

## Human Rights in a World of Surveillance: Managing Privacy and Security Concerns

Dev Parbhakar<sup>1</sup>, Simran Kaler<sup>1</sup>, Alisha Ohri<sup>1</sup>, Khushi<sup>1</sup>, Aishwarya Aneja<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> School of Law, CT University, Ludhiana, Punjab.

---

### Article History:

*Received: 12-12-2024*

*Revised: 25-01-2025*

*Accepted: 05-02-2025*

### Abstract:

The issue of how security needs and individual privacy combine has been under heavy scrutiny in recent times as the fast-moving age of technology marches on. This abstract considers how the development and occupation of space by different technologies penetrate the use of their place as a right or concept to guarantee infringing onto someone's human rights. It has been such that surveillance serves for the purpose of security in governments and corporations, bringing the dilemma to the forefront in the areas of privacy violations, data breaches, and abuse of power. This abstract elaborates almost exclusively on the areas of modification of law and ethics with regard to surveillance, indicating contentious issues and cross-cultural perspectives on that context. Among others, the fundamental human rights affected by these developments include freedom of expression, privacy, and assembly. This abstract seeks to clarify the evolving dynamic that characterizes the contemporary discussion developed so far through the following channels-historical case studies, emerging technologies, or global initiatives to offer a good balance between security and privacy. In the end, this abstract seeks a clear and broad-based dialogue that will stand the test of time concerning shaping responsible surveillance policies that will be at once respectful of individual rights and uphold the principles of a free and democratic society.

**Keywords:** Human Rights, Security, Legal Framework, Privacy Rights.

---

### Introduction

Coming during an age of rapid technological development and a fast-paced digital sprawl, any respect for the duality of state security and individual privacy seems, without question, a paramount concern (Nguyen and Tran, 2023). Both the state and corporations have bestowed upon the present humanity a vast array of surveillance technologies capable of collecting, analyzing, and sharing data on a remarkable scale (Debbarma, 2023), providing fodder for heated arguments concerning violations of human rights, gasping privacy, and potential misuse of power (Cotula, 2019). While society is contending with these issues, it is becoming imperative to mull over the evolution of human rights in the context of surveillance concerned with safeguarding security while protecting individual liberties. However, past programmes have often concentrated on one or a few aspects of surveillance or privacy (McGregor, Murray, and Ng, 2019; Zuboff, 2019). This exposé attempts to provide an overall understanding of all or at least most of the contexts in order to shed light on the dynamics governing privacy and surveillance today. On top of all these, there should also be an analysis of the implications of new technologies like artificial intelligence and biometrics on

surveillance or human rights through a 'state of the art' approach to recent literature and authentic analysis.

To earn through and through what is going on here, this research designs very sophisticated and complete literature review methodology. It will conduct a systematic review and analysis of peer reviewed articles, academic papers, books, policy statements, and reports relevant to surveillance, human rights, security, and privacy interfacing issues. The literature review will cover a whole lot of this and that from different periods and parts of the world-legal frameworks, ethical debates, case studies, and empirical readings. Through this processing of synthesizing alongside critically appraising what is available, an intricate and up to date picture is to be delivered of how surveillance, security, and privacy interact.

### **Examining Human Rights under the Surveillance Era**

It is impossible to overestimate the significant influence that monitoring has on human rights in today's technologically advanced society (Der Derian and Wendt, 2020). A new age has begun with the swift spread of monitoring technology, posing complex issues regarding how to maintain individual liberties while also preserving security objectives. The basic right to privacy is at the center of this debate. Individual privacy rights are seriously threatened by the vast amounts of data that surveillance technologies enable to be collected, including communication logs, behavioral patterns, and personal information. The difficulty is in creating strong data security protocols and strict laws that can stop misuse of these vast data repositories (Der Derian and Wendt, 2020). Furthermore, one of democracy's pillars, freedom of expression, may be restricted by monitoring. People may self-censor as a result of the constant scrutiny of monitoring, which would stifle free speech and the expressing of ideas and opinions. In order to protect this vital freedom, monitoring methods must be carefully considered to make sure they don't impede the lively interchange of ideas.

Likewise, widespread surveillance may jeopardize the freedom to congregate and engage with others (Dávila A, Guruli, and Samaro, 2021). People may be reluctant to join advocacy organizations or participate in nonviolent protests out of concern that they will be singled out. In order to safeguard these rights, it is essential to create clearly define the scope of government monitoring power and establish accountability systems. In the context of monitoring, discrimination and profiling are also issues (Dávila A, Guruli, and Samaro, 2021). According to Holmes et al. (2021), these technologies have the potential to unfairly target particular populations. Upholding the values of equality and nondiscrimination requires safeguarding vulnerable groups against discriminatory monitoring techniques. The cornerstones of any system intended to safeguard human rights in the context of surveillance are accountability and transparency. To combat abuses and preserve public confidence, effective monitoring, judicial review, and checks and balances are essential. Another aspect of this intricate debate is the precarious balance between human rights and national security. Adopting surveillance methods that are appropriate, required, and compliant with the law is essential, even as security measures are acknowledged to be important.

International collaboration is crucial in today's linked society. Since surveillance is a worldwide problem with cross-border ramifications, international initiatives are required to create shared norms,

accountability procedures, and guiding principles (d'Orville, 2023). Furthermore, the discussion has to change as surveillance technologies like biometrics, AI-driven analytics, and face recognition continue to develop. Understanding how these technologies affect human rights and developing suitable rules are essential. In conclusion, examining human rights in the surveillance era is a complex topic that poses important queries regarding the protection of basic rights in the digital era. Reaching the elusive balance between personal liberties and security requirements necessitates an all-encompassing strategy that takes into account ethical issues, legal frameworks, openness, and international collaboration. In our contemporary environment, it is a difficult but necessary responsibility to guarantee that the advantages of monitoring be maximized without jeopardizing people's fundamental rights and liberties.

### **Privacy, Security, and the Complicated Environment**

Unquestionably, there is a complex and dynamic dialogue arising from the interplay between security and privacy in our modern digital environment (Roslan and Ahmad, 2023). It is crucial to understand that these two values are not mutually exclusive; rather, they are on a continuum, and pursuing one frequently entails pursuing the other. This conversation explores the nuances of privacy and security, emphasizing the potential and difficulties in negotiating this complicated landscape. The necessity of finding a balance between security requirements and individual privacy protection is at the center of this discussion. Security, which is frequently seen as an essential duty of institutions and governments, entails taking steps to protect people and property from a range of dangers, including

digital as well as physical (Schiller et al., 2022). Conversely, privacy is a basic human right that is protected by international treaties and shields people from unauthorized access to their private lives.

Determining the boundaries and extent of data collecting and surveillance is one of the main issues. Security organizations contend that gathering enormous volumes of data is necessary to recognize and lessen any risks, such as cyberattacks, terrorism, and other types of damage. However, this data collecting frequently involves the mass acquisition of information, including personal information, which may violate people's right to privacy. The next query is: to what extent may an intrusion be justified in the sake of security? Technological developments have greatly expanded governments' and organizations' capacity to collect, process, and utilize data, especially in the area of surveillance (Knight and Gekker, 2020). Technologies like biometrics, face recognition, and artificial intelligence have grown to be effective instruments for achieving security goals. However, because these technologies have the ability to violate individual rights and allow for unparalleled surveillance, their usage also presents ethical and privacy issues.

Regulations and legal structures are essential in addressing these issues. Governments are responsible for creating and enforcing laws that specify the parameters of data collection and monitoring, as well as the rights and safeguards that people are entitled to (Cheryl and Ng, 2022). Finding the ideal balance necessitates precise legislative guidelines that limit monitoring to that which is really required and appropriate for security.

Mechanisms for accountability and transparency are equally important. To avoid abuses and preserve public confidence, it is important to make sure that surveillance procedures are subject to inspection, judicial review, and checks and balances (Wetzling and Vieth, 2021). The scope and kind of surveillance operations carried out by governments and organizations must be disclosed to the public (Rizi and Seno, 2022). In this complicated environment, international collaboration is particularly crucial. Since many security risks cut over national boundaries, effective international cooperation is required. Nonetheless, such collaboration must be based on values that uphold the rights and sovereignty of people in each of the participating countries. In summary, a sophisticated and all-encompassing strategy is necessary due to the complex link between security and privacy. Determining the boundaries of surveillance, using technology sensibly, putting strong legal frameworks in place, guaranteeing accountability and openness, and promoting international collaboration are all necessary to strike a balance in this complicated environment. Even if there are many obstacles to overcome, doing so is essential to upholding security and protecting individual rights in our increasingly linked society.

### **Bringing Individual Rights and Surveillance Imperatives into Balance**

The difficulty of balancing the demands of monitoring with individual rights has become a major worry for governments, organizations, and society globally in our quickly changing digital age. Finding a balance between the need for security and the defense of individual rights is a difficult and dynamic topic that requires careful study and adjustment to the shifting environment (Cain, 2023). The basic conflict between security and privacy is at the center of this conversation. Security, which is frequently presented as the top priority of governments and institutions, comprises actions meant to protect people and property against a variety of dangers, such as cyber-attacks, terrorism, and public safety issues. However, the foundation of democratic society is made up of individual rights like freedom of expression, privacy, and nondiscrimination, which act as vital safeguards against abuses of power by the government.

Determining the limits of data gathering and surveillance is one of the main obstacles. In order to achieve security goals, surveillance frequently collects enormous amounts of data, including digital footprints and personal information (Powell and Haynes, 2020). Determining where to draw the line between essential intrusion for security reasons and the violation of individual privacy rights is the challenge. It takes a sophisticated grasp of the advantages and disadvantages of monitoring techniques to reach this balance. There are benefits and drawbacks to technological innovation, especially when it comes to monitoring. The ability of governments and organizations to collect, handle, and understand data has been greatly improved by technologies like artificial intelligence, facial recognition, and data analytics (Akram et al., 2020). These technologies present privacy and ethical issues even while they provide effective tools for detecting and reducing risks. Finding the ideal balance necessitates the prudent and conscientious usage of these technologies to stop possible misuse.

Regulations and legal frameworks are essential for balancing the need for surveillance with people's rights (Akram et al., 2020). Governments are responsible for creating and enforcing laws that specify

the parameters of monitoring, delineate individual safeguards, and institute supervision and accountability procedures (Partow-Navid and Skusky, 2023). To make sure that surveillance operations are appropriate, required, and carried out within the confines of the law, precise and well-defined legal parameters are essential. Accountability and transparency are equally important components. Understanding the scope and character of surveillance operations is essential to preserving public confidence in them. Preventing abuse and preserving trust in the system need efficient supervision, judicial scrutiny, and checks and balances. Furthermore, encouraging public participation and knowledge in the surveillance decision-making process can assist guarantee that people's interests are taken into account.

Addressing global security issues requires international cooperation. Since many dangers cut beyond national boundaries, cooperation between nations is necessary to successfully counter them. But such collaboration needs to be based on values that uphold the human rights and sovereignty of all participating countries and people. In conclusion, striking a balance between the need for monitoring and individual rights is a complex issue that calls for thorough evaluation of a number of variables. Determining the boundaries of surveillance, using technology sensibly, putting strong legal frameworks in place, guaranteeing accountability and openness, and promoting international collaboration are all necessary to strike this balance. Although negotiating this complicated terrain is difficult, resolving these issues is essential to protecting individual liberties and maintaining security in a globalized society.

### **Conclusion**

The debate over how to balance individual rights with monitoring requirements highlights how difficult this modern problem is. It is a continuous and complex task to strike the delicate balance between the need for security and the defense of basic human rights like privacy and freedom of speech. The worldwide nature of threats and the quick development of monitoring technology need for careful and flexible strategies. Societies must continue to place a high priority on creating and enforcing precise legal frameworks that set limits on monitoring, safeguard individual liberties, and provide systems for responsibility and supervision in order to effectively traverse this complex terrain.

The ethical and responsible use of surveillance technology is essential, with an emphasis on protecting individual privacy and averting any misuse. Furthermore, tackling global security issues requires promoting international collaboration while upholding the rights and sovereignty of all parties. In the end, balancing the demands of surveillance with individual liberties is still a dynamic process that calls for constant adjustment and a strong dedication to the ideals of a free and democratic society, where human rights and security are respected and upheld.

### **Bibliography**

- [1] Akram, S. V et al. (2020) "Adoption of blockchain technology in various realms: Opportunities and challenges," Security and Privacy. Wiley Online Library, 3(5), p. e109.

- [2] Cain, W. (2023) "AI emergence in education: Exploring formative tensions across scholarly and popular discourse," *Journal of Interactive Learning Research*. Association for the Advancement of Computing in Education (AACE), 34(2), pp. 239–273.
- [3] Cheryl, B.-K. and Ng, B.-K. (2022) "Protecting the unprotected consumer data in internet of things: Current scenario of data governance in Malaysia," *Sustainability*. MDPI, 14(16), p. 9893.
- [4] Cotula, L. (2019) "Between hope and critique: Human rights, social justice and re-imagining international law from the bottom up," *Ga. J. Int'l & Comp. L. HeinOnline*, 48, p. 473.
- [5] d'Orville, H. (2023) "The United Nations and New Multilateralism," in *Pluralism and World Order: Theoretical Perspectives and Policy Challenges*. Springer, pp. 135–157.
- [6] Dávila A, S., Guruli, N. and Samaro, D. (2021) "DIGITAL DOMINION: How the Syrian regime's mass digital surveillance violates human rights." Debbarma, R. (2023) "The Changing Landscape of Privacy Laws in the Age of Big Data and Surveillance," *Rivista Italiana di Filosofia Analitica Junior*, 14(2), pp. 1740–1752.
- [7] Der Derian, J. and Wendt, A. (2020) "'Quantizing international relations': The case for quantum approaches to international theory and security practice," *Security Dialogue*. SAGE Publications Sage UK: London, England, 51(5), pp. 399–413.
- [8] Holmes, W. et al. (2021) "Ethics of AI in education: Towards a community-wide framework," *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education*. Springer, pp. 1–23.
- [9] Knight, E. and Gekker, A. (2020) "Mapping interfacial regimes of control: Palantir's ICM in America's post-9/11 security technology infrastructures," *Surveillance & Society*, 18(2), pp. 231–243.
- [10] McGregor, L., Murray, D. and Ng, V. (2019) "International human rights law as a framework for algorithmic accountability," *International and Comparative Law Quarterly*, 68(2), pp. 309–343. doi: 10.1017/S0020589319000046.
- [11] Nguyen, M. T. and Tran, M. Q. (2023) "Balancing Security and Privacy in the Digital Age: An In-Depth Analysis of Legal and Regulatory Frameworks Impacting Cybersecurity Practices," *International Journal of Intelligent Automation and Computing*, 6(5), pp. 1–12.
- [12] Partow-Navid, P. and Skusky, L. (2023) "The Need for International AI Activities Monitoring," *Journal of International Technology and Information Management*, 31(3), pp. 114–127.
- [13] Powell, A. and Haynes, C. (2020) "Social media data in digital forensics investigations," *Digital Forensic Education: An Experiential Learning Approach*. Springer, pp. 281–303.
- [14] Rizi, M. H. P. and Seno, S. A. H. (2022) "A systematic review of technologies and solutions to improve security and privacy protection of citizens in the smart city," *Internet of Things*. Elsevier, 20, p. 100584.
- [15] Roslan, F. A. B. M. and Ahmad, N. B. (2023) "The Rise of AI-Powered Voice Assistants: Analyzing Their Transformative Impact on Modern Customer Service Paradigms and Consumer Expectations," *Quarterly Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovations*, 8(3), pp. 33–64.
- [16] Schiller, E. et al. (2022) "Landscape of IoT security," *Computer Science Review*. Elsevier, 44, p. 100467. Wetzling, T. and Vieth, K. (2021) "Legal safeguards and oversight innovations for

bulk surveillance: An international comparative analysis,” in *Trust and Transparency in an Age of Surveillance*. Routledge, pp. 145–164.

[17]Zuboff, S. (2019) “Surveillance capitalism and the challenge of collective action,” in *New labor forum*. SAGE Publications Sage CA: Los Angeles, CA, pp. 10–29.