bipolar-valued multi fuzzy subsemirings of a semiring”, *International Journal of Mathematical Archive*, 6(9) (2015), 75 –80.
- [17] L.A.Zadeh, fuzzy sets, *Inform. And Control*, 8(1965), 338 –353.
- [18] G. Srinivasa Rao, D. Madhusudhanarao and P. Siva Prasad, *Simple Ternary Semi-rings*, *The Global Journal of Mathematics & Mathematical Sciences*, 9(2) (2016), 185-196.
- [19] G. Srinivasa Rao, D. Madhusudhana Rao, A Study on Ternary Semi rings, *Int. J. of Math. Archive*, 5(12) (2014), 24-30.