

On Class of Analytic Function Defined by Generalized Ruscheweyh Derivative

Rashmi B T.*and Dileep L.**

*Adichunchanagiri Institute Of Technology, Chikkamagaluru, India-577101

**Vidyavardhaka College Of Engineering, Mysuru, India-570 002

Visvesvaraya Technological University, Belagavi

Article History:

Received: 12-01-2025

Revised: 15-02-2025

Accepted: 01-03-2025

Abstract: The aim of this paper is to introduce a class of analytic functions defined by using generalized Ruscheweyh derivative. The coefficient bound, inclusion result and a radius problem has been discussed in this paper.

Keywords: Univalent functions, Analytic function, Generalized Ruscheweyh Operator, coefficient inequalities, convex domain.

AMS Classification: Primary 30C45; Secondary 30C50;30C80

Conclusion: Here, in our present investigation, we have successfully introduced a new subclass of analytic functions $\mathcal{V}_{k,\lambda}^m[A, B, \alpha, b]$ using the Generalized Ruscheweyh derivative operator. Many properties and characteristics of this newly defined function class such as coefficient estimates, inclusion bounds and radius problem have been studied.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} be the class of functions of the form

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $\mathcal{U} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. If f and g are analytic in \mathcal{U} , we say that f is subordinate to g , written $f < g$ or $f(z) < g(z)$, if there exists a Schwarz function $\omega(z)$ in \mathcal{U} such that $f(z) = g(\omega(z))$.

Let $P[A, B]$ be the class of functions h , analytic in \mathcal{U} with $h(0) = 1$ and

$$h(z) < \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}, \quad -1 \leq B < A \leq 1.$$

This class was introduced by Janowski [18]. The class $P[A, B]$ is connected with the class P of functions with positive real parts by the relation

$$(1.2) \quad h \in P[A, B] \Leftrightarrow \frac{(B-1)h-(A-1)}{(B+1)h-(A+1)} \in P.$$

Later Polatoǧlu [19] defined the class $P[A, B, \alpha]$ as:

Let $P[A, B, \alpha]$ be the class of functions p_1 , analytic in \mathcal{U} with $p_1(0) = 1$ and

$$(1.3) \quad p_1(z) < \frac{1+\{(1-\alpha)A+\alpha B\}z}{1+Bz}, \quad \text{where } -1 \leq B < A \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq \alpha < 1.$$

From (1.3), it can easily be seen that, $p_1 \in P[A,B,\alpha]$, if and only if, there exists $h \in P[A,B]$ such that

$$(1.4) \quad p_1(z) = (1 - \alpha)h(z) + \alpha, \quad 0 \leq \alpha < 1, \quad z \in \mathcal{U}.$$

It is also noted that $P [1,-1,0] \equiv P$, the well-known class of analytic functions in \mathcal{U} with positive real part. Noor [5] considered the generalized class $P_k[A, B, \alpha]$ of Janowski functions which is defined as follows.

A function p is said to be in the class $P_k[A, B, \alpha]$, if and only if,

$$(1.5) \quad p(z) = \left(\frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\right) p_1(z) - \left(\frac{k}{4} - \frac{1}{2}\right) p_2(z)$$

where

$p_1, p_2 \in P [A, B, \alpha]$, $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, $k \geq 2$ and $0 \leq \alpha < 1$. It is clear that $P_2[A, B, \alpha] \equiv P[A,B,\alpha]$ and $P_k[1, -1, 0] \equiv P_k$, the well-known class given and studied by Pinchuk[3].

For any two analytic functions

$$f_1(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad \text{and} \quad f_2(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n \quad (z \in \mathcal{U})$$

the convolution of f_1 and f_2 is defined by

$$(1.6) \quad ((f_1 * f_2)(z)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n$$

The Generalized Ruscheweyh Derivative \mathcal{D}_λ^m [4] is defined as follows,

For $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $\lambda \geq 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{R}$, $m > -1$, we have

$$(1.7) \quad \mathcal{D}_\lambda^m f(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{m+1}} * \mathcal{D}_\lambda f(z), \quad z \in \mathcal{U}.$$

$$(1.8) \quad (m + 1)\mathcal{D}_\lambda^{m+1} f(z) = m\mathcal{D}_\lambda^m f(z) + z(\mathcal{D}_\lambda^m f(z))'$$

For function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ of the form (1.1), we obtain the power series expansion of the form,

$$(1.9) \quad \mathcal{D}_\lambda^m f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [1 + (n - 1)\lambda] \frac{(m+1)(n-1)}{(1)(n-1)} a_n z^n, \quad z \in \mathcal{U}$$

where

$$(a)_n = \frac{\Gamma(a + n)}{\Gamma(a)} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } n = 0 \\ a(a + 1)(a + 2) \dots (a + n - 1), & \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N} \end{cases}$$

Definition 1.1. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is in the class $\mathcal{V}_{k,\lambda}^m[A, B, \alpha, b]$ if and only if ,

$$\left(1 - \frac{z}{b} + \frac{z}{b} \frac{D_\lambda^{m+1} f(z)}{D_\lambda^m f(z)}\right) \in P_k[A, B, \alpha], \quad z \in \mathcal{U},$$

where $k \geq 2$, $m \geq 0$, $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ and $b \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$.

Assigning certain values to different parameters, we have different well-known classes of analytic functions as can be seen below.

Special cases

(i) For a parametric value $\lambda = 1$; we get the class studied by S.N. Malik, M. Arif, K.I. Noor and M. Raza.[15]

(ii) $\mathcal{V}_k^\lambda[1, -1, \alpha, b] \equiv V_k(a, b, \lambda)$, the well-known class defined by Latha and Nanjunda Rao in [14].

(iii) $\mathcal{V}_2^1[A, B, \alpha, 1] \equiv C[A, B, \alpha]$

$\mathcal{V}_2^0[A, B, \alpha, 2] \equiv S^*[A, B, \alpha]$, the well-known class defined by Polatořlu [19]

(iv) $\mathcal{V}_k^1[A, B, 0, 1] \equiv V_k[A, B]$,

$\mathcal{V}_2^0[A, B, 0, 2] \equiv R_k[A, B]$, where $V_k[A, B]$ and $R_k[A, B]$ denote the class of Janowski functions with bounded boundary and bounded radius rotations respectively, given by Noor [9].

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

We need the following results to obtain our main results.

Lemma 2.1. Let $p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_n z^n \in P_k[A, B, \alpha]$. Then, for all $n \geq 1$,

$$(2.1) \quad |q_n| \leq \frac{k(A-B)(1-\alpha)}{2}$$

This inequality is sharp.

The proof follows from (1.4), (1.5) and the coefficient bound of $h \in P[A, B]$ given by Aouf [13].

Lemma 2.2. [17] Let $u = u_1 + iu_2$, $v = v_1 + iv_2$ and $\psi(u, v)$ be a complex valued function satisfying the conditions:

(i) $\psi(u, v)$ is continuous in a domain, $D \subset \mathbb{C}^2$

(ii) $(1, 0) \in D$ and $\operatorname{Re} \psi(1, 0) > 0$,

(iii) $\operatorname{Re} \psi(iu_2, v_1) \leq 0$, whenever $(iu_2, v_1) \in D$ and $v_1 \leq -\frac{1}{2}(1 + u^2)$.

If $h(z) = 1 + c_1 z + \dots$ is a function analytic in \mathcal{U} such that $(h(z), zh'(z)) \in D$ and $\operatorname{Re} \psi(h(z), zh'(z)) > 0$ for $z \in \mathcal{U}$, then $\operatorname{Re} h(z) > 0$ in \mathcal{U} .

Lemma 2.3. Let $p \in P_k[A, B, 0]$ with $k \geq 2$. Then, for $|z| = r < 1$,

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{2-(A-B)kr-2ABr^2}{2(1-B^2r^2)} \leq Re p(z) \leq |p(z)| \leq \frac{2+(A-B)kr-2ABr^2}{2(1-B^2r^2)}$$

The proof is immediate by using (1.5) and the growth result of $h \in P[A, B]$, see [13].

Lemma 2.4. Let $\in P_k[A, B, 0]$ with $k \geq 2$. Then, for $|z| = r < 1$.

$$(2.3) \quad |zp'(z)| \leq \frac{r\{(A-B)k-4B(A-B)r+B^2(A-B)kr^2\} Re p(z)}{(1-B^2r^2)(2+(A-B)kr-2ABr^2)}$$

The result follows directly by using Lemma 2.3

3. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 3.1. Let $f \in \mathcal{V}_{k,\lambda}^m[A, B, \alpha, b]$ with $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1, m \geq 0, 0 \leq \alpha < 1$ and

$b \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$. Then

$$(3.1) \quad |a_n| \leq \frac{(\sigma)_{n-1}}{(n-1)! \phi_n(m)}, \quad \forall n \geq 2,$$

Where

$$\sigma = \frac{k|b|(A-B)(1-\alpha)(m+1)}{4} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_n(m) = [1+(n-1)\lambda] \frac{(m+1)_{n-1}}{(1)_{n-1}}.$$

This result is sharp

Proof: Let

$$(3.2) \quad 1 - \frac{z}{b} + \frac{z}{b} \frac{D_\lambda^{m+1} f(z)}{D_\lambda^m f(z)} = p(z)$$

so that $p \in P_k[A, B, \alpha]$. Let $p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^\infty q_n z^n$. Then (3.2) can be written as

$$2(D_\lambda^{m+1} f(z) - D_\lambda^m f(z)) = b D_\lambda^m f(z) \sum_{n=1}^\infty q_n z^n$$

which implies that

$$\frac{2\phi_n(m)(n-1)a_n}{(m+1)} = b(q_{n-1} + \phi_2(m)a_2q_{n-2} + \dots + \phi_{n-1}(m)a_{n-1}q_1).$$

Using Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{k|b|(A-B)(1-\alpha)(m+1)}{4(n-1)\phi_n(m)} (1 + \phi_2(m)|a_2| + \dots + \phi_{n-1}(m)|a_{n-1}|)$$

$$= \frac{\sigma}{(n-1)\phi_n(m)} (1 + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \phi_i(m)|a_i|).$$

For $n=2, |a_2| \leq \frac{\sigma}{\phi_2(m)} = \frac{(\sigma)_{2-1}}{(2-1)! \phi_2(m)}$

Therefore (3.1) holds for $n=2$. Assume that (3.1) is true for $n = l$ and consider

$$\begin{aligned}
 |a_{l+1}| &\leq \frac{\sigma}{l \phi_{l+1}(m)} \left(1 + \sum_{i=2}^l \phi_i(m) |a_i|\right) \\
 &\leq \frac{\sigma}{l \phi_{l+1}(m)} \left(1 + \sum_{i=2}^l \frac{(\sigma)_{i-1}}{(i-1)!}\right) \\
 &= \frac{\sigma}{l \phi_{l+1}(m)} \left(1 + \sum_{i=2}^l \sigma \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \left(1 + \frac{\sigma}{j}\right)\right) \\
 &= \frac{\sigma}{l \phi_{l+1}(m)} \prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \left(1 + \frac{\sigma}{j}\right) \\
 &= \frac{(\sigma)_l}{l! \phi_{l+1}(m)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the result is true for $n = l + 1$. Using mathematical induction, (3.1) holds true for all $n \geq 2$.

This result is sharp for $m \geq 0, 0 \leq \alpha < 1, b \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ and $k \geq 2$ as can be seen from the functions $f_0(z)$ which are given as

$$1 - \frac{2}{b} + \frac{2}{b} \frac{D_\lambda^{m+1} f_0(z)}{D_\lambda^m f_0(z)} = (1 - \alpha) \left[\left(\frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} - \left(\frac{k}{4} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{1-Az}{1-Bz} \right] + \alpha.$$

For different values of A, B, α , b and λ , we obtain the following results [16].

Corollary 3.2. If $f \in \mathcal{V}_{k,\lambda}^0[1, -1, \alpha, 2] = R_k(\alpha)$, then

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{(k(1 - \alpha))_{n-1}}{(n - 1)!}, \quad \forall n \geq 2$$

this result is sharp.

Corollary 3.3. If $f \in \mathcal{V}_{k,\lambda}^1[1, -1, \alpha, 1] = V_k(\alpha)$, then

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{(k(1 - \alpha))_{n-1}}{(n)!}, \quad \forall n \geq 2.$$

this result is sharp.

Theorem 3.4. For real $b > 0$,

$$\mathcal{V}_{k,\lambda}^{m+1}[A, B, \alpha, b] \subseteq \mathcal{V}_{k,\lambda}^m[1, -1, \beta, b + 1], \quad z \in \mathcal{U},$$

where β ($0 \leq \beta < 1$) is one of the roots of

$$(3.3) \quad \eta_1 \eta_2 b^2 (m + 2)^2 (1 - \alpha)^2 - b(m + 2)(1 - \alpha) [\eta_1 (B + 1) + \eta_2 (B - 1)] + (B^2 - 1) = 0,$$

where

$$(3.4) \quad \eta_1 = \frac{(1-b)+\beta(1+b)}{(1+b)(1-\beta)} [\Delta(B - 1) - (A - 1)]$$

$$(3.5) \quad \eta_2 = \frac{(1-b)+\beta(1+b)}{(1+b)(1-\beta)} [\Delta(B + 1) - (A + 1)]$$

and

$$\Delta = 1 - \frac{(1+b)(m+1)(1-\beta)}{b(m+2)(1-\alpha)}$$

Proof: Suppose $f \in \mathcal{V}_{k,\lambda}^{m+1}[A, B, \alpha, b]$ and set

$$(3.6) \quad p(z) = 1 - \frac{2}{b+1} + \frac{2}{b+1} \frac{D_\lambda^{m+1}f(z)}{D_\lambda^m f(z)}$$

where p is analytic in \mathcal{U} with $p(0) = 1$. Then, by simple computations together with

(3.6) and (1.9) yield

$$(3.7) \quad 1 - \frac{2}{b} + \frac{2}{b} \frac{D_\lambda^{m+2}f(z)}{D_\lambda^{m+1}f(z)} = (1 - \mu_1) + \mu_1 \left[p(z) + \frac{\mu_2 z p'(z)}{p(z) + \mu_3} \right],$$

where $\mu_1 = \frac{m+1}{m+2} \frac{b+1}{b}$, $\mu_2 = \frac{2}{(m+1)(b+1)}$, $\mu_3 = \frac{2}{b+1} - 1$.

Since $f \in \mathcal{V}_{k,\lambda}^{m+1}[A, B, \alpha, b]$, it follows that $(1 - \mu_1) + \mu_1 \left[p(z) + \frac{\mu_2 z p'(z)}{p(z) + \mu_3} \right] \in P_k[A, B, \alpha]$,

Or, equivalently

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{(1-\alpha-\mu_1)}{(1-\alpha)} + \frac{\mu_1}{1-\alpha} \left[p(z) + \frac{\mu_2 z p'(z)}{p(z) + \mu_3} \right] \in P_k[A, B].$$

Define

$$\phi(z) = \frac{1}{(1 + \mu_3)} \frac{z}{(1 - z)^{\mu_2}} + \frac{\mu_3}{(1 + \mu_3)} \frac{z}{(1 - z)^{\mu_2+1}},$$

and by using convolution techniques given by Noor[3], we have

$$p(z) + \frac{\mu_2 z p'(z)}{p(z) + \mu_3} = \left(\frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(p_1(z) + \frac{\mu_2 z p_1'(z)}{p_1(z) + \mu_3} \right) - \left(\frac{k}{4} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(p_2(z) + \frac{\mu_2 z p_2'(z)}{p_2(z) + \mu_3} \right)$$

By using (3.8), we see that

$$\frac{(1 - \alpha - \mu_1)}{(1 - \alpha)} + \frac{\mu_1}{1 - \alpha} \left[p_i(z) + \frac{\mu_2 z p_i'(z)}{p_i(z) + \mu_3} \right] \in P[A, B],$$

where $z \in \mathcal{U}$, $i=1,2$.

Now, want to show that $p_i \in P[A, B, \beta]$, where $\beta(0 \leq \beta < 1)$ is one of the root of (3.3).

Let $p_i(z) = (1 - \beta)h_i(z) + \beta$, $i=1,2$.

Then,

$$\frac{(1 - \alpha - \mu_1)(1 - \beta)}{(1 - \alpha)} + \frac{\mu_1(1 - \beta)}{1 - \alpha} \left[h_i(z) + \frac{\frac{\mu_2}{(1 - \beta)} z h_i'(z)}{h_i(z) + \frac{\mu_3 + \beta}{(1 - \beta)}} \right] \in P[A, B]$$

Using the fact illustrated in (1.2), we have

$$\left\{ \frac{(B - 1)[(\eta + \mu h_i(z))(h_i(z) + \omega_2) + \omega_1 \mu z h'_i(z)] - (A - 1)(h_i(z) + \omega_2)}{(B + 1)[(\eta + \mu h_i(z))(h_i(z) + \omega_2) + \omega_1 \mu z h'_i(z)] - (A + 1)(h_i(z) + \omega_2)} \right\} \in P,$$

where $\omega_1 = \frac{\mu_2}{1-\beta}$, $\omega_2 = \frac{\mu_3+\beta}{1-\beta}$, $\eta = \frac{1-\alpha-\mu_1(1-\beta)}{1-\alpha}$ and $\mu = \frac{\mu_1(1-\beta)}{1-\alpha}$. We now form the functional $\psi(u, v)$ by choosing $u = h_i(z)$, $v = z h'_i(z)$ and note that the first two conditions of Lemma 2.2 are clearly satisfied. We check condition (iii) as follows.

$$\psi(u, v) = \frac{\{(B - 1)[(\eta + \mu u)(u + \omega_2) + \omega_1 \mu v] - (A - 1)(u + \omega_2)\}}{\{(B + 1)[(\eta + \mu u)(u + \omega_2) + \omega_1 \mu v] - (A + 1)(u + \omega_2)\}}$$

$$= \frac{\{\eta_1 + \omega_1 \mu (B - 1)v + [\eta + \mu(u + \omega_2)](B - 1) - (A - 1)\}u}{\{\eta_2 + \omega_1 \mu (B + 1)v + [\eta + \mu(u + \omega_2)](B + 1) - (A + 1)\}u}$$

where $\eta_1 = \omega_2[\eta(B - 1) - (A - 1)]$ and $\eta_2 = \omega_2[\eta(B + 1) - (A + 1)]$.

Now,

$$\psi(iu_2, v_1) = \frac{\{\eta_1 + \mu(\omega_1 v_1 - u_2^2)(B - 1) + [(\eta + \mu \omega_2)(B - 1) - (A - 1)]iu_2\}}{\{\eta_2 + \mu(\omega_1 v_1 - u_2^2)(B + 1) + [(\eta + \mu \omega_2)(B + 1) - (A + 1)]iu_2\}}$$

Taking real part of $\psi(iu_2, v_1)$, we have

Re $\psi(iu_2, v_1)$

$$= \frac{[-\eta_1 + \mu(\omega_1 v_1 - u_2^2)(1 - B)][\eta_2 + \mu(\omega_1 v_1 - u_2^2)(B + 1)] - [(\eta + \mu \omega_2)(B - 1) - (A - 1)][(\eta + \mu \omega_2)(B + 1) - (A + 1)]u_2^2}{-[\eta_2 + \mu(\omega_1 v_1 - u_2^2)(B + 1)]^2 - [(\eta + \mu \omega_2)(B + 1) - (A + 1)]^2 u_2^2}$$

As $\omega_1 > 0, \mu > 0$, so applying $v_1 \leq -\frac{1}{2}(1 + u_2^2)$ and after a little simplification, we have

$$(3.9) \quad \text{Re } \psi(iu_2, v_1) \leq \frac{A_1 + B_1 u_2^2 + C_1 u_2^4}{D_1}$$

where

$$A_1 = \frac{1}{4}[2\eta_1 - \omega_1 \mu (B - 1)][2\eta_2 - \omega_1 \mu (B + 1)],$$

$$B_1 = -\frac{1}{2}\mu(\omega_1 + 2)[\eta_1(B + 1) - \omega_1 \mu (B^2 - 1) + \eta_2(B - 1)] + (\eta + \mu \omega_2)^2(B^2 - 1) - 2(\eta + \mu \omega_2)(AB - 1) + (A^2 - 1),$$

$$C_1 = -\frac{1}{4}\mu^2(1 - B^2)(\omega_1 + 2)^2,$$

and

$$D_1 = [\eta_2 + \mu(\omega_1 v_1 + u_2)(B + 1)]^2 + [(\eta + \mu \omega_2)(B + 1) - (A + 1)]^2 u_2^2.$$

The right hand side of (3.9) is negative if $A_1 \leq 0$ and $B_1 \leq 0$. From $A_1 \leq 0$, we have β to be one of the roots of

$$\eta_1 \eta_2 b^2 (m + 2)^2 (1 - \alpha)^2 - b(m + 2)(1 - \alpha)[\eta_1(B + 1) + \eta_2(B - 1)] + (B^2 - 1) = 0$$

With $0 \leq \beta < 1$ and also for $0 \leq \beta < 1$, we have $B_1 \leq 0$.

Since all the conditions of Lemma 2.2 are satisfied, it follows that $h_i \in P$, $i=1,2$ and consequently $p \in P_k[1, -1, \beta]$. Hence from (3.6),

$$f \in \mathcal{V}_{k,\lambda}^m[1, -1, \beta, b + 1].$$

By choosing the parameters $A = 1, B = -1, b = 1, m = 0$, we obtain the following known result, proved in [11].

Corollary 3.5. Let $f \in \mathcal{V}_{k,\lambda}(\alpha)$. Then $f \in R_{k,\lambda}(\beta)$, where β is a root of

$$2\beta^2 - (2\alpha - 1)\beta - 1 = 0$$

With $0 \leq \beta < 1$, which is

$$\beta = \frac{1}{4} \left[(2\alpha - 1) + \sqrt{4\alpha^2 - 4\alpha + 9} \right].$$

For $\alpha = 0, k = 2$ in Corollary 3.5, we have the following well known results [2].

$$\mathcal{V}_{2,\lambda}(0) = C \subseteq R_{2,\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = S^* \left(\frac{1}{2} \right), \text{ for } z \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Theorem 3.6. Let $f \in \mathcal{V}_{k,\lambda}^m[A, B, 0, b]$, $m \geq 0$, $b > 0$ (real), $k \geq 2$ and $0 < a = \frac{b(m+1)}{2} \leq 1$. Then $D_\lambda^m f(z)$ maps $|z| < r_0$ onto a convex domain, where r_0 is the least positive root of the equation

$$(3.10) \quad a_1 r^4 + a_2 r^3 + a_3 r^2 + a_4 r + 4(2a - 1) = 0 \text{ with } 0 \leq r < 1,$$

where

$$a_1 = 4a^2 A^2 B^2 - 4(a - 1)^2 B^4$$

$$a_2 = 2a(2a - 1)(B - A)B^2 k$$

$$a_3 = 8a^2(a - 2) + 8a(1 - a)AB - a^2(A - B)^2 k^2$$

$$a_4 = 2a(2a - 3)(A - B)k.$$

This result is sharp.

Proof: Since $f \in \mathcal{V}_{k,\lambda}^m[A, B, 0, b]$ then

$$(3.11) \quad \frac{D_\lambda^{m+1} f(z)}{D_\lambda^m f(z)} = \frac{b(p(z)-1)+2}{2}$$

where $p \in P_k[A, B, 0]$. Using the identity (1.9), we have from (3.11),

$$(3.12) \quad \frac{z(D_\lambda^m f(z))'}{D_\lambda^m f(z)} = \frac{b(p(z)-1)(m+1)+2}{2}$$

Logarithmic differentiation of (3.12) yields

$$\frac{(z(D_\lambda^m f(z)))'}{(D_\lambda^m f(z))'} = ap(z) - a + 1 + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z) - 1 + \frac{1}{a}}$$

where $a = \frac{b(m+1)}{2}$. Then we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{z(D_\lambda^m f(z))''}{(D_\lambda^m f(z))'} \right) \geq a \operatorname{Re} p(z) + (1 - a) - \frac{|zp'(z)|}{\left| p(z) - 1 + \frac{1}{a} \right|},$$

and hence, by using Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{z(D_\lambda^m f(z))''}{(D_\lambda^m f(z))'} \right) \\ & \geq \operatorname{Re} p(z) \left\{ a + \frac{2(1-a)(1-B^2r^2)}{2+(A-B)kr-2ABr^2} - \frac{2ar\{(A-B)k-4B(A-B)r+B^2(A-B)kr^2\}}{(2+(A-B)kr-2ABr^2)\xi} \right\} \\ & = \operatorname{Re} p(z) \left\{ \frac{a_1r^4+a_2r^3+a_3r^2+a_4r+4(2a-1)}{(2+(A-B)kr-2ABr^2)\xi} \right\} > 0, \end{aligned}$$

provided

$$T(r) = a_1r^4 + a_2r^3 + a_3r^2 + a_4r + 4(2a - 1) > 0,$$

where

$$a_1 = 4a^2A^2B^2 - 4(a - 1)^2B^4$$

$$a_2 = 2a(2a - 1)(B - A)B^2k$$

$$a_3 = 8a^2(a - 2) + 8a(1 - a)AB - a^2(A - B)^2k^2$$

$$a_4 = 2a(2a - 3)(A - B)k$$

and

$$\xi = 2(2a - 1) - a(A - B)kr + 2(B^2 - a(A + B)B)r^2.$$

We have $T(0) > 0$ and $T(1) < 0$. Therefore, $D_\lambda^m f(z)$ maps $|z| < r_0$ onto a convex domain, where r_0 is the least positive root of the equation $T(r) = 0$, lying in $(0,1)$.

For $D_\lambda^m f_1(z)$ such that

$$\frac{D_\lambda^{m+1} f_1(z)}{D_\lambda^m f_1(z)} = \frac{b(p_k(z) - 1) + 2}{2}$$

where $p_k(z) = \frac{2+(A-B)kz-2ABz^2}{2(1-B^2z^2)}$, we have

$$\frac{(z(D_\lambda^m f_1(z)))'}{(D_\lambda^m f_1(z))'} = \frac{a_1r^4 + a_2r^3 + a_3r^2 + a_4r + 4(2a - 1)}{(2 + (A - B)kr - 2ABr^2)\xi} = 0$$

for $z = r_0$. Hence this radius r_0 is sharp.

By choosing the parameters $A = 1, B = -1, k = 2, b = 2$ and $m = 0$, we obtain the following known result, see[2].

Corollary 3.7: Let $f \in S'$. Then f maps $|z| < r_0$ onto a convex domain, where r_0 is the least positive root of the equation

$$r^4 - 2r^3 - 6r^2 - 2r + 1 = 0$$

with $0 \leq r < 1$, which is $r_0 = 2 - \sqrt{3}$. This is also sharp.

4. REFERENCES

- [1] M.Arif, K.I. Noor, M. Raza, W. Hag, Some properties of a generalized class of analytic functions related with Janowski functions, *Abst. Appl. Analy.*, vol(2012) article ID 279843, pp.11
- [2] Dileep L and Latha S, Neighborhood properties of generalized Ruscheweyh type analytic functions.
- [3] A.W.Goodman, *Univalent functions*, Vol. I, II, Mariner Publishing Company, Tempa Florida, U.S.A, 1983.
- [4] S. Latha, S. Nanjunda Rao, Convex combinations of n analytic functions in generalized Ruscheweyh class, *Int. J. Math. Educ. Sci. Technology*, 25(6)(1994), 791-795.
- [5] A.A. Lupas, On special differential subordinations using a generalized Salagean operator and Ruscheweyh derivative, *Comput Math. Appl.*, 61(4)(2011), 1048-1058..
- [6] S.N. Malik, M. Arif, K.I. Noor and M. Raza, On a class of analytic functions defined by Ruscheweyh derivative. *Life Science Journal*, 9(2012), 3829-3835.
- [7] S.S Miller, Differential inequalities and Cartheodory functions, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 81(1975), 79-82.
- [8] K.I. Noor, Applications of certain operators to the classes related with generalized Janowski functions, *Integral Transform Spec. Funct.*, 21(8)(2010), 557-567
- [9] K.I. Noor, Higher order close-to-convex functions, *Math. Japonica*, 37(1)(1992), 1-8.
- [10] K.I. Noor, M. Arif, Mapping properties of an integral operator, *Applied Math. Lett.*, 25(2012), 1826-1829.
- [11] K.I.Noor, M.Arif, On some application of Ruscheweyh derivative, *Comp. math Appl.*, 62(2011), 4726-4732.
- [12] K.I. Noor, On some integral operators for certain families of analytic function, *Tamkang J. Math.*, 22(1991), 113-117.
- [13] K.I. Noor, S.N. malik, On a subclass of quasi-convex univalent functions, *World Appl. Sci. J.*, 12(12)(2011), 2202-2209.
- [14] K.I.Noor, W.Haq, M.Arif and s.Mustafa, On bounded boundary and bounded radius rotations, *j.Inequ. appl.*, vol.(2009) art. ID 813687, pp 12.
- [15] R. Parvatham, T.N.Shanmugan, On analytic functions with reference to an integral operator, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 28(1983), 207-215.
- [16] B. Pinchuk, Function with bounded boundary rotation, *Israel J. Math.* 10(1971), 7-16.
- [17] Y. Polatoglu, M. Bolcal, A. Sen and E. Yavuz, A study on the generalization of Janowski function in the unit disc, *Acta Mathematica Academiae Paedagogicae Nyiregyhaziensis*, 22(2006), 27-31.
- [18] S. Ruscheweyh, A new criteria for univalent Function, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 49(1)(1975), 109-115.
- [19] W. Janowski, Some extremal problems for certain families of analytic functions, *Ann. polon. Math.*, 28(1973), 297-326.