

Inclusive Pedagogy in Teacher Education: A Pathway to Sustainable Educational Progress

Anju Sharma^{1*}, Narinder Walia¹, Sarika Duggal¹, Mandeep Kaur¹

¹ CT College of Education, Jalandhar, India

Corresponding Author's email address: sharma.anju5592@gmail.com

Article History:

Received: 12-12-2024

Revised: 25-01-2025

Accepted: 05-02-2025

Abstract:

Inclusive pedagogy is a transformative teaching approach aimed at ensuring that all students, regardless of their backgrounds, receive equitable learning opportunities. As education systems worldwide strive to enhance accessibility and equity, inclusive pedagogy is increasingly recognized as a cornerstone of sustainable educational development. This review examines the role of inclusive pedagogy in teacher education, assessing its potential impact on fostering long-term educational progress. The study investigates how teacher preparation programs integrate inclusive teaching strategies to cultivate diverse, student-centered learning environments.

A mixed-methods approach will be employed, combining qualitative insights from interviews with teacher educators and quantitative analysis of student performance data collected from inclusive teacher education initiatives. The research encompasses multiple institutions across urban and rural settings to provide a diverse and comprehensive perspective. Preliminary findings suggest that teacher education programs incorporating inclusive pedagogical frameworks lead to higher student engagement, enhanced academic outcomes, and more inclusive classroom environments. However, barriers such as institutional resistance, lack of resources, and limited faculty training continue to hinder the widespread implementation of inclusive teaching practices. This study emphasizes that inclusive pedagogy is an essential pathway to sustainable educational development. To maximize its effectiveness, teacher education programs must undergo significant curriculum reforms, integrating inclusive teaching methodologies as a core competency. The findings underscore the need for policy enhancements, faculty development initiatives, and institutional support mechanisms to ensure the successful adoption of inclusive education strategies.

Keywords: Inclusive Pedagogy, Teacher Education, Sustainable Education, Educational Equity, Diversity and Teacher Training.

1. Introduction

Inclusive pedagogy is a student-centered teaching approach that ensures equitable learning opportunities for all learners, regardless of their backgrounds, abilities, or socio-economic status (Florian & Spratt, 2013). In teacher education, inclusive pedagogy is pivotal in preparing educators to cultivate diverse, participatory, and responsive classrooms that support academic success for all students (Forlin, 2018). By addressing systemic inequalities within educational institutions, inclusive

pedagogy contributes to sustainable educational progress and aligns with global efforts to promote equity in education (Ainscow, 2020).

The shift toward inclusive education has been reinforced by the United Nations Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 4, which emphasizes the need for inclusive, equitable, and quality education for all (UNESCO, 2017). However, despite widespread recognition of its importance, implementing inclusive teaching strategies remains a significant challenge, particularly in teacher education programs (Schuelka et al., 2019). In both developed and developing countries, educators often struggle to adapt their instructional methods to meet the needs of increasingly diverse student populations (Sharma & Loreman, 2020). While policies advocate for inclusivity, many teacher training programs fail to equip educators with the necessary skills and pedagogical knowledge to practice inclusivity effectively (Slee, 2018).

A critical gap in existing research is the lack of comprehensive frameworks for integrating inclusive pedagogy into teacher preparation programs. Although numerous studies highlight the benefits of inclusive education, few focus on the specific instructional strategies that enable educators to implement these principles effectively (Florian, 2015). Additionally, challenges such as insufficient training, lack of institutional support, and resistance to pedagogical change hinder the widespread adoption of inclusive teaching approaches (EASNIE, 2021). Addressing these issues is essential to ensuring that teacher education fosters inclusive practices, ultimately leading to more equitable and sustainable educational systems (Booth, 2020).

Recent research highlights the growing importance of preparing teachers to embrace inclusive practices. Studies have examined models of inclusion, technological tools for accessibility, and the influence of teacher beliefs on inclusive instruction (Waitoller & Artiles, 2016). However, the practical integration of inclusive pedagogy in teacher education remains underexplored. This paper aims to bridge that gap by critically reviewing the role of inclusive pedagogy in teacher education, analyzing its impact, challenges, and implications for sustainable educational progress.

2. Objectives of the Paper

The primary objective of this review paper is to critically examine and synthesize existing literature on inclusive pedagogy in teacher education, with a particular focus on its impact, challenges, and potential for contributing to sustainable educational development. This paper seeks to:

1. Examine the role of inclusive pedagogy in teacher education and its impact on sustainable educational progress.
2. Identify key strategies for integrating inclusive teaching methods into teacher preparation programs.
3. Analyze the barriers to effective implementation of inclusive pedagogy.
4. Propose recommendations for policymakers, educators, and researchers to enhance inclusivity in teacher education.

3. Theoretical Framework or Literature Review

3.1 Theoretical Foundations of Inclusive Pedagogy

3.1.a). Constructivist Learning Theory and Inclusive Education: Vygotsky's (1978) sociocultural theory emphasizes that learning occurs through social interaction and scaffolding, promoting collaborative and student-centered learning. Florian and Black-Hawkins (2011) highlight that peer-assisted learning and inquiry-based methods enhance inclusivity by addressing diverse student needs.

3.1.b). Critical Pedagogy and Social Justice in Teacher Education: Freire (2005) advocates dialogical education, where students engage in critical thinking and active participation. Booth and Ainscow (2017) stress that social justice-oriented teacher training fosters inclusivity by challenging traditional hierarchies and promoting diverse perspectives.

3.1.c). Transformative Learning and Reflective Teaching Practices: Mezirow's (1997) transformative learning theory underscores critical self-reflection, essential for educators to adapt instructional methods for diverse classrooms. Forlin (2018) suggests that continuous teacher reflection enhances their ability to address systemic inequalities in education.

3.2 Empirical Insights and Developments in Inclusive Pedagogy

3.2.a). Global Policy Perspectives on Inclusive Education: UNESCO (2015) and the Education 2030 Framework emphasize the need for inclusive policies aligned with Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 4. Schuelka et al. (2019) highlight that policy-driven teacher education reforms have improved inclusivity in many regions.

3.2.b). Frameworks for Implementing Inclusive Pedagogy in Teacher Education: The Index for Inclusion (Booth & Ainscow, 2017) provides a structured guide for inclusive education. Florian and Spratt (2013) argue that differentiated instruction, universal design for learning (UDL), and culturally responsive teaching should be integrated into teacher training.

3.2.c). Innovative Teaching Strategies for Diverse Classrooms: Sharma and Loreman (2020) identify assistive technologies, digital platforms, and co-teaching models as key strategies for inclusive teaching. Florian and Black-Hawkins (2011) highlight adaptive learning approaches that improve engagement and accessibility.

3.3 Expanding the Scope of Inclusive Pedagogy

Beyond Disability: Cultural, Linguistic, and Socio-Economic Inclusion: Schuelka et al. (2019) note that inclusive education now encompasses language, gender, and socio-economic diversity. Gay (2018) argues that culturally responsive teaching enhances student engagement, while UNESCO (2015) supports multilingual education policies.

3.3.a). Challenges and Barriers in Implementing Inclusive Teaching Models: The barriers include insufficient teacher training, lack of institutional support, and resistance to change (Forlin, 2018). Sharma and Loreman (2020) stress the need for faculty development, continuous professional learning, and policy reforms to ensure sustainable inclusive education.

4. Analysis of Reviewed Topics One by One

4.1. Role of Inclusive Pedagogy in Teacher Education

4.1.a). Integration in Teacher Education: Inclusive pedagogy is increasingly integrated into teacher training to promote equitable learning environments. Florian (2017) highlights the need for teachers to develop both theoretical knowledge and practical strategies for fostering inclusivity. Alford (2021) emphasizes the role of pedagogical practices in supporting the academic and social inclusion of diverse students.

4.1.b). Findings: Studies show that teachers trained in inclusive pedagogy create classrooms where students feel valued and supported (Armstrong & Armstrong, 2018). Research also suggests that inclusive training improves teacher confidence in differentiated instruction and addressing diverse learning needs.

4.1.c). Methodologies: Studies employ qualitative methods such as interviews and classroom observations to explore how teachers implement inclusive strategies (Skerrett & Ball, 2019). Quantitative studies use surveys and academic performance data to assess the effectiveness of inclusive pedagogy in improving student outcomes.

4.1.d). Implications: Inclusive pedagogy requires curriculum reforms that move beyond content delivery to practical inclusive strategies (Schnorr, 2020). Teacher preparation should address socio-cultural, linguistic, and cognitive diversity while providing ongoing professional development.

4.2 Barriers to Implementation

4.2.a). Challenges in Teacher Education and Practice: Several barriers hinder the effective implementation of inclusive pedagogy. Gordon and MacRae (2018) identify insufficient teacher preparation, institutional resistance, and resource limitations as key obstacles. Hughes and Sutherland (2020) note that cultural attitudes towards inclusion often create resistance to inclusive practices.

4.2.b). Findings: Institutional barriers, including traditional educational philosophies and lack of administrative support, limit adoption (Dyson, 2019). Insufficient professional development is another major issue, with Wang and Liu (2021) stressing the need for ongoing teacher training to sustain inclusive education.

4.2.c). Methodologies and Results: Miller and Burnett (2020) used interviews and focus groups with teachers and administrators to identify structural and psychological challenges in adopting inclusive pedagogy. Results show that teacher preparedness and mindset are as critical as institutional support and policy changes.

4.3 Impact of Inclusive Pedagogy on Educational Outcomes

4.3.a). Academic and Social Benefits: Inclusive pedagogy enhances student engagement, academic performance, and social integration (Niemeyer et al., 2020). Young and Miller (2022) highlight its role in long-term learning success, particularly for marginalized students.

4.3.b). Findings: Research demonstrates that inclusive classrooms foster higher self-esteem, better collaboration, and improved academic achievement (Fowler et al., 2020). Thompson and Waddell (2021) emphasize that inclusive teaching enhances critical thinking and strengthens a sense of belonging among diverse learners.

4.3.c). Methodologies: Quantitative studies measure student performance and engagement using standardized tests, while qualitative approaches, such as interviews and classroom observations, assess social and emotional outcomes (Gunderson, 2019).

4.4 Methods for Calculations or Simulations

A systematic literature review methodology was employed to analyze research on inclusive pedagogy, focusing on teacher education and its impact on student outcomes.

4.4.a). Criteria for Selecting Studies:

- Only studies published between 2015 and 2024, ensuring timeliness and relevance.
- Studies directly related to inclusive pedagogy, teacher education, and student outcomes.
- Inclusion of high-impact, peer-reviewed journals for credibility.
- Research from diverse global contexts to offer a comprehensive perspective.

4.4.b). Data Collection and Framework for Analysis: Data were gathered from Scopus, Web of Science, PubMed, and Google Scholar using keywords like "inclusive pedagogy," "teacher education," and "student-centered learning." Thematic analysis categorized studies into key themes:

- Integration of inclusive pedagogy in curricula
- Teacher competency and training
- Student engagement and outcomes
- Barriers to implementation

4.4.c). Framework for Analysis: A conceptual framework based on theories like constructivism and social justice education was used to critically assess the studies, identifying research gaps and the need for further exploration, especially regarding the long-term impact of inclusive pedagogy.

5. Results and Discussion

This section critically examines the current state of inclusive pedagogy in teacher education, identifying connections, trends, inconsistencies, emerging themes, and gaps in the literature, while proposing future research directions.

5.1 Comparative Analysis

5.1.a). Connections & Trends: Teacher education programs globally are integrating inclusive pedagogy to meet diverse student needs, emphasizing teacher competence through theories like constructivism and social justice education. Programs in the UK, Finland, and Canada have successfully embedded inclusive practices. Studies by UNESCO (2021) and Ainscow (2022) highlight the importance of flexible teaching, differentiated instruction, and assistive technologies.

Collaboration, especially through co-teaching and peer partnerships, has proven essential in fostering inclusive environments (Howard, 2020). Student-centered approaches have also been linked to improved engagement, particularly for diverse learners (Thompson et al., 2023). However, the focus on either cultural competence or integration of students with disabilities varies across regions.

Table No.5.1.a): Shows the Comparative Analysis of Teacher Education Programs Incorporating Inclusive Pedagogy

Country	Key Focus Areas	Pedagogical Approaches	Key Findings	Relevant Research (Authors)
UK	Cultural Competence, Disabilities	Constructivism, Social Justice Education	Integration of inclusive practices in curriculum	UNESCO (2021), Ainscow (2022)
Finland	Differentiated Instruction, Special Education	Active Learning, Co-Teaching	Emphasis on teacher collaboration and student engagement	Howard (2020), Thompson et al. (2023)
Canada	Disability Integration, Cultural Diversity	Student-Centered Learning	Focus on diverse needs and flexible teaching methods	Ainscow (2022), UNESCO (2021)
Global (general)	Flexibility in Teaching, Assistive Technology	Differentiated Instruction, Teacher Competence	Support for individualized learning strategies	UNESCO (2021), Howard (2020)

Above the table no.5.1.a) highlights the key areas of focus and pedagogical approaches used in teacher education programs across the UK, Finland, Canada, and globally. It shows how each country has integrated inclusive pedagogy in their programs and what the common trends are, such as the emphasis on cultural competence, differentiated instruction, and student-centered learning. The relevant research authors are also cited to give context to these findings.

5.1.b). Inconsistencies: Despite the emphasis on inclusive pedagogy, there are inconsistencies in its application. Research by Mitchell (2021) reveals that many teachers remain underprepared to implement inclusive strategies. Institutional resistance, large class sizes, and lack of professional development hinder the practical application of these practices (Thompson, 2022). While some studies show positive impacts on marginalized students (Rodriguez, 2022), others report mixed outcomes due to resource limitations and traditional teaching practices (MacRae & Gordon, 2021). These findings suggest that the challenges of inclusive pedagogy are not just attitudinal but also systemic, requiring institutional readiness and supportive policies.

Table No. 5.1.b): Shows the Inconsistencies and Barriers in the Implementation of Inclusive Pedagogy

Challenge/Issue	Description	Research Findings	Relevant Studies (Authors)
Lack of Long-Term Impact Evidence	Insufficient data on long-term student outcomes of inclusive pedagogy	Many studies focus on short-term outcomes, limiting understanding of long-term effectiveness	Mitchell (2021), Thompson (2022)
Teacher Preparation Deficits	Inadequate training and resources for teachers	Teachers remain underprepared to implement inclusive strategies despite training	Mitchell (2021), MacRae & Gordon (2021)
Institutional Resistance	Resistance from educational institutions to change traditional practices	Institutional inertia limits the adoption of inclusive pedagogical approaches	Thompson (2022), Rodriguez (2022)
Systemic Barriers	Barriers such as large class sizes, limited resources	These systemic factors undermine the effectiveness of inclusive pedagogy	Young & Miller (2021), MacRae & Gordon (2021)

Above the table no.5.1.b) examines the key inconsistencies and barriers found in the literature regarding the implementation of inclusive pedagogy. It reveals the challenges that continue to persist, including the lack of long-term data, insufficient teacher preparation, institutional resistance, and systemic barriers such as resource limitations. Each challenge is linked to relevant research studies that highlight these issues.

5.2 Emerging Themes

Several recurring themes have emerged from the literature, offering valuable insights into the challenges and opportunities associated with inclusive pedagogy in teacher education.

a) Teacher Attitudes: A critical theme is the influence of teacher attitudes on the success of inclusive pedagogy. Positive attitudes toward diversity and inclusion are strongly linked to effective teaching. However, resistance, often due to inadequate preparation or entrenched biases, remains a barrier. Gunderson (2021) emphasizes the importance of continuous professional development to nurture and support teachers' attitudes toward inclusivity.

b) Cultural Competence: Cultural competence is essential in increasingly diverse classrooms. Teachers must be equipped to address the cultural, linguistic, and socio-economic needs of students.

Skerrett (2021) stresses the need for teacher education programs to integrate cultural competence into curricula, fostering more inclusive environments that reflect student diversity.

c) Systemic Barriers: Systemic barriers, such as limited resources, lack of policy support, and overcrowded classrooms, present significant challenges to inclusive pedagogy. Research by Young and Miller (2021) highlights that teacher preparation programs often overlook these structural issues, hindering efforts to build truly inclusive classrooms. Addressing these barriers requires institutional and policy-level action to create meaningful change.

Table No 5.2 Shows the Emerging Themes in Inclusive Pedagogy for Teacher Education

Theme	Key Findings	Research Insights	Relevant Studies (Authors)
Teacher Attitudes	Positive teacher attitudes toward inclusivity lead to more effective teaching	Teachers' biases and resistance need to be addressed through ongoing professional development	Gunderson (2021), Howard (2020)
Cultural Competence	Teachers must be culturally competent to meet diverse student needs	Teacher education programs need to focus on cultural sensitivity and inclusivity	Skerrett (2021), Ainscow (2022)
Systemic Barriers	Larger class sizes and lack of resources hinder inclusive education	Teacher preparation programs must address these systemic challenges	Young & Miller (2021), MacRae & Gordon (2021)

Above the table no. 5.2 summarizes the recurring emerging themes in the literature on inclusive pedagogy for teacher education. It focuses on critical areas such as teacher attitudes, cultural competence, and systemic barriers. The insights highlight how these themes impact the success of inclusive teaching practices and the necessary areas for further development.

Implications for Practice: The emerging themes highlight the necessity of adopting a comprehensive approach to teacher education. It should not only focus on enhancing individual teacher competencies but also address broader systemic factors such as resource allocation, institutional support, and necessary policy reforms. Teacher education programs must prioritize the development of cultural competence, foster positive attitudes toward inclusivity, and equip educators with the skills to navigate systemic barriers effectively. Moreover, professional development should be continuous, providing teachers with the tools and strategies needed to implement inclusive practices throughout their careers.

5.3 Gaps and Future Directions

Research Gaps: Despite substantial progress in inclusive pedagogy research, notable gaps remain. A key limitation is the absence of longitudinal studies, which are essential for understanding the long-

term impacts of inclusive practices on both teachers and students. Additionally, most studies are concentrated in urban, well-resourced settings, leaving rural and under-resourced areas underexplored. Research in these contexts is crucial to determine how inclusive pedagogy adapts and functions in marginalized communities.

Future Research: Future studies should examine the effects of inclusive pedagogy on diverse student demographics, such as students from low socio-economic backgrounds, ethnic minorities, and those with disabilities. Research should also explore the role of technology in enhancing inclusive practices. With the increasing use of digital tools, understanding how assistive technologies, online learning, and digital platforms can support inclusive education will be vital for fostering equitable learning environments.

Table No 5.3: Shows the Gaps in Research and Future Directions in Inclusive Pedagogy

Research Gap	Description	Suggested Future Research Directions
Longitudinal Studies	Lack of research on the long-term effects of inclusive pedagogy	Studies examining long-term impact on teachers and students
Rural and Under-Resourced Settings	Limited research in resource-poor, rural contexts	Research focusing on inclusive pedagogy in rural and low-resource schools
Demographic-Specific Research	Lack of studies on how inclusive pedagogy affects different demographic groups	Studies on the impact of inclusive pedagogy on ethnic minorities, low-income students, and students with disabilities
Role of Technology in Inclusivity	Limited exploration of how technology can support inclusive education	Research into the role of digital tools and assistive technologies for inclusion

Above the table no. 5.3 identifies key gaps in the existing research on inclusive pedagogy and proposes future research directions. The gaps include the need for longitudinal studies, research in rural and resource-limited contexts, demographic-specific studies, and exploration of the role of technology in fostering inclusivity. These gaps highlight important areas where further research can contribute to the development of inclusive pedagogies.

6. Conclusion

Summary: This review synthesizes recent research on the integration of inclusive pedagogy in teacher education. It underscores the importance of inclusive teaching in promoting equitable learning environments and enhancing teacher competencies. The review highlights that successful implementation depends on teacher attitudes, cultural competence, and systemic support. Inclusive pedagogy, when fully integrated into teacher training, can contribute to societal goals of equality and justice in education.

Novelty & Limitations: This review provides a contemporary overview, incorporating studies from 2015 to 2024, and identifies key trends such as the importance of cultural competence and systemic barriers. While comprehensive, it acknowledges limitations, including a lack of exhaustive global coverage and the reliance on secondary data. Further research, especially in real-world classroom contexts, is needed to strengthen evidence on the practical application of inclusive pedagogy.

Recommendations

- **For Policymakers:** Implement inclusive pedagogy as a central policy in teacher education, allocate resources, and address systemic barriers such as large class sizes.
- **For Teacher Educators:** Focus on continuous professional development, enhance cultural competence, and integrate technology to support inclusivity.
- **For Researchers:** Conduct longitudinal studies to track the long-term impact and explore inclusive pedagogy in rural and under-resourced settings, as well as the role of assistive technologies.

The incorporating inclusive pedagogy into teacher education is vital for creating a more equitable education system. Ongoing efforts at the policy, institutional, and individual levels are needed to ensure that all teachers are equipped to meet the diverse needs of their students.

Acknowledgement

I am profoundly thankful to **Mr. Charanjit Singh Channi, Chancellor of CT University/ Chairman of CT Group** for his encouragement, visionary leadership, and the opportunities he has provided, which have greatly facilitated this work.

I extend my heartfelt gratitude to **Dr. Manbir Singh, Pro Chancellor of CT University/ Managing Director of CT Group** for *his* invaluable guidance, unwavering support, and mentorship, which have been pivotal in shaping this research.

My sincere gratitude goes to **Dr. Nitin Tandon, Pro Vice Chancellor of CT University** for his insightful suggestions, encouragement, and constructive feedback, which have enriched this research.

I am also deeply grateful to my co-authors (**Ms. Narinder Walia, Ms. Sarika Duggal, Ms. Mandeep Kaur**) for their collaboration, insightful discussions, and constant support throughout this journey.

Finally, I extend my appreciation to everyone who has contributed, directly or indirectly, to the successful completion of this endeavor. Their support and encouragement mean the world to me.

References

- [1] Ainscow, M., & Sandill, A. (2019). Inclusive education: A global agenda for reform. *Journal of Educational Change*, 20(2), 143-159.
- [2] Alford, J. (2021). *Pedagogical approaches to fostering inclusive classrooms: A review of best practices*. *Journal of Inclusive Education*, 35(2), 89-104.
- [3] Armstrong, D., & Armstrong, A. C. (2018). *Inclusion: A transformational approach to teacher education*. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 75, 10-20.
- [4] Booth, T. (2020). *Index for Inclusion: Developing Learning and Participation in Schools*. Centre for Studies on Inclusive Education (CSIE).

- [5] Booth, T., & Ainscow, M. (2020). *The index for inclusion: A guide to school development and inclusion*. Routledge.
- [6] Clogston, M., & Pufahl, L. (2015). Inclusive teacher education: Pathways to a sustainable educational system. *Journal of Inclusive Education*, 19(3), 332-345.
- [7] Dyson, A. (2019). *Overcoming institutional resistance to inclusive education: Challenges and strategies*. *International Journal of Educational Reform*, 28(3), 210-226.
- [8] EASNIE (European Agency for Special Needs and Inclusive Education). (2021). *Inclusive Education Systems: Future Directions*. Retrieved from www.european-agency.org.
- [9] Florian, L. (2017). *Inclusive pedagogy: A framework for teacher education*. *Cambridge Journal of Education*, 47(4), 623-638.
- [10] Forlin, C. (2018). *Teacher education for inclusion: Changing paradigms and innovative approaches*. Routledge.
- [11] Fowler, F., Brown, J., & Ellis, T. (2020). *Student engagement and self-esteem in inclusive classrooms*. *Educational Psychology Review*, 32(1), 45-60.
- [12] Gay, G. (2018). *Culturally responsive teaching: Theory, research, and practice* (3rd ed.). Teachers College Press.
- [13] Gordon, T., & MacRae, M. (2018). *Barriers to inclusive teaching: Perspectives from educators*. *Teaching and Learning Journal*, 29(2), 142-157.
- [14] Gunderson, L. (2019). *Assessing social and emotional outcomes of inclusive pedagogy*. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 42(4), 305-320.
- [15] Hehir, T., & Katzman, L. I. (2018). Effective inclusive education practices in diverse classrooms. *Journal of Special Education*, 52(1), 15-28.
- [16] Hughes, C., & Sutherland, J. (2020). *Cultural influences on inclusive education: Examining resistance and adaptation*. *International Journal of Multicultural Education*, 22(1), 67-81.
- [17] Iyer, S., & Thomas, V. (2018). Cultural competence in teacher education: An Indian perspective. *Journal of Education and Development*, 14(3), 215-230.
- [18] Joshi, A., & Kaur, M. (2022). Integrating inclusive pedagogy into teacher education in India: A case study. *Journal of Indian Education*, 48(2), 98-114.
- [19] Koster, M., & Nakken, H. (2019). Inclusive teaching methods and the teacher's role in diverse classrooms. *Educational Studies*, 45(1), 1-19.
- [20] Kumar, S., & Gupta, M. (2019). Teacher training for inclusive education: The Indian context. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 23(4), 320-334.
- [21] McLeskey, J., & Waldron, N. (2019). Inclusive education: An international review of policy and practice. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 23(7), 723-737.
- [22] Mezirow, J. (1997). *Transformative learning: Theory to practice*. *New Directions for Adult and Continuing Education*, 74, 5-12.
- [23] Miller, S., & Burnett, R. (2020). *Teacher perceptions of inclusive education: Barriers and opportunities*. *Journal of Teacher Development*, 38(3), 185-198.
- [24] Morrison, S., & Bonanno, H. (2017). Teacher competency for inclusive education: Global perspectives. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 21(5), 515-528.

- [25]Niemeier, J., Smith, P., & Clark, M. (2020). *Meta-analysis of inclusive pedagogy outcomes: Academic and social benefits*. Educational Research Review, 15(2), 120-135.
- [26]Patel, P., & Singh, A. (2021). Barriers to inclusive teaching in rural India: Policy and practice. Educational Research for Policy and Practice, 20(1), 10-24.
- [27]Pritchard, R., & Bailey, C. (2020). Assessing inclusive teaching practices: A global perspective. International Journal of Educational Research, 103, 101625.
- [28]Sapon-Shevin, M. (2021). Inclusive education and the empowerment of teachers: Global insights. Teaching and Teacher Education, 95, 103153.
- [29]Schnorr, R. (2020). *Innovations in teacher training for inclusive classrooms: A policy perspective*. Education and Policy Analysis, 27(2), 99-115.
- [30]Schuelka, M. J., Johnstone, C. J., Thomas, G., & Artiles, A. J. (2019). *The SAGE Handbook of Inclusion and Diversity in Education*. SAGE Publications.
- [31]Sharma, R. (2020). Inclusive pedagogy in India: Challenges and prospects. Journal of Teacher Education and Practice, 45(2), 65-80.
- [32]Sharma, U., & Loreman, T. (2020). *The impact of teacher training on attitudes and efficacy towards inclusive education: A review of the literature*. Teaching and Teacher Education, 91, 103049.
- [33]Skerrett, A., & Ball, A. (2019). *Observational study of inclusive practices in teacher education*. Teaching and Learning Research Journal, 30(1), 50-75.
- [34]Slee, R. (2018). *Inclusive education: From policy to school implementation*. Routledge.
- [35]Thompson, K., & Waddell, G. (2021). *Fostering critical thinking and belonging through inclusive pedagogy*. International Review of Educational Research, 19(3), 178-192.
- [36]UNESCO. (2015). *Education 2030: Incheon Declaration and Framework for Action for the Implementation of Sustainable Development Goal 4*. Retrieved from www.unesco.org.
- [37]UNESCO. (2017). *A guide for ensuring inclusion and equity in education*. Retrieved from www.unesco.org.
- [38]UNESCO. (2020). Inclusion and education: All means all. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization.
- [39]Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in Society: The Development of Higher Psychological Processes*. Harvard University Press.
- [40]Waitoller, F. R., & Artiles, A. J. (2016). *Equity in inclusive education: A theoretical framework for sustaining inclusive practices*. Teachers College Record, 118(6), 1-27.
- [41]Wang, J., & Liu, Y. (2021). *The role of professional development in overcoming barriers to inclusive education*. Teacher Education Quarterly, 45(1), 55-70.
- [42]Young, M., & Miller, D. (2022). *Long-term learning outcomes of inclusive teaching strategies*. Journal of Educational Research, 49(2), 220-235.