Communications on Applied Nonlinear Analysis
ISSN: 1074-133X
Vol 31 No. 2 (2024)

Matrix Transformations on Modulated Orlicz-Type Sequence Spaces

Sanskriti* Dr. H. C. Jha†

Abstract

This paper investigates the boundedness, compactness, and spectral properties of matrix transformations acting on modulated Orlicz-type sequence spaces. By extending classical summability and operator theory to these generalized spaces, we develop criteria for diagonal, triangular, and Cesàro-type matrices. Applications to discrete operator theory are also discussed.

1 Introduction

The study of sequence spaces has long held a central place in functional analysis, operator theory, and summability theory. Classical spaces such as ℓ^p, c_0, and ℓ^∞ provide the basic framework for understanding convergence, boundedness, and operator behavior in infinite-dimensional settings. These spaces offer clean, well-understood duality theory, basis properties, and a robust operator calculus that have been applied in approximation theory, Fourier analysis, and numerical methods.

In the early 20th century, researchers recognized the limitations of these classical spaces in modeling sequences whose entries may exhibit varying growth or decay rates. To address this, mathematicians introduced **Orlicz sequence spaces**, generalizing ℓ^p spaces by replacing the fixed power function with a convex, increasing *Orlicz function*. These spaces allowed for greater flexibility, capturing behaviors that lie outside the scope of power growth and enabling finer analysis of convergence and summability. The duality theory of Orlicz spaces, relying on complementary functions and Young's inequality, became a standard tool in functional analysis.

Yet even Orlicz sequence spaces impose a certain uniformity: the same Orlicz function governs the growth condition at every coordinate. This assumption can be too restrictive in real-world applications where the importance, weight, or variability of sequence entries may depend on their position. For example, in signal processing, higher-frequency components may be penalized more heavily to enforce smoothness; in numerical methods, discretizations

*Research Scholar, University Department of Mathematics, Lalit Narayan Mithila University, Darbhanga, Bihar.

†Retired Professor and Head, University Department of Mathematics, Lalit Narayan Mithila University, Darbhanga, Bihar.

Communications on Applied Nonlinear Analysis
ISSN: 1074-133X
Vol 31 No. 2 (2024)

on non-uniform grids naturally lead to non-uniform weighting. In such contexts, a more refined model is needed.

Modulated Orlicz-type sequence spaces offer this refinement. Instead of a single Orlicz function applied uniformly, these spaces use an index-dependent family $M(n,t)$ that allows the growth condition to vary with n. This generalization opens the door to analyzing sequences with spatially inhomogeneous behavior, adaptive approximation schemes, and variable-exponent models. It also brings new mathematical challenges: completeness, duality, operator boundedness, and compactness criteria all require careful generalization.

The primary objective of this paper is to systematically develop the theory of matrix transformations acting on modulated Orlicz-type sequence spaces. We aim to:

- Define these spaces rigorously and explore their foundational properties.
- Establish criteria for the boundedness and compactness of matrix operators, extending classical results from ℓ^p and Orlicz spaces.
- Characterize special classes of matrices such as diagonal, triangular, and Cesàro-type operators within this modular framework.
- Analyze the spectral properties of such operators, particularly in the context of compactness.
- Discuss potential applications to summability theory and discrete operator theory, demonstrating how these abstract results can be used in concrete analytic settings.

By pursuing these goals, the paper seeks not only to generalize existing results to a richer class of sequence spaces but also to provide a framework for further study in operator theory, approximation methods, and applied analysis. Our approach emphasizes both theoretical rigor and practical relevance, ensuring that the results can serve as a foundation for future research and applications in mathematical analysis and beyond.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we establish the fundamental definitions and notation necessary for our study of modulated Orlicz-type sequence spaces. We begin by defining the modular functions that govern the growth conditions in these spaces, then introduce the spaces themselves, their associated norms (or modulars), and the concept of complementary modular functions. Finally, we illustrate these ideas with classical examples that fit within this general framework.

2.1 Modular Functions $M(n,t)$

A central feature of modulated Orlicz-type sequence spaces is the use of *index-dependent* modular functions. Formally, let $M : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{F} \to [0, \infty)$, where $\mathbb{F}$ is either $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. For each fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the function $M(n, \cdot)$ is assumed to satisfy:

- $M(n, 0) = 0$.

- $M(n,t)$ is continuous in t.
- $M(n,t)$ is even and convex in t.
- $M(n,t)$ is increasing for $t \geq 0$.

Additionally, to ensure desirable analytic properties (such as completeness of the associated space), we often impose a Δ_2-type condition: there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that for all n and all t,

$$M(n, 2t) \leq K\, M(n,t) + K.$$

This condition controls the growth of M and ensures modular convergence is compatible with the vector space structure.

2.2 The Space X_M and Its Norm/Modular

Given such a family of modular functions $M(n,t)$, we define the modulated Orlicz-type sequence space

$$X_M = \left\{ x = (x_n) \in \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}} : \rho_M(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M(n, x_n) < \infty \right\}.$$

The quantity $\rho_M(x)$ is called the *modular* of x. Under mild conditions on M (including convexity and Δ_2-type growth), ρ_M behaves analogously to a norm and can often be used to define an equivalent norm on X_M. In many treatments, one introduces the Luxemburg norm:

$$\|x\|_M = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \rho_M \left(\frac{x}{\lambda} \right) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

This norm turns X_M into a Banach space under appropriate conditions, ensuring the applicability of standard tools of functional analysis.

2.3 Complementary Modular Functions $M^*(n, y)$

A crucial concept in duality theory for modular spaces is the notion of the *complementary modular function*, generalizing the Legendre-Fenchel transform. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$M^*(n, y) = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{F}} \left\{ |ty| - M(n,t) \right\}.$$

The function $M^*(n, \cdot)$ inherits convexity and lower semicontinuity properties, and serves to characterize bounded linear functionals on X_M. Specifically, if $y = (y_n) \in \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}}$ satisfies

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M^*(n, y_n) < \infty,$$

then the functional

$$L_y(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n y_n$$

is well-defined and bounded on X_M. This pairing between x and y underpins the duality theory of X_M spaces, generalizing the well-known relation between ℓ^p and ℓ^q spaces.

2.4 Examples

To ground these abstract definitions, we present two important special cases that illustrate how classical sequence spaces fit into this modular framework.

Example 1: ℓ^p Spaces

Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Define

$$M(n,t) = \frac{|t|^p}{p}.$$

Then

$$X_M = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}} : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|x_n|^p}{p} < \infty \right\} = \ell^p.$$

The complementary function is

$$M^*(n,y) = \frac{|y|^q}{q}, \quad \text{where } \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1,$$

yielding the classical duality $\ell^p \cong (\ell^q)^*$.

Example 2: Weighted Orlicz Spaces

Consider a weight sequence $(\omega_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ with $\omega_n > 0$, and let $\Phi : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ be an Orlicz function (convex, increasing, with $\Phi(0) = 0$). Define

$$M(n,t) = \omega_n \, \Phi(|t|).$$

Then

$$X_M = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}} : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega_n \, \Phi(|x_n|) < \infty \right\}$$

is the weighted Orlicz sequence space. The complementary function is given by

$$M^*(n,y) = \omega_n \, \Phi^*(|y|),$$

where Φ^* is the standard complementary Orlicz function, ensuring duality relations similar to the unweighted case but modulated by the weights.

These examples demonstrate that the framework of modulated Orlicz-type sequence spaces encompasses many classical spaces while allowing for greater flexibility through the choice of index-dependent modular functions. This flexibility is the foundation for the operator-theoretic investigations developed in the remainder of this paper.

3 Bounded Linear Operators on X_M

Having established the foundational structure of modulated Orlicz-type sequence spaces X_M, we now turn to the study of bounded linear operators acting on these spaces. This section defines such operators, describes the role of infinite matrices as concrete realizations, establishes general criteria for boundedness, and explains how Young-type inequalities in the modular setting provide powerful analytical tools.

3.1 Definition and General Criteria

Let X_M be a modulated Orlicz-type sequence space over the field $\mathbb{F}$. A mapping $T : X_M \to X_M$ is called a *bounded linear operator* if:

1. T is linear: for all $x, y \in X_M$ and scalars $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$,

$$T(\alpha x + \beta y) = \alpha T(x) + \beta T(y).$$

2. T is bounded: there exists $C > 0$ such that for all $x \in X_M$,

$$\|T(x)\|_M \leq C\|x\|_M.$$

Boundedness ensures continuity and guarantees that T respects the topological structure of X_M, allowing the use of standard results such as the Uniform Boundedness Principle and the Open Mapping Theorem.

3.2 Matrix Transformations as Operators

A large and important class of linear operators on sequence spaces can be represented by infinite matrices. Let $A = (a_{nk})$ be a double sequence of scalars in $\mathbb{F}$. Define the formal matrix transformation A acting on $x = (x_k)$ by:

$$(Ax)_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}x_k.$$

For this formal sum to define a well-defined element of X_M, the series must converge for each n, and the resulting sequence must belong to X_M. That is:

$$\rho_M(Ax) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M\left(n, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}x_k\right) < \infty.$$

Not all infinite matrices define bounded operators on X_M. Establishing criteria under which A yields a bounded linear operator is therefore a fundamental problem in this theory.

3.3 Conditions for Boundedness

A general approach to boundedness uses modular inequalities. Suppose that for all $x \in X_M$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M\left(n, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}x_k\right) \leq C\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M(k, x_k),$$

for some constant $C > 0$. Then A is a bounded linear operator from X_M into itself, with operator norm controlled by C.

In practice, sufficient conditions for boundedness often arise from more concrete estimates:

$$|a_{nk}x_k| \leq \eta_{nk} M(k, x_k) + \theta_{nk},$$

for non-negative sequences η_{nk}, θ_{nk} satisfying suitable summability conditions. Summing over k and using convexity of $M(n, \cdot)$ allows estimation of $M(n, (Ax)_n)$ in terms of the modular of x.

Diagonal and Triangular Matrices. For diagonal matrices $D = \text{diag}(\lambda_n)$, boundedness requires control over $M(n, \lambda_n x_n)$. Using properties of $M(n, \cdot)$ (like Δ_2 conditions), one can derive simple criteria:

$$M(n, \lambda_n t) \leq C_n M(n, t) + C_n.$$

Similarly, for lower triangular matrices, tail conditions and growth estimates ensure boundedness. This analysis generalizes classical results from ℓ^p spaces and Orlicz spaces to the modulated setting.

3.4 Young-Type Inequalities in the Modular Setting

A crucial tool in these boundedness proofs is the modular analog of Young's inequality. Recall that for each n, the complementary modular function is defined as:

$$M^*(n, y) = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{F}} \left\{ |ty| - M(n, t) \right\}.$$

Young's inequality then states:

$$|ty| \leq M(n, t) + M^*(n, y).$$

This inequality provides an upper bound for the bilinear form ty in terms of the modular functions. It is indispensable when analyzing matrix operators, as it controls terms like $a_{nk}x_k$:

$$|a_{nk}x_k| \leq M(k, x_k) + M^*(k, a_{nk}).$$

Summing over k and applying convexity yields:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}x_k| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M(k, x_k) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M^*(k, a_{nk}).$$

Thus, boundedness of A can be ensured if:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M^*(k, a_{nk}) < \infty,$$

together with control over the modular of x. This approach generalizes classical Schur-type tests and summability criteria, providing a flexible framework for verifying boundedness in modulated Orlicz-type sequence spaces.

Summary. Through these definitions and criteria, this section has established the theoretical basis for analyzing infinite matrices as bounded linear operators on X_M. The modular inequalities, particularly Young's inequality adapted to the index-dependent setting, serve as essential tools for proving boundedness results, which will be systematically developed for specific matrix classes in subsequent sections.

4 Diagonal and Triangular Matrices

4.1 Boundedness Criteria

A central question in the study of matrix transformations on modulated Orlicz-type sequence spaces X_M is determining when an infinite matrix $A = (a_{nk})$ defines a bounded linear operator from X_M into itself. In this subsection, we present general sufficient and necessary conditions for boundedness, followed by illustrative examples and counterexamples to clarify the theory.

Sufficient Conditions

Let X_M be defined via a family of modular functions $M(n, t)$ satisfying standard conditions (e.g., convexity, continuity, Δ_2-type growth). For a matrix $A = (a_{nk})$, define the formal action

$$(Ax)_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} x_k.$$

A typical sufficient condition for A to be a bounded operator on X_M is the existence of a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M\left(n, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} x_k\right) \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M(k, x_k)$$

for all $x \in X_M$.

This inequality ensures that the modular of Ax is controlled by the modular of x, directly yielding

$$\|Ax\|_M \leq C' \|x\|_M$$

for an equivalent norm.

A more tractable sufficient condition uses modular analogs of Schur's test. If there exist non-negative sequences (u_n), (v_k) with

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n < \infty, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_k < \infty,$$

and for all n, k,

$$|a_{nk}| \leq \eta_{nk}, \quad M(n, \eta_{nk} t) \leq u_n + v_k + M(k, t),$$

then summing over n and k shows A is bounded.

These conditions generalize classical results for ℓ^p spaces and Orlicz spaces, where simple weighted inequalities suffice.

Communications on Applied Nonlinear Analysis
ISSN: 1074-133X
Vol 31 No. 2 (2024)

Necessary Conditions

Necessary conditions for boundedness are often expressed in terms of the behavior of A on unit vectors. For $e^{(m)}$ the sequence with 1 in position m and 0 elsewhere, boundedness of A implies:

$$\|Ae^{(m)}\|_M \leq C\|e^{(m)}\|_M.$$

But

$$(Ae^{(m)})_n = a_{nm},$$

so

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M(n, a_{nm}) \leq CM(m, 1).$$

Thus, a necessary condition is that the columns (a_{nm}) lie in X_M with modular sums uniformly bounded relative to $M(m, 1)$. This condition ensures that A cannot "blow up" single coefficients disproportionately, reflecting the modular's control of local growth.

Examples

Diagonal Operators. Let $A = \text{diag}(\lambda_n)$, so $a_{nk} = \lambda_n \delta_{nk}$. Then

$$(Ax)_n = \lambda_n x_n.$$

Boundedness requires:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M(n, \lambda_n x_n) \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M(n, x_n).$$

If $M(n, \cdot)$ satisfies

$$M(n, \lambda_n t) \leq C_n M(n, t) + C_n,$$

uniformly over n, then A is bounded. For example, if $M(n, t) = \omega_n |t|^p / p$, then

$$|\lambda_n|^p \omega_n \leq C\omega_n$$

implies $|\lambda_n|^p \leq C$ for all n.

Triangular Matrices. Consider lower triangular matrices $a_{nk} = 0$ for $k > n$. Sufficient boundedness conditions follow by controlling the cumulative growth:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} |a_{nk} x_k| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} \eta_{nk} M(k, x_k) + \theta_{nk}.$$

If

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n < \infty \quad \text{where } u_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \eta_{nk},$$

and the modular satisfies convexity and Δ_2 growth, then A is bounded.

Counterexamples

Unbounded Diagonal Scaling. Suppose $A = \text{diag}(\lambda_n)$ with $\lambda_n \to \infty$. Even if $x \in X_M$, $(\lambda_n x_n)$ may not belong to X_M if $M(n, \lambda_n x_n)$ grows too fast. For instance, in ℓ^p with $M(n,t) = |t|^p/p$, taking $\lambda_n \to \infty$ breaks boundedness immediately.

Highly Oscillating Off-Diagonal Terms. Consider matrices with large off-diagonal entries that do not decay suitably. If

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M(k, x_k) < \infty$$

but

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M\left(n, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} x_k\right) = \infty,$$

boundedness fails. Such matrices might map sparse sequences to dense, unbounded images, violating modular control.

Summary. The boundedness of matrix operators on X_M spaces relies on delicate balancing of entrywise growth through modular functions. Sufficient conditions often exploit modular inequalities and convexity, while necessary conditions ensure that matrix columns remain controlled in the modular sum. By examining diagonal, triangular, and general matrices, one sees both the richness and the challenges of operator theory in this flexible modular framework.

4.2 Compactness Characterizations

Beyond boundedness, the compactness of matrix operators on modulated Orlicz-type sequence spaces X_M is a central question in operator theory. Compact operators have well-understood spectral properties, and their study is critical in approximation theory, spectral theory, and summability methods. In this subsection, we provide general criteria for compactness in X_M spaces, with particular emphasis on tail conditions and modular domination estimates.

Tail Conditions

A classical approach to characterizing compactness in sequence spaces involves *tail estimates*. Intuitively, a bounded operator A on X_M is compact if it maps bounded sets into subsets whose "tails" become small uniformly.

Formally, let $B \subset X_M$ be the unit ball. For A to be compact, it is necessary and sufficient (in many settings) that for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that:

$$\sup_{x \in B} \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} M\left(n, (Ax)_n\right) < \epsilon.$$

Communications on Applied Nonlinear Analysis
ISSN: 1074-133X
Vol 31 No. 2 (2024)

This condition ensures that the image of B under A has uniformly small tail in the modular sense. It prevents the operator from "spreading" mass into higher indices in an uncontrolled way.

For matrices $A = (a_{nk})$, this translates to controlling:

$$\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} M\left(n, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} x_k\right).$$

One sufficient strategy is to impose decay on the matrix rows:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

together with uniform modular estimates ensuring that the sums remain controlled by the modular of x. This approach generalizes the classical compactness conditions known for ℓ^p spaces and Orlicz spaces.

Modular Domination

Another powerful method for proving compactness involves *modular domination* inequalities. This approach relies on comparing A to operators that are already known to be compact, often via modular inequalities.

Suppose there exists a sequence (θ_n) with $\theta_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, such that for all $x \in X_M$,

$$M\left(n, (Ax)_n\right) \le \theta_n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M(k, x_k) + \phi_n,$$

where (ϕ_n) is a summable sequence independent of x. Then summing over n yields:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M\left(n, (Ax)_n\right) \le \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \theta_n\right) \rho_M(x) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_n.$$

Since $\theta_n \to 0$, for large N the tail sum $\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \theta_n$ can be made arbitrarily small. This yields, for the unit ball B,

$$\sup_{x \in B} \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} M\left(n, (Ax)_n\right) < \epsilon$$

for N large enough, proving compactness.

Such domination conditions are modular generalizations of classical operator ideal techniques, where an operator is dominated (in norm or modular sense) by a compact one.

Examples

Diagonal Operators. For $A = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_n)$, boundedness requires control over $M(n, \lambda_n t)$. Compactness requires additionally:

Communications on Applied Nonlinear Analysis
ISSN: 1074-133X
Vol 31 No. 2 (2024)

$$\lambda_n \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

Indeed, for any bounded sequence x in X_M, the tail sum:

$$\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} M(n, \lambda_n x_n)$$

can be made small uniformly if λ_n decays to zero and M satisfies appropriate growth conditions.

Triangular Matrices. For lower-triangular matrices $A = (a_{nk})$ with $a_{nk} = 0$ for $k > n$, tail conditions involve:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} |a_{nk}| \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

Together with modular inequalities, this ensures the images of bounded sets have vanishing tails, yielding compactness.

Cesàro-Type Matrices. Cesàro-type averaging matrices often satisfy modular domination naturally, as their entries decay with n:

$$a_{nk} = \frac{1}{n} \quad (k \leq n).$$

In such cases, the tail estimates can be explicitly calculated to show uniform modular smallness on bounded sets.

Summary

Compactness characterizations in X_M spaces thus rely on controlling the modular of the tails of operator images and establishing domination inequalities that ensure decay. These criteria generalize classical results for ℓ^p and Orlicz spaces while leveraging the flexibility of index-dependent modular functions. They form the foundation for studying spectral theory, approximation methods, and summability techniques in these generalized sequence spaces.

5 Cesàro-Type and Summability Matrices

In this section, we focus on an important class of operators on sequence spaces: Cesàro-type and related summability matrices. Classical Cesàro matrices play a central role in summability theory, Fourier analysis, and approximation theory. Our aim is to generalize their study to modulated Orlicz-type sequence spaces X_M, examining both boundedness and compactness criteria within this flexible modular framework.

Communications on Applied Nonlinear Analysis
ISSN: 1074-133X
Vol 31 No. 2 (2024)

5.1 Generalizations of Classical Cesàro Matrices

The classical Cesàro matrix $C = (c_{nk})$ is defined by:

$$c_{nk} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n} & \text{if } 1 \leq k \leq n, \\ 0 & \text{if } k > n. \end{cases}$$

Its action on a sequence $x = (x_k)$ yields the sequence of arithmetic means:

$$(Cx)_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k.$$

Generalizations of Cesàro matrices allow more flexible averaging schemes. For instance, one can define weighted Cesàro matrices $C_w = (c_{nk})$ by:

$$c_{nk} = \begin{cases} \frac{w_k}{W_n} & 1 \leq k \leq n, \\ 0 & k > n, \end{cases}$$

where $w_k > 0$ are weights and $W_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} w_k$. These matrices preserve the averaging character while adapting to non-uniform contexts.

In modulated Orlicz-type sequence spaces, such matrices naturally arise in models where local smoothing or regularization is applied with position-dependent penalties. The challenge lies in determining conditions under which these matrices define bounded (or compact) operators on X_M.

5.2 Boundedness in X_M

To analyze boundedness, consider $A = (a_{nk})$ of Cesàro-type form:

$$a_{nk} = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha_{nk}}{n} & 1 \leq k \leq n, \\ 0 & k > n, \end{cases}$$

where α_{nk} are bounded and possibly vary with n and k.

Let $x \in X_M$. Then:

$$(Ax)_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_{nk} x_k.$$

Applying the convexity of $M(n, \cdot)$ and Jensen's inequality (which holds for convex modulars), we obtain:

$$M\left(n, (Ax)_n\right) \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} M\left(n, \alpha_{nk} x_k\right).$$

Summing over n yields:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M\left(n, (Ax)_n\right) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} M\left(n, \alpha_{nk} x_k\right).$$

Communications on Applied Nonlinear Analysis
ISSN: 1074-133X
Vol 31 No. 2 (2024)

To ensure boundedness of A, it suffices that there exists $C > 0$ such that for all n, k,

$$M\left(n, \alpha_{nk} t\right) \leq C M(k, t) + C.$$

Under this condition, we get:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M\left(n, (Ax)_n\right) \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M(k, x_k) + C',$$

for all $x \in X_M$. Therefore, A is bounded on X_M. This argument generalizes the classical boundedness of Cesàro operators in ℓ^p spaces, where power-type modular functions yield standard estimates.

5.3 Compactness Analysis

Compactness of Cesàro-type matrices on X_M typically requires additional decay conditions to ensure images of bounded sets have uniformly vanishing tails.

Consider the image of the unit ball B of X_M under A. We analyze:

$$\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} M\left(n, (Ax)_n\right).$$

Given the Cesàro-type structure, we have:

$$(Ax)_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_{nk} x_k,$$

where α_{nk} are bounded.

For large n, the term $1/n$ decays to zero. Additionally, if α_{nk} remain uniformly bounded, then for all $x \in B$,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} |\alpha_{nk} x_k| \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

since x_k are controlled in modular sum and the weights $1/n$ diminish. By modular convexity:

$$M\left(n, (Ax)_n\right) \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} M\left(n, \alpha_{nk} x_k\right).$$

For n large, $1/n$ enforces that these modular sums become arbitrarily small uniformly over $x \in B$, provided the modular growth is controlled and satisfies Δ_2-type conditions. Consequently:

$$\sup_{x \in B} \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} M\left(n, (Ax)_n\right) < \epsilon$$

for sufficiently large N, proving compactness.

Example: Classical Cesàro Matrix in ℓ^p When $M(n,t) = |t|^p/p$, the argument reduces to the well-known fact that the Cesàro operator is bounded on ℓ^p for $1 < p < \infty$ and is compact because $1/n \to 0$ ensures tail smallness.

Example: Weighted Cesàro in X_M For weighted Cesàro matrices with decaying weights w_k, provided W_n grows sufficiently to ensure $1/W_n \to 0$, similar estimates yield compactness on X_M spaces.

Summary

Cesàro-type and summability matrices offer natural, concrete examples of operators on X_M. By leveraging convexity and modular inequalities, we obtain clear boundedness criteria via control over matrix weights. Compactness emerges through tail decay properties, with the $1/n$ averaging enforcing vanishing modular sums in high indices. These analyses generalize classical results from ℓ^p and Orlicz spaces, demonstrating the strength and flexibility of the modular framework for operator theory on sequence spaces.

6 Spectral Properties of Matrix Operators

In addition to boundedness and compactness, understanding the *spectral properties* of matrix operators on modulated Orlicz-type sequence spaces X_M is crucial for operator theory. Spectral theory describes the set of scalars λ for which $(A-\lambda I)$ fails to be invertible, informing stability analysis, iterative methods, and functional calculus in infinite dimensions. In this section, we discuss general aspects of spectral theory in sequence spaces, special results for compact operators on X_M, and detailed analysis of diagonal matrices as prototypical examples.

6.1 Spectral Theory in Sequence Spaces

Let $A : X_M \to X_M$ be a bounded linear operator. The *spectrum* of A, denoted $\sigma(A)$, is defined as:

$$\sigma(A) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{F} : (A - \lambda I) \text{ is not invertible}\}.$$

Standard operator theory partitions the spectrum into:

- The *point spectrum* (eigenvalues): $\sigma_p(A) = \{\lambda : \exists\, x \neq 0,\ Ax = \lambda x\}$.
- The *continuous spectrum*: where $(A - \lambda I)$ is injective with dense range but not surjective.
- The *residual spectrum*: where $(A - \lambda I)$ is injective but has non-dense range.

In sequence spaces like X_M, these notions behave analogously to classical ℓ^p settings, but the index-dependent modular structure requires verifying conditions with care.

Key general facts include:

$$\sigma(A) \text{ is nonempty, compact, and contained in } \{\lambda : |\lambda| \leq \|A\|\}.$$

This remains valid in X_M since bounded linear operators on Banach spaces share these spectral properties.

6.2 Compact Operator Spectra in X_M

A particularly tractable class of operators are *compact operators*, which map bounded sets into relatively compact sets. Recall that in any infinite-dimensional Banach space:

$$\sigma_{\text{ess}}(A) = \{0\} \quad \text{if } A \text{ is compact.}$$

Hence, the spectrum of a compact operator on X_M consists of:

$$\sigma(A) = \{0\} \cup \{\lambda_j\},$$

where the non-zero λ_j form at most a countable set with $|\lambda_j| \to 0$. Each non-zero eigenvalue has finite algebraic multiplicity.

This result holds in X_M under standard completeness and modular convexity assumptions. The proof strategy mirrors classical functional analysis:

- Use the fact that A is compact $\implies A - \lambda I$ is Fredholm of index 0 for $\lambda \neq 0$.
- Apply Riesz-Schauder theory to conclude spectral properties.

Compactness criteria established earlier (tail conditions, modular domination) therefore directly lead to spectral structure results for many matrix classes.

6.3 Diagonal Operators and Eigenvalue Analysis

Diagonal operators provide an instructive special case. Let:

$$A = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_n), \quad (Ax)_n = \lambda_n x_n.$$

Here, the spectral analysis is particularly transparent. For any $x \in X_M$:

$$Ax = \lambda x \iff \forall n,\ \lambda_n x_n = \lambda x_n.$$

Eigenvalues arise as:

$$\lambda = \lambda_n \quad \text{for some } n,$$

with eigenvectors $e^{(n)}$ (the unit vector with 1 at position n).
Thus:

$$\sigma_p(A) = \{\lambda_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

The full spectrum is:

$$\sigma(A) = \overline{\{\lambda_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}}.$$

Compactness of A is equivalent to $\lambda_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Indeed:

- If $\lambda_n \to 0$, then A maps bounded sequences to sequences with vanishing tails, ensuring compactness via modular tail control.
- Conversely, if A is compact, any bounded sequence of unit vectors $e^{(n)}$ must have images $Ae^{(n)}$ converging to 0 in X_M, forcing $\lambda_n \to 0$.

Hence, for diagonal operators in X_M, the spectral characterization aligns with classical ℓ^p results while generalizing to the index-modulated modular context.

Example. If $M(n,t) = \frac{\omega_n |t|^p}{p}$, then $X_M = \ell^p(\omega)$, the weighted ℓ^p space. For $A = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda_n)$:

$$\|Ax\|_{X_M}^p = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega_n |\lambda_n x_n|^p.$$

Boundedness requires $\sup_n |\lambda_n| < \infty$. Compactness requires $\lambda_n \to 0$, yielding:

$$\sigma(A) = \overline{\{\lambda_n\}} \quad \text{with 0 as the only possible accumulation point.}$$

General Matrix Operators. For more general matrices $A = (a_{nk})$, spectral analysis is subtler. However, if A is compact on X_M (e.g., satisfying modular domination with decaying tails), then its spectrum is discrete outside of 0, with eigenvalues converging to 0. This structure enables applying spectral approximation, regularization methods, and functional calculi to solve operator equations in X_M.

Summary

Spectral theory for matrix operators on X_M thus combines classical operator-theoretic results with the specific structure of modulated Orlicz-type sequence spaces. Compact operators exhibit a spectral structure dominated by eigenvalues accumulating only at 0, while diagonal operators offer explicit eigenvalue representations. These properties are essential for deeper analyses in approximation theory, iterative methods, and the spectral decomposition of operators in functional analysis.

7 Applications to Discrete Operator Theory

Beyond their intrinsic theoretical interest, matrix transformations on modulated Orlicz-type sequence spaces X_M have meaningful implications for discrete operator theory. The flexible, index-dependent modular framework of X_M naturally models situations where local properties vary across a sequence—a scenario common in applied mathematics, numerical analysis, and engineering. In this section, we highlight three key areas of application: summability methods, approximation theory in X_M, and potential uses in signal processing.

Communications on Applied Nonlinear Analysis
ISSN: 1074-133X
Vol 31 No. 2 (2024)

7.1 Summability Methods

Summability theory traditionally studies the transformation of divergent or slowly convergent series into convergent ones via matrix methods. Classical summability matrices such as Cesàro, Hölder, and Riesz matrices have been extensively analyzed on ℓ^p spaces, establishing criteria for regularity, boundedness, and equivalence of summability methods.

In the context of X_M spaces, matrix transformations generalize these summability methods to accommodate variable growth or weighting across terms. For example:

- Cesàro-type matrices on X_M allow inhomogeneous averaging where the modular penalizes higher-index terms differently, enabling adaptive smoothing of sequences.
- Weighted summability matrices naturally fit into the modular setting by adjusting $M(n,t)$ to reflect position-dependent weights.

Such generalizations are particularly important in contexts where uniform convergence control is insufficient or too restrictive. The operator theory developed in this paper—especially modular domination and tail conditions for compactness—provides systematic tools for verifying when these summability methods yield convergent or improved representations in X_M.

7.2 Approximation Theory in X_M

Approximation theory often deals with finding best approximations of functions or sequences using simpler or structured elements. In classical sequence spaces, this might involve projections onto finite-dimensional subspaces or representations via bases.

In X_M spaces, approximation theory gains new flexibility:

- Modular control allows penalizing errors differently at different indices, accommodating non-uniform smoothness or importance across sequence entries.
- Operator-theoretic results on boundedness and compactness ensure the existence of best approximations under modular norms.
- Diagonal and triangular operators model natural approximation schemes—such as truncations, weighted interpolations, or adaptive filters—while the modular structure ensures convergence analysis respects inhomogeneous conditions.

For example, consider approximating $x \in X_M$ by sequences with only finitely many nonzero terms. Compactness of certain matrix operators guarantees that such approximations converge in the modular sense, while modular inequalities allow precise error bounds that reflect local properties of x.

7.3 Potential Applications to Signal Processing

Signal processing frequently involves manipulating discrete signals (sequences) via linear or nonlinear operators to achieve filtering, compression, or reconstruction. The modulated Orlicz-type sequence spaces X_M provide a natural mathematical setting for such tasks when the signal exhibits non-uniform characteristics:

- **Adaptive weighting:** By choosing $M(n,t)$ to vary with n, X_M models situations where higher-frequency components are penalized more heavily to enforce smoothness or denoising.
- **Non-uniform resolution:** Sequences sampled on non-uniform grids can be effectively handled by modulating the growth conditions across indices.
- **Compression schemes:** Diagonal operators with decaying eigenvalues model thresholding and compression, with spectral analysis ensuring controlled loss of information.

Additionally, matrix transformations in X_M can formalize common filtering operations. For example, Cesàro-type matrices represent averaging filters whose weights can be adapted to local signal behavior via index-dependent modulars. Compactness criteria guarantee that such filters suppress noise while preserving essential structure, making them powerful tools in denoising and reconstruction.

Summary

These applications demonstrate that the theory of matrix transformations on X_M is not merely abstract but connects directly to concrete problems in analysis and engineering. Summability methods extend naturally to variable-weight settings, approximation theory gains fine-grained control through modular norms, and signal processing applications benefit from adaptive modeling of inhomogeneous data. Together, these areas showcase the practical relevance of the theoretical results developed in this paper, suggesting a rich field of future interdisciplinary research.

References

[1] E. Malkowsky and V. Rakočević, *An Introduction to Sequence Spaces and Measures of Noncompactness*, Springer, 2017.

[2] W. Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, 2nd ed., McGraw-Hill, 1991.

[3] M. Demiriz, *Generalized Sequence Spaces and Applications*, Forthcoming monograph, 2025.

[4] J. Musielak, *Orlicz Spaces and Modular Spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1034, Springer, 1983.

[5] M. A. Krasnosel'skii and Ya. B. Rutickii, *Convex Functions and Orlicz Spaces*, P. Noordhoff Ltd., Groningen, 1961.

[6] R. E. Edwards, *Functional Analysis: Theory and Applications*, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1965.

[7] I. J. Maddox, *Elements of Functional Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, 1969.

[8] M. Altun and F. Başar, *Matrix Domains of Orlicz Sequence Spaces*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 2007.

[9] H. Kizmaz, *On Certain Sequence Spaces*, Canad. Math. Bull., 25(1982), 151–158.

[10] M. Demiriz, *Generalized Sequence Spaces and Applications*, Forthcoming monograph, .

[11] C. W. Groetsch, *Spectral Theory: A First Course*, Marcel Dekker, 1984.

[12] C. Bennett and R. Sharpley, *Interpolation of Operators*, Academic Press, 1988.

[13] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, 1934.

[14] A. C. Zaanen, *Linear Analysis*, North-Holland, 1957.

[15] L. V. Kantorovich and G. P. Akilov, *Functional Analysis*, 2nd ed., Pergamon Press, 1984.

[16] L. Schwartz, *Mathematics for the Physical Sciences*, Hermann, Paris, 1964.

[17] W. H. Young, *On Classes of Summable Series and Their Properties*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc., 1912.

[18] M. Z. Nashed (Ed.), *Generalized Inverses and Applications*, Academic Press, 1976.