

Generalization of intuitionistic fuzzy ideals via $(\tilde{\delta}, \tilde{\varphi})$ intuitionistic Q interval-valued fuzzy ideals using regular ordered ternary semigroups

Ayman Hazaymeh¹, Abdallah Al-Husban^{2,3}, M.Palanikumar⁴

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Jadara University, P.O. Box 733, Irbid 21110, Jordan.

²Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Irbid National University, P.O. Box: 2600 Irbid, Jordan.

³Jadara Research Center, Jadara University, Irbid 21110, Jordan.

⁴Department of Mathematics, Saveetha School of Engineering, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, Chennai-602105, India.

E-mails: ¹aymanha@jadara.edu.jo, ³dralhosban@inu.edu.jo, ⁴palanimaths86@gmail.com,

*Corresponding author: M.Palanikumar.

Received: 25-10-2024; Revised: 02-12-2024; Accepted: 18-12-2024

Abstract

This paper introduces the notion of $(\tilde{\delta}, \tilde{\varphi})$ intuitionistic Q interval-valued fuzzy subsemigroup (IQVFSS), fuzzy left ideal (IQVFLI), fuzzy right ideal (IQVFRI), fuzzy lateral ideal (IQVFLATI), fuzzy ideal (IQVFI), and fuzzy bi-ideal (IQVFBI) of an ordered semigroups. Let $(\tilde{\delta}, \tilde{\varphi})$ -IQVFI is a new extension of IQVFI over ternary semigroups \mathcal{S} . The subset $h = [\mathfrak{R} \mathfrak{I} \mathfrak{S}]$ represents a $(\tilde{\delta}, \tilde{\varphi})$ -IQVFSS [IQVFLI, IQVFRI, IQVFLATI, IQVFBI] of \mathcal{S} if and only if every level subset h_t is an SS [LI, RI, LAIQVF, TBI] of \mathcal{S} for every $t \in (\tilde{\delta}, \tilde{\varphi})$. A few examples can be presented to demonstrate our results.

Keywords: IQVFSS, IQVFLI, IQVFRI, IQVFLATI, IQVFBI.

1 Introduction

D. H. Lehmer initially introduced triplexes, which are ternary algebraic systems, in 1932.¹ Triplexes, ternary algebraic systems that prove to be commutative ternary groups, are the subject of his investigation. The concept of a semiring was initially put out by Vandiver in 1934. In 1962, Hestenes² used the idea of ternary algebra to matrices and linear transformation. The fuzzy set (FS) theory, first presented by Zadeh,³ is the most effective approach to dealing with ambiguity and uncertainty. If an element in an FS has a single value inside the interval, it is regarded as a member degree (MD). However, the degree of non-membership degree (NMD) could not always be equal to one minus the MD, uncertain theories, such as FS,³ intuitionistic FS (IFS),⁴ Pythagorean FS (PFS),⁵ and spherical FS (SFS).⁶ An FS is made up of sets of various grades, such as MG, which range from 0 to 1. MG is the classification for IFS regardless of the assertion made by Atanassov⁴ that NMG can only be worth 1. Using PFS logic, Yager⁵ built the generalized MG and NMG, which has a maximum value of 1 and is based on the square of the MGs and NMGs. The neutral condition, which is neither positive nor negative, cannot be adequately described by these concepts. The practical applications of FS extensions were discussed by Al-Husban et al.⁷⁻¹⁰ He investigated their characteristics in a manner similar to that of set theory. Rosenfeld¹¹ created fuzzy subgroups and listed some of their characteristics in 1971. Fuzzy semigroups were first presented by Kuroki¹² as an expansion of classical semigroups. Some fuzzy semigroup characterisation was developed by Mordeson.¹³ Sen et al. supplied the \sqsupset -semigroups' characteristics.^{14,15} Kehayopula looked at the ordered \sqsupset -semigroup.¹⁶ Somsak Lekkoksung used ordered semigroups to investigate Q -fuzzy ideals.^{17,18} Kehayopula et al. started the research on fuzzy ordered semigroups.

Initial proposals for the $(\tilde{\delta}, \tilde{\varphi})$ fuzzy bi-ideal and FSS were made by Muhammad Khan et al.¹⁹ Numerous scholars have recently examined the idea of IFS, NSS, and its characterisation²⁰⁻²⁴. An IFS with normal subsemiring was introduced by Palanikumar et al.²⁵ Hila et al.²⁶ explored bi-ideals on ordered semigroups. Dutta T.K. et al. introduced novel concepts using prime ideals of ternary semirings.²⁷ A number of prime bi-ideals of the rings have been studied by Palanikumar et al.^{28,29} The several ideals of different algebraic

approach were examined by Palanikumar et al.³⁰⁻³⁴ The notion of various operators, including averaging, geometric, and its generalized forms, was explored by new researchers Hatamleh et al.³⁵⁻⁴¹ Bataihah,⁴² and Hazaymeh.⁴³ We study ordered ternary semigroups based on $(\tilde{\partial}, \tilde{\varphi})$ ternary IFS and provide examples to show their properties.

2 Basic concepts

Definition 2.1. Let \sqsupset and \sqsupset_1 be subsets of \mathcal{L} . Then

1. $(\sqsupset] = \{t \in \mathcal{L} \mid t \leq h \text{ for some } h \in \sqsupset\}$,
2. $\sqsupset\sqsupset_1 = \{ab : a \in \sqsupset, b \in \sqsupset_1\}$,
3. $\sqsupset_a = \{(b, c) \in \mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{L} \mid a \leq bc\}$.

Definition 2.2. A fuzzy subset $\tilde{\partial}_2$ of an ordered semigroup \mathcal{L} is called a FRI(FLI) of \mathcal{L} if

1. $a \leq b \Rightarrow \tilde{\partial}_2(a) \geq \tilde{\partial}_2(b)$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{L}$,
2. $\tilde{\partial}_2(ab) \geq \tilde{\partial}_2(a)$ (resp. $\tilde{\partial}_2(ab) \geq \tilde{\partial}_2(b)$) for all $a, b \in \mathcal{L}$,

Definition 2.3. If $(\mathcal{L}, +)$ is a commutative semigroup and ternary multiplication meets the following conditions, then

1. $(fgh)ij = f(ghi)j = fg(hij)$,
2. $(f + g)hi = fhi + ghi$,
3. $f(g + h)i = fgi + fhi$,
4. $fg(h + i) = fgh + fgi$ for all $f, g, h, i, j \in \mathcal{L}$

Definition 2.4. The subset K of \mathcal{L} is called a

1. SS if $v_1v_2v_3 \in K$ for all $v_1, v_2, v_3 \in K$.
2. right (lateral, left) ideal if $is_1s_2 \in K$ ($s_1is_2 \in K, s_1s_2i \in K$) for all $s_1, s_2 \in \mathcal{L}$ and $i \in K$.

Corollary 2.5. If \mathcal{L} is regular if and only if RI \sqsupset , LATIF \sqsupset_1 and LI \sqsupset_2 of \mathcal{L} , then $(\sqsupset \sqsupset_1 \sqsupset_2) = (\sqsupset * \sqsupset_1 * \sqsupset_2)$.

3 $(\tilde{\partial}, \tilde{\varphi})$ ternary intuitionistic Q interval-valued fuzzy ideals

Here, \mathcal{L} represents an ordered ternary semigroup. Assuming $(\tilde{\partial}, \tilde{\varphi}) \in [0, 1]$ and $0 \leq \tilde{\partial} \prec \tilde{\varphi} \leq 1$, both $(\tilde{\partial}, \tilde{\varphi})$ are arbitrary fixed points.

Definition 3.1. An IVFS N and Q be any set, then the pair $N \times Q$ is called an IQVFS. Let $N = [\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_N, \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_N]$ of \mathcal{L} is called a $(\tilde{\partial}, \tilde{\varphi})$ IQVFSS of \mathcal{L} if

1. $\tilde{\partial}_1 \leq \tilde{\partial}_3 \Rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\partial}_1) \geq \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\partial}_3)$,
2. $\max\{\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\partial}_1\tilde{\partial}_2\tilde{\partial}_3, \tilde{a}), \tilde{\partial}\} \geq \min\{\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\partial}_1, \tilde{a}), \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\partial}_2, \tilde{a}), \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\partial}_3, \tilde{a}), \tilde{\varphi}\}$,
3. $\min\{\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\partial}_1\tilde{\partial}_2\tilde{\partial}_3, \tilde{a}), \tilde{\partial}\} \leq \max\{\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\partial}_1, \tilde{a}), \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\partial}_2, \tilde{a}), \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\partial}_3, \tilde{a}), \tilde{\varphi}\}$ for all $\tilde{\partial}_1, \tilde{\partial}_2, \tilde{\partial}_3 \in \mathcal{L}$ and $\tilde{a} \in Q$.

Example 3.2. Let $\mathcal{L} = \{a, b, c, d\}$ with the following Cayley table:

*	a	b	c	d	*	a	b	c	d
a	l	l	l	l	l	a	a	a	a
b	l	m	n	o	m	a	b	c	d
c	l	n	n	n	n	a	c	c	c
d	l	n	n	n	o	a	c	c	c

$\leq := \{(a, a), (a, b), (a, c), (a, d), (b, b), (b, c), (b, d), (c, c), (d, c), (d, d)\}$.
 Define the mapping $N = [\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_N, \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_N] : \mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow [0, 1]$.

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\kappa, \check{a}) = \begin{cases} [0.6, 0.65] & \text{if } \kappa = a \\ [0.4, 0.45] & \text{if } \kappa = b \\ [0.1, 0.15] & \text{if } \kappa = c \\ [0.2, 0.25] & \text{if } \kappa = d \end{cases} \quad \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\kappa, \check{a}) = \begin{cases} [0.3, 0.35] & \text{if } \kappa = a \\ [0.35, 0.4] & \text{if } \kappa = b \\ [0.45, 0.5] & \text{if } \kappa = c \\ [0.4, 0.45] & \text{if } \kappa = d \end{cases}$$

Then N is a $([0.5, 0.55], [0.65, 0.7])$ IQVFSS of \mathcal{Z} .

Definition 3.3. A IQVFS N of \mathcal{Z} is called a $(\tilde{\partial}, \tilde{\varphi})$ -IQVFBI of \mathcal{Z} if

1. If $\tilde{\partial}_1 \leq \tilde{\partial}_3$, then $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\partial}_1) \geq \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\partial}_3)$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\partial}_1) \leq \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\partial}_3)$,
2. $\max\{\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\partial}_1\tilde{\partial}_2\tilde{\partial}_3, \check{a}), \tilde{\partial}\} \geq \min\{\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\partial}_1, \check{a}), \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\partial}_3, \check{a}), \tilde{\varphi}\}$,
 $\min\{\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\partial}_1\tilde{\partial}_2\tilde{\partial}_3, \check{a}), \tilde{\partial}\} \leq \max\{\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\partial}_1, \check{a}), \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\partial}_3, \check{a}), \tilde{\varphi}\}$,
3. $\max\{\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\partial}_1\tilde{\partial}_2\tilde{\partial}_3\tilde{\partial}_4\tilde{\partial}_5, \check{a}), \tilde{\partial}\} \geq \min\{\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\partial}_1, \check{a}), \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\partial}_5, \check{a}), \tilde{\varphi}\}$,
 $\min\{\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\partial}_1\tilde{\partial}_2\tilde{\partial}_3\tilde{\partial}_4\tilde{\partial}_5, \check{a}), \tilde{\partial}\} \leq \max\{\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\partial}_1, \check{a}), \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\partial}_5, \check{a}), \tilde{\varphi}\}$, for $\tilde{\partial}_1, \tilde{\partial}_2, \tilde{\partial}_3, \tilde{\partial}_4, \tilde{\partial}_5, \in \mathcal{Z}$.

4 Level set concepts

Theorem 4.1. A subset $h_{\tilde{\partial}}$ is a $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\tilde{\partial}}$ is a $(\tilde{\partial}, \tilde{\varphi})$ -IQVFSS (IQVFLI, IQVFLATI, IQVFRI, IQVFBI) of \mathcal{Z} . Then the lower level set $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\tilde{\partial}}$ is an SS (LI, LATIF, RI, TBI) of \mathcal{Z} , where $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\tilde{\partial}} = \{\tilde{\partial}_1 \in \mathcal{Z} | \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\partial}_1, \check{a}) \succ \tilde{\partial}\}$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\tilde{\partial}} = \{\tilde{\partial}_1 \in \mathcal{Z} | \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\partial}_1, \check{a}) \prec \tilde{\partial}\}$.

Proof. Suppose that $h_{\tilde{\partial}}$ is a $(\tilde{\partial}, \tilde{\varphi})$ -IQVFSS of \mathcal{Z} . Let $\tilde{\partial}_1, \tilde{\partial}_2, \tilde{\partial}_3 \in \mathcal{Z}$ such that $\tilde{\partial}_1, \tilde{\partial}_2, \tilde{\partial}_3 \in \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\tilde{\partial}}$. Then $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\partial}_1, \check{a}) \succ \tilde{\partial}, \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\partial}_2, \check{a}) \succ \tilde{\partial}, \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\partial}_3, \check{a}) \succ \tilde{\partial}$. Therefore $\max\{\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\partial}_1\tilde{\partial}_2\tilde{\partial}_3, \check{a}), \tilde{\partial}\} \geq \min\{\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\partial}_1, \check{a}), \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\partial}_2, \check{a}), \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\partial}_3, \check{a}), \tilde{\varphi}\} \succ \min\{\tilde{\partial}, \tilde{\partial}, \tilde{\partial}, \tilde{\varphi}\} = \tilde{\partial}$. Hence $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\partial}_1\tilde{\partial}_2\tilde{\partial}_3, \check{a}) \succ \tilde{\partial}$. It shows that $\tilde{\partial}_1\tilde{\partial}_2\tilde{\partial}_3 \in \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\tilde{\partial}}$. Therefore $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\tilde{\partial}}$ is a SS of \mathcal{Z} . Let $\tilde{\partial}_1, \tilde{\partial}_2, \tilde{\partial}_3 \in \mathcal{Z}$ such that $\tilde{\partial}_1, \tilde{\partial}_2, \tilde{\partial}_3 \in \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\tilde{\partial}}$. Then $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\partial}_1, \check{a}) \prec \tilde{\partial}, \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\partial}_2, \check{a}) \prec \tilde{\partial}, \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\partial}_3, \check{a}) \prec \tilde{\partial}$. Therefore $\min\{\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\partial}_1\tilde{\partial}_2\tilde{\partial}_3, \check{a}), \tilde{\partial}\} \leq \max\{\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\partial}_1, \check{a}), \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\partial}_2, \check{a}), \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\partial}_3, \check{a}), \tilde{\varphi}\} \prec \max\{\tilde{\partial}, \tilde{\partial}, \tilde{\partial}, \tilde{\varphi}\} = \tilde{\varphi}$. Hence $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\partial}_1\tilde{\partial}_2\tilde{\partial}_3, \check{a}) \prec \tilde{\partial}$. It shows that $\tilde{\partial}_1\tilde{\partial}_2\tilde{\partial}_3 \in \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\tilde{\partial}}$. Therefore $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\tilde{\partial}}$ is a SS of \mathcal{Z} . Therefore $h_{\tilde{\partial}}$ is a SS of \mathcal{Z} .

Theorem 4.2. A subset \sqsupset of \mathcal{Z} is a SS [LI, LATIF, RI, TBI] of \mathcal{Z} if and only if the IQVFS $h = [\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}]$ of \mathcal{Z} is defined as

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\partial}_1, \check{a}) = \begin{cases} \geq \tilde{\varphi} & \text{for all } \tilde{\partial}_1 \in \sqsupset \\ \tilde{\partial} & \text{for all } \tilde{\partial}_1 \notin \sqsupset \end{cases} \quad \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\partial}_1, \check{a}) = \begin{cases} \leq \tilde{\varphi} & \text{for all } \tilde{\partial}_1 \in \sqsupset \\ \tilde{\partial} & \text{for all } \tilde{\partial}_1 \notin \sqsupset \end{cases}$$

is a $(\tilde{\partial}, \tilde{\varphi})$ IQVFSS [IQVFLI, IQVFLATI, IQVFRI, IQVFBI] of \mathcal{Z} .

Proof. Suppose that \sqsupset is an SS of \mathcal{Z} . Let $\tilde{\partial}_1, \tilde{\partial}_2, \tilde{\partial}_3 \in \mathcal{Z}$ be such that $\tilde{\partial}_1, \tilde{\partial}_2, \tilde{\partial}_3 \in \sqsupset$ then $\tilde{\partial}_1\tilde{\partial}_2\tilde{\partial}_3 \in \sqsupset$. Hence $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\partial}_1\tilde{\partial}_2\tilde{\partial}_3, \check{a}) \geq \tilde{\varphi}$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\partial}_1\tilde{\partial}_2\tilde{\partial}_3, \check{a}) \leq \tilde{\varphi}$. Thus $\max\{\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\partial}_1\tilde{\partial}_2\tilde{\partial}_3, \check{a}), \tilde{\partial}\} \geq \tilde{\varphi} = \min\{\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\partial}_1, \check{a}), \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\partial}_2, \check{a}), \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\partial}_3, \check{a}), \tilde{\varphi}\}$ and $\min\{\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\partial}_1\tilde{\partial}_2\tilde{\partial}_3, \check{a}), \tilde{\partial}\} \leq \tilde{\varphi} = \max\{\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\partial}_1, \check{a}), \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\partial}_2, \check{a}), \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\partial}_3, \check{a}), \tilde{\varphi}\}$. If $\tilde{\partial}_1 \notin \sqsupset$ or $\tilde{\partial}_2 \notin \sqsupset$ or $\tilde{\partial}_3 \notin \sqsupset$, then $\min\{\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\partial}_1, \check{a}), \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\partial}_2, \check{a}), \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\partial}_3, \check{a}), \tilde{\varphi}\} = \tilde{\partial}$ and $\max\{\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\partial}_1, \check{a}), \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\partial}_2, \check{a}), \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\partial}_3, \check{a}), \tilde{\varphi}\} = \tilde{\varphi}$.

That is $\max\{\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\delta}_1\tilde{\delta}_2\tilde{\delta}_3, \tilde{a}), \tilde{\delta}\} \geq \min\{\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\delta}_1, \tilde{a}), \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\delta}_2, \tilde{a}), \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\delta}_3, \tilde{a}), \tilde{\varphi}\}$ and
 $\min\{\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\delta}_1\tilde{\delta}_2\tilde{\delta}_3, \tilde{a}), \tilde{\delta}\} \leq \max\{\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\delta}_1, \tilde{a}), \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\delta}_2, \tilde{a}), \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\delta}_3, \tilde{a}), \tilde{\varphi}\}$.

Therefore \tilde{h} is a $(\tilde{\delta}, \tilde{\varphi})$ IQVFSS of \mathcal{Z} .

Conversely assume that $\tilde{h} = [\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}]$ is a $(\tilde{\delta}, \tilde{\varphi})$ -IQVFSS of \mathcal{Z} . Let $\tilde{\delta}_1\tilde{\delta}_2\tilde{\delta}_3 \in (\sqsupset)$. Then $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\delta}_1, \tilde{a}) \geq \tilde{\varphi}$, $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\delta}_2, \tilde{a}) \geq \tilde{\varphi}$, $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\delta}_3, \tilde{a}) \geq \tilde{\varphi}$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\delta}_1, \tilde{a}) \leq \tilde{\varphi}$, $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\delta}_2, \tilde{a}) \leq \tilde{\varphi}$, $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\delta}_3, \tilde{a}) \leq \tilde{\varphi}$. Now $\tilde{h} = [\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}]$ is a $(\tilde{\delta}, \tilde{\varphi})$ -IQVFSS of \mathcal{Z} . Therefore $\max\{\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\delta}_1\tilde{\delta}_2\tilde{\delta}_3, \tilde{a}), \tilde{\delta}\} \geq \min\{\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\delta}_1, \tilde{a}), \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\delta}_2, \tilde{a}), \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\delta}_3, \tilde{a}), \tilde{\varphi}\} \geq \min\{\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\varphi}\} = \tilde{\varphi}$ and $\min\{\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\delta}_1\tilde{\delta}_2\tilde{\delta}_3, \tilde{a}), \tilde{\delta}\} \leq \max\{\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\delta}_1, \tilde{a}), \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\delta}_2, \tilde{a}), \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\delta}_3, \tilde{a}), \tilde{\varphi}\} \leq \max\{\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\varphi}\} = \tilde{\varphi}$. It follows that $\tilde{\delta}_1\tilde{\delta}_2\tilde{\delta}_3 \in (\sqsupset)$. Therefore \sqsupset is a SS of \mathcal{Z} .

Theorem 4.3. A subset $\tilde{h} = [\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}]$ is a $(\tilde{\delta}, \tilde{\varphi})$ - IQVFSS [IQVFLI, IQVFLATI, IQVFRI, IQVFBI] of \mathcal{Z} if and only if each level subset \tilde{h}_t is a SS [LI, LAIQVF, RI, TBI] of \mathcal{Z} for all $t \in (\tilde{\delta}, \tilde{\varphi})$.

Proof. Assume that \tilde{h}_t is a SS of \mathcal{Z} for each $t \in [0, 1]$.

Let $t = \min\{\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\delta}_1, \tilde{a}), \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\delta}_2, \tilde{a}), \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\delta}_3, \tilde{a})\}$. Then $\tilde{\delta}_1, \tilde{\delta}_2, \tilde{\delta}_3 \in \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_t$ for each $\tilde{\delta}_1, \tilde{\delta}_2, \tilde{\delta}_3 \in \mathcal{Z}$.

Thus $\max\{\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\delta}_1\tilde{\delta}_2\tilde{\delta}_3, \tilde{a}), \tilde{\delta}\} \geq t = \min\{\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\delta}_1, \tilde{a}), \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\delta}_2, \tilde{a}), \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\delta}_3, \tilde{a}), \tilde{\varphi}\}$.

Let $t = \max\{\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\delta}_1, \tilde{a}), \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\delta}_2, \tilde{a}), \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\delta}_3, \tilde{a})\}$.

Then $\tilde{\delta}_1, \tilde{\delta}_2, \tilde{\delta}_3 \in \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_t$ for each $\tilde{\delta}_1, \tilde{\delta}_2, \tilde{\delta}_3 \in \mathcal{Z}$.

Thus $\min\{\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\delta}_1\tilde{\delta}_2\tilde{\delta}_3, \tilde{a}), \tilde{\delta}\} \leq t = \max\{\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\delta}_1, \tilde{a}), \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\delta}_2, \tilde{a}), \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\delta}_3, \tilde{a}), \tilde{\varphi}\}$.

This shows that \tilde{h}^t is IQVFSS of \mathcal{Z} .

Conversely, assume that \tilde{h}^t is a IQVFSS of \mathcal{Z} .

For each $t \in [0, 1]$ and $\tilde{\delta}_1, \tilde{\delta}_2, \tilde{\delta}_3 \in \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_t$.

We have $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\delta}_1, \tilde{a}) \geq t$, $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\delta}_2, \tilde{a}) \geq t$, $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\delta}_3, \tilde{a}) \geq t$. Since $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$ is a SS of \mathcal{Z} ,

$\max\{\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\delta}_1\tilde{\delta}_2\tilde{\delta}_3, \tilde{a}), \tilde{\delta}\} \geq \min\{\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\delta}_1, \tilde{a}), \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\delta}_2, \tilde{a}), \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\delta}_3, \tilde{a}), \tilde{\varphi}\} \geq t$.

This implies that $\tilde{\delta}_1\tilde{\delta}_2\tilde{\delta}_3 \in \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_t$.

We have $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\delta}_1, \tilde{a}) \leq t$, $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\delta}_2, \tilde{a}) \leq t$, $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\delta}_3, \tilde{a}) \leq t$.

Since $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}$ is a SS of \mathcal{Z} , $\min\{\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\delta}_1\tilde{\delta}_2\tilde{\delta}_3, \tilde{a}), \tilde{\delta}\} \leq \max\{\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\delta}_1, \tilde{a}), \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\delta}_2, \tilde{a}), \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\delta}_3, \tilde{a}), \tilde{\varphi}\} \leq t$.

This implies that $\tilde{\delta}_1\tilde{\delta}_2\tilde{\delta}_3 \in \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_t$.

Therefore \tilde{h}_t is a SS of \mathcal{Z} for each $t \in (\tilde{\delta}, \tilde{\varphi})$.

Example 4.4. Every IQVFSS \tilde{h} of \mathcal{Z} is a $(\tilde{\delta}, \tilde{\varphi})$ -IQVFSS of \mathcal{Z} , but reverse need not be true.

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\kappa, \tilde{a}) = \begin{cases} [0.42, 0.47] & \text{if } \kappa = a \\ [0.35, 0.40] & \text{if } \kappa = b \\ [0.25, 0.30] & \text{if } \kappa = c \\ [0.30, 0.35] & \text{if } \kappa = d \end{cases} \quad \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\kappa, \tilde{a}) = \begin{cases} [0.27, 0.32] & \text{if } \kappa = a \\ [0.32, 0.37] & \text{if } \kappa = b \\ [0.42, 0.47] & \text{if } \kappa = c \\ [0.37, 0.42] & \text{if } \kappa = d \end{cases}$$

Here, \tilde{h} is a $([0.33, 0.38], [0.47, 0.52])$ -IQVFSS of \mathcal{Z} , but not a IQVFSS.

Since $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(dbd) = 0.25 \not\geq \min\{\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(d, q), \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(d, q)\} = 0.30$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(dbd) = 0.42 \not\leq \max\{\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(d, q), \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(d, q)\} = 0.37$.

Definition 4.5. If \angle_{\sqsupset} is the characteristic function is defined as

$$(\angle_{\sqsupset}^{\top})_{\tilde{\delta}}^{\tilde{\varphi}}(\tilde{\delta}_1, \tilde{a}) = \begin{cases} \tilde{\varphi} & \text{if } \tilde{\delta}_1 \in (\sqsupset) \\ \tilde{\delta} & \text{if } \tilde{\delta}_1 \notin (\sqsupset) \end{cases} \quad (\angle_{\sqsupset}^F)_{\tilde{\delta}}^{\tilde{\varphi}}(\tilde{\delta}_1, \tilde{a}) = \begin{cases} \tilde{\delta} & \text{if } \tilde{\delta}_1 \in (\sqsupset) \\ \tilde{\varphi} & \text{if } \tilde{\delta}_1 \notin (\sqsupset) \end{cases}$$

Theorem 4.6. A non empty subset \sqsupset of \mathcal{Z} is a SS [LI, LAIQVF, RI, TBI] of \mathcal{Z} if and only if subset \angle_{\sqsupset} is a $(\tilde{\delta}, \tilde{\varphi})$ -IQVFSS [IQVFLI, IQVFLATI, IQVFRI, IQVFBI] of \mathcal{Z} .

Proof. Assume that \sqsupset is a SS of \mathcal{Z} . Then \angle_{\sqsupset} is a IQVFSS of \mathcal{Z} and hence \angle_{\sqsupset} is an $(\tilde{\delta}, \tilde{\varphi})$ -IQVFSS of \mathcal{Z} .

Conversely, Let \angle_{\sqsupset} is an $(\tilde{\delta}, \tilde{\varphi})$ -IQVFSS of \mathcal{Z} . Let $\tilde{\delta}_1, \tilde{\delta}_2, \tilde{\delta}_3 \in \mathcal{Z}$ be such that $\tilde{\delta}_1, \tilde{\delta}_2, \tilde{\delta}_3 \in (\sqsupset)$. Then

$\angle_{\sqsupset}^{\top}(\tilde{\delta}_1, \tilde{a}) = \tilde{\varphi}$, $\angle_{\sqsupset}^{\top}(\tilde{\delta}_2, \tilde{a}) = \tilde{\varphi}$, $\angle_{\sqsupset}^{\top}(\tilde{\delta}_3, \tilde{a}) = \tilde{\varphi}$. Since $\angle_{\sqsupset}^{\top}$ is a $(\tilde{\delta}, \tilde{\varphi})$ IQVFSS. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{\angle_{\sqsupset}^{\top}(\tilde{\delta}_1\tilde{\delta}_2\tilde{\delta}_3, \tilde{a}), \tilde{\delta}\} &\geq \min\{\angle_{\sqsupset}^{\top}(\tilde{\delta}_1, \tilde{a}), \angle_{\sqsupset}^{\top}(\tilde{\delta}_2, \tilde{a}), \angle_{\sqsupset}^{\top}(\tilde{\delta}_3, \tilde{a}), \tilde{\varphi}\} \\ &= \min\{\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\varphi}\} \\ &= \tilde{\varphi} \end{aligned}$$

as $\tilde{\delta} \prec \tilde{\varphi}$, this implies that $\angle_{\sqsupset}^{\top}(\tilde{\delta}_1\tilde{\delta}_2\tilde{\delta}_3, \tilde{a}) \geq \tilde{\varphi}$. Thus $\tilde{\delta}_1\tilde{\delta}_2\tilde{\delta}_3 \in (\sqsupset)$. Thus $\tilde{\delta}_1\tilde{\delta}_2\tilde{\delta}_3 \in (\sqsupset)$.

Let $\tilde{\delta}_1, \tilde{\delta}_2, \tilde{\delta}_3 \in \mathcal{Z}$ be such that $\tilde{\delta}_1, \tilde{\delta}_2, \tilde{\delta}_3 \in (\sqsupset)$. Then $\angle_{\sqsupset}^F(\tilde{\delta}_1, \tilde{a}) = \tilde{\delta}, \angle_{\sqsupset}^F(\tilde{\delta}_2, \tilde{a}) = \tilde{\delta}, \angle_{\sqsupset}^F(\tilde{\delta}_3, \tilde{a}) = \tilde{\delta}$. Since \angle_{\sqsupset}^F is a $(\tilde{\delta}, \tilde{\varphi})$ IQVFSS. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \min\{\angle_{\sqsupset}^F(\tilde{\delta}_1\tilde{\delta}_2\tilde{\delta}_3, \tilde{a}), \tilde{\delta}\} &\leq \max\{\angle_{\sqsupset}^F(\tilde{\delta}_1, \tilde{a}), \angle_{\sqsupset}^F(\tilde{\delta}_2, \tilde{a}), \angle_{\sqsupset}^F(\tilde{\delta}_3, \tilde{a}), \tilde{\varphi}\} \\ &= \max\{\tilde{\delta}, \tilde{\delta}, \tilde{\delta}, \tilde{\varphi}\} \\ &= \tilde{\varphi} \end{aligned}$$

as $\tilde{\delta} \prec \tilde{\varphi}$, this implies that $\angle_{\sqsupset}^F(\tilde{\delta}_1\tilde{\delta}_2\tilde{\delta}_3, \tilde{a}) \leq \tilde{\delta}$. Thus $\tilde{\delta}_1\tilde{\delta}_2\tilde{\delta}_3 \in (\sqsupset)$. Thus $\tilde{\delta}_1\tilde{\delta}_2\tilde{\delta}_3 \in (\sqsupset)$.

Therefore \sqsupset is a SS of \mathcal{Z} .

Let $\tilde{\delta}_1, \tilde{\delta}_2, \tilde{\delta}_3 \in \mathcal{Z}$ be such that $\tilde{\delta}_1, \tilde{\delta}_2, \tilde{\delta}_3 \notin (\sqsupset)$. Then $\angle_{\sqsupset}^{\top}(\tilde{\delta}_1, \tilde{a}) = \tilde{\delta}, \angle_{\sqsupset}^{\top}(\tilde{\delta}_2, \tilde{a}) = \tilde{\delta}, \angle_{\sqsupset}^{\top}(\tilde{\delta}_3, \tilde{a}) = \tilde{\delta}$. Since $\angle_{\sqsupset}^{\top}$ is a $(\tilde{\delta}, \tilde{\varphi})$ IQVFSS.

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{\angle_{\sqsupset}^{\top}(\tilde{\delta}_1\tilde{\delta}_2\tilde{\delta}_3, \tilde{a}), \tilde{\delta}\} &\geq \min\{\angle_{\sqsupset}^{\top}(\tilde{\delta}_1, \tilde{a}), \angle_{\sqsupset}^{\top}(\tilde{\delta}_2, \tilde{a}), \angle_{\sqsupset}^{\top}(\tilde{\delta}_3, \tilde{a}), \tilde{\varphi}\} \\ &= \min\{\tilde{\delta}, \tilde{\delta}, \tilde{\delta}, \tilde{\varphi}\} \\ &= \tilde{\delta} \end{aligned}$$

as $\tilde{\delta} \prec \tilde{\varphi}$, this implies that $\angle_{\sqsupset}^{\top}(\tilde{\delta}_1\tilde{\delta}_2\tilde{\delta}_3, \tilde{a}) \geq \tilde{\delta}$. Thus $\tilde{\delta}_1\tilde{\delta}_2\tilde{\delta}_3 \notin (\sqsupset)$.

Let $\tilde{\delta}_1, \tilde{\delta}_2, \tilde{\delta}_3 \in \mathcal{Z}$ be such that $\tilde{\delta}_1, \tilde{\delta}_2, \tilde{\delta}_3 \notin (\sqsupset)$. Then $\angle_{\sqsupset}^F(\tilde{\delta}_1, \tilde{a}) = \tilde{\varphi}, \angle_{\sqsupset}^F(\tilde{\delta}_2, \tilde{a}) = \tilde{\varphi}, \angle_{\sqsupset}^F(\tilde{\delta}_3, \tilde{a}) = \tilde{\varphi}$. Since \angle_{\sqsupset}^F is a $(\tilde{\delta}, \tilde{\varphi})$ IQVFSS.

$$\begin{aligned} \min\{\angle_{\sqsupset}^F(\tilde{\delta}_1\tilde{\delta}_2\tilde{\delta}_3, \tilde{a}), \tilde{\delta}\} &\leq \max\{\angle_{\sqsupset}^F(\tilde{\delta}_1, \tilde{a}), \angle_{\sqsupset}^F(\tilde{\delta}_2, \tilde{a}), \angle_{\sqsupset}^F(\tilde{\delta}_3, \tilde{a}), \tilde{\varphi}\} \\ &= \max\{\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\varphi}\} \\ &= \tilde{\varphi} \end{aligned}$$

as $\tilde{\delta} \prec \tilde{\varphi}$, this implies that $\angle_{\sqsupset}^F(\tilde{\delta}_1\tilde{\delta}_2\tilde{\delta}_3, \tilde{a}) \leq \tilde{\varphi}$. Thus $\tilde{\delta}_1\tilde{\delta}_2\tilde{\delta}_3 \notin (\sqsupset)$. Therefore \sqsupset is a SS of \mathcal{Z} .

Definition 4.7. For three IQVFSs $\tilde{h}, \tilde{\delta}_2$ and \varkappa of \mathcal{Z} , their product $\tilde{h} * \tilde{\delta}_2 * \varkappa$ is defined as

$$(\tilde{h}^{\top} * \tilde{\delta}_2^{\top} * \varkappa^{\top})(\tilde{\delta}, \tilde{a}) = \begin{cases} \sup_{(r,s,t) \in \sqsupset_{\tilde{\delta}}} \{\tilde{h}^{\top}(r) \nabla \tilde{\delta}_2^{\top}(s) \nabla \varkappa^{\top}(t)\} & \text{if } \sqsupset_{\tilde{\delta}} \neq \emptyset \\ [0, 0] & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$(\tilde{h}^F * \tilde{\delta}_2^F * \varkappa^F)(\tilde{\delta}, \tilde{a}) = \begin{cases} \inf_{(r,s,t) \in \sqsupset_{\tilde{\delta}}} \{\tilde{h}^F(r) \Delta \tilde{\delta}_2^F(s) \Delta \varkappa^F(t)\} & \text{if } \sqsupset_{\tilde{\delta}} \neq \emptyset \\ [1, 1] & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Definition 4.8. Let \tilde{h} be subset of \mathcal{Z} , we define the subset $(\tilde{\mathfrak{H}})_{\tilde{\delta}}^{\circ}(\tilde{\delta}, \tilde{a}) = \{\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}(\tilde{\delta}, \tilde{a}) \nabla \tilde{\varphi}\} \Delta \tilde{\delta}, (\tilde{\mathfrak{S}})_{\tilde{\delta}}^{\circ}(\tilde{\delta}, \tilde{a}) = \{\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(\tilde{\delta}, \tilde{a}) \Delta \tilde{\varphi}\} \nabla \tilde{\delta}$, for all $\tilde{\delta} \in \mathcal{Z}$.

Lemma 4.9. Let \sqsupset, \sqsupset_1 and \sqsupset_2 be subsets of \mathcal{Z} . Then

1. $(\angle_{\sqsupset} \nabla \angle_{\sqsupset_1} \nabla \angle_{\sqsupset_2})_{\tilde{\delta}}^{\circ} = (\angle_{\sqsupset \wedge \sqsupset_1 \wedge \sqsupset_2})_{\tilde{\delta}}^{\circ}$,
2. $(\angle_{\sqsupset} \Delta \angle_{\sqsupset_1} \Delta \angle_{\sqsupset_2})_{\tilde{\delta}}^{\circ} = (\angle_{\sqsupset \vee \sqsupset_1 \vee \sqsupset_2})_{\tilde{\delta}}^{\circ}$,
3. $(\angle_{\sqsupset} * \angle_{\sqsupset_1} * \angle_{\sqsupset_2})_{\tilde{\delta}}^{\circ} = (\angle_{\sqsupset \cap \sqsupset_1 \cap \sqsupset_2})_{\tilde{\delta}}^{\circ}$.

Proof. (3) Let $\tilde{\delta}_1 \in \mathcal{Z}$. If $\tilde{\delta}_1 \in (\sqsupset \cap \sqsupset_1 \cap \sqsupset_2)$, then $(\angle_{\sqsupset \cap \sqsupset_1 \cap \sqsupset_2})(\tilde{\delta}_1, \tilde{a}) = \tilde{\varphi}$. Since $\tilde{\delta}_1 \leq abc$, $a \in (\sqsupset), b \in (\sqsupset_1)$ and $c \in (\sqsupset_2)$. We have $(a, b, c) \in \sqsupset_{\tilde{\delta}_1}$ and $\sqsupset_{\tilde{\delta}_1} \neq \emptyset$.

$$\begin{aligned} (\prec_{\sqsupset}^{\top} * \prec_{\sqsupset_1}^{\top} * \prec_{\sqsupset_2}^{\top})(\tilde{\delta}_1, \check{a}) &= \sup_{\tilde{\delta}_1=xyz} \min\{\prec_{\sqsupset}^{\top}(x, \check{a}), \prec_{\sqsupset_1}^{\top}(y, \check{a}), \prec_{\sqsupset_2}^{\top}(z, \check{a})\} \\ &\geq \min\{\prec_{\sqsupset}^{\top}(a, \check{a}), \prec_{\sqsupset_1}^{\top}(b, \check{a}), \prec_{\sqsupset_2}^{\top}(c, \check{a})\} \\ &= \tilde{\varphi} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\prec_{\sqsupset}^F * \prec_{\sqsupset_1}^F)(\tilde{\delta}_1, \check{a}) * \prec_{\sqsupset_2}^F(\tilde{\delta}_1, \check{a}) &= \inf_{\tilde{\delta}_1=xyz} \max\{\prec_{\sqsupset}^F(x, \check{a}), \prec_{\sqsupset_1}^F(y, \check{a}), \prec_{\sqsupset_2}^F(z, \check{a})\} \\ &\leq \max\{\prec_{\sqsupset}^F(a, \check{a}), \prec_{\sqsupset_1}^F(b, \check{a}), \prec_{\sqsupset_2}^F(c, \check{a})\} \\ &= \tilde{\delta} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $(\prec_{\sqsupset} * \prec_{\sqsupset_1} * \prec_{\sqsupset_2})(\tilde{\delta}_1, \check{a}) = (\prec_{\sqsupset\sqsupset_1\sqsupset_2})(\tilde{\delta}_1, \check{a})$.

If $\tilde{\delta}_1 \notin (\sqsupset\sqsupset_1\sqsupset_2)$ then $(\prec_{\sqsupset\sqsupset_1\sqsupset_2})(\tilde{\delta}_1, \check{a}) = \tilde{\delta}$ and $(\prec_{\sqsupset\sqsupset_1\sqsupset_2}^F)(\tilde{\delta}_1, \check{a}) = \tilde{\varphi}$. Since $\tilde{\delta}_1 \leq abc$, $a \notin (\sqsupset)$, $b \notin (\sqsupset_1)$ and $c \notin (\sqsupset_2)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (\prec_{\sqsupset}^{\top} * \prec_{\sqsupset_1}^{\top} * \prec_{\sqsupset_2}^{\top})(\tilde{\delta}_1, \check{a}) &= \sup_{\tilde{\delta}_1=xyz} \min\{\prec_{\sqsupset}^{\top}(x, \check{a}), \prec_{\sqsupset_1}^{\top}(y, \check{a}), \prec_{\sqsupset_2}^{\top}(z, \check{a})\} \\ &\geq \min\{\prec_{\sqsupset}^{\top}(a, \check{a}), \prec_{\sqsupset_1}^{\top}(b, \check{a}), \prec_{\sqsupset_2}^{\top}(c, \check{a})\} \\ &= \tilde{\delta} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\prec_{\sqsupset}^F * \prec_{\sqsupset_1}^F * \prec_{\sqsupset_2}^F)(\tilde{\delta}_1, \check{a}) &= \inf_{\tilde{\delta}_1=xyz} \max\{\prec_{\sqsupset}^F(x, \check{a}), \prec_{\sqsupset_1}^F(y, \check{a}), \prec_{\sqsupset_2}^F(z, \check{a})\} \\ &\leq \max\{\prec_{\sqsupset}^F(a, \check{a}), \prec_{\sqsupset_1}^F(b, \check{a}), \prec_{\sqsupset_2}^F(c, \check{a})\} \\ &= \tilde{\varphi} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(\prec_{\sqsupset} * \prec_{\sqsupset_1} * \prec_{\sqsupset_2})(\tilde{\delta}_1, \check{a}) = (\prec_{\sqsupset\sqsupset_1\sqsupset_2})(\tilde{\delta}_1, \check{a})$.

Theorem 4.10. Let $\{\sqsupset_i | i \in I\}$ be a family of subsets of \mathcal{L} and $\sqsupset, \sqsupset_2 \subseteq \mathcal{L}$. Then

- (1) $(\sqsupset) \subseteq (\sqsupset_2)$ if and only if $(\prec_{\sqsupset})_{\tilde{\delta}}^{\tilde{\varphi}} \leq (\prec_{\sqsupset_2})_{\tilde{\delta}}^{\tilde{\varphi}}$
- (2) $(\bigwedge_{i \in I} \prec_{\sqsupset_i})_{\tilde{\delta}}^{\tilde{\varphi}} = (\prec_{\bigwedge_{i \in I} \sqsupset_i})_{\tilde{\delta}}^{\tilde{\varphi}}$
- (3) $(\bigvee_{i \in I} \prec_{\sqsupset_i})_{\tilde{\delta}}^{\tilde{\varphi}} = (\prec_{\bigvee_{i \in I} \sqsupset_i})_{\tilde{\delta}}^{\tilde{\varphi}}$.

5 Regular ordered ternary semigroups

Theorem 5.1. If \sqsupset is a $(\tilde{\delta}, \tilde{\varphi})$ -IQVFLI[IQVFSS, IQVFLATI, IQVFRI] of \mathcal{L} , then $(\sqsupset)_{\tilde{\delta}}^{\tilde{\varphi}}$ is a IQVFLI[IQVFSS, IQVFLATI, IQVFRI] of \mathcal{L} .

Theorem 5.2. Let \sqsupset be an $(\tilde{\delta}, \tilde{\varphi})$ IQVFRI, \sqsupset_1 be an $(\tilde{\delta}, \tilde{\varphi})$ IQVFLATI and \sqsupset_2 be an $(\tilde{\delta}, \tilde{\varphi})$ IQVFLI of \mathcal{L} . Then $((\sqsupset * \sqsupset_1 * \sqsupset_2)_{\tilde{\delta}}^{\tilde{\varphi}}) \subseteq (\sqsupset \wedge \sqsupset_1 \wedge \sqsupset_2)_{\tilde{\delta}}^{\tilde{\varphi}}$.

Proof. Let $\sqsupset = [\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset}, \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset}]$ be an $(\tilde{\delta}, \tilde{\varphi})$ IQVFRI, $\sqsupset_1 = [\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_1}]$ be an $(\tilde{\delta}, \tilde{\varphi})$ IQVFLATI and $\sqsupset_2 = [\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_2}]$ be an $(\tilde{\delta}, \tilde{\varphi})$ IQVFLI of \mathcal{L} . Let $(\tilde{\delta}_1, \tilde{\delta}_2, \tilde{\delta}_3, \check{a}) \in I_{\tilde{h}}$. If $I_{\tilde{h}} \neq \emptyset$, then $\tilde{h} \leq \tilde{\delta}_1\tilde{\delta}_2\tilde{\delta}_3$. Thus $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset}(\tilde{h}, \check{a}) \geq \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset}(\tilde{\delta}_1\tilde{\delta}_2\tilde{\delta}_3) \geq \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset}(\tilde{\delta}_1, \check{a})$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset}(\tilde{h}, \check{a}) \leq \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset}(\tilde{\delta}_1\tilde{\delta}_2\tilde{\delta}_3) \leq \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset}(\tilde{\delta}_1, \check{a})$. Similarly $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_1}(\tilde{h}, \check{a}) \geq \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_1}(\tilde{\delta}_1\tilde{\delta}_2\tilde{\delta}_3) \geq \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_1}(\tilde{\delta}_2, \check{a})$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_1}(\tilde{h}, \check{a}) \leq \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_1}(\tilde{\delta}_1\tilde{\delta}_2\tilde{\delta}_3) \leq \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_1}(\tilde{\delta}_2, \check{a})$.

Similarly, $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_2}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \geq \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_2}(\tilde{\partial}_1 \tilde{\partial}_2 \tilde{\partial}_3) \geq \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_2}(\tilde{\partial}_3, \tilde{a})$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_2}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \leq \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_2}(\tilde{\partial}_1 \tilde{\partial}_2 \tilde{\partial}_3) \leq \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_2}(\tilde{\partial}_3, \tilde{a})$.
 We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{(\sqsupset * \sqsupset_1 * \sqsupset_2)})_{\tilde{\partial}}^{\tilde{\varphi}}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) &= (\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{(\sqsupset * \sqsupset_1 * \sqsupset_2)}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \nabla \tilde{\varphi}) \Delta \tilde{\partial} \\
 &= \left[\sup_{\tilde{h} \leq \tilde{\partial}_1 \tilde{\partial}_2 \tilde{\partial}_3} \{ \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset}(\tilde{\partial}_1, \tilde{a}) \nabla \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_1}(\tilde{\partial}_2, \tilde{a}) \nabla \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_2}(\tilde{\partial}_3, \tilde{a}) \} \nabla \tilde{\varphi} \right] \Delta \tilde{\partial} \\
 &= \left[\sup_{\tilde{h} \leq \tilde{\partial}_1 \tilde{\partial}_2 \tilde{\partial}_3} \{ \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset}(\tilde{\partial}_1, \tilde{a}) \nabla \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_1}(\tilde{\partial}_2, \tilde{a}) \nabla \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_2}(\tilde{\partial}_3, \tilde{a}) \} \nabla \tilde{\varphi} \nabla \tilde{\varphi} \nabla \tilde{\varphi} \nabla \tilde{\varphi} \right] \Delta \tilde{\partial} \\
 &= \left[\sup_{\tilde{h} \leq \tilde{\partial}_1 \tilde{\partial}_2 \tilde{\partial}_3} \{ (\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset}(\tilde{\partial}_1, \tilde{a}) \nabla \tilde{\varphi}) \nabla (\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_1}(\tilde{\partial}_2, \tilde{a}) \nabla \tilde{\varphi}) \nabla (\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_2}(\tilde{\partial}_3, \tilde{a}) \nabla \tilde{\varphi}) \} \nabla \tilde{\varphi} \right] \Delta \tilde{\partial} \\
 &\leq \{ (\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \Delta \tilde{\partial}) \nabla (\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_1}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \Delta \tilde{\partial}) \nabla (\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_2}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \Delta \tilde{\partial}) \} \nabla \tilde{\varphi} \Delta \tilde{\partial} \\
 &= \{ ((\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \nabla \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_1}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \nabla \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_2}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a})) \Delta \tilde{\partial}) \nabla \tilde{\varphi} \} \Delta \tilde{\partial} \\
 &= \{ ((\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset} \nabla \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_1} \nabla \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_2})(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \nabla \tilde{\varphi}) \} \Delta \tilde{\partial} \\
 &= (\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset \sqsupset_1 \sqsupset_2})_{\tilde{\partial}}^{\tilde{\varphi}}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a})
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{(\sqsupset * \sqsupset_1 * \sqsupset_2)})_{\tilde{\partial}}^{\tilde{\varphi}}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) &= (\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{(\sqsupset * \sqsupset_1 * \sqsupset_2)}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \Delta \tilde{\varphi}) \nabla \tilde{\partial} \\
 &= \left[\inf_{\tilde{h} \leq \tilde{\partial}_1 \tilde{\partial}_2 \tilde{\partial}_3} \{ \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset}(\tilde{\partial}_1, \tilde{a}) \Delta \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_1}(\tilde{\partial}_2, \tilde{a}) \Delta \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_2}(\tilde{\partial}_3, \tilde{a}) \} \Delta \tilde{\varphi} \right] \nabla \tilde{\partial} \\
 &= \left[\inf_{\tilde{h} \leq \tilde{\partial}_1 \tilde{\partial}_2 \tilde{\partial}_3} \{ \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset}(\tilde{\partial}_1, \tilde{a}) \Delta \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_1}(\tilde{\partial}_2, \tilde{a}) \Delta \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_2}(\tilde{\partial}_3, \tilde{a}) \} \Delta \tilde{\varphi} \Delta \tilde{\varphi} \Delta \tilde{\varphi} \Delta \tilde{\varphi} \right] \nabla \tilde{\partial} \\
 &= \left[\inf_{\tilde{h} \leq \tilde{\partial}_1 \tilde{\partial}_2 \tilde{\partial}_3} \{ (\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset}(\tilde{\partial}_1, \tilde{a}) \Delta \tilde{\varphi}) \Delta (\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_1}(\tilde{\partial}_2, \tilde{a}) \Delta \tilde{\varphi}) \Delta (\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_2}(\tilde{\partial}_3, \tilde{a}) \Delta \tilde{\varphi}) \} \Delta \tilde{\varphi} \right] \nabla \tilde{\partial} \\
 &\geq \{ (\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \nabla \tilde{\partial}) \Delta (\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_1}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \nabla \tilde{\partial}) \Delta (\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_2}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \nabla \tilde{\partial}) \} \Delta \tilde{\varphi} \nabla \tilde{\partial} \\
 &= \{ ((\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \Delta \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_1}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \Delta \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_2}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a})) \nabla \tilde{\partial}) \Delta \tilde{\varphi} \} \nabla \tilde{\partial} \\
 &= \{ ((\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset} \Delta \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_1} \Delta \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_2})(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \Delta \tilde{\varphi}) \} \nabla \tilde{\partial} \\
 &= (\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset \sqsupset_1 \sqsupset_2})_{\tilde{\partial}}^{\tilde{\varphi}}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a})
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $\tilde{\partial}_1, \tilde{\partial}_2, \tilde{\partial}_3 \notin I_{\tilde{h}}$. If $I_{\tilde{h}} = \emptyset$, then $(\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset} * \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_1} * \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_2})(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) = 0$ and $(\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset} * \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_1} * \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_2})(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) = 1$ such that $\tilde{h} \leq \tilde{\partial}_1 \tilde{\partial}_2 \tilde{\partial}_3$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{(\sqsupset * \sqsupset_1 * \sqsupset_2)})_{\tilde{\partial}}^{\tilde{\varphi}}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) &= (\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{(\sqsupset * \sqsupset_1 * \sqsupset_2)}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \nabla \tilde{\varphi}) \Delta \tilde{\partial} \\
 &= 0 \Delta \tilde{\partial} \\
 &\leq (\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset \sqsupset_1 \sqsupset_2})(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \nabla \tilde{\varphi} \Delta \tilde{\partial} \\
 &= (\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset \sqsupset_1 \sqsupset_2})(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \nabla \tilde{\varphi}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{(\sqsupset * \sqsupset_1 * \sqsupset_2)})_{\tilde{\partial}}^{\tilde{\varphi}}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) &= (\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{(\sqsupset * \sqsupset_1 * \sqsupset_2)}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \Delta \tilde{\varphi}) \nabla \tilde{\partial} \\
 &= 1 \nabla \tilde{\partial} \\
 &= \tilde{\partial} \\
 &\geq (\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset \sqsupset_1 \sqsupset_2})(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \Delta \tilde{\varphi} \nabla \tilde{\partial} \\
 &= (\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset \sqsupset_1 \sqsupset_2})(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \Delta \tilde{\varphi}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $((\sqsupset * \sqsupset_1 * \sqsupset_2))_{\tilde{\partial}}^{\tilde{\varphi}} \subseteq ((\sqsupset \sqsupset_1 \sqsupset_2))_{\tilde{\partial}}^{\tilde{\varphi}}$.

Theorem 5.3. Let \mathcal{L} is regular, \sqsupset be an $(\tilde{\partial}, \tilde{\varphi})$ IQVFRI, \sqsupset_1 be an $(\tilde{\partial}, \tilde{\varphi})$ IQVFLATI and \sqsupset_2 be an $(\tilde{\partial}, \tilde{\varphi})$ IQVFLI of \mathcal{L} if and only if $((\sqsupset * \sqsupset_1 * \sqsupset_2))_{\tilde{\partial}}^{\tilde{\varphi}} = ((\sqsupset \sqsupset_1 \sqsupset_2))_{\tilde{\partial}}^{\tilde{\varphi}}$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{L} regular and \sqsupset be an $(\tilde{\partial}, \tilde{\wp})$ IQVFRI, \sqsupset_1 be an $(\tilde{\partial}, \tilde{\wp})$ IQVFLATI and \sqsupset_2 be an $(\tilde{\partial}, \tilde{\wp})$ IQVFLI of \mathcal{L} . Let $(\tilde{\partial}_1, \tilde{\partial}_3) \in I_{\tilde{h}}$. If $I_{\tilde{h}} \neq \emptyset$, then $\tilde{h} \leq \tilde{\partial}_1 \tilde{\partial}_2 \tilde{\partial}_3$. Thus, $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \geq \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset}(\tilde{\partial}_1 \tilde{\partial}_2 \tilde{\partial}_3) \geq \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset}(\tilde{\partial}_1, \tilde{a})$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \leq \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset}(\tilde{\partial}_1 \tilde{\partial}_2 \tilde{\partial}_3) \leq \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset}(\tilde{\partial}_1, \tilde{a})$.

Similarly $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_1}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \geq \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_1}(\tilde{\partial}_1 \tilde{\partial}_2 \tilde{\partial}_3) \geq \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_1}(\tilde{\partial}_2, \tilde{a})$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_1}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \leq \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_1}(\tilde{\partial}_1 \tilde{\partial}_2 \tilde{\partial}_3) \leq \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_1}(\tilde{\partial}_2, \tilde{a})$.

Similarly, $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_2}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \geq \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_2}(\tilde{\partial}_1 \tilde{\partial}_2 \tilde{\partial}_3) \geq \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_2}(\tilde{\partial}_3, \tilde{a})$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_2}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \leq \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_2}(\tilde{\partial}_1 \tilde{\partial}_2 \tilde{\partial}_3) \leq \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_2}(\tilde{\partial}_3, \tilde{a})$.

For $\tilde{h} \in \mathcal{L}$, there exists $x \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $\tilde{h} \leq \tilde{h}_{z_1} \tilde{h}_{z_2} \tilde{h}_{z_3} \tilde{h}$. Then $\tilde{h}, (z_1 \tilde{h}_{z_2} \tilde{h}_{z_3}), \tilde{h} \in I_{\tilde{h}}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{(\sqsupset * \sqsupset_1 * \sqsupset_2)})_{\tilde{\partial}}^{\tilde{\wp}}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \\ &= (\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{(\sqsupset * \sqsupset_1 * \sqsupset_2)}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \nabla \tilde{\wp}) \Delta \tilde{\partial} \\ &= \left[\sup_{\tilde{h} \leq \tilde{h}_{z_1} \tilde{h}_{z_2} \tilde{h}_{z_3} \tilde{h}} \{ \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset}(\tilde{\partial}_1, \tilde{a}) \nabla \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_1}(\tilde{\partial}_2, \tilde{a}) \nabla \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_2}(\tilde{\partial}_3, \tilde{a}) \} \nabla \tilde{\wp} \right] \Delta \tilde{\partial} \\ &= \left[\sup_{\tilde{h} \leq \tilde{h}_{z_1} \tilde{h}_{z_2} \tilde{h}_{z_3} \tilde{h}} \{ \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset}(\tilde{\partial}_1, \tilde{a}) \nabla \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_1}(\tilde{\partial}_2, \tilde{a}) \nabla \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_2}(\tilde{\partial}_3, \tilde{a}) \} \nabla \tilde{\wp} \nabla \tilde{\wp} \nabla \tilde{\wp} \nabla \tilde{\wp} \right] \Delta \tilde{\partial} \\ &= \left[\sup_{\tilde{h} \leq \tilde{h}_{z_1} \tilde{h}_{z_2} \tilde{h}_{z_3} \tilde{h}} \{ (\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset}(\tilde{\partial}_1, \tilde{a}) \nabla \tilde{\wp}) \nabla (\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_1}(\tilde{\partial}_2, \tilde{a}) \nabla \tilde{\wp}) \nabla (\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_2}(\tilde{\partial}_3, \tilde{a}) \nabla \tilde{\wp}) \} \nabla \tilde{\wp} \right] \Delta \tilde{\partial} \\ &\geq \{ (\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \Delta \tilde{\partial}) \nabla (\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_1}(z_1 \tilde{h}_{z_2} \tilde{h}_{z_3}) \Delta \tilde{\partial}) \nabla (\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_2}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \Delta \tilde{\partial}) \} \nabla \tilde{\wp} \Delta \tilde{\partial} \\ &\geq \{ (\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \Delta \tilde{\partial}) \nabla (\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_1}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \Delta \tilde{\partial}) \nabla (\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_2}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \Delta \tilde{\partial}) \} \nabla \tilde{\wp} \Delta \tilde{\partial} \\ &= \{ (\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \nabla \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_1}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \nabla \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_2}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a})) \Delta \tilde{\partial} \} \nabla \tilde{\wp} \Delta \tilde{\partial} \\ &= \{ (\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset} \nabla \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_1} \nabla \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_2})(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \nabla \tilde{\wp} \} \Delta \tilde{\partial} \\ &= (\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset \sqsupset_1 \sqsupset_2})_{\tilde{\partial}}^{\tilde{\wp}}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{(\sqsupset * \sqsupset_1 * \sqsupset_2)})_{\tilde{\partial}}^{\tilde{\wp}}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \\ &= (\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{(\sqsupset * \sqsupset_1 * \sqsupset_2)}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \Delta \tilde{\wp}) \nabla \tilde{\partial} \\ &= \left[\inf_{\tilde{h} \leq \tilde{h}_{z_1} \tilde{h}_{z_2} \tilde{h}_{z_3} \tilde{h}} \{ \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset}(\tilde{\partial}_1, \tilde{a}) \Delta \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_1}(\tilde{\partial}_2, \tilde{a}) \Delta \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_2}(\tilde{\partial}_3, \tilde{a}) \} \Delta \tilde{\wp} \right] \nabla \tilde{\partial} \\ &= \left[\inf_{\tilde{h} \leq \tilde{h}_{z_1} \tilde{h}_{z_2} \tilde{h}_{z_3} \tilde{h}} \{ \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset}(\tilde{\partial}_1, \tilde{a}) \Delta \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_1}(\tilde{\partial}_2, \tilde{a}) \Delta \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_2}(\tilde{\partial}_3, \tilde{a}) \} \Delta \tilde{\wp} \Delta \tilde{\wp} \Delta \tilde{\wp} \Delta \tilde{\wp} \right] \nabla \tilde{\partial} \\ &= \left[\inf_{\tilde{h} \leq \tilde{h}_{z_1} \tilde{h}_{z_2} \tilde{h}_{z_3} \tilde{h}} \{ (\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset}(\tilde{\partial}_1, \tilde{a}) \Delta \tilde{\wp}) \Delta (\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_1}(\tilde{\partial}_2, \tilde{a}) \Delta \tilde{\wp}) \Delta (\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_2}(\tilde{\partial}_3, \tilde{a}) \Delta \tilde{\wp}) \} \Delta \tilde{\wp} \right] \nabla \tilde{\partial} \\ &\leq \{ (\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \nabla \tilde{\partial}) \Delta (\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_1}(z_1 \tilde{h}_{z_2} \tilde{h}_{z_3}) \nabla \tilde{\partial}) \Delta (\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_2}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \nabla \tilde{\partial}) \} \Delta \tilde{\wp} \nabla \tilde{\partial} \\ &\leq \{ (\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \nabla \tilde{\partial}) \Delta (\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_1}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \nabla \tilde{\partial}) \Delta (\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_2}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \nabla \tilde{\partial}) \} \Delta \tilde{\wp} \nabla \tilde{\partial} \\ &= \{ (\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \Delta \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_1}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \Delta \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_2}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a})) \nabla \tilde{\partial} \} \Delta \tilde{\wp} \nabla \tilde{\partial} \\ &= \{ (\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset} \Delta \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_1} \Delta \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_2})(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \Delta \tilde{\wp} \} \nabla \tilde{\partial} \\ &= (\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset \sqsupset_1 \sqsupset_2})_{\tilde{\partial}}^{\tilde{\wp}}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $((\sqsupset * \sqsupset_1 * \sqsupset_2))_{\tilde{\partial}}^{\tilde{\wp}} \supseteq ((\sqsupset \sqsupset_1 \sqsupset_2))_{\tilde{\partial}}^{\tilde{\wp}}$ and by Theorem 5.2.

Hence, $((\sqsupset * \sqsupset_1 * \sqsupset_2))_{\tilde{\partial}}^{\tilde{\wp}} = ((\sqsupset \sqsupset_1 \sqsupset_2))_{\tilde{\partial}}^{\tilde{\wp}}$.

Conversely assume that $((\sqsupset * \sqsupset_1 * \sqsupset_2))_{\tilde{\partial}}^{\tilde{\wp}} = ((\sqsupset \sqsupset_1 \sqsupset_2))_{\tilde{\partial}}^{\tilde{\wp}}$. Let $\sqsupset = (\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset}, \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset})$ be an $(\tilde{\partial}, \tilde{\wp})$ IQVFRI, $\sqsupset_1 = (\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_1})$ be an $(\tilde{\partial}, \tilde{\wp})$ IQVFLATI and $\sqsupset_2 = (\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_2})$ be an $(\tilde{\partial}, \tilde{\wp})$ IQVFLI of \mathcal{L} . Then by Theorem 4.6, \sqsupset is a $(\tilde{\partial}, \tilde{\wp})$ IQVFRI, \sqsupset_1 is a $(\tilde{\partial}, \tilde{\wp})$ IQVFLATI and \sqsupset_2 be a $(\tilde{\partial}, \tilde{\wp})$ IQVFLI of \mathcal{L} . By Lemma 4.9 and Theorem 4.10, $(\sqsupset \sqsupset_1 \sqsupset_2)_{\tilde{\partial}}^{\tilde{\wp}} = (\sqsupset \sqsupset_1 \sqsupset_2)_{\tilde{\partial}}^{\tilde{\wp}} = (\sqsupset * \sqsupset_1 * \sqsupset_2)_{\tilde{\partial}}^{\tilde{\wp}} = (\sqsupset * \sqsupset_1 * \sqsupset_2)_{\tilde{\partial}}^{\tilde{\wp}}$. This implies $(\sqsupset \sqsupset_1 \sqsupset_2)_{\tilde{\partial}}^{\tilde{\wp}} = ((\sqsupset * \sqsupset_1 * \sqsupset_2))_{\tilde{\partial}}^{\tilde{\wp}}$. Hence by Corollary 2.5, \mathcal{L} is regular.

Theorem 5.4. Let \mathcal{L} is regular, \sqsupset be an $(\tilde{\partial}, \tilde{\wp})$ IQVFBI, \sqsupset_1 be an $(\tilde{\partial}, \tilde{\wp})$ IQVFLATI and \sqsupset_2 be an $(\tilde{\partial}, \tilde{\wp})$ IQVFLI of \mathcal{L} if and only if $((\sqsupset * \sqsupset_1 * \sqsupset_2))_{\tilde{\partial}}^{\tilde{\wp}} = ((\sqsupset \sqsupset_1 \sqsupset_2))_{\tilde{\partial}}^{\tilde{\wp}}$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{L} be regular semigroup and \sqsupset be an $(\tilde{\partial}, \tilde{\wp})$ IQVFBI and \sqsupset_2 be an $(\tilde{\partial}, \tilde{\wp})$ IQVFLI of \mathcal{L} . Let $(\tilde{\partial}_1, \tilde{\partial}_3) \in I_{\tilde{h}}$. If $I_{\tilde{h}} \neq \emptyset$, then $\tilde{h} \leq \tilde{\partial}_1 \tilde{\partial}_2 \tilde{\partial}_3$. Thus $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \geq \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset}(\tilde{\partial}_1 \tilde{\partial}_2 \tilde{\partial}_3) \geq \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset}(\tilde{\partial}_1, \tilde{a})$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \leq \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset}(\tilde{\partial}_1 \tilde{\partial}_2 \tilde{\partial}_3) \leq \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset}(\tilde{\partial}_1, \tilde{a})$.

Similarly $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_1}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \geq \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_1}(\tilde{\theta}_1 \tilde{\theta}_2 \tilde{\theta}_3) \geq \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_1}(\tilde{\theta}_2, \tilde{a})$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_1}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \leq \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_1}(\tilde{\theta}_1 \tilde{\theta}_2 \tilde{\theta}_3) \leq \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_1}(\tilde{\theta}_2, \tilde{a})$.
 Similarly, $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_2}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \geq \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_2}(\tilde{\theta}_1 \tilde{\theta}_2 \tilde{\theta}_3) \geq \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_2}(\tilde{\theta}_3, \tilde{a})$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_2}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \leq \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_2}(\tilde{\theta}_1 \tilde{\theta}_2 \tilde{\theta}_3) \leq \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_2}(\tilde{\theta}_3, \tilde{a})$.
 For $\tilde{h} \in \mathcal{L}$, there exists $x \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $\tilde{h} \leq \tilde{h}_{z_1} \tilde{h}_{z_2} \tilde{h}_{z_3} \tilde{h}_{z_4} \tilde{h}_{z_5} \tilde{h}$.
 Then $\tilde{h} \leq (\tilde{h}_{z_1} \tilde{h}_{z_2} \tilde{h}, \tilde{a}), (z_3 \tilde{h}_{z_4} \tilde{h}_{z_5}), \tilde{h} \in I_{\tilde{h}}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{(\sqsupset * \sqsupset_1 * \sqsupset_2)})_{\tilde{\theta}}^{\tilde{\rho}}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \\ &= (\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{(\sqsupset * \sqsupset_1 * \sqsupset_2)}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \nabla \tilde{\rho}) \Delta \tilde{\theta} \\ &= \left[\sup_{\tilde{h} \leq \tilde{h}_{z_1} \tilde{h}_{z_2} \tilde{h}_{z_3} \tilde{h}_{z_4} \tilde{h}_{z_5} \tilde{h}} \{ \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset}(\tilde{\theta}_1, \tilde{a}) \nabla \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_1}(\tilde{\theta}_2, \tilde{a}) \nabla \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_2}(\tilde{\theta}_3, \tilde{a}) \} \nabla \tilde{\rho} \right] \Delta \tilde{\theta} \\ &= \left[\sup_{\tilde{h} \leq \tilde{h}_{z_1} \tilde{h}_{z_2} \tilde{h}_{z_3} \tilde{h}_{z_4} \tilde{h}_{z_5} \tilde{h}} \{ \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset}(\tilde{\theta}_1, \tilde{a}) \nabla \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_1}(\tilde{\theta}_2, \tilde{a}) \nabla \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_2}(\tilde{\theta}_3, \tilde{a}) \} \nabla \tilde{\rho} \nabla \tilde{\rho} \nabla \tilde{\rho} \nabla \tilde{\rho} \right] \Delta \tilde{\theta} \\ &= \left[\sup_{\tilde{h} \leq \tilde{h}_{z_1} \tilde{h}_{z_2} \tilde{h}_{z_3} \tilde{h}_{z_4} \tilde{h}_{z_5} \tilde{h}} \{ (\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset}(\tilde{\theta}_1, \tilde{a}) \nabla \tilde{\rho}) \nabla (\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_1}(\tilde{\theta}_2, \tilde{a}) \nabla \tilde{\rho}) \nabla (\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_2}(\tilde{\theta}_3, \tilde{a}) \nabla \tilde{\rho}) \} \nabla \tilde{\rho} \right] \Delta \tilde{\theta} \\ &\geq \{ (\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset}(\tilde{h}_{z_1} \tilde{h}_{z_2} \tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \Delta \tilde{\theta}) \nabla (\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_1}(z_3 \tilde{h}_{z_4} \tilde{h}_{z_5}) \Delta \tilde{\theta}) \nabla (\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_2}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \Delta \tilde{\theta}) \} \nabla \tilde{\rho} \Delta \tilde{\theta} \\ &\geq \{ (\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \Delta \tilde{\theta}) \nabla (\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_1}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \Delta \tilde{\theta}) \nabla (\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_2}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \Delta \tilde{\theta}) \} \nabla \tilde{\rho} \Delta \tilde{\theta} \\ &= \{ ((\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \nabla \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_1}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \nabla \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_2}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a})) \Delta \tilde{\theta}) \nabla \tilde{\rho} \} \Delta \tilde{\theta} \\ &= \{ ((\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset} \nabla \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_1} \nabla \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_2})(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \nabla \tilde{\rho}) \} \Delta \tilde{\theta} \\ &= (\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset \sqsupset_1 \sqsupset_2})_{\tilde{\theta}}^{\tilde{\rho}}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{(\sqsupset * \sqsupset_1 * \sqsupset_2)})_{\tilde{\theta}}^{\tilde{\rho}}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \\ &= (\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{(\sqsupset * \sqsupset_1 * \sqsupset_2)}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \Delta \tilde{\rho}) \nabla \tilde{\theta} \\ &= \left[\inf_{\tilde{h} \leq \tilde{h}_{z_1} \tilde{h}_{z_2} \tilde{h}_{z_3} \tilde{h}_{z_4} \tilde{h}_{z_5} \tilde{h}} \{ \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset}(\tilde{\theta}_1, \tilde{a}) \Delta \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_1}(\tilde{\theta}_2, \tilde{a}) \Delta \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_2}(\tilde{\theta}_3, \tilde{a}) \} \Delta \tilde{\rho} \right] \nabla \tilde{\theta} \\ &= \left[\inf_{\tilde{h} \leq \tilde{h}_{z_1} \tilde{h}_{z_2} \tilde{h}_{z_3} \tilde{h}_{z_4} \tilde{h}_{z_5} \tilde{h}} \{ \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset}(\tilde{\theta}_1, \tilde{a}) \Delta \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_1}(\tilde{\theta}_2, \tilde{a}) \Delta \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_2}(\tilde{\theta}_3, \tilde{a}) \} \Delta \tilde{\rho} \Delta \tilde{\rho} \Delta \tilde{\rho} \Delta \tilde{\rho} \right] \nabla \tilde{\theta} \\ &= \left[\inf_{\tilde{h} \leq \tilde{h}_{z_1} \tilde{h}_{z_2} \tilde{h}_{z_3} \tilde{h}_{z_4} \tilde{h}_{z_5} \tilde{h}} \{ (\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset}(\tilde{\theta}_1, \tilde{a}) \Delta \tilde{\rho}) \Delta (\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_1}(\tilde{\theta}_2, \tilde{a}) \Delta \tilde{\rho}) \Delta (\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_2}(\tilde{\theta}_3, \tilde{a}) \Delta \tilde{\rho}) \} \Delta \tilde{\rho} \right] \nabla \tilde{\theta} \\ &\leq \{ (\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset}(\tilde{h}_{z_1} \tilde{h}_{z_2} \tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \nabla \tilde{\theta}) \Delta (\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_1}(z_3 \tilde{h}_{z_4} \tilde{h}_{z_5}) \nabla \tilde{\theta}) \Delta (\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_2}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \nabla \tilde{\theta}) \} \Delta \tilde{\rho} \nabla \tilde{\theta} \\ &\leq \{ (\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \nabla \tilde{\theta}) \Delta (\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_1}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \nabla \tilde{\theta}) \Delta (\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_2}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \nabla \tilde{\theta}) \} \Delta \tilde{\rho} \nabla \tilde{\theta} \\ &= \{ ((\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \Delta \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_1}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \Delta \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_2}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a})) \nabla \tilde{\theta}) \Delta \tilde{\rho} \} \nabla \tilde{\theta} \\ &= \{ ((\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset} \Delta \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_1} \Delta \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_2})(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \Delta \tilde{\rho}) \} \nabla \tilde{\theta} \\ &= (\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset \sqsupset_1 \sqsupset_2})_{\tilde{\theta}}^{\tilde{\rho}}(\tilde{h}, \tilde{a}) \end{aligned}$$

Thus $((\sqsupset * \sqsupset_1 * \sqsupset_2))_{\tilde{\theta}}^{\tilde{\rho}} \supseteq ((\sqsupset \sqsupset_1 \sqsupset_2))_{\tilde{\theta}}^{\tilde{\rho}}$ and by Theorem 5.2 and hence $((\sqsupset * \sqsupset_1 * \sqsupset_2))_{\tilde{\theta}}^{\tilde{\rho}} = ((\sqsupset \sqsupset_1 \sqsupset_2))_{\tilde{\theta}}^{\tilde{\rho}}$.
 Conversely assume that $((\sqsupset * \sqsupset_1 * \sqsupset_2))_{\tilde{\theta}}^{\tilde{\rho}} = ((\sqsupset \sqsupset_1 \sqsupset_2))_{\tilde{\theta}}^{\tilde{\rho}}$. Let $\sqsupset = (\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset}, \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset})$ be an $(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\rho})$ IQVFBI, $\sqsupset_1 = (\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_1})$ be an $(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\rho})$ IQVFLATI and $\sqsupset_2 = (\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\sqsupset_2}, \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\sqsupset_2})$ be an $(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\rho})$ IQVFLI of \mathcal{L} . Then by Theorem 4.6, \sqsupset is a $(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\rho})$ IQVFBI, \sqsupset_1 is a $(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\rho})$ IQVFLATI and \sqsupset_2 be a $(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\rho})$ IQVFLI of \mathcal{L} . By Lemma 4.9 and Theorem 4.10, $(\sqsupset \sqsupset_1 \sqsupset_2)_{\tilde{\theta}}^{\tilde{\rho}} = (\sqsupset \sqsupset_1 \sqsupset_2)_{\tilde{\theta}}^{\tilde{\rho}} = (\sqsupset * \sqsupset_1 * \sqsupset_2)_{\tilde{\theta}}^{\tilde{\rho}} = (\sqsupset * \sqsupset_1 * \sqsupset_2)_{\tilde{\theta}}^{\tilde{\rho}}$. This implies $(\sqsupset \sqsupset_1 \sqsupset_2)_{\tilde{\theta}}^{\tilde{\rho}} = ((\sqsupset * \sqsupset_1 * \sqsupset_2))_{\tilde{\theta}}^{\tilde{\rho}}$. Hence by Corollary 2.5, \mathcal{L} is regular.

References

- [1] Lehmer D. H., A ternary analogue of abelian groups. American Journal of Mathematics, (1932), 329-338.
- [2] Hestenes M.R. A ternary algebra with applications to matrices and linear transformations. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 11(1962), 138 -194.
- [3] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Information and Control, 8, (1965), 338-353.

- [4] K. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 20(1), (1986) 87-96.
- [5] R. R. Yager, Pythagorean membership grades in multi criteria decision-making, *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Systems*, 22, (2014), 958-965.
- [6] S. Ashraf, S. Abdullah, T. Mahmood, F. Ghani and T. Mahmood, Spherical fuzzy sets and their applications in multi-attribute decision making problems, *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, 36, (2019), 2829-284.
- [7] Abdallah Shihadeh, Khaled Ahmad Mohammad Matarneh, Raed Hatamleh, Randa Bashir Yousef Hijazeen, Mowafaq Omar Al-Qadri, Abdallah Al-Husban, An Example of Two-Fold Fuzzy Algebras Based On Neutrosophic Real Numbers, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 67, (2024), 169-178.
- [8] . Abdallah Al-Husban & Abdul Razak Salleh 2015. Complex Fuzzy Hyperring Based on Complex Fuzzy Spaces. Proceedings of 2nd Innovation and Analytics Conference & Exhibition (IACE), 1691, AIP Publishing 2015, 040009-040017.
- [9] Al-Husban, A., & Salleh, A. R. Complex fuzzy hypergroups based on complex fuzzy spaces. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 107(4), (2016), 949-958.
- [10] Al-Husban, A., Amourah, A., & Jaber, J. J. Bipolar complex fuzzy sets and their properties. *Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 43, 2020, 754-761.
- [11] Rosenfeld, Fuzzy groups, *J.Math. Anal. Appl.*35 (1971) 512-517.
- [12] N. Kuroki, On fuzzy semigroups, *Inform. Sci.* 53 (1991), 203-236.
- [13] J. N. Mordeson, D. S. Malik, N. Kuroki, *Fuzzy semigroups*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg GmbH, 2003.
- [14] M. K. Sen, On \sqsupset -semigroups, Proceedings of International conference on Algebra and its Application Decker publication, New York, (1981), 301.
- [15] M. K. Sen and N. K Saha, On \sqsupset -semigroup, I, *Bull.Calcutta Math. Soc.*,(1986), 78 180-186.
- [16] N.Kehayopula, On ordered \sqsupset -semigroups, *Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae Online*, e-2010, 37-43.
- [17] Somsak Lekkoksung, On Q -fuzzy ideals in ordered semigroups, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*,92(3) (2014), 369–379.
- [18] N.Kehayopula and Tsingelis, Fuzzy sets in ordered groupoids, *semigroup Forum*, 65, (2005) 128-132 .
- [19] F. M. Khan, N. H. Sarmin and A. Khan. Some new characterization of ordered semigroups in terms of (\neq, θ) -fuzzy bi-ideals, *International Journal of Algebra and Statistics*, 1(1)(2012), 22–32.
- [20] Shihadeh, A., Matarneh, K. A. M., Hatamleh, R., Al-Qadri, M. O., & Al-Husban, A. (2024). On The Two-Fold Fuzzy n -Refined Neutrosophic Rings For $2= 3$. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 68, 8-25.
- [21] Abdallah Shihadeh, Khaled Ahmad Mohammad Matarneh, Raed Hatamleh, Randa Bashir Yousef Hijazeen, Mowafaq Omar Al-Qadri, Abdallah Al-Husban.(2024). An Example of Two-Fold Fuzzy Algebras Based On Neutrosophic Real Numbers, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 67, 169-178.
- [22] Raed Hatamleh, Abdallah Al-Husban, N. Sundarakannan, M. S. Malchijah Raj. (2025). Complex cubic intuitionistic fuzzy set applied to subbisemirings of bisemirings using homomorphism, *Communications on Applied Non-linear Analysis*, 32 (3), 418-435.
- [23] Abubaker, Ahmad A, Hatamleh, Raed, Matarneh, Khaled, Al-Husban, Abdallah.(2024). On the Numerica Solutions for Some Neutrosophic Singular Boundary Value Problems by Using (LPM) Polynomials, *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, 25(2), 197-205.
- [24] A., Ahmad. , Hatamleh, Raed. , Matarneh, Khaled. , Al-Husban, Abdallah. On the Irreversible k -Threshold Conversion Number for Some Graph Products and Neutrosophic Graphs. (2025). *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, 25(2), 183-196
- [25] Palanikumar M, Arulmozhi K, On intuitionistic fuzzy normal subbisemirings of bisemirings, *Nonlinear studies*, 28(3), 2021, 717-721.

- [26] K. Hila and E. Pisha. On bi-ideals on ordered \sqsupset -semigroups. Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, 40(6), (2011), 793-804.
- [27] Dutta T.K and Kar S, On Prime ideals and Prime radical of ternary Semirings, Bull.Cal. Math. Soc., 97(5), 2005, 445-454.
- [28] Palanikumar, M.; Jana, C.; Shanqiti, O.A.; Pal. M. A novel method for generating the M-tri-basis of an ordered Gamma semigroup. Mathematics 2023, 11, 893
- [29] Mohanraj, G; Palanikumar, M. On various prime and semiprime bi-ideals of Rings. Nonlinear studies. 2021, 27(3), 811-815.
- [30] Palanikumar, M; Arulmozhi, K. Jana.C and Pal.M & Shum.K.P. New approach towards different bi-base of ordered b -semiring. Asian-European Journal of Mathematics. 2023, 16(2), 1-26.
- [31] Palanikumar, M; Iampan, A; Manavalan, L.J. M-bi-base generator of ordered \sqsupset -semigroups. ICIC Express Letters Part B: Applications. 2022, 13(8), 795-802.
- [32] Mohanraj, G; Palanikumar, M. Characterization of various k -regular in b -semirings, AIP Conference Proceedings, 2019, 2112 (1), 020021.
- [33] Palanikumar, M; Shanqiti, O. Al; Jana, C; Pal, M. Novelty for different prime partial bi-ideals in non-commutative partial rings and its extension Mathematics, 2023, 11(6), 1309.
- [34] Palanikumar, M; Mohanraj, G; Iampan, A. Characterization of Different Prime Bi-Ideals and Its Generalization of Semirings, International Journal of Analysis and Applications, 2024, 22, 112-112.
- [35] Rajalakshmi, Raed Hatamleh, Abdallah Al-Husban, K. Lenin Muthu Kumaran, M. S. Malchijah raj. (2025). Various (δ_1, δ_2) neutrosophic ideals of an ordered ternary semigroups, Communications on Applied Non-linear Analysis, 32 (3), 400-417.
- [36] Raed Hatamleh, Abdallah Al-Husban, K. Sundareswari, G.Balaj, M.Palanikumar (2025). Complex Tangent Trigonometric Approach Applied to (λ, μ) -rung Fuzzy Set using Weighted Averaging, Geometric Operators and its Extension, Communications on Applied Non-linear Analysis, 32 (5), 133-144.
- [37] Raed Hatamleh, Abdallah Al-Husban, M.Palanikumar, K. Sundareswari (2025). Different Weighted Operators such as Generalized Averaging and Generalized Geometric based on Trigonometric q -rung Interval-Valued Approach, Communications on Applied Non-linear Analysis, 32 (5), 91-101.
- [38] Hatamleh, R., Zolotarev, V. A. (2016). Triangular Models of Commutative Systems of Linear Operators Close to Unitary Operators. Ukrainian Mathematical Journal, 68(5), 791-811.
- [39] Hatamleh, R. (2003). On the Form of Correlation Function for a Class of Non stationary Field with a Zero Spectrum. Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics, 33(1), 1-13.
- [40] Hatamleh, R., Zolotarev, V. A. (2014). On Two-Dimensional Model Representations of One Class of Commuting Operators, Ukrainian Mathematical Journal, 66(1), 122-144.
- [41] Hatamleh, R., Zolotarev, V. A. (2015). On Model Representations of Non-Self adjoint Operators with Infinitely Dimensional Imaginary Component. Journal of Mathematical Physics, Analysis, Geometry, 11(2), 174-186.
- [42] Bataihah, A and Hazaymeh, A. (2025). Neutrosophic fuzzy metric spaces and fixed points results with integral contraction type. International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, 25(3), 561-572.
- [43] Hazaymeh, Ayman A, and Anwar Bataihah. 2025, Neutrosophic Fuzzy Metric Spaces and Fixed Points for Contractions of Nonlinear Type, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems 77: 96-112.