

Fixed Point Results in G Metric Space via A-Series

K. Varalakshmi^{1,*}, G.Upender Reddy²

¹ Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Osmania University, Telangana, India.

E-mail: varak2121@gmail.com.

² Associate Professor of Mathematics, Nizam College (A), Osmania University, Telangana, India.

E-mail: yuviganga@gmail.com.

Article History:

Received: 19-10-2024

Revised: 03-12-2024

Accepted: 11-12-2024

Abstract:

Introduction: Mustafa and Sims [1] introduced the concept of G-metric space in 2005. Afterwards, Mustafa et al and many authors [3]-[19] obtained some common fixed-point theorems, coupled and tripled fixed point results for mappings satisfying different contractive conditions in G metric space. In this study we prove fixed point results in G metric space via α -series by using some conditions that are a sequence of mappings and a self-mapping.

Objectives: To show tripled fixed-point theorems and common fixed point theorems by using sequence of mappings and self a self-mapping via α -series and shown an example which supports the main result.

Methods: In recent study the authors worked on fixed point results by using different contractions such as Suzuki type contraction, Rational type contraction, cyclic contraction, F-contraction, Mier keeler contraction, (ψ, ϕ) -weakly contractive mappings and Integral type contractions etc. in G-metric spaces. In this study we proved fixed point results in G metric space via α -series by using sequence of mappings.

Results: Obtained Unique common fixed point and tripled fixed point results in G metric space via α -series.

Conclusion: In this study we present unique tripled fixed-point and common fixed-point results for a sequence of mappings and a self-mapping in G metric space via α -series and discussed corollary with supporting example.

Keywords: G-metric space, tripled fixed point, α -series, compatible mapping, weakly reciprocally continuous mappings.

1.Introduction:

Mustafa and Sims [1] introduced the concept of G-metric space in 2005. Afterwards, Mustafa et al and many authors [3]-[19] obtained some common fixed-point theorems, coupled and tripled fixed point results for mappings satisfying different contractive conditions in G metric space. In 2014, Sihag et al [21] proposed an α -series to find a common fixed point by utilizing the sequence of mappings and self-mappings. Chang and Ma [22] presented coupled fixed point in 1991. Later this concept has attracted numerous researchers [23]-[28] across various fields. The notation of tripled fixed point was initiated by Berinde and Borcut [32],[33] in partially ordered metric spaces and also presented the concept of tripled coincidence point and obtained tripled coincidence point outcomes. Later many authors obtained common tripled fixed-point results by using different contraction conditions in different

metric spaces. In this paper we prove tripled fixed-point results in G metric space by utilizing the sequence of mappings via α - series and we provided illustrations to support our results.

2.Objectives: To show tripled fixed-point theorems and common fixed point theorems by using sequence of mappings and self a self-mapping via α -series and shown an example which supports the main result

3.Methodology:

Definition 3.1 [1] Consider M be a non-void set and $G: M^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a mapping such that

- (G1) $G(\wp, \wp, \wp) = 0$ if $\wp = \wp = \wp$ for all $\wp, \wp, \wp \in M$
- (G2) $G(\wp, \wp, \wp) > 0$ for $\wp, \wp \in M$ with $\wp \neq \wp$
- (G3) $G(\wp, \wp, \wp) \leq G(\wp, \wp, \wp)$ for all $\wp, \wp, \wp \in M$ with $\wp \neq \wp$
- (G4) $G(\wp, \wp, \wp) = G(\wp, \wp, \wp) = G(\wp, \wp, \wp) = \dots$ (symmetry)
- (G5) $G(\wp, \wp, \wp) \leq G(\wp, \wp, \wp) + G(\wp, \wp, \wp)$ for all $\wp, \wp, \wp \in M$.

Then the function G is termed as G –metric on M and (M, G) is a G -metric space.

Definiton 3.2 [22]: Assume $M \neq \emptyset$ and a mapping $E: M^2 \rightarrow M$. An element $(\lambda, \mu) \in M^2$ is a coupled fixed point of E if $M(\lambda, \mu) = \lambda$ & $M(\mu, \lambda) = \mu$.

Definition 3.3[32]: An element $(\wp, \wp, \wp) \in M^3$ be a tripled fixed point of mapping $\gamma: M^3 \rightarrow M$ if $\gamma(\wp, \wp, \wp) = \wp$, $\gamma(\wp, \wp, \wp) = \wp$ and $\gamma(\wp, \wp, \wp) = \wp$.

Definition 3.4 [33]: A trio $(\wp, \wp, \wp) \in \mathcal{F}^3$ is a tripled coincidence point of the mappings $\mathcal{G}: \mathcal{F}^3 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{f}: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ if $\mathcal{f}(\wp) = \mathcal{F}(\wp, \wp, \wp)$, $\mathcal{f}(\wp) = \mathcal{F}(\wp, \wp, \wp)$ and $\mathcal{f}(\wp) = \mathcal{F}(\wp, \wp, \wp)$.

Definition 3.5[34]: Let (K, \leq) be a poset and $\mathfrak{P}: K^3 \rightarrow K$. If $\mathfrak{P}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ is monotone-non increasing in μ and monotone non-decreasing in λ & ν then \mathfrak{P} has mixed monotone property. i.e, for any $\lambda, \mu, \nu \in K$.

$$\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \in K, \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \implies \mathfrak{P}(\lambda_1, \mu, \nu) \leq \mathfrak{P}(\lambda_2, \mu, \nu)$$

$$\mu_1, \mu_2 \in K, \mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \implies \mathfrak{P}(\lambda, \mu_1, \nu) \geq \mathfrak{P}(\lambda, \mu_2, \nu)$$

$$\nu_1, \nu_2 \in K, \nu_1 \leq \nu_2 \implies \mathfrak{P}(\lambda, \mu, \nu_1) \leq \mathfrak{P}(\lambda, \mu, \nu_2)$$

Definition 3.6[34]: Let (E, \leq) be a partially ordered set and $\check{Y}: E^3 \rightarrow E$ and $g: E \rightarrow E$ be two maps. If $\check{Y}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ is monotone non increasing in μ and $\check{Y}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ is monotone non decreasing in λ and ν then \check{Y} has g -mixed monotone property. i.e, for any $\lambda, \mu, \nu \in E$.

$$\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \in E, g(\lambda_1) \leq g(\lambda_2) \implies \check{Y}(\lambda_1, \mu, \nu) \leq \check{Y}(\lambda_2, \mu, \nu)$$

$$\mu_1, \mu_2 \in E, g(\mu_1) \leq g(\mu_2) \implies \check{Y}(\lambda, \mu_1, \nu) \geq \check{Y}(\lambda, \mu_2, \nu)$$

$$\nu_1, \nu_2 \in E, g(\nu_1) \leq g(\nu_2) \implies \check{Y}(\lambda, \mu, \nu_1) \leq \check{Y}(\lambda, \mu, \nu_2)$$

Definition 3.7[24]: Let (U, d) be a metric space and mappings E and g where $E: U^3 \rightarrow U$ and $g: U \rightarrow U$ are compatible if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(g(E(\lambda_n, \mu_n, \nu_n)), E(g\lambda_n, g\mu_n, g\nu_n)) = 0$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(g(E(\mu_n, \lambda_n, \mu_n)), E(g\mu_n, g\lambda_n, g\mu_n)) = 0$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(g(E(v_n, \mu_n, \lambda_n)), E(gv_n, g\mu_n, gv_n)) = 0$$

Whenever $\{\lambda_n\}, \{\mu_n\}, \{v_n\}$ are sequences in X , such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(\lambda_n, \mu_n, v_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(\lambda_n) = \lambda,$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(\mu_n, \lambda_n, \mu_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(\mu_n) = \mu$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(v_n, \mu_n, \lambda_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(v_n) = v$$

For all $\lambda, \mu, v, \in U$

Definition 3.8[24]: The mapping $E: M^3 \rightarrow M$ and $\mathfrak{P}: M \rightarrow M$ are

(i) Reciprocally continuous if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{P}(E(\lambda_n, \mu_n, v_n)) = \mathfrak{P}(\lambda) \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(\mathfrak{P}(\lambda_n), \mathfrak{P}(\mu_n), \mathfrak{P}(v_n)) = E(\lambda, \mu, v)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{P}(E(\mu_n, \lambda_n, \mu_n)) = \mathfrak{P}(\mu) \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(\mathfrak{P}(\mu_n), \mathfrak{P}(\lambda_n), \mathfrak{P}(\mu_n)) = E(\mu, \lambda, \mu)$$

$$\text{and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{P}(E(v_n, \mu_n, \lambda_n)) = \mathfrak{P}(v) \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(\mathfrak{P}(v_n), \mathfrak{P}(\mu_n), \mathfrak{P}(\lambda_n)) = E(v, \mu, \lambda)$$

whenever $\{\lambda_n\}, \{\mu_n\}, \{v_n\}$ are sequences in M such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(\lambda_n, \mu_n, v_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{P}(\lambda_n) = \lambda$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(\mu_n, \lambda_n, \mu_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{P}(\mu_n) = \mu$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(E(v_n, \mu_n, \lambda_n)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{P}(v_n) = v$$

(ii) Weakly reciprocally continuous if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{P}(E(\lambda_n, \mu_n, v_n)) = \mathfrak{P}(\lambda) \text{ or } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(\mathfrak{P}(\lambda_n), \mathfrak{P}(\mu_n), \mathfrak{P}(v_n)) = E(\lambda, \mu, v)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{P}(E(\mu_n, \lambda_n, \mu_n)) = \mathfrak{P}(\mu) \text{ or } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(\mathfrak{P}(\mu_n), \mathfrak{P}(\lambda_n), \mathfrak{P}(v_n)) = E(\mu, \lambda, \mu)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{P}(E(v_n, \mu_n, \lambda_n)) = \mathfrak{P}(v) \text{ or } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(\mathfrak{P}(v_n), \mathfrak{P}(\mu_n), \mathfrak{P}(\lambda_n)) = E(v, \mu, \lambda)$$

Whenever $\{\lambda_n\}, \{\mu_n\}, \{v_n\}$ are sequences in M such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(\lambda_n, \mu_n, v_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{P}(\lambda_n) = \lambda$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(\mu_n, \lambda_n, \mu_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{P}(\mu_n) = \mu$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(v_n, \mu_n, \lambda_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{P}(v_n) = v$$

for some $\lambda, \mu, v \in M$.

Definition 3.9[21]: Assume $\langle a_n \rangle$ be a sequence of non-negative real numbers. If there exist $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $n_\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i \leq \alpha_k$ for each $k \geq n_\alpha$ then the series $\sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n$ is called an α -series.

Lemma 3.10 [34] Let (K, \leq) be a poset and $g: K \rightarrow K$ and $\{T_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}: K^3 \rightarrow K$ be a sequence of mappings such that $T_n(K^3) \subseteq g(K)$ then T_n has g -mixed monotone property if $g(\lambda) \leq g(\wp)$, $g(\varrho) \leq g(\mu)$ and $g(v) \leq g(\theta)$ for any $\lambda, \mu, v, \wp, \varrho, \theta \in K$ imply

$$\left. \begin{aligned} T_n(\lambda, \mu, v) &\leq T_{n+1}(\wp, \varrho, \theta) \\ T_{n+1}(\varrho, \wp, \varrho) &\leq T_n(\mu, \lambda, \mu) \\ T_n(v, \mu, \lambda) &\leq T_{n+1}(\theta, \varrho, \wp) \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1)$$

In our main proof we construct the sequence as follows

Assume $\lambda_0, \mu_0, v_0 \in K$ such that

$$g(\lambda_0) \leq T_0(\lambda_0, \mu_0, v_0), \quad g(\mu_0) \geq T_0(\mu_0, \lambda_0, \mu_0), \quad g(v_0) \leq T_0(v_0, \mu_0, \lambda_0) \quad (2)$$

Since $T_0(K^3) \subseteq g(K)$ we choose $\lambda_1, \mu_1, v_1 \in K$ such that

$$g(\lambda_1) = T_0(\lambda_0, \mu_0, v_0), \quad g(\mu_1) = T_0(\mu_0, \lambda_0, \mu_0), \quad g(v_1) = T_0(v_0, \mu_0, \lambda_0)$$

again, we choose $\lambda_2, \mu_2, v_2 \in K$ such that

$$g(\lambda_2) = T_1(\lambda_1, \mu_1, v_1), \quad g(\mu_2) = T_1(\mu_1, \lambda_1, \mu_1), \quad g(v_2) = T_1(v_1, \mu_1, \lambda_1)$$

continuing this process, we construct three sequences $\{\lambda_m\}, \{\mu_m\}, \{v_m\}$ such that

$$g(\lambda_{m+1}) = T_m(\lambda_m, \mu_m, v_m), \quad g(\mu_{m+1}) = T_m(\mu_m, \lambda_m, \mu_m), \quad g(v_{m+1}) = T_m(v_m, \mu_m, \lambda_m)$$

for all $m \geq 0$ (3)

By mathematical induction, we prove that

$$g(\lambda_m) \leq g(\lambda_{m+1}), \quad g(\mu_m) \geq g(\mu_{m+1}) \quad \text{and} \quad g(v_m) \leq g(v_{m+1}) \quad \text{for all } m \geq 0. \quad (4)$$

since condition 2 holds, in view of $g(\lambda_1) = T_0(\lambda_0, \mu_0, v_0)$, $g(\mu_1) = T_0(\mu_0, \lambda_0, \mu_0)$, $g(v_1) = T_0(v_0, \mu_0, \lambda_0)$

we obtain $g(\lambda_0) \leq g(\lambda_1)$, $g(\mu_0) \geq g(\mu_1)$, $g(v_0) \leq g(v_1)$.

That is condition ... 4 is true for $m=0$. Now we consider condition 4 is true for some $m>0$

From (3) and (4) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} g(\lambda_{m+1}) &= T_m(\lambda_m, \mu_m, v_m) \leq T_{m+1}(\lambda_{m+1}, \mu_{m+1}, v_{m+1}) = g(\lambda_{m+2}) \\ g(\mu_{m+2}) &= T_{m+1}(\mu_{m+1}, \lambda_{m+1}, \mu_{m+1}) \leq T_m(\mu_m, \lambda_m, \mu_m) = g(\mu_{m+1}) \\ g(v_{m+1}) &= T_m(\mu_m, \lambda_m, v_m) \leq T_{m+1}(v_{m+1}, \mu_{m+1}, \lambda_{m+1}) = g(v_{m+2}) \end{aligned}$$

we conclude that 4 holds for all $m \geq 0$ by the mathematical induction

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \text{ we have } \quad &g(\lambda_0) \leq g(\lambda_1) \leq g(\lambda_2) \leq \dots \leq g(\lambda_{m+1}) \leq \dots \\ &g(\mu_0) \geq g(\mu_1) \geq g(\mu_2) \geq \dots \geq g(\mu_{m+1}) \geq \dots \\ &g(v_0) \leq g(v_1) \leq g(v_2) \leq \dots \leq g(v_{m+1}) \leq \dots \end{aligned}$$

definitions 2.7 and 2.8 are revised in main results as follows by using above considerations.

4.Results and Discussion

Definition 4.1: Consider (\mathcal{M}, G) be a generalized metric space, $\{T_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}: \mathcal{M}^3 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be a sequence of mappings and a self-mapping $g: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ are compatible if

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} G(g(T_m(\lambda_m, \mu_m, \nu_m)), T_m(g\lambda_m, g\mu_m, g\nu_m), T_m(g\lambda_m, g\mu_m, g\nu_m)) = 0$$

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} G(g(T_m(\mu_m, \lambda_m, \mu_m)), T_m(g\mu_m, g\lambda_m, g\mu_m), T_m(g\mu_m, g\lambda_m, g\mu_m)) = 0$$

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} G(g(T_m(\nu_m, \mu_m, \lambda_m)), T_m(g\nu_m, g\mu_m, g\lambda_m), T_m(g\nu_m, g\mu_m, g\lambda_m)) = 0$$

Whenever $\{\lambda_m\}, \{\mu_m\}, \{\nu_m\}$ are sequences in \mathcal{M} such that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} T_m(\lambda_m, \mu_m, \nu_m) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} g(\lambda_{m+1}) = \lambda$$

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} T_m(\mu_m, \lambda_m, \mu_m) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} g(\mu_{m+1}) = \mu$$

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} T_m(\nu_m, \mu_m, \lambda_m) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} g(\nu_{m+1}) = \nu$$

for some $\lambda, \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}$.

Definition 4.2: Assume (\mathcal{Q}, G) be a G- metric space, the mappings $\{T_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}: \mathcal{Q}^3 \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ and $g: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ are weakly reciprocally continuous if

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} g(T_m(\xi_m, \eta_m, \theta_m)) = g(\xi)$$

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} g(T_m(\eta_m, \xi_m, \eta_m)) = g(\eta)$$

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} g(T_m(\theta_m, \eta_m, \xi_m)) = g(\theta)$$

whenever $\{\xi_m\}, \{\eta_m\}, \{\theta_m\}$ are sequences in \mathcal{Q} such that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} T_m(\xi_m, \eta_m, \theta_m) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} g(\xi_{m+1}) = \xi$$

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} T_m(\eta_m, \xi_m, \eta_m) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} g(\eta_{m+1}) = \eta$$

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} T_m(\theta_m, \eta_m, \xi_m) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} g(\theta_{m+1}) = \theta$$

for some $\xi, \eta, \theta \in \mathcal{Q}$.

Theorem 4.3 : Assume (\mathcal{U}, G) be a partially ordered complete G-metric space . Let a sequence of mappings $T_n: \mathcal{U}^3 \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ and a self-mapping $g: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ such that $T_n(\mathcal{U}^3) \subseteq g(\mathcal{U})$ and $g(\mathcal{U})$ is closed. $\{T_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ & g has g-mixed monotone property and both are continuous, compatible, weakly reciprocally continuous and satisfying the below conditions.

1. There exists $\lambda_0, \mu_0, \nu_0 \in X$ such that

$$g(\lambda_0) \leq T_0(\lambda_0, \mu_0, \nu_0), g(\mu_0) \geq T_0(\mu_0, \lambda_0, \mu_0), g(\nu_0) \leq T_0(\nu_0, \mu_0, \lambda_0) \text{ holds.}$$

2. $\{T_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and g satisfies the condition

$$G(T_n(\lambda, \mu, \nu), T_j(\zeta, \eta, \chi), T_j(\rho, \sigma, \tau)) \leq \gamma_{n,j} [G(g\lambda, T_n(\lambda, \mu, \nu), T_n(\lambda, \mu, \nu)) + G(g\zeta, T_j(\zeta, \eta, \chi), T_j(\rho, \sigma, \tau))] + \delta_{n,j} G(g\lambda, g\zeta, g\rho) \tag{5}$$

for $\lambda, \mu, \nu, \zeta, \eta, \kappa, \rho, \sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{U}$ and $0 \leq \gamma_{n,j}, \delta_{n,j} < 1, n \neq j = 1, 2, \dots$ i.e $n, j \in \mathbb{N}$

if $\sum_{n=l}^{\infty} (\frac{\gamma_{n,n+1} + \delta_{n,n+1}}{1 - \gamma_{n,n+1}})$ is an α -series then g and $\{T_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ have a tripled coincidence point.

3. If $\{T_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and g have tripled coincidence point comparable with respect to g then $\{T_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and g have a unique tripled fixed point.

Proof: For any $\lambda_0, \mu_0, \nu_0 \in \mathcal{U}$. We consider three sequences $\{\lambda_m\}, \{\mu_m\}, \{\nu_m\}$ constructed above by taking

$$g\lambda_{m+1} = T_m(\lambda_m, \mu_m, \nu_m), \quad g\mu_{m+1} = T_m(\mu_m, \lambda_m, \nu_m), \quad g\nu_{m+1} = T_m(\nu_m, \mu_m, \lambda_m)$$

By condition (5)

$$\begin{aligned} G(g\lambda_1, g\lambda_2, g\lambda_2) &= G(T_0(\lambda_0, \mu_0, \nu_0), T_1(\lambda_1, \mu_1, \nu_1), T_1(\lambda_1, \mu_1, \nu_1)) \\ &\leq \gamma_{0,1} [G(g\lambda_0, T_0(\lambda_0, \mu_0, \nu_0), T_0(\lambda_0, \mu_0, \nu_0)) + G((g\lambda_1, T_1(\lambda_1, \mu_1, \nu_1), T_1(\lambda_1, \mu_1, \nu_1))] + \delta_{0,1} G(g\lambda_0, g\lambda_1, g\lambda_1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow G(g\lambda_1, g\lambda_2, g\lambda_2) &\leq \gamma_{0,1} [G(g\lambda_0, g\lambda_1, g\lambda_1) + G(g\lambda_1, g\lambda_2, g\lambda_2)] + \delta_{0,1} G(g\lambda_0, g\lambda_1, g\lambda_1) \\ &\leq \frac{(\gamma_{0,1} + \delta_{0,1})}{(1 - \gamma_{0,1})} G(g\lambda_0, g\lambda_1, g\lambda_1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{similarly } G(g\lambda_2, g\lambda_3, g\lambda_3) &\leq \frac{(\gamma_{1,2} + \delta_{1,2})}{(1 - \gamma_{1,2})} G(g\lambda_1, g\lambda_2, g\lambda_2) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\gamma_{1,2} + \delta_{1,2}}{1 - \gamma_{1,2}}\right) \left(\frac{\gamma_{0,1} + \delta_{0,1}}{1 - \gamma_{0,1}}\right) G(g\lambda_0, g\lambda_1, g\lambda_1) \end{aligned}$$

Repeating the above, we obtain

$$G(g\lambda_m, g\lambda_{m+1}, g\lambda_{m+1}) \leq \prod_{n=0}^{m-1} \left(\frac{\gamma_{n,n+1} + \delta_{n,n+1}}{1 - \gamma_{n,n+1}}\right) G(g\lambda_0, g\lambda_1, g\lambda_1) \text{ -----(6)}$$

Using similar procedure, we can also prove that

$$G(g\mu_m, g\mu_{m+1}, g\mu_{m+1}) \leq \prod_{n=0}^{m-1} \left(\frac{\gamma_{n,n+1} + \delta_{n,n+1}}{1 - \gamma_{n,n+1}}\right) G(g\mu_0, g\mu_1, g\mu_1) \text{ -----(7)}$$

$$\text{and } G(g\nu_m, g\nu_{m+1}, g\nu_{m+1}) \leq \prod_{n=0}^{m-1} \left(\frac{\gamma_{n,n+1} + \delta_{n,n+1}}{1 - \gamma_{n,n+1}}\right) G(g\nu_0, g\nu_1, g\nu_1) \text{ -----(8)}$$

adding (6), (7), (8) we get

$$\begin{aligned} G(g\lambda_m, g\lambda_{m+1}, g\lambda_{m+1}) + G(g\mu_m, g\mu_{m+1}, g\mu_{m+1}) + G(g\nu_m, g\nu_{m+1}, g\nu_{m+1}) &\leq \prod_{n=0}^{m-1} \left(\frac{\gamma_{n,n+1} + \delta_{n,n+1}}{1 - \gamma_{n,n+1}}\right) [G(g\lambda_0, g\lambda_1, g\lambda_1) \\ &+ G(g\mu_0, g\mu_1, g\mu_1) + G(g\nu_0, g\nu_1, g\nu_1)] \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Let } \beta_m \leq \prod_{n=0}^{m-1} \left(\frac{\gamma_{n,n+1} + \delta_{n,n+1}}{1 - \gamma_{n,n+1}}\right) \beta_0$$

where $\beta_m = G(g\lambda_m, g\lambda_{m+1}, g\lambda_{m+1}) + G(g\mu_m, g\mu_{m+1}, g\mu_{m+1}) + G(g\nu_m, g\nu_{m+1}, g\nu_{m+1})$.

For $p > 0$ and by using (G5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &G(g\lambda_m, g\lambda_{m+p}, g\lambda_{m+p}) + G(g\mu_m, g\mu_{m+p}, g\mu_{m+p}) + G(v_m, v_{m+p}, v_{m+p}) \leq G(g\lambda_m, g\lambda_{m+1}, g\lambda_{m+1}) + \\
 &G(g\mu_m, g\mu_{m+1}, g\mu_{m+1}) + G(v_m, v_{m+1}, v_{m+1}) + G(g\lambda_{m+1}, g\lambda_{m+2}, g\lambda_{m+2}) + G(g\mu_{m+1}, g\mu_{m+2}, g\mu_{m+2}) + \\
 &G(v_{m+1}, v_{m+2}, v_{m+2}) + \dots + G(g\lambda_{m+p-1}, g\lambda_{m+p}, g\lambda_{m+p}) + G(g\mu_{m+p-1}, g\mu_{m+p}, g\mu_{m+p}) + G(v_{m+p-1}, v_{m+p}, v_{m+p}) \\
 &\leq \prod_{n=0}^{p-1} \left(\frac{\gamma_{n,n+1} + \delta_{n,n+1}}{1 - \gamma_{n,n+1}} \right) \beta_0 + \prod_{n=0}^p \left(\frac{\gamma_{n,n+1} + \delta_{n,n+1}}{1 - \gamma_{n,n+1}} \right) \beta_0 + \dots + \prod_{n=0}^{p+q-2} \left(\frac{\gamma_{n,n+1} + \delta_{n,n+1}}{1 - \gamma_{n,n+1}} \right) \beta_0 \\
 &\leq \sum_{\square=0}^{p-1} \prod_{\square=0}^{p+\square-1} \left(\frac{\gamma_{n,n+1} + \delta_{n,n+1}}{1 - \gamma_{n,n+1}} \right) \beta_0 \\
 &\leq \sum_{\square=0}^{p+q-1} \prod_{\square=0}^{p+\square-1} \left(\frac{\gamma_{n,n+1} + \delta_{n,n+1}}{1 - \gamma_{n,n+1}} \right) \beta_0 \\
 &G(g\lambda_m, g\lambda_{m+p}, g\lambda_{m+p}) + G(g\mu_m, g\mu_{m+p}, g\mu_{m+p}) + G(v_m, v_{m+p}, v_{m+p}) \leq \sum_{k=m}^{m+p-1} \prod_{n=0}^{k-1} \left(\frac{\gamma_{n,n+1} + \delta_{n,n+1}}{1 - \gamma_{n,n+1}} \right) \beta_0 \dots \dots (9)
 \end{aligned}$$

By the fact that the arithmetic mean is greater than or equal to geometric mean for non-negative real numbers and α, n_α are like in definition 2.9 for $m \geq n_\alpha$ follows as below

$$\sum_{k=m}^{m+p-1} \prod_{n=0}^{k-1} \left(\frac{\gamma_{n,n+1} + \delta_{n,n+1}}{1 - \gamma_{n,n+1}} \right) \leq \sum_{k=m}^{m+p-1} \left[\frac{1}{k} \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \left(\frac{\gamma_{n,n+1} + \delta_{n,n+1}}{1 - \gamma_{n,n+1}} \right) \right]^k \dots \dots \dots (10)$$

From (9) and (10)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \therefore G(g\lambda_m, g\lambda_{m+p}, g\lambda_{m+p}) + G(g\mu_m, g\mu_{m+p}, g\mu_{m+p}) + G(v_m, v_{m+p}, v_{m+p}) &\leq \sum_{k=m}^{m+p-1} \left[\frac{1}{k} \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \left(\frac{\gamma_{n,n+1} + \delta_{n,n+1}}{1 - \gamma_{n,n+1}} \right) \right]^k \beta_0 \\
 &\leq \left(\sum_{k=m}^{m+p-1} \alpha^k \right) \beta \quad \text{Where } \alpha = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \left(\frac{\gamma_{n,n+1} + \delta_{n,n+1}}{1 - \gamma_{n,n+1}} \right) \\
 &\leq (\alpha^m + \alpha^{m+1} + \alpha^{m+2} + \dots + \alpha^{m+p-1}) \beta_0 \\
 &\leq \alpha^m (1 + \alpha^1 + \alpha^2 + \dots + \alpha^{p-1}) \beta_0 \\
 &\leq \left(\frac{\alpha^m}{1 - \alpha} \right) \beta_0
 \end{aligned}$$

$$G(g\lambda_m, g\lambda_{m+p}, g\lambda_{m+p}) + G(g\mu_m, g\mu_{m+p}, g\mu_{m+p}) + G(gv_m, gv_{m+p}, gv_{m+p}) + G(gv_m, gv_{m+p}, gv_{m+p}) \leq \left(\frac{\alpha^m}{1 - \alpha} \right) \beta_0$$

Now letting the limit as $m, p \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} G(g\lambda_m, g\lambda_{m+p}, g\lambda_{m+p}) + G(g\mu_m, g\mu_{m+p}, g\mu_{m+p}) + G(gv_m, gv_{m+p}, gv_{m+p}) = 0 \text{ since } 0 < \alpha < 1$$

Further which implies that

$$\left. \begin{aligned}
 \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} G(g\lambda_m, g\lambda_{m+p}, g\lambda_{m+p}) &= 0 \\
 \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} G(g\mu_m, g\mu_{m+p}, g\mu_{m+p}) &= 0 \\
 \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} G(gv_m, gv_{m+p}, gv_{m+p}) &= 0
 \end{aligned} \right\} (11)$$

Thus, $\{g\lambda_m\}$, $\{g\mu_m\}$, $\{gv_m\}$ are Cauchy sequences in \mathcal{U} . Since $g(\mathcal{U})$ is closed and by the completeness of \mathcal{U} there exists $(r, s, t) \in \mathcal{U}^3$ with $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \{g\lambda_m\} = g(r) = \lambda$,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \{g\mu_m\} = g(s) = \mu, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{gv_n\} = g(t) = v$$

By construction $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} g(\lambda_{m+1}) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} T_m(\lambda_m, \mu_m, \nu_m) = \lambda$

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} g(\mu_{m+1}) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} T_m(\mu_m, \lambda_m, \mu_m) = \mu \text{ and}$$

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} g(\nu_{m+1}) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} T_m(\nu_m, \mu_m, \lambda_m) = v.$$

Now by compatibility and weakly reciprocally continuous of g and $\{T_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ we have

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} T_m(g\lambda_m, g\mu_m, g\nu_m) &= g(\lambda) \\ \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} T_m(g\mu_m, g\lambda_m, g\mu_m) &= g(\mu) \\ \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} T_m(g\nu_m, g\mu_m, g\lambda_m) &= g(v). \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (12)$$

Suppose $\{T_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is continuous & using G(5), we have

$$G(T_n(\lambda, \mu, \nu), T_m(g\lambda_m, g\mu_m, g\nu_m), T_m(g\lambda_m, g\mu_m, g\nu_m)) \leq G(T_n(\lambda, \mu, \nu), g(T_m(\lambda_m, \mu_m, \nu_m)), g(T_m(\lambda_m, \mu_m, \nu_m))) + G(g(T_m(\lambda_m, \mu_m, \nu_m)), T_m(g\lambda_m, g\mu_m, g\nu_m), T_m(g\lambda_m, g\mu_m, g\nu_m))$$

Similarly

$$G(T_n(\mu, \lambda, \mu), T_m(g\mu_m, g\lambda_m, g\mu_m), T_m(g\mu_m, g\lambda_m, g\mu_m)) \leq G(T_n(\mu, \lambda, \mu), g(T_m(\mu_m, \lambda_m, \mu_m)), g(T_m(\mu_m, \lambda_m, \mu_m))) + G(g(T_m(\mu_m, \lambda_m, \mu_m)), T_m(g\mu_m, g\lambda_m, g\mu_m), T_m(g\mu_m, g\lambda_m, g\mu_m))$$

And

$$G(T_n(\nu, \mu, \lambda), T_m(g\nu_m, g\mu_m, g\lambda_m), T_m(g\nu_m, g\mu_m, g\lambda_m)) \leq G(T_n(\nu, \mu, \lambda), g(T_m(\nu_m, \mu_m, \lambda_m)), g(T_m(\nu_m, \mu_m, \lambda_m))) + G(g(T_m(\nu_m, \mu_m, \lambda_m)), T_m(g\nu_m, g\mu_m, g\lambda_m), T_m(g\nu_m, g\mu_m, g\lambda_m))$$

Taking limit as $m \rightarrow \infty$, and weakly reciprocally continues we get

$$G(T_n(\lambda, \mu, \nu), g\lambda, g\lambda) \leq G(T_n(\lambda, \mu, \nu), g\lambda, g\lambda) + G(g\lambda, g\lambda, g\lambda)$$

$$G(T_n(\lambda, \mu, \nu), g\lambda, g\lambda) = 0.$$

Similarly, $G(T_n(\mu, \lambda, \mu), g\mu, g\mu) = 0$

and $G(T_n(\nu, \mu, \lambda), g\nu, g\nu) = 0$

i.e., $T_n(\lambda, \mu, \nu) = g\lambda$

$$T_n(\mu, \lambda, \mu) = g\mu$$

$$T_n(\nu, \mu, \lambda) = g\nu$$

Thus (λ, μ, ν) is tripled coincidence point of $\{T_n\}$ and g .

Now we prove it is unique.

Assume $(\wp, \mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{r})$ is another tripled coincidence points.

i.e, $g(\wp)=T_n(\wp, \varrho,r)$, $g(\varrho)=T_n(\varrho, \wp,\varrho)$ and $g(r)=T_n(r,\varrho,\wp)$

then we prove that $g(\lambda)=g(\wp)$, $g(\mu)=g(\varrho)$ and $g(v)=g(r)$

since the set of tripled coincidence points are comparable, applying condition (5), we obtain

$$G(g\lambda, g\wp, g\wp) = G(T_n(\lambda,\mu,v), T_m(\wp, \varrho,r), T_m(\wp,\varrho,r)) \\ \leq \gamma_{n,m}[G(g\lambda, T_n(\lambda,\mu,v), T_n(\lambda,\mu,v))+G(gp, T_m(p,q,r), T_m(p,q,r))]+\delta_{n,m}G(g\lambda, gp, gp)$$

$$G(g\lambda, gp, gp) \leq \gamma_{n,m}[G(g\lambda, g\lambda, g\lambda)+G(gp, gp, gp)]+\delta_{n,m}(g\lambda, gp, gp)$$

$$G(g\lambda, gp, gp) = 0 \text{ as } \delta_{n,m} < 1$$

$$\therefore g\lambda = gp$$

In the same way we can prove $g(\mu)=g(\varrho)$ and $g(v) =g(r)$

Hence g and $\{T_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ have a unique tripled

point of coincidence. i.e, $(g\lambda, g\mu, gv)$.

$\{T_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and g are weakly compatible since they are compatible, i.e, they commute at their coincidence points, i.e, $\lambda=g\lambda =T_n(\lambda, \mu, v)$, $\mu=g\mu =T_n(\mu, \lambda, \mu)$, $v=gv =T_n(v, \mu, \lambda)$.

Thus, $\{T_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and g have unique tripled common fixed point whenever they are weakly compatible.

COROLLARY 4.4: Assume (\mathcal{B}, G, \leq) is a complete partially ordered G – metric space. Let $\{T_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}: \mathcal{B}^3 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a sequence of mappings and g is an identity mapping for $\rho, \sigma, \tau, \kappa, \eta, \zeta \in \mathcal{B}$, $\{T_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $\rho \leq \kappa, \eta \leq \sigma, \tau \leq \zeta$ or $\kappa \leq \rho, \sigma \leq \eta, \zeta \leq \tau$, satisfies the following conditions.

(i) $T_m(\rho, \sigma, \tau) \leq T_{m+1}(\kappa, \eta, \zeta)$

(ii) $G(T_n(\rho, \sigma, \tau), T_m(\kappa, \eta, \zeta), T_m(\zeta, \alpha, \theta)) \leq \gamma_{n,m} [(\rho, T_n(\rho, \sigma, \tau), T_n(\rho, \sigma, \tau))+G(\kappa, T_m(\kappa, \eta, \zeta), T_m(\zeta, \alpha, \theta))]+\delta_{n,m} G(\rho, \kappa, \zeta)$ with $0 \leq \gamma_{n,m}, \delta_{n,m} < 1$ and $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$

If $\sum_{n=l}^{\infty} (\frac{\gamma_{n,n+l} + \delta_{n,n+l}}{1-\gamma_{n,n+l}})$ is an α - series and \mathcal{B} is regular, then there exists a tripled fixed point of

$\{T_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. i.e, $\exists (\rho, \sigma, \tau) \in \mathcal{B}^3$ such that $\rho=T_n(\rho, \sigma, \tau)$, $\sigma= T_n(\sigma, \rho, \sigma)$, $\tau=T_n(\tau, \sigma, \rho)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 4.5: Consider (\mathcal{B}, G, \leq) be a partially ordered complete G -metric space and is regular. Let g and $\{T_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is same as in theorem 3.3 and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{m,n} < 1, 0 \leq \beta_{i,j}, \gamma_{i,j} < 1$ and let

g and $\{T_m\}$ satisfies conditions (2), (3) and (5) then g and $\{T_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ have tripled coincidence point.

Proof: from the theorem, sequences

$\{g\lambda_m\}, \{g\mu_m\}$ and $\{g\nu_m\}$ are cauchy sequences in $G(\mathcal{B})$. Since

$\{g\lambda_m\}$ and $\{g\nu_m\}$ are increasing sequences and

$\{g\mu_m\}$ is decreasing sequences respectively: by using the regularity of

(\mathcal{B}, G, \leq) , we have $g\alpha_m \leq \alpha, \rho \leq g\rho_m, g\sigma_m \leq \sigma$ for all $m \geq 0$ then by (5), we obtain

$$G(T_m(g\alpha_m, g\rho_m, g\sigma_m), T_n(\alpha, \rho, \sigma), T_n(\alpha, \rho, \sigma)) \leq$$

$$\beta_{m,n}[G(g(g\alpha_m), T_m(g\alpha_m, g\rho_m, g\sigma_m), T_m(g\alpha_m, g\rho_m, g\sigma_m)) + G(g\alpha, T_n(\alpha, \rho, \sigma), T_n(\alpha, \rho, \sigma)) + \gamma_{m,n}(G(g(g\alpha_m), g\alpha, g\alpha))$$

Taking as $m \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $T_m(\alpha, \rho, \sigma) = g\alpha$ as $\beta_{m,n} < 1$,

Similarly we can prove $T_m(\rho, \alpha, \rho) = g\rho$

And $T_m(\sigma, \rho, \alpha) = g\sigma$

Thus (α, ρ, σ) is tripled coincidence point of $\{T_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ and g

Example 4.6: Consider $E = [0,1]$ and $G(\alpha, \rho, \sigma) = \max\{|\alpha - \rho|, |\rho - \sigma|, |\sigma - \alpha|\}$.

Clearly (E, G) is complete G metric space

Define $\gamma_{i,j} = \frac{1}{4^{2i+1}}, \delta_{i,j} = \frac{1}{4^i}$ for all $i, j = 1, 2, \dots$

Consider the mapping $T_n: E^3 \rightarrow E$ and $g: E \rightarrow E$ by $T_i(\alpha, \rho, \sigma) = \frac{\alpha+\rho+\sigma}{3^i}, g(\alpha) = 2\alpha$ for all

$\alpha, \rho, \sigma \in E, n=1,2,3,\dots$

Assume $i < j$ and for all $\alpha, \rho, \sigma, m, n, \nu, \mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h} \in E$ with $\alpha > m \geq \mathfrak{f}, \rho < n \leq \mathfrak{g},$

$$\sigma > \nu \geq \mathfrak{h}$$

$$G(T_i(\alpha, \rho, \sigma), T_j(m, n, \nu), T_j(\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})) = \left| \frac{\alpha+\rho+\sigma}{3^i} - \frac{\mathfrak{f}+\mathfrak{g}+\mathfrak{h}}{3^j} \right| \text{ and}$$

$$G(g\alpha, T_i(\alpha, \rho, \sigma), T_i(\alpha, \rho, \sigma)) + G(gm, T_j(m, n, \nu), T_j(\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})) = \left| 2\alpha - \frac{\alpha+\rho+\sigma}{3^i} \right| + \left| 2m - \frac{\mathfrak{f}+\mathfrak{g}+\mathfrak{h}}{3^j} \right|$$

$$G(g\alpha, gm, g\mathfrak{f}) = |6\alpha - 6\mathfrak{f}|$$

Since $\gamma_{i,j}, \delta_{i,j} < 1$, condition (5) satisfied for all $\alpha, \rho, \sigma, m, n, \nu, \mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h} \in E$ with

$$\alpha > m \geq \mathfrak{f}, \quad \rho < n \leq \mathfrak{g}, \quad \sigma > \nu \geq \mathfrak{h}$$

Moreover, the series

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\gamma_{i,i+1} + \delta_{i,i+1}}{1 - \gamma_{i,i+1}} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\frac{1}{4^{2i+1}} + \frac{1}{4^i}}{1 - \frac{1}{4^{2i+1}}} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{4^{i+1} + 1}{4^{2i+1} - 1} \text{ is an } \alpha\text{-series with } \alpha = \frac{1}{4}.$$

Unique common tripled fixed point for g and T_n is $(0,0,0)$

Theorem 4.7: Let (\mathcal{H}, G) be complete G metric space and $\{T_n\}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be a sequence of self-mappings such that

$$G(T_j(\wp), T_k(\mathfrak{q}), T_k(\nu)) \leq \beta_{j,k} [G(\wp, T_j(\wp), T_j(\wp)) + G(\mathfrak{q}, T_k(\mathfrak{q}), T_k(\mathfrak{q})) + G(\nu, T_k(\nu), T_k(\nu))] + \gamma_{j,k} G(\wp, \mathfrak{q}, \nu) \tag{A}$$

for $\wp, \mathfrak{q}, \nu \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\wp \neq \mathfrak{q}, 0 \leq \beta_{j,k}, \gamma_{j,k} < \frac{1}{2}, j, k = 1, 2, \dots$

If $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_{j,j+1} + \gamma_{j,j+1}}{1 - 2\beta_{j,j+1}}$ is an α -series then $\{T_n\}$ has unique common fixed point in \mathcal{H} .

Proof: For any $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{H}$, we can consider the sequence $\varphi_n = T_n(\varphi_{n-1})$, $n=1,2,\dots$

From (A) we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_2) &= G(T_1\varphi_0, T_2\varphi_1, T_2\varphi_1) \\ &\leq \beta_{1,2}[G(\varphi_0, T_1\varphi_0, T_1\varphi_0) + G(\varphi_1, T_2\varphi_1, T_1\varphi_1) + G(\varphi_1, T_2\varphi_1, T_2\varphi_1)] + \gamma_{1,2}G(\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_1) \\ &\leq \beta_{1,2}[G(\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_1) + G(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_2) + G(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_2)] + \gamma_{1,2}G(\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_1) \\ &\leq \beta_{1,2}[G(\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_1) + 2G(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_2)] + \gamma_{1,2}G(\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_1) \end{aligned}$$

$$G(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_2) \leq \left(\frac{\beta_{1,2} + \gamma_{1,2}}{1 - 2\beta_{1,2}}\right) G(\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_1).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Also we get } G(\varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_3) &= G(T_2\varphi_1, T_3\varphi_2, T_3\varphi_2) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\beta_{2,3} + \gamma_{2,3}}{1 - 2\beta_{2,3}}\right) G(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_2) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\beta_{2,3} + \gamma_{2,3}}{1 - 2\beta_{2,3}}\right) \left(\frac{\beta_{1,2} + \gamma_{1,2}}{1 - 2\beta_{1,2}}\right) G(\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_1). \end{aligned}$$

Repeating the above reasoning we obtain

$$G(\varphi_n, \varphi_{n+1}, \varphi_{n+1}) \leq \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{\beta_{j,j+1} + \gamma_{j,j+1}}{1 - 2\beta_{j,j+1}}\right) G(\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_1).$$

For $p > 0$ and by G_5

$$\begin{aligned} G(\varphi_n, \varphi_{n+p}, \varphi_{n+p}) &\leq G(\varphi_n, \varphi_{n+1}, \varphi_{n+1}) + G(\varphi_{n+1}, \varphi_{n+2}, \varphi_{n+2}) + G(\varphi_{n+2}, \varphi_{n+3}, \varphi_{n+3}) + \dots \\ &\quad + G(\varphi_{n+p-1}, \varphi_{n+p}, \varphi_{n+p}) \\ &\leq \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{\beta_{j,j+1} + \gamma_{j,j+1}}{1 - 2\beta_{j,j+1}}\right) + \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} \left(\frac{\beta_{j,j+1} + \gamma_{j,j+1}}{1 - 2\beta_{j,j+1}}\right) + \dots \\ &\quad + \prod_{j=1}^{n+p-1} \left(\frac{\beta_{j,j+1} + \gamma_{j,j+1}}{1 - 2\beta_{j,j+1}}\right) G(\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_1). \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \prod_{j=1}^{n+k} \left(\frac{\beta_{j,j+1} + \gamma_{j,j+1}}{1 - 2\beta_{j,j+1}}\right) G(\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_1). \\ &\leq \sum_{k=n}^{n+p-1} \prod_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{\beta_{j,j+1} + \gamma_{j,j+1}}{1 - 2\beta_{j,j+1}}\right) G(\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_1) \end{aligned}$$

By using the fact that the Geometric mean is less than or equal to arithmetic mean for non-negative real numbers and let α and n_α for $m \geq n_\alpha$ as in definition 2.9 follows as below

$$\leq \sum_{k=n}^{n+p-1} \left[\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{\beta_{j,j+1} + \gamma_{j,j+1}}{1 - 2\beta_{j,j+1}}\right) \right]^k G(\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_1).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore G(\varphi_n, \varphi_{n+p}, \varphi_{n+p}) &\leq \sum_{k=n}^{n+p-1} \left[\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{\beta_{j,j+1} + \gamma_{j,j+1}}{1 - 2\beta_{j,j+1}}\right) \right]^k G(\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_1). \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{k=n}^{n+p-1} \alpha^k\right) G(\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_1). \\ &\leq (\alpha^n + \alpha^{n+1} + \alpha^{n+2} + \dots + \alpha^{n+p-1}) G(\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_1). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \alpha^n(1+\alpha+\alpha^2+\alpha^3+\dots+\alpha^{p-1}) G(\wp_0, \wp_1, \wp_1). \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha^n}{1-\alpha} G(\wp_0, \wp_1, \wp_1). \end{aligned}$$

Let $n, p \rightarrow \infty$, $G(\wp_n, \wp_{n+p}, \wp_{n+p}) \rightarrow 0$ since $0 < \alpha < 1$.

Thus, $\{\wp_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in \mathcal{H} . Due to completeness of \mathcal{H} it converges to ζ in \mathcal{H} .

For $m > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(\wp_n, T_m(\zeta), T_m(\zeta)) &= G(T_n(\wp_{n-1}), T_m(\zeta), T_m(\zeta)) \\ &\leq \beta_{n,m}[G(\wp_{n-1}, \wp_n, \wp_n) + G(\zeta, T_m\zeta, T_m\zeta) + G(\zeta, T_m\zeta, T_m\zeta)] + \\ &\quad \gamma_{n,m}(\wp_{n-1}, \zeta, \zeta) \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, as $\wp_n \rightarrow \zeta$ we obtain

$$G(\zeta, T_m\zeta, T_m\zeta) \leq \beta_{n,m}[G(\zeta, \zeta, \zeta) + 2G(\zeta, T_m\zeta, T_m\zeta) + \gamma_{n,m}G(\zeta, \zeta, \zeta)]$$

$$G(\zeta, T_m\zeta, T_m\zeta) \leq 2\beta_{n,m} G(\zeta, T_m\zeta, T_m\zeta)$$

Since $\beta_{n,m} < \frac{1}{2}$, $G(\zeta, T_m\zeta, T_m\zeta) = 0$

$$\therefore T_m\zeta = \zeta$$

ζ is fixed point of $\{T_m\}$.

Assume ϑ is another fixed point of $\{T_m\}$, i.e., $T_m(\vartheta) = \vartheta$. Such that $\zeta \neq \vartheta$.

$$\begin{aligned} G(\zeta, \vartheta, \vartheta) &= G(T_m\zeta, T_m\vartheta, T_m\vartheta) \\ &\leq \beta_{n,m}[G(\zeta, T_m\zeta, T_m\zeta) + G(\vartheta, T_m\vartheta, T_m\vartheta) + G(\vartheta, T_m\vartheta, T_m\vartheta)] + \gamma_{n,m}G(\zeta, \vartheta, \vartheta) \end{aligned}$$

$$G(\zeta, \vartheta, \vartheta) \leq \beta_{n,m}[G(\zeta, \zeta, \zeta) + G(\vartheta, \vartheta, \vartheta) + G(\vartheta, \vartheta, \vartheta)] + \gamma_{n,m}G(\zeta, \vartheta, \vartheta).$$

$$G(\zeta, \vartheta, \vartheta) \leq \gamma_{n,m}G(\zeta, \vartheta, \vartheta).$$

, which is a contradiction since $\gamma_{n,m} < \frac{1}{2}$

$$\therefore \zeta = \vartheta$$

$\therefore \zeta$ is a unique fixed point of $\{T_m\}$.

Corollary 4.8: Consider a sequence of self mappings be $\{T_n\}$ and (\mathcal{H}, G) be a complete G- metric space satisfies the following contraction such that

$$G(T_j\wp, T_k\varrho, T_k\nu) \leq \beta_{j,k}[G(\wp, T_j\wp, T_j\wp) + G(\varrho, T_k\varrho, T_k\varrho) + G(\nu, T_k\nu, T_k\nu)] \tag{B}$$

for $\wp, \varrho, \nu \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\wp \neq \varrho, 0 \leq \beta_{j,k} < \frac{1}{2}, j, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

then $\{T_n\}$ has a unique fixed point in \mathcal{H} if $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\frac{\beta_{j,j+1}}{1-2\beta_{j,j+1}})$ is an α -series

Example 4.9. Let $\mathcal{H} = [0, 1]$ and $G(\wp, \varrho, \nu) = \max\{|\wp - \varrho|, |\varrho - \nu|, |\nu - \wp|\}$.

Clearly (\mathcal{H}, G) is a complete Generalized metric space. Define $\beta_{j,k} = \frac{1}{2+3^k}$ for all $j, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

and $T_j(\wp) = \frac{\wp}{3^j}$ for all $\wp \in \mathcal{H}$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots$

Assume $j < k$ and $\wp > \varrho \geq v$ so we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(T_j \wp, T_k \varrho, T_k v) &= \max \left\{ \left| \frac{\wp}{3^j} - \frac{\varrho}{3^k} \right|, \left| \frac{\varrho}{3^k} - \frac{v}{3^k} \right|, \left| \frac{v}{3^k} - \frac{\wp}{3^j} \right| \right\} \\ &= \left| \frac{\wp}{3^j} - \frac{\varrho}{3^k} \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$G(\wp, T_j \wp, T_j \wp) + G(\varrho, T_k \varrho, T_k \varrho) + G(v, T_k v, T_k v) = \left| \wp - \frac{\wp}{3^j} \right| + \left| \varrho - \frac{\varrho}{3^k} \right| + \left| v - \frac{v}{3^k} \right|$$

Clearly $G(T_j \wp, T_k \varrho, T_k v) \leq G(\wp, T_j \wp, T_j \wp) + G(\varrho, T_k \varrho, T_k \varrho) + G(v, T_k v, T_k v)$.

\therefore condition (B) satisfied for all $\wp, \varrho, v \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\wp \neq \varrho$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\beta_{j,j+1}}{1-2\beta_{j,j+1}} \right) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\frac{1}{2+3^j}}{1-2\frac{1}{2+3^j}} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\frac{1}{2+3^j}}{\frac{2+3^j-2}{2+3^j}} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{3^j} \text{ is an } \alpha \text{ series with } \alpha = \frac{1}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

By corollary 3.8, $\{T_n\}$ has a unique fixed point $0 \in \mathcal{H}$.

Theorem 4.10: Assume (\mathcal{H}, G) be a complete G metric space. $\{T_n\}$ be a sequence of self mappings on \mathcal{H} such that

$$\begin{aligned} G(T_j^p(\wp), T_k^p(\varrho), T_k^p(v)) &\leq \beta_{j,k} [G(\wp, T_j^p(\wp), T_j^p(\wp)) + G(\varrho, T_k^p(\varrho), T_k^p(\varrho)) + \\ &G(v, T_k^p(v), T_k^p(v)) + \gamma_{j,k} G(\wp, \varrho, v)]. \end{aligned}$$

For $\wp, \varrho, v \in \mathcal{H}$, $\wp \neq \varrho$, $0 \leq \beta_{j,k}, \gamma_{j,k} < \frac{1}{2}$, $j, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

If $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\beta_{j,j+1} + \gamma_{j,j+1}}{1-2\beta_{j,j+1}} \right)$ is an α -series, then $\{T_n\}$ has unique common fixed point in \mathcal{H} .

5. CONCLUSION

In this study we present unique tripled fixed-point and common fixed-point results for a sequence of mappings and a self-mapping in G metric space via α -series with supporting examples.

References

- [1] Z. Mustafa; A new structure for generalized metric spaces with applications to fixed point theory, PH. D thesis, The university of Newcastle, Callaghan, Australia (2005).
- [2] Z. Mastafa, B. Sims, A new approach to generalized metric spaces, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal; 7 (2), 289 – 297 (2006).
- [3] Mustafa, Z, Obiedat, H, Awawdeh, F: Some fixed-point theorem for mapping on complete G-metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl 2008, 12 (2008). ID 189870.

- [4] Mustafa, Z, Sims, B: Fixed point theorems for contractive mappings in complete G-metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl* 2009, 10 (2009). ID 917175.
- [5] Mustafa, Z, Shatanawi, W, Bataineh, M: Existence of fixed point results in G-metric spaces. *Int J Math Math Sci* 2009, 10 (2009). ID 283028.
- [6] Mustafa, Z, Awawdeh, F, Shatanawi, W: Fixed point theorem for expansive mappings in G-metric spaces. *Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sci.* 5, 49-52 (2010).
- [7] Mustafa, Z, Khandaqji, M, Shatanawi, W: Fixed point results on complete G-metric spaces. *Studia Scientiarum Mathematicarum Hungarica.* 48, 304–319 (2011). doi:10.1556/SScMath.48.2011.3.1170
- [8] Mustafa, Z: Common fixed points of weakly compatible mappings in G-metric spaces. *Appl. Math. Sci.* 6(92), 4589-4600 (2012)
- [9] Mustafa, Z: Some new common fixed point theorems under strict contractive conditions in G-metric spaces. *J. Appl. Math.* 2012, Article ID 248937 (2012). doi:10.1155/2012/248937
- [10] Mustafa, Z, Aydi, H, Karapinar, E: On common fixed points in G-metric spaces using (E.A) property. *Comput. Math. Appl.* 64, 1944-1956 (2012). doi:10.1016/j.camwa.2012.03.051
- [11] Aydi, H, Shatanawi, W, Vetro, C: On generalized weakly G-contraction mapping in G-metric spaces. *Comput. Math. Appl.* 62, 4222-4229 (2011)
- [12] Shatanawi, W, Postolache, M: Some fixed-point results for a G-weak contraction in G-metric spaces. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* 2012, Article ID 815870 (2012)
- [13] Sepet, S. S. and Aydin, C. Common fixed point theorems for F-contractions in G-metric spaces using compatible mappings. *Konuralp Journal of Mathematics*, 6(1), 92-97. (2018)
- [14] Kumar, M. and Sharma, R.A new approach to the study of fixed point theorems for simulation functions in G-metric spaces. *Boletim da Sociedade Paranaense de Matematica*, 37(2), 115–121. (2019).
- [15] Kumar, M., Arora, S., Imdad, M. and Alfaqih, W. M. Coincidence and common fixed point results via simulation functions in G-metric spaces. *Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science*, 19, 288–300. (2019).
- [16] Kumar, M., Arora, S. and Mishra, S. On the power of simulation map for almost Z-contraction in G-metric space with applications to the solution of the integral equation. *Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 44, 639- 648. (2020).
- [17] Chen, J., Zhu, C. and Zhu, L. A note on some fixed point theorems on G-metric spaces. *Journal of Applied Analysis and Computation*, 11(1), 101-112. (2021).
- [18] Priyobarta, N., Khomdram, B., Rohen, Y. and Saleem, N. On generalized rational α -Geraghty contraction mappings in G-metric spaces. *Journal of Mathematics*, Volume 2021, Article ID 6661045, 12 pages, DOI:10.1155/2021/6661045. (2021).
- [19] Kumar, M. and Arora, S. Fixed point theorems for modified generalized F-contraction in G-metric spaces. *Boletim da Sociedade Paranaense de Matematica*, 40, 1-8. (2022).
- [20] Jiddah, J. A., Alansari, M., Mohamed, O., Shagari, M. S., and Bakery, A. A. Fixed point results of Jaggi-type hybrid contraction in generalized metric space. *Journal of Function Spaces*, Vol. 2022, ID: 2205423. (2022).
- [21] V.Sihag, C.Vetro, RK.Vats: A fixed point theorems in G- metric spaces. *Via α -series, Quaest.math*;37,1 - 6 (2014).
- [22] Chang, SS. Ma, YH: Coupled fixed point for mixed monotone condensing operators and an existence theorem of the solutions for a class of functional equations arising in dynamic programming. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 160, 468-479 (1991).
- [23] Berinde V: generalized coupled fixed point theorems for mixed monotone mappings in partially ordered metric spaces. *Nonlinear Anal.*72,4508 – 4517 (2010).
- [24] B.S. Choudary , A. Kundu : A coupled coincidence point result in partially ordered metric spaces for compatible mappings, *Nonlinear Anal.*73,2524 – 2531 (2010).
- [25] Lakshmikantham ,V ,Cric, L: Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces. *Nonlinear Anal.*70, 4341 – 4349 (2009).
- [26] Shatanawi, W: Coupled fixed point theorems in generalized metric spaces. *Hacet. J. Math. Stat.* 40, 441-447 (2011)
- [27] Choudhury, BS, Maity, P: Coupled fixed point results in generalized metric spaces. *Math.Comput. Model.* 54, 73-79 (2011).
- [28] Aydi, H.,B. Damjanovic, B. Samet, W. Shathanawi ; coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered G- metric spaces, *math. camput. modell*, 54,2443-2450 (2011)

- [29] S.Hadi Bonab, R. Abazari, A. Bagheria Vakilabad, H.Hosseinzadeh: coupled fixed point theorems on G- metric spaces via α -series. Global Anal: and Discrete mathematics 6(1) 1-12 pp, ISSN 2476 – 5341(2021)
- [30] Berinde, V: Borcut, M: Tripled fixed point theorems for contractive type mappings in partially ordered metric spaces. Nonlinear Anal.74(15) 4889 – 4897(2011).
- [31] Borcut, M, Berinde, V : Tripled coincidence theorems for contractive type mappings in partially ordered metric spaces. Apple.math.comput.218 (10), 5929 – 5936 (2012).
- [32] RK.Vats, K.Tal, V.Sihag and A,Kumar: Tripled fixed point theorems via α -series in partially ordered metric spaces, J.Inequal.Appl.1 – 12 (2014).
- [33] T.G.Bhaskar ,V. Lakshmikantham : Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications, Nonlinear Anal;65,1379 – 1393 (2006)