

## On the Structure of $\sigma_1$ Near - Rings

<sup>1</sup>V.Alies Anbukani, <sup>2</sup>G.Sugantha, <sup>3</sup>P.Sivagami

<sup>1</sup>Research Scholar of Mathematics (Part time), Reg No: 21122102092008, Email: alieslivingston@gmail.com  
PG and Research Department of Mathematics, Kamaraj College, Thoothukudi – 628003. (Affiliated to Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Abishekapatti, Tirunelveli – 627012)

<sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor of Mathematics, Pope's College (Autonomous), Sawyerpuram, Tamil Nadu - 627 251, India.  
E.mail:sugi.trini@gmail.com (Affiliated to Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Abishekapatti, Tirunelveli – 627012)

<sup>3</sup>Associate Professor of Mathematics, PG and Research Department of Mathematics, Kamaraj College, Thoothukudi – 628003. E.mail:sivagamimuthu75@gmail.com (Affiliated to Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Abishekapatti, Tirunelveli – 627012)

---

### Article History:

**Received:** 15-11-2024

**Revised:** 26-12-2024

**Accepted:** 10-01-2025

### Abstract:

If, in a ring  $(N, +, \cdot)$  we ignore the commutativity of '+' and one of the distributive laws,  $(N, +, \cdot)$  becomes a Near-Ring. If we do not stipulate the left distributive law,  $(N, +, \cdot)$  is a right near-ring. This research aims to introduce the concept of  $\sigma_1$  near-ring.  $N$  is called  $\sigma_1$  near - ring if  $N$  is a right near-ring and  $xy^2=yxy$  for all  $x,y \in N$ . The element wise characterization for  $\sigma_1$  near-ring will be investigated and shall establish theorems and properties in this near - ring.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 16Y30.

**Keywords:**  $\sigma_1$  near -ring, commutativity, near-field.

---

## 1 Introduction

A right near-ring is a non-empty set  $N$  together with two binary operations "+" and "." such that (1)  $(N, +)$  is a group. (2)  $(N, \cdot)$  is a semi-group and (3)  $(n_1 + n_2)n_3 = n_1n_3 + n_2n_3$  for all  $n_1, n_2, n_3 \in N$ .

Throughout this paper  $N$  stands for a right near-ring.  $(N, +, \cdot)$  with at least two elements '1' and '0' denotes the identity element of the group  $(N, +)$ . Obviously,  $0n = 0$  for all  $n$  in  $N$ .  $N$  is said to be zero-symmetric if  $n0 = 0$  for all  $n$  in  $N$ . As in [2], a subgroup of  $(M, +)$  of  $(N, +)$  is called an  $N$ -subgroup of  $N$  if  $NM \subset M$  and an invariant  $N$  subgroup of  $N$  if, in addition,  $MN \subset M$ . In [6],  $N$  is defined to be pseudo commutative if  $xyz = zyx$  for all  $x, y, z$  in  $N$ . The concept of a mate function in  $N$  has been introduced in [4] with a view to handling the regularity structure with considerable ease. A map ' $f$ ' from  $N$  into  $N$  is called (i) a mate function for  $N$  if  $x = xf(x)x$ , (ii) a  $P_3$  mate function, if, in addition,  $xf(x) = f(x)x$  for all  $x$  in  $N$ . By identity 1 of  $N$ , we mean only the multiplicative identity of  $N$ .

Basic concepts and terms used but left undefined in this paper can be found in [2].

## 2 Notations

In this section, we furnish the notations which are used frequently throughout this paper.

(i)  $E$  denotes the set of all idempotent of  $N$ .

( $e$  in  $N$  is called an idempotent if  $e^2 = e$ )

(ii)  $L$  denotes the set of all nilpotent of  $N$ .

(a in  $N$  is nilpotent if  $a^k = 0$  for some positive integer  $k$ .) and  $N$  is said to be reduced if  $L = \{0\}$ .

(iii)  $N_0 = \{n \in N / n0 = 0\}$  - zero-symmetric part of  $N$  and  $N$  is called zero symmetric if  $N = N_0$ .

(iv)  $N_d = \{n \in N / n(x + y) = nx + ny \text{ for all } x, y \text{ in } N\}$  - set of all distributive element of  $N$  and  $N$  is called distributive if  $N = N_d$ .

(v)  $C(N) = \{n \in N / nx = xn \text{ for all } x \text{ in } N\}$  - centre of  $N$ .

(vi) If  $A$  is any non - empty subset of  $N$ , then

i)  $A^* = A - \{0\}$

ii)  $C(A) = \{n \in N / na = an \text{ for all } a \in A\}$

iii) When  $A = N, C(N) = \{na = an \text{ for all } a \in N\}$  -called the centre of  $N$ .

(vii) When  $E \subseteq C(N)$ , we say that the idempotent are central.

### 3 Preliminary Results

We freely make use of the following results and designate them as **R (1), R (2)....etc.**

**R (1)**  $N$  is sub directly irreducible if and only if the intersection of any family of non-zero ideals of  $N$  is again non-zero (Theorem 1.60, p.25 of [2])

**R (2)**  $N$  has no non-zero nilpotent elements if and only if  $x^2 = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0$  for all  $x$  in  $N$  (Problem 14, p.9 of [3]).

**R (3)** If  $f$  is a mate function for  $N$ , then for every  $x$  in  $N, xf(x), f(x)x \in E$  and  $Nx = Nf(x)x, xN = xf(x)N$  (Lemma 3.2 of [5]).

**R (4)** If  $L = \{0\}$  and  $N = N_0$ , then (i)  $xy = 0 \Rightarrow yx = 0$  for all  $x, y$  in  $N$ .(ii)  $N$  has

Insertion of factors property- IFP for short- i.e for  $x, y$  in  $N, xy = 0 \Rightarrow xny = 0$  . for all  $n$  in  $N$ . If  $N$  satisfies (i) and (ii) then  $N$  is said to have  $(*, IFP)$  (Lemma 2.3 of [5])

**R (5)** Any pseudo commutative near-ring with a right identity is weak commutative

((i.e).  $xyz = xzy$  for all  $x, y, z$  in  $N$  [2]) (Proposition 2.9 of [6])

**R (6)** A zero-symmetric near-ring  $N$  is a near- field if  $N_d \neq \{0\}$  and for all  $n \in N - \{0\}, N_n = N$  (Theorem 8.3, p.249 of [2]).

**R (7)** Let  $N$  be a right near-ring. If for every  $x, y$  in  $N, xN y = Nxy$  then we say  $N$  is a  $\beta_1$  near-ring. (Definition 3.1.1 of [4])

### 4. Definition and Examples of $\sigma_l$ Near - Rings

In this section we define  $\sigma_l$  near-ring and give certain examples of this new concept.

**Definition 4.1**

Let  $N$  be a right near- ring. Then  $N$  is said to be an  $\sigma_I$ near-ring if  $xy^2 = yxy$  for all  $x, y \in N$ .

**Example 4.2(a)**

The near-ring  $(N, +, \cdot)$  defined on Klein’s four group  $(N, +)$  with  $N = \{0, a, b, c\}$  where ‘ $\cdot$ ’ is defined as per scheme 12, P 408 of Pilz [2].

$\cdot$	0	a	b	c
0	0	0	0	0
a	0	a	0	a
b	0	0	0	0
c	0	a	0	a

is a  $\sigma_I$ near-ring

b) Let  $(N, +)$  be the Klein’s four group as in (a) above. If multiplication is defined as per scheme 22, P.408 of pilz[2]

$\cdot$	0	a	b	c
0	0	0	0	0
a	a	a	a	a
b	0	0	0	0
c	a	a	a	a

Then  $N$  is not a  $\sigma_I$ near-ring since  $ab^2 \neq bab$ .

**5. Properties of  $\sigma_I$ near-ring**

In this section we prove certain important properties of  $\sigma_I$  near-ring and give a complete characterization of such near-ring.

**Proposition 5.1**

Let  $N = N_d$  be a  $\sigma_I$ near-ring with identity. Then  $N$  is commutative.

**Proof**

Let  $N$  be a  $\sigma_I$ near-ring.

Then for all  $x, y \in N, xy^2 = yxy \dots \dots \dots (1)$

Replace the element  $y$  by  $y + e$ . in (1)

$$\begin{aligned}
 x(y + e)^2 &= (y + e)x(y + e) \\
 x(y + e)(y + e) &= (y + e)x(y + e)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 x[(y + e).y + (y + e).e] &= (y + e)x(y + e) \\
 x[y.y + y + y + e] &= (yx + x)(y + e) \\
 (xy)y + xy + xy + x &= (yx)y + yx + xy + x \\
 (xy)y + xy &= (yx)y + yx \text{ (By right Cancellation law)} \\
 x(y.y) + x.y &= y(x.y) + y.x \text{ (By Associative Law)} \\
 \Rightarrow xy^2 + xy &= xy^2 + yx \text{ [By equation 1]} \\
 xy &= yx \quad \forall x, y \in N
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $N$  is commutative.

**Remark 5.2**

A quasi weak commutative near-ring can become a  $\sigma_1$ near-ring

**Proof**

Let  $N$  be a quasi-weak commutative near-ring

Then  $xyz = yxz$  for all  $x, y, z \in N$ .

If  $y = z$ , then  $xyy = yxy$ , (ie)  $xy^2 = yxy$ .

Consequently  $N$  becomes a  $\sigma_1$ near-ring.

**Proposition 5.3**

$\sigma_1$ near-ring is always zero symmetric.

**Proof**

Suppose  $N$  is a  $\sigma_1$ near-ring Then  $xy^2 = yxy$  for all  $x, y \in N$ .

When  $y = 0, x0 = 0 x 0 = 0$

It follows that  $N$  is zero symmetric.

**Proposition 5.4**

Let  $N$  be a  $\sigma_1$ near-ring. If  $N$  is weak commutative then  $x^2y = y^2x$ . for all  $x, y \in N$

**Proof**

Let  $N$  be a weak Commutative near-ring

Then  $xyz = xzy \dots \dots \dots (1)$

Let  $N$  be a  $\sigma_1$ near-ring

Then  $xy^2 = yxy \dots \dots \dots (2)$

Now,  $x^2y = xxy = xyx$  [By equation (1)] =  $yx^2$  [By equation (2)]

Hence  $x^2y = yx^2$  for all  $x, y \in N$ .

**Proposition 5.5**

Homomorphic image of a  $\sigma_I$ near-ring is also a  $\sigma_I$ near-ring.

**Proof**

The proof is straight forward.

**Proposition 5.6**

If  $I$  is an ideal of the  $\sigma_I$ near-ring  $N$  then  $N / I$  is also an  $\sigma_I$ near-ring

**Proof**

The function  $\phi: N \rightarrow N/I$  defined by  $\phi(x) = I + x$  is an epimorphism. The rest of the proof is taken care of by the above Proposition 5.5.

**Proposition 5.7**

Every  $\sigma_I$ near-ring  $N$  is isomorphic to a sub direct product of sub directly irreducible  $\sigma_I$ near-ring.

**Proof**

By theorem 1.62, P 26 of Pilz [2],  $N$  is isomorphic to a sub direct product of sub directly irreducible  $\sigma_I$ -near-ring.  $N_i$ 's and each  $N_i$  is a homomorphic image of  $N$  under the multiplication  $\pi_i$ . Now the desired result follows from the above Proposition 5.5.

**Proposition 5.8**

Let  $N$  be a  $\sigma_I$ near-ring with a mate function  $f$ .

Then we have

- i)  $L = \{0\}$
- ii)  $N$  has  $(*, \text{IFP})$
- iii)  $E \subseteq C(N)$

**Proof**

Let  $N$  be a  $\sigma_I$ near-ring

Then  $xy^2 = yxy \forall x, y \in N$ . ..... (1)

Since  $f$  is a mate function for  $N$  then  $x = xf(x)x \in xNx$  for all  $x \in N$

$\therefore x = xnx$  for some  $n$ . ..... (2)

i) For  $n, x \in N$ ,  $nx^2 = xnx$  [By equation (1)] =  $x$  [By equation (2)]

Suppose  $x^2 = 0$

Clearly then  $x = 0$ . [Since  $N$  is zero symmetric]. Then R(2) guarantees that  $L = \{0\}$

(ii) By i)  $L = \{0\}$ . Now R(4) guarantees that  $N$  has  $(*, \text{IFP})$

(iii) Let  $e \in E$ . Since  $N$  is a  $\sigma_I$ near-ring,  $ne^2 = ene \implies ne = ene$  for all  $n$  in  $N$  ..... (3)

Also we have  $(ene - en)e = 0$

This implies  $e(ene - en) = 0$  and  $en(ene - en) = 0$  [by(ii)]

Also  $ene(ene - en) = en \cdot 0 = 0$  [Since N is zero symmetric]

Now  $ene(ene - en) - en(ene - en) = 0$ .

Consequently,  $(ene - en)^2 = 0$  and (i) guarantees  $ene - en = 0$ .

Therefore  $ene = en$  for all  $n$  in  $N$  .....(4)

From Equations (3) and (4) we get  $en = ne$  for all  $n$  in  $N$ . Thus  $E \subseteq C(N)$

**Proposition 5.9**

Let  $N$  be a pseudo commutative near -ring with right identity. Then if  $N$  is a  $\sigma_7$ near-ring then for any  $a, b$  in  $N$ ,  $ab = 0$  implies  $ba = 0$

**Proof**

Let  $N$  be a pseudo commutative near-ring. Then  $xyz = zyx$  for all  $x, y, z \in N$  ..... (1)

Now R (5) guarantees that  $N$  is weak commutative.

$\therefore xyz = xzy$  for all  $x, y, z \in N$  .....(2)

Now,

$$(xax)(yby) = ax^2by^2$$

$$xax yby = axxbyy$$

$$xa(xyby) = a(xxb)yy$$

$$xa(byx)y = a(bxx)yy$$
 [By Equation (1)]

$$(xab)yxy = ab xxy y$$

$$baxyxy = ab(xxy)y$$
 [By Equation (1)]

$$ba xy xy = ab x yxy$$
 [By Equation (2)]

$ba = ab$ . Since  $ab = 0$  it follows that  $ba = 0$ .

**Proposition 5.10**

$N$  is a  $\sigma_7$  near-ring if and only if every  $x$  in  $N$  can be written as  $xy^2 = u + v$  where  $u \in N_0$  and  $v \in N_c$  and  $u = y_0(ny + m) - y_0 x y_c, v = y_0 x y_c + y_c, y = y_0 + y_c \in N_0 \oplus N_c$  where  $y_0, n \in N_0, y_c, m \in N_c$ . Further more  $u \in N_0, v \in N_c$ .

**Proof**

For the ‘only if’ part, let  $y \in N$ . Since  $N$  is  $\sigma_7$  there exist  $x$  in  $N$  such that  $xy^2 = yxy$ . By using pierce decomposition we can write  $x = n + m$  and  $y = y_0 + y_c$  where  $x \in N, n, y_0 \in N_0$  and  $m, y_c \in N_c$

Now  $xy^2 = (y_0 + y_c)(n + m)y$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= (y_0 + y_c)(ny + my) \\
 &= (y_0 + y_c)(ny + m) \quad [\text{since } m \in N_c] \\
 &= y_0(ny + m) + y_c(ny + m) \\
 &= y_0(ny + m) + y_c \quad [\text{since } y_c \in N_c] \\
 &= y_0(ny + m) - y_0 x y_c + y_0 x y_c + y_c \\
 &= u + v \quad \text{where } u = y_0(ny + m) - y_0 x y_c \text{ and } v = y_0 x y_c + y_c
 \end{aligned}$$

Now,  $u \cdot 0 = [y_0(ny + m) - y_0 x y_c] \cdot 0 = y_0(ny + m)0 - y_0 x y_c \cdot 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= y_0(ny0 + m0) - y_0 x y_c \cdot 0 \\
 &= y_0(ny0 + m) - y_0 x y_c \quad [\text{since } m, y_c \in N_c] \\
 &= y_0(ny_c + my_c) - y_0 x y_c \quad [\text{since } y0 = y_c \text{ and } m \in N_c] \\
 &= 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Also,  $v \cdot 0 = [y_0 x y_c + y_c] \cdot 0 = y_0 x y_c 0 + y_c 0 = y_0 x y_c + y_c \quad [\text{since } y_c \in N_c] = v$

Thus  $xy^2 = u + v$  where  $u \in N_0$  and  $v \in N_c$ .

For the “if part” Assume for every  $y$  in  $N$  with  $xy^2 = u + v$  where  $u \in N_0$  and  $v \in N_c$  with  $u = y_0(ny + m) - y_0 x y_c$ ,  $v = y_0 x y_c + y_c$  where  $y = y_0 + y_c$ ,  $y_0$ ,  $n \in N_c$  and  $y_c, m \in N_c$

We shall show that  $N$  is a  $\sigma_I$ near – ring.

Now,  $xy^2 = u + v$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= y_0(ny + m) - y_0 x y_c + y_0 x y_c + y_c \\
 &= y_0(ny + my) + y_c \quad [\text{since } m \in N_c] \\
 &= y_0(n + m)y + y_c \\
 &= y_0 xy + y_c xy \quad [\text{since } y_c \in N_c] \\
 &= (y_0 + y_c)xy \\
 &= yxy
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus for every  $y \in N$ ,  $xy^2 = yxy$  for all  $x$  in  $N$ .

Hence  $N$  is a  $\sigma_I$ near – ring.

### Theorem 5.11

Let  $N$  be a zero symmetric weak commutative  $\sigma_I$  near – ring then right cancellative near rings are integral.

**Proof**

Let  $a \neq 0$  and  $ab = 0$ . Then  $ba = 0$  [By Proposition 5.10]  $= 0a$  and therefore by right cancellative law we get  $b = 0$ . And if  $b \neq 0$  and  $ab = 0$  then  $ab = 0b$ . This implies  $a = 0$ , by right cancellative law. Hence  $ab = 0 \Rightarrow$  either  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$  and the result follows.

**Theorem 5.12**

Let  $N$  be a  $\sigma_I$  near  $-$ ring with mate function  $f$ . If  $N$  is regular and  $I$  is a proper ideal of  $N$ , then every element of  $I$  is a zero divisor.

**Proof**

Let  $N$  be an  $\sigma_I$ near  $-$  ring

Then  $xy^2 = yxy \ \forall x, y \in N$  ..... (1)

Since  $f$  is a mate function for  $N$ , then  $x = xf(x)x \in xNx$

$\therefore x = xnx = nx^2$  for some  $n$  [By equation 1]

Put  $x^2 = 0 \Rightarrow n0 = x \Rightarrow x = 0 \therefore L = \{0\}$ .

Let  $a \in I$ . Then  $Na$  is an  $N$  – subgroup. Since  $N$  is regular  $a = axa$  for some  $x \in N$ .

Let  $na \in Na$  for any  $n \in N$ .  $\Rightarrow na = n(axa) = naxa \in NaNa$

And if  $m \in NaNa$  then for  $u, v \in N$

$m = uava = (uav)a \in Ia \ (NIN \subseteq I) \Rightarrow m \in Na$

Consequently,  $NaNa = Na$

Let  $na = uava$

Then  $na - uava = 0 \Rightarrow (n - uav)a = 0$ .....(2)

If  $a$  is not a zero divisor, then  $n - uav = 0$ .

i.e.)  $n = uav \in NIN \subseteq I$

$\Rightarrow N = I$ , which is a contradiction to  $I$  is a proper ideal of  $N$ .

Hence  $a$  is a right zero divisor.

Now equation (2)  $\Rightarrow a(n - uav) = 0$ . [by Proposition 5.9].

This leads to the result that  $a$  is a left divisor too. Thus the result follows.

**Corollary 5.12**

If  $N$  is a  $\sigma_I$  near  $-$  ring with no non- zero divisors then  $N$  contains no proper ideals of  $N$ .

**Lemma 5.13**

If  $N$  is  $\sigma_I$  – near ring with mate function  $f$  then for  $a, b \in N, ab = b^2$  and  $ba = a^2$  imply  $a = b$ .

**Proof:**

Let  $N$  be a  $\sigma_I$ -near-ring with a mate function  $f$ .

Then we have  $L = \{0\}$ .....(1) [By Proposition 5.8]

$$\text{Let } u_1 = a - b \quad u_2 = au_1 \text{ and } u_3 = bu_1$$

We can easily obtain the following equations.

$$u_1a = (a - b)a = a^2 - ba = 0..... (2)$$

$$u_1b = (a - b)b = ab - b^2 = 0..... (3)$$

$$\text{Also. } u_1^2 = (a - b)u_1 = au_1 - bu_1 = u_2 - u_3..... (4)$$

As  $N$  is zero symmetric.

$$u_2^2 = (au_1)(au_1) = a(u_1a)u_1 = a \cdot 0u_1 = 0 \text{ [By equation (2)] ..... (5)}$$

$$\text{Also, } u_3^2 = (bu_1)(bu_1) = b(u_1b)u_1 = b(0)u_1 = 0 \text{ [By equation (3)] ..... (6)}$$

Equations (5) & (6) imply  $u_2 = 0$  and  $u_3 = 0$  respectively. [By equation (1)]

Making use of these in equation (4), we get  $u_1^2 = 0$ .

It follows that  $u_1 = 0$ , [By equation (1)] i.e.)  $a - b = 0$ . Thus  $a = b$

**References:**

[1] J.R.Clay, The near-rings on groups of low order, Math Z. 104 (1968), 364-371.  
 [2] Gunter Pilz, Near - Ring, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1983.  
 [3] N.H.McCoy, The theory of Rings, Macmillan & Co, 1970.  
 [4] Sugantha G and Balakrishnan R,  $\beta_1$  Near-Rings, International Journal of Algebra vol.4 2010, no. 2, 71-79  
 [5] S.Suryanarayanan and N.Ganesan, Stable and Pseudo Stable Near-Rings, Indian J. Pure and Appl.Math 19 (12) December, 1988, 1206-1216.  
 [6] S.Uma, Pseudo Commutative Near-Rings, Scientia Magna, An international Journal. Vol.6 (2010) No 2, 75-85.  
 [7] Dheena P. On strongly regular near-rings, Journal of the Indian Math.Soc., 49 (1985), 201-206.  
 [8] Dheena P., A generalization of strongly regular near-rings, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 20 (1): 1989, 58-63.  
 [9] Von Neumann J., On regular rings, Proc. Nat. Acad. USA, 22 (1936), 707-713.  
 [10] S.Uma, R.Balakrishnan, T.Tamilzchelvan  $\alpha_I$  Near-Rings, International Journal of Algebra vol.4 2010, No.2, 71-79.  
 [11] Volety V.S. Ramachadran, Commutativity of semi Near rings – Journal of Science and Arts, 2011, No.4 (17) 367 - 368.