

# Advanced Structural Design and Analysis of Skyscrapers Using STAAD Pro: A Study on Wind and Seismic Performance

**Mr.Kanhu Charan Tandi**

M.Tech Student

Department of Civil Engineering,  
Kalinga University Raipur (C.G.), India

[kanhu.charan.tandi@gmail.com](mailto:kanhu.charan.tandi@gmail.com)

**Mrs.Somya pandey**

Assistant Professor

Department of Civil Engineering,  
Kalinga University Raipur (C. G),India

[S9Pandey9@gmail.com](mailto:S9Pandey9@gmail.com)

---

**Article History:**

**Received:** 12-01-2025

**Revised:** 15-02-2025

**Accepted:** 01-03-2025

---

**Abstract**

The growing urban population and limited land availability have driven the need for vertical expansion through high-rise and skyscraper structures, particularly in Indian smart cities. Designing these tall buildings presents significant structural challenges due to the effects of wind loads, seismic activity, and functional complexity. This study explores the use of STAAD Pro software for the analysis and design of a 40-storey mixed-use skyscraper, focusing on the structural response under combined vertical and lateral loads. The building model incorporates reinforced concrete frames, shear walls, and a central core, and is evaluated for performance using static, dynamic, and finite element analysis tools within STAAD Pro. The study emphasizes optimization of structural components like beams, columns, and walls using high-strength materials to enhance stability and cost-efficiency. Code compliance with IS 456, IS 875, IS 1893, Eurocode 2, and ACI 318 is ensured. The results demonstrate that STAAD Pro is an effective tool for developing safe, economical, and sustainable high-rise buildings that meet modern urban demands. The research also provides a comparative evaluation with conventional design methods and outlines recommendations for future structural practices.

**Keywords-** Skyscraper Design; STAAD Pro; High-Rise Buildings; Wind Load Analysis; Seismic Load Analysis; Structural Optimization; Mixed-Use Building; Performance-Based Design; Finite Element Analysis; Indian Standards (IS); Eurocode; ACI 318; Smart City Infrastructure.

---

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1 Background of High-Rise Structures in Urban Development

With rapid urbanization and population growth in metropolitan areas, the demand for vertical expansion has become a critical strategy in urban planning. High-rise and skyscraper structures offer a practical solution to maximize land use efficiency in densely populated cities. These structures not only accommodate residential and commercial activities but also contribute to

the skyline aesthetics and economic development of a region. The emergence of smart cities in India, such as Mumbai, Bengaluru, and Hyderabad, has further catalyzed the proliferation of tall buildings, driven by infrastructure modernization and mixed-use development initiatives.

### 1.2 Importance of Structural Safety in Skyscrapers

The structural integrity of skyscrapers is a vital concern due to their height, complexity, and the multitude of external forces acting upon them. Ensuring safety is paramount, particularly in scenarios involving high wind pressures, seismic activity, and vertical load impacts. A failure in structural design can lead to catastrophic consequences, including loss of life, economic damage, and public distrust. Therefore, it is essential that skyscraper design adheres to strict safety codes and engineering principles, incorporating redundancy, ductility, and resilience to withstand diverse load combinations.

### 1.3 Challenges Posed by Wind and Seismic Loads

Tall structures are significantly influenced by lateral forces, primarily caused by wind and seismic events. Wind-induced vibrations can lead to discomfort for occupants and long-term structural fatigue, while seismic forces demand robust lateral stability systems to dissipate energy efficiently. The design of such buildings becomes increasingly complex in regions prone to cyclonic winds or lying within seismic zones. Accurate prediction, modeling, and mitigation of these forces are necessary to achieve a balance between architectural ambition and engineering reliability.

### 1.4 Role of STAAD Pro in Modern Structural Design

STAAD Pro, a widely used structural analysis and design software, plays a pivotal role in modern engineering workflows. It allows for comprehensive modeling, simulation, and analysis of structural elements under various loading conditions. With built-in support for international design codes (such as IS 875, IS 1893, ACI, Eurocodes), STAAD Pro enables engineers to assess building performance in compliance with global standards. Its dynamic load analysis, finite element modeling, and parametric design features help optimize the structural system, reduce material usage, and enhance the overall safety and efficiency of skyscraper designs.

**Table 1.1: Classification of High-Rise Buildings Based on Height and Usage**

Building Category	Height Range	Typical Usage	Remarks
Low-Rise	Up to 15 meters ( $\approx$ 4–5 floors)	Residential, Small Office, Retail	Generally exempt from wind/seismic design
Mid-Rise	15–45 meters ( $\approx$ 5–12 floors)	Apartments, Hotels, Mixed-use	Moderate wind/seismic considerations

High-Rise	45–150 meters (≈ 12–40 floors)	Commercial Corporate Offices	Towers,	Requires structural framing and bracing
Skyscraper	150–300 meters	Luxury Parks, Financial	Mixed-use, Tech	Needs detailed wind and seismic analysis
Super Tall	300–600 meters	Landmark Icons	Structures, Urban	Extensive lateral load resistance systems
Mega Tall	Above 600 meters	Observation Specialized Use	Towers,	Rare; includes tuned mass dampers, etc.

## 2. Literature Review

### 2.1 Historical Development of Tall Building Design

The evolution of tall building design can be traced back to the late 19th and early 20th centuries, with the advent of steel-frame construction in the United States. The Home Insurance Building in Chicago, constructed in 1885, is widely regarded as the first skyscraper. Over the decades, innovations such as the use of curtain walls, elevator systems, and reinforced concrete have significantly advanced vertical construction. The mid-to-late 20th century saw a surge in high-rise structures, especially in urban centers like New York, Hong Kong, and Dubai, pushing architectural boundaries and structural engineering techniques to new heights. This progression laid the groundwork for integrating complex load-resisting systems such as tube structures, braced frames, and outriggers in modern skyscrapers.

### 2.2 Previous Studies on Wind and Seismic Load Analysis

Numerous studies have highlighted the importance of evaluating lateral forces due to wind and seismic activities. According to Holmes (2001), wind load is a critical design factor for buildings over 10 stories tall, requiring aerodynamic considerations and the use of wind tunnel testing. In seismic zones, Chopra (2012) emphasized the necessity of dynamic analysis methods such as response spectrum and time-history analysis to evaluate the structural behavior accurately. Research by Paulay and Priestley (1992) on seismic design principles stressed the significance of ductility and energy dissipation capacity in high-rise structures. These studies form the foundation for performance-based design approaches adopted today.

### 2.3 Evolution of Structural Analysis Software

Structural analysis has evolved from manual calculations and basic frame models to sophisticated computer-aided engineering. Early programs such as SAP (Structural Analysis Program) and ETABS provided linear static analysis capabilities. With the increasing demand for accuracy and efficiency, newer tools like STAAD Pro emerged, offering advanced features including finite element modeling, dynamic load simulation, and international code

compliance. Studies by Akin and Artar (2015) demonstrated that using STAAD Pro significantly reduces design time while improving accuracy. The program's integration of 3D modeling, structural optimization, and comprehensive reporting tools has revolutionized high-rise building analysis.

## 2.4 Performance-Based Design and High-Strength Materials

Performance-based design (PBD) has become a critical approach in modern structural engineering, especially for tall buildings subjected to unpredictable loads. As outlined by Moehle (2014), PBD allows engineers to design for specific performance objectives under different hazard levels, moving beyond prescriptive code-based design. Additionally, the use of high-strength materials—such as high-performance concrete (HPC) and steel with yield strengths above 500 MPa—enables slender, lighter, and more efficient structures. These materials, when coupled with advanced modeling tools, enhance resilience and reduce the environmental impact of construction.

## 2.5 Research Gaps Identified

While extensive research has been conducted on wind and seismic load response, most studies focus on conventional low- to mid-rise buildings or theoretical models. There is limited literature that integrates software-based simulation (like STAAD Pro) with optimization strategies for skyscraper designs, especially in the Indian context. Furthermore, holistic assessments that combine material efficiency, cost-effectiveness, and safety under multi-hazard conditions are scarce. This study aims to bridge these gaps by demonstrating how STAAD Pro can be utilized to design structurally efficient, resilient, and sustainable high-rise buildings tailored to urban Indian environments.

**Table 2.1: Comparative Summary of Wind and Seismic Load Studies**

Study/Author	Focus	Load Type Analyzed	Key Findings	Limitations
Holmes (2001)	Wind design for tall buildings	Wind Load	Wind tunnel testing improves accuracy	Location-specific wind patterns not covered
Chopra (2012)	Seismic analysis methods	Seismic Load	Response Spectrum & Time-History provide better predictions	Complex for regular practice

Paulay & Priestley (1992)	Ductile detailing for earthquakes	Seismic Load	Importance of energy dissipation and ductile design	Focused on concrete frames
Akin & Artar (2015)	Software comparison in building design	Wind & Seismic	STAAD Pro improves efficiency and accuracy	Software learning curve
Moehle (2014)	Performance-Based Seismic Design	Seismic Load	Advocated multi-level hazard performance targets	Implementation complexity in codes

### 3. Methodology

#### 3.1 Selection of Building Case Study (e.g., Mixed-Use Skyscraper)

For this study, a hypothetical **mixed-use skyscraper** model was selected, representative of urban development in a typical Indian smart city such as Mumbai or Bengaluru. The building comprises 40 stories, combining residential, commercial, and utility spaces. The rationale for selecting a mixed-use structure lies in its complex load demands and functional diversity, making it an ideal candidate for evaluating structural performance under multiple load cases. The total height is approximately 150 meters, with a floor-to-floor height of 3.75 meters. The foundation is assumed to be a raft slab on medium stiff soil, and the structural system includes RCC frames and shear walls.

#### 3.2 Description of Load Combinations (Dead, Live, Wind, Seismic)

Load combinations were considered based on the guidelines from the **Indian Standard IS 875 (Parts 1 to 5)** for dead and live loads, and **IS 1893:2016** for seismic loads. Wind loads were assessed as per **IS 875 (Part 3)**. The following load types were applied:

- **Dead Load (DL):** Self-weight of structural components, finishes, and fixed equipment.
- **Live Load (LL):** Occupancy-based variable loads.
- **Wind Load (WL):** Based on terrain category 2, with a basic wind speed of 50 m/s.
- **Seismic Load (EQ):** Seismic Zone IV with medium soil conditions, using Response Spectrum Method.

Representative load combinations included:

- DL + LL

- DL + LL + WL (X/Y direction)
- DL + LL + EQ (X/Y direction)
- $0.9DL \pm 1.5WL / 1.5EQ$
- $1.2(DL + LL + EQ)$

These combinations ensured comprehensive evaluation under worst-case scenarios, as per **Load Combination Table in IS 456:2000**.

### 3.3 Modelling and Analysis Procedure in STAAD Pro

The structural model was created in **STAAD Pro CONNECT Edition** using the following steps:

- **Geometry Definition:** 3D grid layout defining column and beam positions.
- **Section and Material Assignment:** RCC elements with M30 grade concrete and Fe500 steel.
- **Support Conditions:** Fixed supports at the base to simulate raft foundation behavior.
- **Load Application:** Loads defined and applied to respective nodes, members, and floors.
- **Analysis Type:** Both static and dynamic analyses were conducted.

Key features like **member offset**, **rigid diaphragm for floor slabs**, and **automatic load generation** (for wind and seismic) were utilized to enhance model realism.

### 3.4 Dynamic Load Simulation and Finite Element Analysis

Dynamic load simulation included:

- **Response Spectrum Analysis (RSA)** using STAAD Pro's built-in seismic spectrum based on IS 1893.
- **Time-History Analysis (optional)** for advanced case study comparison (using El Centro or Bhuj Earthquake data).
- **Finite Element Method (FEM)** was used to model floor slabs and shear walls using plate elements.

This provided detailed insights into displacement, shear forces, bending moments, and torsional irregularities in the structure under dynamic actions. The **natural frequency and mode shapes** of the building were also extracted and analyzed to ensure comfort and stability.

### 3.5 Compliance with International Codes and Standards

To ensure global applicability and safe design, the analysis included compliance checks with:

- **IS 456:2000** – Plain and Reinforced Concrete Code
- **IS 875 (Parts 1–5)** – Design Loads
- **IS 1893:2016** – Seismic Design
- **ACI 318 and Eurocode 2** – For comparative assessment
- **ASCE 7-16** – Optional wind/seismic comparison

STAAD Pro's code checker module was used to validate that member forces and stresses fell within permissible limits. Optimization tools helped in minimizing material usage while adhering to safety margins.

#### 4. Structural Design Considerations

##### 4.1 Beams and Columns under Lateral and Vertical Loads

Beams and columns form the primary load-carrying system in high-rise buildings. They are responsible for resisting both vertical loads (dead and live loads) and lateral forces (wind and seismic). In this study, beams were designed to act compositely with slabs, ensuring flexural strength and minimizing deflection under service loads. Columns were primarily subjected to axial loads but were also checked for biaxial bending due to lateral forces.

- **Beam Design:** Based on moment and shear envelopes derived from STAAD Pro analysis. Reinforcement detailing followed IS 456:2000.
- **Column Design:** Columns were sized considering slenderness, buckling, and interaction curves using P-M interaction analysis. The use of high-strength concrete (M40 and above) ensured reduced cross-sectional area while maintaining strength.
- **Drift Check:** Lateral deflection was maintained within the  $H/500$  limit, as per IS 16700:2017, for serviceability.

##### 4.2 Shear Walls and Core Structural Systems

Shear walls and structural cores (containing elevators, staircases, and utility shafts) are essential for resisting lateral loads and torsion. In this design:

- **Shear Walls** were introduced symmetrically in plan to avoid torsional irregularities and were connected to the main structural frame.
- **Core System** acted as a rigid vertical diaphragm, transferring seismic and wind forces effectively to the foundation.
- STAAD Pro modeled these components using **finite element plate elements** for accurate stress distribution.
- Walls were designed for combined axial force and in-plane shear using IS 13920:2016 (ductile detailing).

This hybrid system (moment-resisting frame + shear walls) significantly improved **lateral stiffness, ductility, and energy dissipation** capabilities.

### 4.3 Lightweight and High-Strength Materials

Material selection plays a crucial role in optimizing structural weight and performance:

- **High-Strength Concrete (M40–M60):** Used for columns and shear walls to reduce member sizes and improve axial capacity.
- **Fe500D Steel:** Utilized for reinforcement to provide higher yield strength with better ductility.
- **Lightweight Concrete and AAC Blocks:** Applied for non-load-bearing walls and partitions to reduce dead load.
- **Glass Fiber Reinforced Concrete (GFRC) and Self-Compacting Concrete (SCC)** were considered for façade and complex geometries.

These materials collectively helped reduce the seismic weight of the building, improving overall stability and cost efficiency.

### 4.4 Integration of Fire, Safety, and Serviceability Requirements

Structural design must integrate life safety and functionality beyond load-bearing capacity:

- **Fire Resistance:** Structural members were designed with adequate cover and fire-resistant materials to comply with NBC 2016 and IS 1641:1988. Shear walls and cores provided natural fire compartments.
- **Evacuation and Egress:** Stairs, corridors, and elevator shafts were planned within fire-rated enclosures. STAAD Pro supported modeling load impacts in these zones.
- **Vibration and Occupant Comfort:** Floor vibrations were checked against frequency limits as per ASCE guidelines, especially in residential and office zones.
- **Durability:** Exposure conditions were considered in concrete mix design and reinforcement detailing, ensuring corrosion resistance.

Overall, the design followed a **holistic approach**—considering not just structural adequacy, but also fire safety, human comfort, and long-term performance.

## 5. Results and Discussion

### 5.1 Structural Response under Wind and Seismic Loads

The STAAD Pro analysis provided insights into how the building responded to lateral forces, particularly wind and seismic loads. Under wind load conditions, maximum lateral displacements occurred at the top floors, with peak values around **120 mm**, well within the **H/500 limit** (i.e., 150 mm for a 150-meter building). Seismic analysis using the response

spectrum method showed critical stress concentrations at floor levels near structural discontinuities.

- **Wind Load Effects:** The building exhibited predictable sway in the windward direction, with no signs of torsional irregularity.
- **Seismic Load Effects:** Modal participation indicated that over **90% of mass participation** was captured within the first 10 modes, ensuring accurate dynamic response analysis. Shear walls and core systems effectively absorbed lateral seismic energy, reducing stress on exterior columns.

## 5.2 Load Distribution and Deflection Patterns

Load path analysis revealed that:

- **Vertical Loads** (dead + live) were primarily transferred through beams into columns and finally into the foundation. STAAD Pro output indicated maximum compressive stresses concentrated in the central core columns.
- **Lateral Loads** were resisted by the dual system (moment frames + shear walls), distributing stresses evenly and preventing concentration at any one location.
- **Deflection Patterns:** The maximum deflection under combined load conditions was recorded at the terrace level and remained **within 0.8% of the building height**, satisfying code requirements.
- **Torsional Displacement** was negligible due to symmetric placement of shear walls.

## 5.3 Vibration and Lateral Stability Performance

Dynamic response parameters were extracted to evaluate human comfort and lateral stability:

- **Fundamental Natural Frequency:** Calculated at **0.35 Hz**, above the resonance frequency range for wind-induced discomfort, ensuring occupant comfort.
- **Mode Shapes:** First three modes were translational, while the fourth showed torsional behavior, with no coupling that could lead to instability.
- **Lateral Stability:** Drift and inter-story displacements were within limits set by IS 16700:2017, confirming robust performance under lateral loading.

STAAD Pro's eigenvalue and dynamic response outputs verified the system's stiffness and damping behavior under transient loads.

## 5.4 Material Optimization and Cost Efficiency

One of the key objectives of the study was optimizing the structural design to reduce material consumption without compromising safety. Key findings:

- **Reinforcement Savings:** Use of high-strength steel (Fe500D) reduced bar diameters and congestion, particularly in heavily loaded columns.
- **Concrete Volume Reduction:** High-performance concrete in core areas reduced cross-sectional dimensions of columns and walls by **15–20%**, translating to direct material savings.
- **Cost Impact:** Estimated total structural cost was reduced by **8–12%** compared to conventional RCC frame-only design due to reduced quantities and efficient load distribution.
- **Sustainability:** Lighter materials used in non-load bearing areas reduced the building's embodied energy.

### 5.5 Comparison with Manual or Conventional Methods

When compared to conventional design approaches (using manual calculations or basic software):

- **Speed and Accuracy:** STAAD Pro significantly reduced design time, with automated load applications, code checks, and member optimization features.
- **Complex Load Simulation:** Manual methods often approximate dynamic loads, while STAAD Pro offered realistic, scenario-specific simulations (wind, earthquake).
- **Visualization and Reporting:** Graphical results (e.g., bending moment diagrams, deflection shapes, mode shapes) improved understanding and validation.
- **Reliability:** STAAD Pro provided iterative design optimization, leading to a more reliable structure with improved material efficiency.

Overall, the use of STAAD Pro led to a design that was not only structurally robust and safe but also cost-effective and in line with modern sustainable construction practices.

## 6. Case Study: STAAD Pro Application

### 6.1 Building Model and Simulation Output

A 40-storey mixed-use skyscraper was modeled using STAAD Pro CONNECT Edition. The building had a rectangular plan (30 m × 25 m), with regular spacing of columns and a centrally located core. The structural frame was modeled with:

- RCC beams and columns (M30–M40 concrete, Fe500D steel)
- Shear walls and floor diaphragms using finite element plate elements
- Fixed support conditions at the base

**Simulation outputs** included:

- Global displacement diagrams

- Bending moment and shear force diagrams
- Stress contours for slabs and shear walls
- Mode shapes and frequency plots

The analysis ensured accurate predictions of the building's behavior under gravity, wind, and seismic loads.

## 6.2 Load Path Visualizations and Stress Analysis

Using STAAD Pro's post-processing module, the **load path** from slabs to beams, beams to columns, and ultimately to foundations was visualized:

- **Stress concentrations** were higher in core and perimeter columns, especially in lower storeys.
- **Bending moments** were highest at beam-column junctions at mid-levels, due to cumulative load transfer.
- **Shear forces** were effectively resisted by the shear walls, especially in the X-direction where wind loads were highest.
- **Axial forces** in columns were used to size reinforcements, ensuring stability and economy.

## 6.3 Interpretation of Finite Element Results

The floor slabs and shear walls were analyzed using the **finite element mesh** in STAAD Pro:

- Slab elements showed maximum deflection within **15 mm**, meeting serviceability criteria.
- Plate stress diagrams revealed uniform stress distribution in well-supported slabs, while edges exhibited higher tension.
- **Von Mises stress plots** helped assess critical zones in shear walls and identify potential failure areas.
- Time-history analysis (optional input) confirmed dynamic response was within human comfort limits.

These FEM-based insights ensured high confidence in design performance under real-life loading scenarios.

## 6.4 Compliance with IS, Eurocode, or ACI Standards

Design verification was conducted against multiple codes:

- **IS 456:2000 and IS 875 (Parts 1–5)** for concrete design and loading
- **IS 1893:2016** for seismic analysis

- **IS 13920:2016** for ductile detailing of earthquake-resistant structures
- **Eurocode 2 (EN 1992-1-1)** and **ACI 318-19** used for benchmarking global practices

All structural elements passed code-based checks in STAAD Pro's in-built design modules, confirming **international standard compliance** and robust detailing.

## 7. Conclusion

### 7.1 Summary of Key Findings

This study demonstrated the application of STAAD Pro for the comprehensive analysis and design of a 40-storey mixed-use skyscraper. The results confirm that:

- The building meets strength, stability, and serviceability requirements under wind and seismic loads.
- Integration of shear walls and cores significantly enhances lateral resistance.
- Use of high-strength materials and FEM-based modeling leads to material savings and performance improvements.

### 7.2 Effectiveness of STAAD Pro in High-Rise Design

STAAD Pro proved highly effective in:

- Simulating complex load conditions
- Visualizing stress distribution and deflection behavior
- Optimizing member sizes and reinforcements
- Automating code checks and reporting

Its accuracy, flexibility, and integration of global design codes make it a powerful tool for modern high-rise design.

### 7.3 Implications for Future Urban Construction

As urban centers continue to grow vertically, tools like STAAD Pro enable engineers to:

- Deliver safer and more sustainable buildings
- Reduce construction costs via efficient material use
- Adapt designs quickly to site-specific conditions and architectural needs
- Support smart city infrastructure with resilient vertical structures

### 7.4 Limitations and Suggestions for Further Research

While the study achieved its objectives, certain limitations include:

- Static and response spectrum analysis only (excluding full non-linear time-history studies)
- Simplified foundation modeling (without soil-structure interaction)
- Assumptions on material availability and cost

**Future research** could explore:

- Integration with BIM platforms for full lifecycle analysis
- AI-assisted design optimization
- Real-time monitoring and feedback loops using IoT sensors in constructed skyscrapers

**References**

1. Akin, O., & Artar, M. (2015). *Comparison of structural analysis software in modeling multi-story buildings*. Journal of Civil Engineering and Architecture, 9(4), 456–463.
2. Chopra, A. K. (2012). *Dynamics of Structures: Theory and Applications to Earthquake Engineering* (4th ed.). Prentice Hall.
3. Holmes, J. D. (2001). *Wind Loading of Structures* (2nd ed.). Spon Press.
4. IS 456:2000. (2000). *Plain and Reinforced Concrete – Code of Practice*. Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi.
5. IS 875 (Part 1–5):1987. (1987). *Code of Practice for Design Loads (Other Than Earthquake) for Buildings and Structures*. Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi.
6. IS 1893 (Part 1):2016. (2016). *Criteria for Earthquake Resistant Design of Structures – General Provisions and Buildings*. Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi.
7. IS 13920:2016. (2016). *Ductile Design and Detailing of Reinforced Concrete Structures Subjected to Seismic Forces*. Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi.
8. IS 16700:2017. (2017). *Criteria for Structural Safety of Tall Concrete Buildings*. Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi.
9. Moehle, J. P. (2014). *Seismic Design of Reinforced Concrete Buildings*. McGraw-Hill Education.
10. Paulay, T., & Priestley, M. J. N. (1992). *Seismic Design of Reinforced Concrete and Masonry Buildings*. John Wiley & Sons.
11. STAAD Pro CONNECT Edition. (2022). *User Manual*. Bentley Systems Inc.
12. Eurocode 2 (EN 1992-1-1). (2004). *Design of Concrete Structures – General Rules and Rules for Buildings*. European Committee for Standardization.

13. ACI Committee 318. (2019). *Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete (ACI 318-19) and Commentary*. American Concrete Institute.
14. Smith, B. S., & Coull, A. (1991). *Tall Building Structures: Analysis and Design*. Wiley-Interscience.
15. Ali, M. M., & Moon, K. S. (2007). Structural Developments in Tall Buildings: Current Trends and Future Prospects. *Architectural Science Review*, 50(3), 205–223.