

Inclination and Accession of Political Discourse

Malayaj Kumar¹, Dr. Anuj Kumar Singh², Dr. Soumitra Sibsankar Das³

¹Research Scholar, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Shri Jagdishprasad Jhabarmal Tibrewala University, Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan, India

Email: kumarmalayaj@gmail.com

²Research Guide, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Shri Jagdishprasad Jhabarmal Tibrewala University, Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan, India

³Research Co Guide, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Shri Jagdishprasad Jhabarmal Tibrewala University, Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan, India

Article History:

Received: 12-12-2024

Revised: 25-01-2025

Accepted: 05-02-2025

Abstract:

An overview of the primary inclination and accession in the study of political discourse is provided in this article. Discourse is defined as the meeting point between syntax and ideology, and discursive analysis, defined as the study of the ideological aspects of language use and the execution of a specific ideology in language, is what happens when semantic and ideological structures are combined to analyze the discourse of specific texts. Institutional discourse is the way of speaking that is shaped by the norms and expectations of a society's established social institutions. The purpose of the communication, the communicative roles of the participants, and the normal communication context are some of the elements that determine its linguistically significant features. An example of an institutional discourse that represents the culture, politics, and sociopolitical life of a nation is political discourse. Aiming for and maintaining political power is also reflected, as are cultural values both universal and peculiar to a nation. Political discourse primarily serves to inform, manipulate, forecast, legitimize, persuade, and spread political propaganda. Genres are differentiated in political linguistics that are associated with the way the political system works (parliamentary debates, political manifestos and programmes, party leaders' conference reports, political documents), genres that are associated with the mass media (political news, political interviews, talk shows, political advertising in the press), and genres that are associated with the public sphere (citizen meetings, political forums). There is a propensity to combine political speech with mass media discourse, notwithstanding the media's unique role in facilitating the implementation of political discourse and helping it reach a broad public audience.

Key words: political discourse, linguistics, types.

Introduction. The fields of Political linguistics emerged from a convergence of fields that included linguistics, sociology, anthropology, psychology, cultural studies, and politics. A variety of fields, including sociolinguistics, text linguistics, mental linguistics, functional rhetoric, and stylistics, have contributed to the field of political linguistics comprehend and effectively characterise the mechanics of political communication.

Contemporary discourse analysis offers a very promising approach to investigating societal issues that manifest in interpersonal interactions and conversation. Discursive indicators can be little more than symptoms or bits of the puzzle that makes up major societal problems like racism, class disparities, inequality, and political power, among others. How these issues are presented, communicated, and ideologically framed all heavily depend on discourse, as does the selection of appropriate social and political channels for decision-making. Not only do language and communication reflect social reality, they also constantly evolve to stay up to date with it.

It appears that a review and revision of the traditional theoretical framework is necessary to enhance political discourse analysis. Thus, the research aims to identify the principal methods for examining political discourse, evaluate their effectiveness, and identify areas for further investigation.

The concept of discourse has been measured in a variety of ways by different authors. Thus, several approaches to the analysis of political discourse have emerged.

The revision of the connection among language and dogmatic behaviour has garnered a lot of interest in linguistics in recent decades.

Review:

Torring, Zizek; Laclau, Mouffe, Foucault, (2019) A relational system of signification is called discourse. It speaks about the composition of knowledge. Discourses are more than just methods of interpretation and thought. Discourse is a notion that includes language as well as everything social.

Van Dijk (2002)

A particular representation of political individuals, groups, processes, events, and institutions is known as political discourse. Social and political memory bind political discourse and political knowledge to one another.

Objective:

To emphasize inclination and accession of political discourse.

Results and discussion. At the crossroads of literary criticism, philosophy, stylistics, semiotic orientation, ethnography, psychology, sociology, and linguistics lies the interdisciplinary area of discourse analysis. Political linguistics is a hybrid field that integrates the investigation architypes of two scientific disciplines, political science and linguistics. It emerged at the intersection of these two fields and studies dogmatic communiqué, taking into account the strategies and tactics of power struggles in the process of communicating with the public and influencing its political consciousness. The numerous facets of the phenomena of dis-course are the subject of diverse scholarly methods to its investigation. Discourse is defined by pragmalinguistics during the process of communication,

which involves the following: making and keeping eye contact, exchanging feelings and facts, speakers' effects on each other, the integration of different communication tactics, speakers' verbal and nonverbal self-reflections, and the recognition of communicative movements within the context of their both explicit and implicit cooperation. The field of psycholinguistics considers discourse as the process by which the internal code is transferred to the external code of verbalization during the generation and interpretation of speech, with sociopsychological forms of linguistic individuals, role models of guidance and prescriptions, and other factors taken into account. Different types of speech errors and violations of communication competence also pique the interest of psycholinguists. Finding the functional qualities of communication through its units (practical style features), distinguishing between both verbal and written speech in its genre variants and recognizing communication registers are the main areas of attention in discourse linguistic analysis.

Segmentation of the discourse is accomplished through structural-linguistic description, which also seeks to emphasize textual aspects of communication like formal harmony and material, topic converting strategies, modal limiters, big and small text blocks, and expressive polyphony, or communication occurring at multiple text depth levels simultaneously.

Discourse analysis from a linguistic and cultural perspective seeks to explain the dominant ideas in a given community as intellectual sphere units, to find methods to incorporate precedent texts from a specific language culture, to deduce the ins and outs of interpersonal interaction within a specific ethnic group, and to provide formulas for general speech behavior and etiquette. As a cognitive-semantic phenomenon, discourse is investigated via the lenses of several mental models that pertain to representation, including frames, scenarios, cognitive kinds, and mental schemes. Research in sociolinguistics focuses on discourse analysis with the overarching goal of better understanding the communication environment and the social groups represented within it.

Due to the multifaceted nature of the investigated scientific direction, its goal, topic, theory, approach, and location relative to other pertinent domains must be defined.

Discourse analysis can be approached from several perspectives, but there are core ideas that underpin it all. There are several important points to consider when studying communication.

First, the text as an expressive product has multiple dimensions, the most important of which are generation and interpretation. Second, there are stages before and after communication, which are equally important. Third, people, not technology, are the most important part of communication situations. Fourth, cultural factors should be considered when studying communication contexts. Fifth, an evolving language emulate must be centered around communication, which is the supportive activity of individuals trying to influence other people, express their feelings, or return experiences and ideas. Lastly, the conventional language model is considered to be too simple and does not reflect the nature of discourse.

From a sociolinguistic perspective, discourse is defined as human communication that is analysed in terms of an individual's membership in a particular social group or in connection with a certain type of speech behaviour, like political discourse.

A political text's analysis, like that of its constituent parts, entails examining the degree to which different linguistic, social, cultural, political, national, and other variables impact the political text and how the addressee interprets them.

R. Wodak contends that a combination of sociological and linguistic methods is necessary to fully capture the complicated interaction between society and disease.

Studying the tasks, material, and debate form used in specific circumstances within the junction of several disciplines is clearly tied to the framework of political discourse (Bell 1995). Linguists still haven't nailed down the core concept because political speech is considered a private discursive variant. Most people, nevertheless, see it as a type of institutional speech.

There are a number of features that define the institutional discourse model. The components of institutional discourse include texts that incorporate nonverbal cues; communication based on style, style, key, mode, and channel; communication division and deployment; tactics, aims, and motives; and the communicative environment and sphere. Things like content, structure, procedures, environment, and communicators (in their status and situational-communicative roles) are all part of the communication process.

The following set of characteristics reflects the kind of social institution that, in its material and spiritual manifestation, is thought to be a phenomena of culture and has a hard core and a fuzzy periphery.

A civic institution can be conceptualised as a multipart frame with individuals involved in pertinent activities, their attributes, typical institutional structures, behavioural stereotypes, social rituals, institution-specific mythologemes, and texts maintained and conducted within this social formation. Clarification of constitutive discursive signs in accordance with the circumstances and objectives of communication, along the lines of the communication participants, is one of institutionality's characteristics. Since institutional communication is fundamentally representational, it carries out the objective of upholding social institutions and guaranteeing the stability of the public order. The circumstances surrounding institutional communication set the scene apart from ritualistic and symbolic acts, common chronotopes, clichés, and stereotypical genres.

Personality-oriented communication fragments, discourse building blocks, and institutional discourse moments—all of which are present in other public institutions—are indicators of neutral signs.

The field approach is the most pertinent method for analysing the structure of political discourse since it enables one to ascertain the extent of political discourse's interaction with various forms of institutional and daily and artistic discourse that is not related to institutions (e.g., science, religion, education, the law, sports, advertising, etc.) Basically, the sort of text reference determines the topic content concept. According to scientists, there is a tendency for political speech and mass media discourse to merge, with the media playing a special role in the dissemination of political discourse to the general public.

Two criteria allow us to analyse the functional aspects of political discourse: first, from the perspective of how political speech intends to construct the system, and second, from the perspective of how it fulfils common linguistic functions.

The regulatory and incentive function of political communication, in particular, and its expressions like encouragement and prohibition, are among the utmost pertinent universal linguistic gatherings of political communication. The political discourse's notable inventiveness attests to the closure of the magical and referential language functions in this context.

The primary function of political communication discourse, which is to be used as a tool for political power and to achieve this goal through power struggles, acquisition, maintenance, exercise,

stabilisation, and redistribution, demonstrates the discourse's functional uniqueness in comparison to other discourse genres. Motivations, atonality, harmonisation, interpretation, direction, action, and information functions; group political agents' integration; control; and differentiation and integration are among the major functions of the discourse of political communication that can be highlighted. These functions are thought of as phases of the exhibition of the analysed function.

The aspects of political discourse that shape its structure, apart from institutionality as previously mentioned, features such as authoritarianism, theatricality, distance, lexical ambiguity, dynamism, and mass media. Because of the deliberate nature of speech in the sphere of political communication, each of these characteristics has a certain distinctiveness.

The sources that have been examined show that scientists can discriminate between the following political speech genres:

- 1) Things like presidential and governmental institution addresses, legislative debates, campaign speeches, interviews with prominent political figures, etc. are examples of a distinct genre of institutional political discourse;
- 2) Texts categorized as "official business" in political discourse written for state apparatus personnel.
- 3) Political discourse genres in mass media, where journalistic texts are used and disseminated via radio, television, the press, and the Internet;
- 4) Political discourse genres in institutions, where texts and genres created by common people (such as disseminate messages and appeals to state institutions, lawmakers, and the mainstream media, among others.
- 5) Political memoir genres, "political detective stories," and "political poetry";
- 6) Politically-focused scientific communication genres

Foreign political linguistics distinguishes between genres connected to the general public (e.g., town hall meetings, online forums), to the media (e.g., news articles, interviews, talk shows, and documents pertaining to politics), and to the inner workings of the political system (e.g., debates in parliament, party conference reports, and manifestos and programs).

Conclusion. Discourse is defined as the meeting point of language and viewpoint, and discursive analysis as the study of the ideological aspects of language use and the integration of a specific ideology in language, is what happens when cultural and spiritual structural theories are combined to analyze the discourse of specific texts. Institutional discourse is the way of speaking that is shaped by the norms and expectations of a society's established social institutions. The purpose of the communication, the communicative roles of the participants, and the normal communication context are some of the elements that determine its linguistically significant features. An example of an institutional discourse that represents the culture, politics, and sociopolitical life of a nation is political discourse. Aiming for and maintaining political power is also reflected, as are cultural values both universal and peculiar to a nation. Informative, instrumental, predictive, normative, legitimising, persuasive, and political propaganda are the primary purposes of political discourse.

REFERENCES

1. Bell, V. (1995). Negotiation in the workplace: The view from a political linguist. *The discourse of negotiation: Studies of language in the workplace*. Oxford etc.: Pergamon. 41–58.
2. Carver, T. and Pikalo, J. (2008) *Political Language and Metaphor: Interpreting and Changing the World*.
3. Chilton, P., and Ilyin, M. (1993) 'Metaphor in political discourse: The case of the common European house'. *Discourse & Society* 4(1), 7–31.
4. Dijk T.A. van. (2002). *Political Discourse and Political Cognition*. Chilton P., Schäffner C. (eds.) *Politicsas Text and Talk: Analytic Approaches to Political Discourse*.
5. Dijk T.A. van. (2002). *Political Discourse and Political Cognition*. Chilton P., Schäffner C. (eds.) *Politics as Text and Talk: Analytic Approaches to Political Dis- course*. Amsterdam.
6. Fairclough N., Wodak R. *Critical Discourse Analysis*. Dijk T.A. van. (ed.) *Dis course Studies: A Multidisciplinary Introduction*. Vol. 2: *Discourse as Social Interaction*. L. 1997.
7. Fairclough, N. (2009) Genresin *Political Discourse*. *Concise Encyclopedia of Pragmatics*. Oxford: Elsevier Science Ltd., 293–298.
8. Khan, T. H., & MacEachen E. Foucauldian dis- course analysis: Moving beyond a social constructionist analytic. *International journal of qualitative methods*, 20, 16094069211018009. 2021.
9. Laclau E. (2000b). *Structure, History and the Political*. Butler J., Laclau E., Zizek S. (eds.) *Contin- gency, Hegemony, Universality*. L.
10. Wodak R. (2002). *Fragment Identities: Redefining and Recontextualizing National Identity*. Chilton P., Schäffner C. (eds.) *Politics as Text and Talk: Analytic Approaches to Political Discourse*. Amsterdam
11. Wodak R. (2006). *Mediation between discourse and society: assessing cognitive approaches in CDA*. *Discourse and society*, 8, 179–190.
12. Yunana Ahmed (2021) *Political discourse analy- sis: a decolonial approach*, *Critical Discourse Studies*, 18:1, 139-155, DOI: 10.1080/17405904.2020.1755707
13. Zizek S. (1990). *Beyond Discourse Analysis*. Laclau E. (ed.) *New Reflections on the Revolution of Our Time*. L