

Various Types of Translation in Bipolar Valued Multi I-Fuzzy Normal Subrings of a Ring

¹K.Vairamuthu & ²S. Loganathan

¹ Department Of Mathematics, Sethupathy Government Arts College(Affiliated To Alagappa University, Karaikudi), Ramanathapuram -623 502, Tamilnadu, India. Email: Vairammathi83@Gmail.Com

²Department Of Mathematics, Sethupathy Government Arts College(Affiliated To Alagappa University, Karaikudi), Ramanathapuram -623 502, Tamilnadu, India. Email: Logaamaths2010@Gmail.Com

Article History:

Received: 12-01-2025

Revised: 15-02-2025

Accepted: 01-03-2025

Abstract:

In this paper, various types of translation in B_V MIFNSR of a ring are studied and dealt. Some theorems are given and, they are proved.

Keywords: Interval valued fuzzy subset, bipolar valued fuzzy subset, $[B]_V$ MIFS, $[B]_V$ MIFSR, $[B]_V$ MIFNSR and translations.

INTRODUCTION.

Zadeh [14] had introduced the fuzzy subset in 1965. It is one of the generalizations of crisp set. Group was generalized as fuzzy group by Azriel Rosenfeld [3]. After, Different types of fuzzy were introduced by various authors. In 1994, bipolar valued fuzzy subset was introduced by W.R.Zhang[15]. Bipolar valued multi I-fuzzy subring has been introduced by K.Vairamuthu, S.Loganathan[11]. The following papers [1], [2], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10], [12] and [13] were useful to write the this paper. In this paper, various types of translation in B_V MIFNSR of a ring are introduced and established some results.

1.PRELIMINARIES.

Definition 1.1 [14] A map $\mathfrak{R}: \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}[0,1]$ is said to be an interval valued fuzzy subset of \mathbb{M} , where $\mathbb{D}[0,1]$ means collection of all closed subinterval of $[0, 1]$.

Definition 1.2 [15] The ordered structure $\mathfrak{I} = \{(\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{I}^+(\mathfrak{z}), \mathfrak{I}^-(\mathfrak{z})): \mathfrak{z} \in \mathbb{W}\}$ is called a bipolar valued fuzzy subset of \mathbb{w} , where $\mathfrak{I}^+: \mathbb{w} \rightarrow [0,1]$ is a positive membership map and $\mathfrak{I}^-: \mathbb{w} \rightarrow [-1,0]$ is a negative membership map.

Definition 1.3 [11] The ordered structure $\mathfrak{I} = \{(\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{I}_1^+(\mathfrak{z}), \mathfrak{I}_2^+(\mathfrak{z}), \dots, \mathfrak{I}_n^+(\mathfrak{z}), \mathfrak{I}_1^-(\mathfrak{z}), \mathfrak{I}_2^-(\mathfrak{z}), \dots, \mathfrak{I}_n^-(\mathfrak{z})): \mathfrak{z} \in \mathbb{W}\}$ is called a bipolar valued multi I – fuzzy subset (B_V MIFS) of \mathbb{w} , where $\mathfrak{I}_i^+: \mathbb{w} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}[0,1]$ is a positive membership map and $\mathfrak{I}_i^-: \mathbb{w} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}[-1,0]$ is a negative membership map.

Definition 1.4 [11] A $B_V MIFS$ $H = \langle H_1^+, H_2^+, \dots, H_n^+, H_1^-, H_2^-, \dots, H_n^- \rangle$ of a ring \check{O} is said to be a bipolar valued multi I – fuzzy subring of \check{O} ($B_V MIFSR$) if H has, for all i ,

- (i) $H_i^+(\varrho - \delta) \geq rmin\{H_i^+(\varrho), H_i^+(\delta)\}$,
- (ii) $H_i^+(\varrho\delta) \geq rmin\{H_i^+(\varrho), H_i^+(\delta)\}$,
- (iii) $H_i^-(\varrho - \delta) \leq rmax\{H_i^-(\varrho), H_i^-(\delta)\}$,
- (iv) $H_i^-(\varrho\delta) \leq rmax\{H_i^-(\varrho), H_i^-(\delta)\}$, for all $\varrho, \delta \in \check{O}$,

where $rmin\{[r, a], [\tau, \delta]\} = [\min\{r, \tau\}, \min\{a, \delta\}]$ and $rmax\{[r, a], [\tau, \delta]\} = [\max\{r, \tau\}, \max\{a, \delta\}]$.

Example 1.5 Let $R = \mathbb{Z}_3 = \{0, 1, 2\}$ be a ring with \oplus_3 and \otimes_3 . Then $\mathfrak{C} = \{(0, [0.72, 0.81], [0.91, 1], [0.52, 0.63], [-0.91, -0.81], [-1, -0.91], [-0.81, -0.72]), (1, [0.51, 0.61], [0.71, 0.81], [0.31, 0.41], [-0.71, -0.61], [-0.61, -0.51], [-0.51, -0.41]), (2, [0.51, 0.61], [0.71, 0.81], [0.31, 0.41], [-0.71, -0.61], [-0.61, -0.51], [-0.51, -0.41])\}$ is a $B_V MIFSR$ of R .

Definition 1.6 A $B_V MIFSR$ $\mathfrak{K} = \langle \mathfrak{K}_1^+, \mathfrak{K}_2^+, \dots, \mathfrak{K}_n^+, \mathfrak{K}_1^-, \mathfrak{K}_2^-, \dots, \mathfrak{K}_n^- \rangle$ of a ring \mathfrak{G} is said to be a bipolar valued multi I – fuzzy normal subring of R ($B_V MIFNSR$) if \mathfrak{K} has, for all i ,

- (i) $\mathfrak{K}_i^+(\eta\omega) = \mathfrak{K}_i^+(\omega\eta)$,
- (ii) $\mathfrak{K}_i^-(\eta\omega) = \mathfrak{K}_i^-(\omega\eta)$, for all $\eta, \omega \in \mathfrak{G}$.

Definition 1.7 [11] Let $\mathfrak{C} = \langle \mathfrak{C}_1^+, \mathfrak{C}_2^+, \dots, \mathfrak{C}_n^+, \mathfrak{C}_1^-, \mathfrak{C}_2^-, \dots, \mathfrak{C}_n^- \rangle$ and $\mathfrak{Q} = \langle \mathfrak{Q}_1^+, \mathfrak{Q}_2^+, \dots, \mathfrak{Q}_n^+, \mathfrak{Q}_1^-, \mathfrak{Q}_2^-, \dots, \mathfrak{Q}_n^- \rangle$ be two $B_V MIFSR$ s with degree n of a set \mathcal{W} . Then

- (i) $\mathfrak{C} \subset \mathfrak{Q}$ if and only if $\forall i, \mathfrak{C}_i^+(\zeta) \leq \mathfrak{Q}_i^+(\zeta)$ and $\mathfrak{C}_i^-(\zeta) \geq \mathfrak{Q}_i^-(\zeta)$, $\forall \zeta \in \mathcal{W}$.
- (ii) $\mathfrak{C} \cap \mathfrak{Q} = \{ \langle \zeta, rmin(\mathfrak{C}_1^+(\zeta), \mathfrak{Q}_1^+(\zeta)), rmin(\mathfrak{C}_2^+(\zeta), \mathfrak{Q}_2^+(\zeta)), \dots, rmin(\mathfrak{C}_n^+(\zeta), \mathfrak{Q}_n^+(\zeta)), rmax(\mathfrak{C}_1^-(\zeta), \mathfrak{Q}_1^-(\zeta)), rmax(\mathfrak{C}_2^-(\zeta), \mathfrak{Q}_2^-(\zeta)), \dots, rmax(\mathfrak{C}_n^-(\zeta), \mathfrak{Q}_n^-(\zeta)) \rangle / \zeta \in \mathcal{W} \}$.

Definition 1.8. Let $\mathfrak{K} = \langle \mathfrak{K}_1^+, \mathfrak{K}_2^+, \dots, \mathfrak{K}_n^+, \mathfrak{K}_1^-, \mathfrak{K}_2^-, \dots, \mathfrak{K}_n^- \rangle$ be $B_V MIFSR$ of the set \mathcal{W} . The transformations are defined as, $\forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

(i) $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{K}) = \langle \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{K}_1^+), \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{K}_2^+), \dots, \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{K}_n^+), \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{K}_1^-), \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{K}_2^-), \dots, \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{K}_n^-) \rangle$, where $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{K}_i^+)(\varrho) = rmin\{[1/2, 1/2], \mathfrak{K}_i^+(\varrho)\}$ and $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{K}_i^-)(\varrho) = rmax\{[-1/2, -1/2], \mathfrak{K}_i^-(\varrho)\}$, $\forall \varrho \in \mathcal{W}$.

(ii) $\mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{K}) = \langle \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{K}_1^+), \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{K}_2^+), \dots, \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{K}_n^+), \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{K}_1^-), \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{K}_2^-), \dots, \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{K}_n^-) \rangle$, where $\mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{K}_i^+)(\varrho) = rmax\{[1/2, 1/2], \mathfrak{K}_i^+(\varrho)\}$ and $\mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{K}_i^-)(\varrho) = rmin\{[-1/2, -1/2], \mathfrak{K}_i^-(\varrho)\}$, $\forall \varrho \in \mathcal{W}$.

(iii) $\mathfrak{Q}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathfrak{K}) = \langle \mathfrak{Q}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathfrak{K}_1^+), \mathfrak{Q}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathfrak{K}_2^+), \dots, \mathfrak{Q}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathfrak{K}_n^+), \mathfrak{Q}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathfrak{K}_1^-), \mathfrak{Q}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathfrak{K}_2^-), \dots, \mathfrak{Q}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathfrak{K}_n^-) \rangle$, where $\mathfrak{Q}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathfrak{K}_i^+)(\varrho) = rmin\{\varpi_i, \mathfrak{K}_i^+(\varrho)\}$ and $\mathfrak{Q}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathfrak{K}_i^-)(\varrho) = rmax\{\varsigma_i, \mathfrak{K}_i^-(\varrho)\}$, $\forall \varrho \in \mathcal{W}$, $\varpi = (\varpi_1, \varpi_2, \dots, \varpi_n)$ and $\varsigma = (\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2, \dots, \varsigma_n)$, $\varpi_i \in D[0, 1]$ and $\varsigma_i \in D[-1, 0]$.

(iv) $\mathfrak{R}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathfrak{K}) = \langle \mathfrak{R}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathfrak{K}_1^+), \mathfrak{R}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathfrak{K}_2^+), \dots, \mathfrak{R}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathfrak{K}_n^+), \mathfrak{R}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathfrak{K}_1^-), \mathfrak{R}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathfrak{K}_2^-), \dots, \mathfrak{R}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathfrak{K}_n^-) \rangle$, where $\mathfrak{R}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathfrak{K}_i^+)(\varrho) = rmax\{\varpi_i, \mathfrak{K}_i^+(\varrho)\}$ and $\mathfrak{R}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathfrak{K}_i^-)(\varrho) = rmin\{\varsigma_i, \mathfrak{K}_i^-(\varrho)\}$,

$\forall \varrho \in \mathcal{W}$, $\varpi = (\varpi_1, \varpi_2, \dots, \varpi_n)$ and $\varsigma = (\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2, \dots, \varsigma_n)$, $\varpi_i \in D[0, 1]$ and $\varsigma_i \in D[-1, 0]$.

(v) $\mathfrak{S}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathcal{K}) = \langle \mathfrak{S}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathcal{K}_1^+), \mathfrak{S}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathcal{K}_2^+), \dots, \mathfrak{S}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathcal{K}_n^+), \mathfrak{S}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathcal{K}_1^-), \mathfrak{S}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathcal{K}_2^-), \dots, \mathfrak{S}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathcal{K}_n^-) \rangle$, where $\mathfrak{S}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathcal{K}_i^+)(\varrho) = \varpi_i \mathcal{K}_i^+(\varrho)$ and $\mathfrak{S}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathcal{K}_i^-)(\varrho) = -\varsigma_i \mathcal{K}_i^-(\varrho)$, $\forall \varrho \in \mathcal{W}$, $\varpi = (\varpi_1, \varpi_2, \dots, \varpi_n)$ and $\varsigma = (\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2, \dots, \varsigma_n)$, $\varpi_i \in [0, 1]$ and $\varsigma_i \in [-1, 0]$.

2 – THEOREMS.

Theorem 2.1. If $\mathfrak{H} = \langle \mathfrak{H}_1^+, \mathfrak{H}_2^+, \dots, \mathfrak{H}_n^+, \mathfrak{H}_1^-, \mathfrak{H}_2^-, \dots, \mathfrak{H}_n^- \rangle$ and $\mathfrak{F} = \langle \mathfrak{F}_1^+, \mathfrak{F}_2^+, \dots, \mathfrak{F}_n^+, \mathfrak{F}_1^-, \mathfrak{F}_2^-, \dots, \mathfrak{F}_n^- \rangle$ are two B_V MIFNSRs of a ring \check{E}_1 , then their intersection $\mathfrak{H} \cap \mathfrak{F}$ is also a B_V MIFNSR of \check{E}_1 .

Theorem 2.2. If $\check{H} = \langle \check{H}_1^+, \check{H}_2^+, \dots, \check{H}_n^+, \check{H}_1^-, \check{H}_2^-, \dots, \check{H}_n^- \rangle$ is a B_V MIFSR of a ring β_1 , then $\check{\delta}(\check{H})$ is also a B_V MIFSR of β_1 .

Theorem 2.3. If $\mathfrak{C} = \langle \mathfrak{C}_1^+, \mathfrak{C}_2^+, \dots, \mathfrak{C}_n^+, \mathfrak{C}_1^-, \mathfrak{C}_2^-, \dots, \mathfrak{C}_n^- \rangle$ is a B_V MIFNSR of a ring \mathfrak{U}_1 , then $\check{\delta}(\mathfrak{C})$ is also a B_V MIFNSR of the ring \mathfrak{U}_1 .

Proof. Let ζ, v be in \mathfrak{U}_1 . For all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, by Theorem 2.2, $\check{\delta}(\mathfrak{C})$ is a B_V MIFSR of \mathfrak{U}_1 , $\check{\delta}(\mathfrak{C}_i^+)(\zeta v) = \text{rmin}\{[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}], \mathfrak{C}_i^+(\zeta v)\} = \text{rmin}\{[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}], \mathfrak{C}_i^+(v\zeta)\} = \check{\delta}(\mathfrak{C}_i^+)(v\zeta)$, for all ζ, v in \mathfrak{U}_1 . Also $\check{\delta}(\mathfrak{C}_i^-)(\zeta v) = \text{rmax}\{[-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}], \mathfrak{C}_i^-(\zeta v)\} = \text{rmax}\{[-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}], \mathfrak{C}_i^-(v\zeta)\} = \check{\delta}(\mathfrak{C}_i^-)(v\zeta)$, for all ζ, v in \mathfrak{U}_1 . Hence $\check{\delta}(\mathfrak{C})$ is a B_V MIFNSR of \mathfrak{U}_1 .

Corollary 2.4. If \mathfrak{P} and \mathfrak{B} are B_V MIFNSRs of the ring \mathfrak{U}_1 , then $\check{\delta}(\mathfrak{P} \cap \mathfrak{B})$ is a B_V MIFNSR of \mathfrak{U}_1 .

Proof. From the above Theorems, it is trivial.

Corollary 2.5. If \mathfrak{P} and \mathfrak{B} are B_V MIFNSRs of the rings \mathfrak{U}_1 and \mathfrak{U}_2 , then $\check{\delta} \mathfrak{P} \cap \check{\delta} \mathfrak{B}$ is a B_V MIFNSR of $\mathfrak{U}_1 \cap \mathfrak{U}_2$.

Proof. From the above Theorems, it is trivial.

Corollary 2.6. If \mathfrak{P} and \mathfrak{B} are B_V MIFNSRs of the rings \mathfrak{U}_1 , then $\check{\delta} \mathfrak{P} \cap \check{\delta} \mathfrak{B}$ is a B_V MIFNSR of \mathfrak{U}_1 .

Proof. From the above Theorems, it is trivial.

Theorem 2.7. If $\mathfrak{P}_1, \mathfrak{P}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{P}_m$ are B_V MIFNSRs of the rings $\mathfrak{U}_1, \mathfrak{U}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{U}_m$ respectively, then $\check{\delta}(\mathfrak{P}_1 \cap \mathfrak{P}_2 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{P}_m)$ is a B_V MIFNSR of the ring $\mathfrak{U}_1 \cap \mathfrak{U}_2 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{U}_m$.

Proof. From the above Theorems, the proof is trivial.

Corollary 2.8. If $\mathfrak{P}_1, \mathfrak{P}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{P}_m$ are B_V MIFNSRs of the rings $\mathfrak{U}_1, \mathfrak{U}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{U}_m$ respectively, then $\check{\delta} \mathfrak{P}_1 \cap \check{\delta} \mathfrak{P}_2 \cap \dots \cap \check{\delta} \mathfrak{P}_m$ is a B_V MIFNSR of the ring $\mathfrak{U}_1 \cap \mathfrak{U}_2 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{U}_m$.

Proof. From the above Theorems, the proof is trivial.

Corollary 2.9. If $\mathfrak{P}_1, \mathfrak{P}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{P}_m$ are B_V MIFNSRs of the ring \mathfrak{U}_1 , then $\check{\delta}(\mathfrak{P}_1 \cap \mathfrak{P}_2 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{P}_m)$ is a B_V MIFNSR of the ring \mathfrak{U}_1 .

Proof. From the above Theorems, *the proof is trivial.*

Corollary 2.10. *If $\mathfrak{P}_1, \mathfrak{P}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{P}_m$ are $B_V MIFNSRs$ of the ring \mathcal{U}_1 , then $\delta \mathfrak{P}_1 \cap \delta \mathfrak{P}_2 \cap \dots \cap \delta \mathfrak{P}_m$ is a $B_V MIFNSR$ of the ring \mathcal{U}_1 .*

Proof. From the above Theorems, *the proof is trivial.*

Theorem 2.11. *If $\mathfrak{H} = \langle \mathfrak{H}_1^+, \mathfrak{H}_2^+, \dots, \mathfrak{H}_n^+, \mathfrak{H}_1^-, \mathfrak{H}_2^-, \dots, \mathfrak{H}_n^- \rangle$ is a $B_V MIFSR$ of a ring \mathcal{H}_1 , then $\bowtie(\mathfrak{H})$ is also a $B_V MIFNSR$ of \mathcal{H}_1 .*

Theorem 2.12. *If $\mathfrak{C} = \langle \mathfrak{C}_1^+, \mathfrak{C}_2^+, \dots, \mathfrak{C}_n^+, \mathfrak{C}_1^-, \mathfrak{C}_2^-, \dots, \mathfrak{C}_n^- \rangle$ is a $B_V MIFNSR$ of a ring \mathcal{U}_1 , then $\bowtie(\mathfrak{C})$ is also a $B_V MIFNSR$ of the ring \mathcal{U}_1 .*

Proof. Let ζ, v be in \mathcal{U}_1 . For all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, by Theorem 2.11, $\bowtie(\mathfrak{C})$ is a $B_V MIFNSR$ of \mathcal{U}_1 , $\bowtie(\mathfrak{C}_i^+)(\zeta v) = r\max\{[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}], \mathfrak{C}_i^+(\zeta v)\} = r\max\{[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}], \mathfrak{C}_i^+(v\zeta)\} = \bowtie(\mathfrak{C}_i^+)(v\zeta)$, for all ζ, v in \mathcal{U}_1 . Also $\bowtie(\mathfrak{C}_i^-)(\zeta v) = r\min\{[-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}], \mathfrak{C}_i^-(\zeta v)\} = r\min\{[-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}], \mathfrak{C}_i^-(v\zeta)\} = \bowtie(\mathfrak{C}_i^-)(v\zeta)$, for all ζ, v in \mathcal{U}_1 . Hence $\bowtie(\mathfrak{C})$ is a $B_V MIFNSR$ of \mathcal{U}_1 .

Corollary 2.13. *If \mathfrak{P} and \mathfrak{B} are $B_V MIFNSRs$ of the ring \mathcal{U}_1 , then $\bowtie(\mathfrak{P} \cap \mathfrak{B})$ is a $B_V MIFNSR$ of \mathcal{U}_1 .*

Proof. From the above Theorems, it is trivial.

Corollary 2.14. *If \mathfrak{P} and \mathfrak{B} are $B_V MIFNSRs$ of the rings \mathcal{U}_1 and \mathcal{U}_2 , then $\bowtie \mathfrak{P} \cap \bowtie \mathfrak{B}$ is a $B_V MIFNSR$ of $\mathcal{U}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_2$.*

Proof. From the above Theorems, it is trivial.

Corollary 2.15. *If \mathfrak{P} and \mathfrak{B} are $B_V MIFNSRs$ of the rings \mathcal{U}_1 , then $\bowtie \mathfrak{P} \cap \bowtie \mathfrak{B}$ is a $B_V MIFNSR$ of \mathcal{U}_1 .*

Proof. From the above Theorems, it is trivial.

Theorem 2.16. *If $\mathfrak{P}_1, \mathfrak{P}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{P}_m$ are $B_V MIFNSRs$ of the rings $\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2, \dots, \mathcal{U}_m$ respectively, then $\bowtie(\mathfrak{P}_1 \cap \mathfrak{P}_2 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{P}_m)$ is a $B_V MIFNSR$ of the ring $\mathcal{U}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_2 \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{U}_m$.*

Proof. From the above Theorems, *the proof is trivial.*

Corollary 2.17. *If $\mathfrak{P}_1, \mathfrak{P}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{P}_m$ are $B_V MIFNSRs$ of the rings $\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2, \dots, \mathcal{U}_m$ respectively, then $\bowtie \mathfrak{P}_1 \cap \bowtie \mathfrak{P}_2 \cap \dots \cap \bowtie \mathfrak{P}_m$ is a $B_V MIFNSR$ of the ring $\mathcal{U}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_2 \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{U}_m$.*

Proof. From the above Theorems, *the proof is trivial.*

Corollary 2.18. *If $\mathfrak{P}_1, \mathfrak{P}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{P}_m$ are $B_V MIFNSRs$ of the ring \mathcal{U}_1 , then $\bowtie(\mathfrak{P}_1 \cap \mathfrak{P}_2 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{P}_m)$ is a $B_V MIFNSR$ of the ring \mathcal{U}_1 .*

Proof. From the above Theorems, *the proof is trivial.*

Corollary 2.19. *If $\mathfrak{P}_1, \mathfrak{P}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{P}_m$ are $B_V MIFNSRs$ of the ring \mathcal{U}_1 , then $\bowtie \mathfrak{P}_1 \cap \bowtie \mathfrak{P}_2 \cap \dots \cap \bowtie \mathfrak{P}_m$ is a $B_V MIFNSR$ of \mathcal{U}_1 .*

Proof. From the above Theorems, *the proof is trivial.*

Theorem 2.20. If $\mathfrak{A} = \langle \mathfrak{A}_1^+, \mathfrak{A}_2^+, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n^+, \mathfrak{A}_1^-, \mathfrak{A}_2^-, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n^- \rangle$ is a B_V MIFSR of a ring \mathfrak{R}_1 , then $\mathfrak{Q}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathfrak{A})$ is a B_V MIFSR of \mathfrak{R}_1 , where $\varpi = (\varpi_1, \varpi_2, \dots, \varpi_n)$ and $\varsigma = (\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2, \dots, \varsigma_n)$, $\varpi_i \in D[0, 1]$ and $\varsigma_i \in D[-1, 0]$.

Theorem 2.21. If $\mathfrak{B} = \langle \mathfrak{B}_1^+, \mathfrak{B}_2^+, \dots, \mathfrak{B}_n^+, \mathfrak{B}_1^-, \mathfrak{B}_2^-, \dots, \mathfrak{B}_n^- \rangle$ is a B_V MIFNSR of a ring \mathfrak{R}_1 , then $\mathfrak{Q}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathfrak{B})$ is a B_V MIFNSR of \mathfrak{R}_1 , where $\varpi = (\varpi_1, \varpi_2, \dots, \varpi_n)$ and $\varsigma = (\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2, \dots, \varsigma_n)$, $\varpi_i \in D[0, 1]$ and $\varsigma_i \in D[-1, 0]$.

Proof. Let ξ, \hbar be in \mathfrak{R}_1 , $\varpi_i \in D[0, 1]$ and $\varsigma_i \in D[-1, 0]$. For all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, by Theorem 2.20, $\mathfrak{Q}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathfrak{B})$ is a B_V MIFSR of \mathfrak{R}_1 , $\mathfrak{Q}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathfrak{B}_i^+)(\xi\hbar) = \text{rmin}\{\varpi_i, \mathfrak{B}_i^+(\xi\hbar)\} = \text{rmin}\{\varpi_i, \mathfrak{B}_i^+(\hbar\xi)\} = \mathfrak{Q}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathfrak{B}_i^+)(\hbar\xi)$, for all ξ, \hbar in \mathfrak{R}_1 . And $\mathfrak{Q}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathfrak{B}_i^-)(\xi\hbar) = \text{rmax}\{\varsigma_i, \mathfrak{B}_i^-(\xi\hbar)\} = \text{rmax}\{\varsigma_i, \mathfrak{B}_i^-(\hbar\xi)\} = \mathfrak{Q}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathfrak{B}_i^-)(\hbar\xi)$, for all ξ, \hbar in \mathfrak{R}_1 . Hence $\mathfrak{Q}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathfrak{B})$ is a B_V MIFNSR of \mathfrak{R}_1 .

Corollary 2.22. If \mathfrak{B} and \mathfrak{B} are B_V MIFNSRs of the ring \mathfrak{U}_1 , then $\mathfrak{Q}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathfrak{B} \cap \mathfrak{B})$ is a B_V MIFNSR of \mathfrak{U}_1 .

Proof. From the above Theorems, it is trivial.

Corollary 2.23. If \mathfrak{B} and \mathfrak{B} are B_V MIFNSRs of the rings \mathfrak{U}_1 and \mathfrak{U}_2 , then $\mathfrak{Q}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}\mathfrak{B} \cap \mathfrak{Q}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}\mathfrak{B}$ is a B_V MIFNSR of $\mathfrak{U}_1 \cap \mathfrak{U}_2$.

Proof. From the above Theorems, it is trivial.

Corollary 2.24. If \mathfrak{B} and \mathfrak{B} are B_V MIFNSRs of the rings \mathfrak{U}_1 , then $\mathfrak{Q}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}\mathfrak{B} \cap \mathfrak{Q}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}\mathfrak{B}$ is a B_V MIFNSR of \mathfrak{U}_1 .

Proof. From the above Theorems, it is trivial.

Theorem 2.25. If $\mathfrak{B}_1, \mathfrak{B}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{B}_m$ are B_V MIFNSRs of the rings $\mathfrak{U}_1, \mathfrak{U}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{U}_m$ respectively, then $\mathfrak{Q}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathfrak{B}_1 \cap \mathfrak{B}_2 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{B}_m)$ is a B_V MIFNSR of the ring $\mathfrak{U}_1 \cap \mathfrak{U}_2 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{U}_m$.

Proof. From the above Theorems, *the proof is trivial.*

Corollary 2.26. If $\mathfrak{B}_1, \mathfrak{B}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{B}_m$ are B_V MIFNSRs of the rings $\mathfrak{U}_1, \mathfrak{U}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{U}_m$ respectively, then $\mathfrak{Q}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}\mathfrak{B}_1 \cap \mathfrak{Q}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}\mathfrak{B}_2 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{Q}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}\mathfrak{B}_m$ is a B_V MIFNSR of the ring $\mathfrak{U}_1 \cap \mathfrak{U}_2 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{U}_m$.

Proof. From the above Theorems, *the proof is trivial.*

Corollary 2.27. If $\mathfrak{B}_1, \mathfrak{B}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{B}_m$ are B_V MIFNSRs of the ring \mathfrak{U}_1 , then $\mathfrak{Q}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathfrak{B}_1 \cap \mathfrak{B}_2 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{B}_m)$ is a B_V MIFNSR of \mathfrak{U}_1 .

Proof. From the above Theorems, *the proof is trivial.*

Corollary 2.28. If $\mathfrak{B}_1, \mathfrak{B}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{B}_m$ are B_V MIFNSRs of the ring \mathfrak{U}_1 , then $\mathfrak{Q}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}\mathfrak{B}_1 \cap \mathfrak{Q}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}\mathfrak{B}_2 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{Q}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}\mathfrak{B}_m$ is a B_V MIFNSR of \mathfrak{U}_1 .

Proof. From the above Theorems, *the proof is trivial.*

Theorem 2.29. *If $\mathfrak{Ib} = \langle \mathfrak{Ib}_1^+, \mathfrak{Ib}_2^+, \dots, \mathfrak{Ib}_n^+, \mathfrak{Ib}_1^-, \mathfrak{Ib}_2^-, \dots, \mathfrak{Ib}_n^- \rangle$ is a $B_V MIFSR$ of a ring $\check{\mathbb{O}}_1$, then*

$\mathfrak{R}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathfrak{Ib})$ is a $B_V MIFSR$ of $\check{\mathbb{O}}_1$, where $\varpi = (\varpi_1, \varpi_2, \dots, \varpi_n)$ and $\varsigma = (\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2, \dots, \varsigma_n)$, $\varpi_i \in D[0, 1]$ and $\varsigma_i \in D[-1, 0]$.

Theorem 2.30. *If $\mathfrak{C} = \langle \mathfrak{C}_1^+, \mathfrak{C}_2^+, \dots, \mathfrak{C}_n^+, \mathfrak{C}_1^-, \mathfrak{C}_2^-, \dots, \mathfrak{C}_n^- \rangle$ is a $B_V MIFNSR$ of a ring \mathbb{R}_1 , then*

$\mathfrak{R}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathfrak{C})$ is a $B_V MIFNSR$ of \mathbb{R}_1 , where $\varpi = (\varpi_1, \varpi_2, \dots, \varpi_n)$ and $\varsigma = (\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2, \dots, \varsigma_n)$, $\varpi_i \in D[0, 1]$ and $\varsigma_i \in D[-1, 0]$.

Proof. Let ξ, \hbar be in \mathbb{R}_1 , $\varpi_i \in D[0, 1]$ and $\varsigma_i \in D[-1, 0]$. For all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, by Theorem 2.29, $\mathfrak{R}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathfrak{C})$ is a $B_V MIFNSR$ of \mathbb{R}_1 , $\mathfrak{R}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathfrak{C}_i^+)(\xi\hbar) = \text{rmax}\{\varpi_i, \mathfrak{C}_i^+(\xi\hbar)\} = \text{rmax}\{\varpi_i, \mathfrak{C}_i^+(\hbar\xi)\} = \mathfrak{R}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathfrak{C}_i^+)(\hbar\xi)$, for all ξ, \hbar in \mathbb{R}_1 . And $\mathfrak{R}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathfrak{C}_i^-)(\xi\hbar) = \text{rmin}\{\varsigma_i, \mathfrak{C}_i^-(\xi\hbar)\} = \text{rmin}\{\varsigma_i, \mathfrak{C}_i^-(\hbar\xi)\} = \mathfrak{R}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathfrak{C}_i^-)(\hbar\xi)$, for all ξ, \hbar in \mathbb{R}_1 . Hence $\mathfrak{R}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathfrak{C})$ is a $B_V MIFNSR$ of \mathbb{R}_1 .

Corollary 2.31. *If \mathfrak{P} and \mathfrak{B} are $B_V MIFNSRs$ of the ring \mathbb{U}_1 , then $\mathfrak{R}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathfrak{P} \cap \mathfrak{B})$ is a $B_V MIFNSR$ of \mathbb{U}_1 .*

Proof. From the above Theorems, it is trivial.

Corollary 2.32. *If \mathfrak{P} and \mathfrak{B} are $B_V MIFNSRs$ of the rings \mathbb{U}_1 and \mathbb{U}_2 , then $\mathfrak{R}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}\mathfrak{P} \cap \mathfrak{R}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}\mathfrak{B}$ is a $B_V MIFNSR$ of $\mathbb{U}_1 \cap \mathbb{U}_2$.*

Proof. From the above Theorems, it is trivial.

Corollary 2.33. *If \mathfrak{P} and \mathfrak{B} are $B_V MIFNSRs$ of the rings \mathbb{U}_1 , then $\mathfrak{R}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}\mathfrak{P} \cap \mathfrak{R}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}\mathfrak{B}$ is a $B_V MIFNSR$ of \mathbb{U}_1 .*

Proof. From the above Theorems, it is trivial.

Theorem 2.34. *If $\mathfrak{P}_1, \mathfrak{P}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{P}_m$ are $B_V MIFNSRs$ of the rings $\mathbb{U}_1, \mathbb{U}_2, \dots, \mathbb{U}_m$ respectively, then $\mathfrak{R}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathfrak{P}_1 \cap \mathfrak{P}_2 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{P}_m)$ is a $B_V MIFNSR$ of the ring $\mathbb{U}_1 \cap \mathbb{U}_2 \cap \dots \cap \mathbb{U}_m$.*

Proof. From the above Theorems, *the proof is trivial.*

Corollary 2.35. *If $\mathfrak{P}_1, \mathfrak{P}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{P}_m$ are $B_V MIFNSRs$ of the rings $\mathbb{U}_1, \mathbb{U}_2, \dots, \mathbb{U}_m$ respectively, then $\mathfrak{R}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}\mathfrak{P}_1 \cap \mathfrak{R}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}\mathfrak{P}_2 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{R}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}\mathfrak{P}_m$ is a $B_V MIFNSR$ of the ring $\mathbb{U}_1 \cap \mathbb{U}_2 \cap \dots \cap \mathbb{U}_m$.*

Proof. From the above Theorems, *the proof is trivial.*

Corollary 2.36. *If $\mathfrak{P}_1, \mathfrak{P}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{P}_m$ are $B_V MIFNSRs$ of the ring \mathbb{U}_1 , then $\mathfrak{R}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathfrak{P}_1 \cap \mathfrak{P}_2 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{P}_m)$ is a $B_V MIFNSR$ of \mathbb{U}_1 .*

Proof. From the above Theorems, *the proof is trivial.*

Corollary 2.37. *If $\mathfrak{P}_1, \mathfrak{P}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{P}_m$ are $B_V MIFNSRs$ of the ring \mathbb{U}_1 , then $\mathfrak{R}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}\mathfrak{P}_1 \cap \mathfrak{R}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}\mathfrak{P}_2 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{R}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}\mathfrak{P}_m$ is a $B_V MIFNSR$ of \mathbb{U}_1 .*

Proof. From the above Theorems, *the proof is trivial.*

Theorem 2.38. If $\mathcal{K} = \langle \mathcal{K}_1^+, \mathcal{K}_2^+, \dots, \mathcal{K}_n^+, \mathcal{K}_1^-, \mathcal{K}_2^-, \dots, \mathcal{K}_n^- \rangle$ is a B_V MIFSR of a ring \mathfrak{K}_1 ,

then $\mathfrak{S}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathcal{K})$ is a B_V MIFSR of \mathfrak{K}_1 , where $\varpi = (\varpi_1, \varpi_2, \dots, \varpi_n)$ and $\varsigma = (\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2, \dots, \varsigma_n)$, $\varpi_i \in [0, 1]$ and $\varsigma_i \in [-1, 0]$.

Theorem 2.39. If $\mathcal{B} = \langle \mathcal{B}_1^+, \mathcal{B}_2^+, \dots, \mathcal{B}_n^+, \mathcal{B}_1^-, \mathcal{B}_2^-, \dots, \mathcal{B}_n^- \rangle$ is a B_V MIFNSR of a ring \mathfrak{U}_1 , then

$\mathfrak{S}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathcal{B})$ is a B_V MIFNSR of \mathfrak{U}_1 , where $\varpi = (\varpi_1, \varpi_2, \dots, \varpi_n)$ and $\varsigma = (\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2, \dots, \varsigma_n)$, $\varpi_i \in [0, 1]$ and $\varsigma_i \in [-1, 0]$.

Proof. Let σ, v be in \mathfrak{U}_1 , $\varpi_i \in [0, 1]$ and $\varsigma_i \in [-1, 0]$. For all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, by Theorem 2.38, $\mathfrak{S}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathcal{B})$ is a B_V MIFSR of \mathfrak{U}_1 , $\mathfrak{S}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathcal{B}_i^+)(\sigma v) = \varpi_i \mathcal{B}_i^+(\sigma v) = \varpi_i \mathcal{B}_i^+(v\sigma) = \mathfrak{S}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathcal{B}_i^+)(v\sigma)$, for all σ, v in \mathfrak{U}_1 . And $\mathfrak{S}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathcal{B}_i^-)(\sigma v) = (-\varsigma_i) \mathcal{B}_i^-(\sigma v) = (-\varsigma_i) \mathcal{B}_i^-(v\sigma) = \mathfrak{S}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathcal{B}_i^-)(v\sigma)$, for all σ, v in \mathfrak{U}_1 . Hence $\mathfrak{S}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathcal{B})$ is a B_V MIFNSR of \mathfrak{U}_1 .

Corollary 2.40. If \mathfrak{P} and \mathfrak{B} are B_V MIFNSRs of the ring \mathfrak{U}_1 , then $\mathfrak{S}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathfrak{P} \cap \mathfrak{B})$ is a B_V MIFNSR of \mathfrak{U}_1 .

Proof. From the above Theorems, it is trivial.

Corollary 2.41. If \mathfrak{P} and \mathfrak{B} are B_V MIFNSRs of the rings \mathfrak{U}_1 and \mathfrak{U}_2 , then $\mathfrak{S}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}\mathfrak{P} \cap \mathfrak{S}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}\mathfrak{B}$ is a B_V MIFNSR of $\mathfrak{U}_1 \cap \mathfrak{U}_2$.

Proof. From the above Theorems, it is trivial.

Corollary 2.42. If \mathfrak{P} and \mathfrak{B} are B_V MIFNSRs of the rings \mathfrak{U}_1 , then $\mathfrak{S}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}\mathfrak{P} \cap \mathfrak{S}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}\mathfrak{B}$ is a B_V MIFNSR of \mathfrak{U}_1 .

Proof. From the above Theorems, it is trivial.

Theorem 2.43. If $\mathfrak{P}_1, \mathfrak{P}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{P}_m$ are B_V MIFNSRs of the rings $\mathfrak{U}_1, \mathfrak{U}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{U}_m$

respectively, then $\mathfrak{S}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathfrak{P}_1 \cap \mathfrak{P}_2 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{P}_m)$ is a B_V MIFNSR of the ring $\mathfrak{U}_1 \cap \mathfrak{U}_2 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{U}_m$.

Proof. From the above Theorems, *the proof is trivial.*

Corollary 2.44. If $\mathfrak{P}_1, \mathfrak{P}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{P}_m$ are B_V MIFNSRs of the rings $\mathfrak{U}_1, \mathfrak{U}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{U}_m$ respectively, then $\mathfrak{S}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}\mathfrak{P}_1 \cap \mathfrak{S}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}\mathfrak{P}_2 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{S}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}\mathfrak{P}_m$ is a B_V MIFNSR of the ring $\mathfrak{U}_1 \cap \mathfrak{U}_2 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{U}_m$.

Proof. From the above Theorems, *the proof is trivial.*

Corollary 2.45. If $\mathfrak{P}_1, \mathfrak{P}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{P}_m$ are B_V MIFNSRs of the ring \mathfrak{U}_1 , then $\mathfrak{S}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}(\mathfrak{P}_1 \cap \mathfrak{P}_2 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{P}_m)$ is a B_V MIFNSR of the ring \mathfrak{U}_1 .

Proof. From the above Theorems, *the proof is trivial.*

Corollary 2.46. If $\mathfrak{P}_1, \mathfrak{P}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{P}_m$ are B_V MIFNSRs of the ring \mathfrak{U}_1 , then $\mathfrak{S}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}\mathfrak{P}_1 \cap \mathfrak{S}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}\mathfrak{P}_2 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{S}_{(\varpi, \varsigma)}\mathfrak{P}_m$ is a B_V MIFNSR of the ring \mathfrak{U}_1 .

Proof. From the above Theorems, *the proof is trivial.*

CONCLUSION

In this paper, *various types of translation of bipolar valued multi I- fuzzy normal subring of a ring* have been introduced. Some useful theorems have been found and using these theorem we can find more results. It can be extended into different types of algebra.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Anitha.M.S., Muruganantha Prasad & K.Arjunan, “Notes on Bipolar-valued fuzzy subgroups of a group”, *Bulletin of Society for Mathematical Services and Standards*, Vol. 2 No. 3 (2013), pp. 52 – 59.
- [2]. Arsham Borumand Saeid, “Bipolar-valued fuzzy BCK/BCI-algebras”, *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 7 (11) (2009), 1404 – 1411.
- [3]. Azriel Rosenfeld, “Fuzzy groups”, *Journal of mathematical analysis and applications*, 35(1971), 512 – 517.
- [4]. Balasubramanian.A, K.L.Muruganantha Prasad & K.Arjunan, “Properties of Bipolar interval valued fuzzy subgroups of a group”, *International Journal of Scientific Research*, Vol. 4, Iss. 4 (2015), 262 - 268.
- [5]. Grattan-Guinness, “Fuzzy membership mapped onto interval and many valued quantities”, *Z.Math.Logik. Grundladen Math.* 22 (1975), 149 – 160.
- [6]. Kyoung Ja Lee, “Bipolar fuzzy subalgebras and bipolar fuzzy ideals of BCK/BCI- algebras”, *Bull. Malays.Math. Sci. Soc.*, (2) 32(3) (2009), 361 – 373.
- [7]. K.M.Lee, “Bipolar-valued fuzzy sets and their operations”. *Proc. Int. Conf. on Intelligent Technologies, Bangkok, Thailand*, (2000), 307 – 312.
- [8]. K.M.Lee, “Comparison of interval-valued fuzzy sets, intuitionistic fuzzy sets and bipolarvalued fuzzy sets”. *J. fuzzy Logic Intelligent Systems*, 14 (2) (2004), 125 –129.
- [9]. Murugalingam.K and K.Arjunan, “A study on interval valued fuzzy subsemirings of a semiring”, *International Journal of Applied Mathematics and Modeling*, Vol. 1, No. 5 (2013), 1 – 6.
- [10]. Sabu Sebastian, T.V.Ramakrishnan, “Multi fuzzy sets”, *International Mathematical Forum*, 5, no.50 (2010), 2471 –2476.
- [11]. Vairamuthu.K,S.Loganathan, “Product in bipolar valued multi I-fuzzy subrings of a ring”, *Gradiva Review Journal*, Vol.8, Issue 11(2022).
- [12]. Vairamuthu.K,S.Loganathan, “Bipolar valued multi I-fuzzy subrings of a ring”, *Journal for Basic Sciences*, Vol.23, Issue 1(2023).
- [13]. Yasodara.S, KE. Sathappan, “Bipolar-valued multi fuzzy subsemirings of a semiring”, *International Journal of Mathematical Archive*, 6(9) (2015), 75 –80.
- [14]. L.A.Zadeh, fuzzy sets, *Inform. And Control*, 8(1965), 338 –353.
- [15]. W.R.Zhang, Bipolar Fuzzy sets and Relations, *a computational Frame work for cognitive modeling and multiple decision Analysis, proceedings of Fuzzy IEEE conferences*, (1994), 305–309.