

Association Schemes for Some Finite Group Rings II

Anuradha Sabharwal^{1*}, Pooja Yadav² and R. K. Sharma³

¹Department of Mathematics, University of Delhi, Delhi-110007, India.

²Department of Mathematics, Kamala Nehru College, University of Delhi, New Delhi-110049, India.

³Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, New Delhi-110016, India.

*Corresponding Author: Anuradha Sabharwal

Article History:

Received: 20-04-2024

Revised: 10-06-2024

Accepted: 24-06-2024

Abstract:

Association schemes have been used in coding theory and other combinatorial problems. In this paper, we construct association schemes for the abelian groups \mathbb{Z}_2^r , $\mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_2} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_r}$, set of $n \times n$ matrices over \mathbb{Z}_m and for the general linear group of order 2 over \mathbb{Z}_2 , \mathbb{Z}_4 , and \mathbb{Z}_6 . We also obtain association schemes for symmetric groups and alternating groups of degree 4 and 5 using canonical forms.

Keywords: Group ring; Association scheme.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 05E30.

1. Introduction

Association schemes (AS) introduced by Bose and Shimamoto [1], play a key role in the study of algebraic combinatorics. It has applications in graph theory, coding theory, group theory and design theory [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11]. Jørgensen's list of non-symmetric association schemes with classes smaller than 96 in vertices in [12], inspires us to research non-symmetric association schemes for various finite groups and group rings. In our previous work [13], we have constructed non symmetric commutative AS for symmetric groups, dihedral groups, abelian groups \mathbb{Z}_p^r (where p is an odd prime), $\mathbb{Z}_{p_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_2} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_r}$ (p_i 's are distinct primes), finite group rings over \mathbb{Z}_n and circulant matrices over \mathbb{Z}_p , for p prime. In the present study, we construct association schemes for the abelian groups $\mathbb{Z}_2^r = \underbrace{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_2}_{r \text{ times}}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_2} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_r}$, the general linear group $GL(2, \mathbb{Z}_2)$, $GL(2, \mathbb{Z}_4)$, $GL(2, \mathbb{Z}_6)$, symmetric group and alternating group of order 4 and 5.

In this paper, let \mathcal{P} denote the partition of $Y \times Y$, where Y is a finite set, and let $\mathbb{Z}_p^r = \underbrace{\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_p}_{r \text{ times}}$. Some basic literature and preliminaries on association schemes are given below.

1.1. Association Scheme

Definition 1. Let \mathcal{P} be a partition of $Y \times Y$ where Y is a finite set and let $\mathcal{S}_0, \mathcal{S}_1, \dots, \mathcal{S}_n$ binary relations on \mathcal{P} . Then $\mathcal{A} = (Y, \mathcal{P})$ forms n -class association scheme if the subsequent conditions hold:

(1) Identity relation $\mathcal{S}_0 = \{(a, a): a \in Y\} \in \mathcal{P}$.

(2) $\mathcal{S}^* = \{(a, b): (b, a) \in \mathcal{S}\} \in \mathcal{P}$ for any relation $\mathcal{S} \in \mathcal{P}$.

(3) If $(a, b) \in \mathcal{S}_k$, the number of elements $c \in Y$ such that $(a, c) \in \mathcal{S}_l, (c, b) \in \mathcal{S}_m$ is a constant p_{lm}^k not depending on choice of a and b for all integers $0 \leq k, l, m \leq n$.

The integers $\{p_{lm}^k\}_{0 \leq k, l, m \leq n}$ are called *parameters* or *intersection numbers* of \mathcal{A} . If each relation \mathcal{S} in \mathcal{P} is a symmetric relation, that is, $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}^*$, then \mathcal{A} is called symmetric association scheme and if $p_{lm}^k = p_{ml}^k \forall 0 \leq k, l, m \leq n$, then it is called commutative association scheme. Let the set $a\mathcal{S} = \{b \in Y \mid (a, b) \in \mathcal{S}\}$ for $a \in Y$ and $\mathcal{S} \in \mathcal{P}$. The elements a and b in Y are called k^{th} associates if $(a, b) \in \mathcal{S}_k$ with $a \neq b$. Note that every symmetric association scheme is commutative. With regards to more basic association schemes results, refer [7, 14].

Definition 2. A finite group G with the conjugacy classes C_0, C_1, \dots, C_d produces a commutative association scheme with a class of relations on G defined by $\mathcal{S}_k = \{(a, b) \mid ba^{-1} \in C_k\} \forall 0 \leq k \leq d$. This scheme is called the group association scheme of G .

Association schemes can be determined for all those groups whose conjugacy classes are known.

Lemma 1. Let $Y = \mathbb{Z}_n$ and \mathcal{S}_k defines relations on \mathcal{P} by $\mathcal{S}_k = (a, b) \mid a = k + b \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_n \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. Then (Y, \mathcal{P}) is a non symmetric commutative association scheme with parameters given by:

$$p_{lm}^k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = l + m, \\ 0 & \text{if } k \neq l + m \end{cases}$$

where $k, l, m \in \mathbb{Z}_n$.

Proof. Since \mathbb{Z}_n is an abelian group, $(\mathbb{Z}_n, \mathcal{P})$ under given relations becomes a commutative association scheme. For arbitrary relations $\mathcal{S}_l, \mathcal{S}_m, \mathcal{S}_k$ in \mathcal{P} , we find cardinality $p_{lm}^k = |a\mathcal{S}_l \cap b\mathcal{S}_m^*|$ whenever $(a, b) \in \mathcal{S}_k$. Let (a, b) be an arbitrary element of Y in \mathcal{S}_k and let $a\mathcal{S}_l = a'$ and $b\mathcal{S}_m^* = b'$. This implies, $a = a' + l, b' = b + m$ and $a = b + k$ and we get $p_{lm}^k = 1$ if $a' = b'$ which implies $p_{lm}^k = 1$ if $k = l + m$. Further, $p_{lm}^k = 0$ if $a' \neq b'$, equivalently $p_{lm}^k = 0$, whenever $k \neq l + m$. \square

In the next section of this paper, we work on non symmetric association scheme of the cyclic groups $\mathbb{Z}_2^r, \mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_2} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_r}$ and general linear group of order 2 over \mathbb{Z}_2 .

2. Association schemes for some finite Groups

Theorem 1. Let $Y = \mathbb{Z}_2^r = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $r \geq 2$. We define relations \mathcal{S}_k on \mathcal{P} by

$$\mathcal{S}_k = \{(a, b) \mid b_s \equiv (t_s + a_s) \pmod{2} \mid t_s \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \forall 1 \leq s \leq r, \\ a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r), b = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r) \in Y\}$$

where $k = 2^{r-1}t_1 + 2^{r-2}t_2 + \dots + 2t_{r-1} + t_r$.

Then $\mathcal{A} = (Y, \mathcal{P})$ is a symmetric and commutative association scheme with parameters

$$p_{lm}^k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t_s^{(k)} \equiv t_s^{(l)} + t_s^{(m)} \pmod{2} \forall 1 \leq s \leq r \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $k = \sum_{s=1}^r 2^{r-s}t_s^{(k)}; l = \sum_{s=1}^r 2^{r-s}t_s^{(l)}; m = \sum_{s=1}^r 2^{r-s}t_s^{(m)}$ for some $t_s^{(k)}, t_s^{(l)}, t_s^{(m)} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Proof. Observe that $|Y| = 2^r = |\mathcal{S}_k|$ for all $0 \leq k \leq 2^r - 1$. The relations \mathcal{S}_k are disjoint and $\cup \mathcal{S}_k: 0 \leq k \leq 2^r - 1 = \mathcal{P}$. For arbitrary relations $\mathcal{S}_l, \mathcal{S}_m, \mathcal{S}_k$ in \mathcal{P} , we prove that the parameters $p_{lm}^k = |a\mathcal{S}_l \cap b\mathcal{S}_m^*|$ is constant for $(a, b) \in \mathcal{S}_k$. Let (a, b) be an arbitrary element of Y in \mathcal{S}_k where $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r), b = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r)$. Let $a\mathcal{S}_l = a' = (a'_1, a'_2, \dots, a'_r)$ and $b\mathcal{S}_m^* = b' = (b'_1, b'_2, \dots, b'_r)$. Now $(a, b) \in \mathcal{S}_k$ implies $b_s \equiv (t_s^{(k)} + a_s) \pmod 2$ where $k = \sum_{s=1}^r 2^{r-s} t_s^{(k)}$ for some $t_s^{(k)} \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \forall 1 \leq s \leq r$. Similarly, $(a, a') \in \mathcal{S}_l$ and $(b', b) \in \mathcal{S}_m$, implies $a'_s \equiv (t_s^{(l)} + a_s) \pmod 2$ where $l = \sum_{s=1}^r 2^{r-s} t_s^{(l)}$ for some $t_s^{(l)} \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \forall 1 \leq s \leq r$, and $b_s \equiv (t_s^{(l)} + b'_s) \pmod 2$ where $m = \sum_{s=1}^r 2^{r-s} t_s^{(m)}$ for some $t_s^{(m)} \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \forall 1 \leq s \leq r$. We observe that $p_{lm}^k = 1$ if and only if $a' = b'$ that is, if $t_s^{(k)} \equiv (t_s^{(l)} + t_s^{(m)}) \pmod 2$ for all $1 \leq s \leq r$.

To show (Y, \mathcal{P}) is a symmetric association scheme, we prove that $\mathcal{S}_k^* = \mathcal{S}_k$ for all $0 \leq k < 2^r$. Let $\mathcal{S}_k^* = \mathcal{S}_K$ where $K = \sum_{s=1}^r 2^{r-s} T_s$ and $k = \sum_{s=1}^r 2^{r-s} t_s$ for some $t_s, T_s \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. If $(b, a) \in \mathcal{S}_K$, then further $a_s \equiv T_s + b_s \pmod 2$ and $b_s \equiv t_s + a_s \pmod 2$ which implies that $T_s = t_s \forall 1 \leq s \leq r$. Thus $K = k$, and hence, $\mathcal{S}_k^* = \mathcal{S}_k$. \square

Theorem 2. Let $Y = \mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_2} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_r}$, where n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r are pairwise co-prime. The relations \mathcal{S}_k on \mathcal{P} defined by

$$\mathcal{S}_k = \{(a, b) \mid b_s \equiv (k + a_s) \pmod{n_s} \forall 1 \leq s \leq r\}$$

$$a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r), b = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r) \in Y\}$$

where $0 \leq k < n_1 n_2 \dots n_r$,

is a non-symmetric and commutative association scheme with parameters

$$p_{lm}^k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k \equiv (l + m) \pmod{n_s} \forall 1 \leq s \leq r \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $0 \leq k, l, m \leq n_1 n_2 \dots n_r - 1$.

Proof. Observe that $|Y| = n_1 n_2 \dots n_r = |\mathcal{S}_k|$ for all $0 \leq k < n_1 n_2 \dots n_r$. The relations \mathcal{S}_k being disjoint, form partition of \mathcal{P} . For arbitrary relations $\mathcal{S}_l, \mathcal{S}_m, \mathcal{S}_k$ in \mathcal{P} , we prove that for each pair a, b with $(a, b) \in \mathcal{S}_k$, the number of elements in the set $\{c \in Y \mid (a, c) \in \mathcal{S}_l, (c, b) \in \mathcal{S}_m\}$ is invariant. Let $(a, b) \in \mathcal{S}_k$ where $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r), b = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r) \in Y$. Further, suppose that $a\mathcal{S}_l = a' = (a'_1, a'_2, \dots, a'_r), b\mathcal{S}_m^* = b' = (b'_1, b'_2, \dots, b'_r)$. Now $(a, b) \in \mathcal{S}_k$ implies $b_s \equiv (k + a_s) \pmod{n_s} \forall 1 \leq s \leq r$. Similarly, $(a, a') \in \mathcal{S}_l$ and $(b', b) \in \mathcal{S}_m$. We get $a'_s \equiv (l + a_s) \pmod{n_s}$ and $b_s \equiv (m + b'_s) \pmod{n_s} \forall 1 \leq s \leq r$.

The above equations give that, $p_{lm}^k = 1$ if and only if $a' = b'$. That is, $p_{lm}^k = 1$ whenever $k \equiv (l + m) \pmod{n_s} \forall 1 \leq s \leq r$. Hence, (Y, \mathcal{P}) is a non-symmetric and commutative association scheme. \square

Theorem 3. Let $Y = \mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_2} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_r}$, where $2 < n_1 \leq n_2 \leq \dots \leq n_r$ and $\gcd(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r) \neq 1$. The relations \mathcal{S}_k on \mathcal{P} defined by

$$\mathcal{S}_k = \{(a, b) | b_r \equiv (k + a_r) \pmod{n_r}, b_s \equiv (k + t_s + a_s) \pmod{n_s} \forall 1 \leq s < r | t_s \in \mathbb{Z}_{n_s}, a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r), b = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r) \in Y\}$$

where $k = n_2 n_3 \dots n_r t_1 + n_3 n_4 \dots n_r t_2 + \dots + n_r t_{r-1} + t_r$,

is a non-symmetric and commutative association scheme with parameters

$$p_{lm}^k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k \equiv (l + m) \pmod{n_r}, \text{ and } k + t_s^{(k)} \equiv (l + m + t_s^{(l)} + t_s^{(m)}) \pmod{n_s} \forall 1 \leq s < r \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $k = n_2 n_3 \dots n_r t_1^{(k)} + n_3 n_4 \dots n_r t_2^{(k)} + \dots + n_r t_{r-1}^{(k)} + t_r^{(k)}$; $l = n_2 n_3 \dots n_r t_1^{(l)} + n_3 n_4 \dots n_r t_2^{(l)} + \dots + n_r t_{r-1}^{(l)} + t_r^{(l)}$; $m = n_2 n_3 \dots n_r t_1^{(m)} + n_3 n_4 \dots n_r t_2^{(m)} + \dots + n_r t_{r-1}^{(m)} + t_r^{(m)}$ for some $t_s^{(k)}, t_s^{(l)}, t_s^{(m)} \in \mathbb{Z}_{n_s}$ where $1 \leq s \leq r$.

For each $0 \leq k < n_1 n_2 \dots n_r$, $\mathcal{S}_k^* = \mathcal{S}_K$ can be calculated by solving the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} K + k &\equiv 0 \pmod{n_r} \\ K + k + T_s + t_s &\equiv 0 \pmod{n_s} \forall 1 \leq s \leq r - 1 \end{aligned}$$

where $k = n_2 n_3 \dots n_r t_1 + n_3 n_4 \dots n_r t_2 + \dots + n_r t_{r-1} + t_r$ and $K = n_2 n_3 \dots n_r T_1 + n_3 n_4 \dots n_r T_2 + \dots + n_r T_{r-1} + T_r$ for some $t_s, T_s \in \mathbb{Z}_{n_s}$.

Proof. Again recall, $|Y| = n_1 n_2 \dots n_r = |\mathcal{S}_k|$ for all $0 \leq k < n_1 n_2 \dots n_r$. Above defined relations \mathcal{S}_k being disjoint, form a partition of \mathcal{P} . For arbitrary relations $\mathcal{S}_l, \mathcal{S}_m, \mathcal{S}_k$ in \mathcal{P} , we show that for each $(a, b) \in \mathcal{S}_k$, the number of elements in the set $\{c \in Y | (a, c) \in \mathcal{S}_l, (c, b) \in \mathcal{S}_m\}$ is invariant. Let $(a, b) \in \mathcal{S}_k$ where $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r), b = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r) \in Y$. Suppose $a \mathcal{S}_l = a' = (a'_1, a'_2, \dots, a'_r), b \mathcal{S}_m^* = b' = (b'_1, b'_2, \dots, b'_r)$. Then $(a, b) \in \mathcal{S}_k$ implies $b_r \equiv (k + a_r) \pmod{n_r}, b_s \equiv (k + t_s^{(k)} + a_s) \pmod{n_s} \forall 1 \leq s \leq r - 1$ where $k = n_2 n_3 \dots n_r t_1^{(k)} + n_3 n_4 \dots n_r t_2^{(k)} + \dots + n_r t_{r-1}^{(k)} + t_r^{(k)}$ for some $t_s \in \mathbb{Z}_{n_s} \forall 1 \leq s \leq r$.

Since $(a, a') \in \mathcal{S}_l$ and $(b', b) \in \mathcal{S}_m$,

we have $a'_r \equiv (l + a_r) \pmod{n_r}, a'_s \equiv (l + t_s^{(l)} + a_s) \pmod{n_s} \forall 1 \leq s \leq r - 1$ where $l = n_2 n_3 \dots n_r t_1^{(l)} + n_3 n_4 \dots n_r t_2^{(l)} + \dots + n_r t_{r-1}^{(l)} + t_r^{(l)}$ for some $t_s^{(l)} \in \mathbb{Z}_{n_s} \forall 1 \leq s \leq r$, and

$b_r \equiv (m + b'_r) \pmod{n_r}, b_s \equiv (m + t_s^{(m)} + b'_s) \pmod{n_s} \forall 1 \leq s \leq r - 1$ where $m = n_2 n_3 \dots n_r t_1^{(m)} + n_3 n_4 \dots n_r t_2^{(m)} + \dots + n_r t_{r-1}^{(m)} + t_r^{(m)}$ for some $t_s^{(m)} \in \mathbb{Z}_{n_s} \forall 1 \leq s \leq r$.

We observe that $p_{lm}^k = 1$ if and only if $a' = b'$. That is, $p_{lm}^k = 1$ if $k \equiv (l + m) \pmod{n_r}$ and $k + t_s^{(k)} \equiv (l + m + t_s^{(l)} + t_s^{(m)}) \pmod{n_s} \forall 1 \leq s \leq r - 1$. Hence, (Y, \mathcal{P}) is a non-symmetric and commutative association scheme.

Next, we find \mathcal{S}_k^* . Let $\mathcal{S}_k^* = \mathcal{S}_K$ where $K = n_2 n_3 \dots n_r T_1 + n_3 n_4 \dots n_r T_2 + \dots + n_r T_{r-1} + T_r$ for some $T_s \in \mathbb{Z}_{n_s}$. If $(b, a) \in \mathcal{S}_K$, then $a_r \equiv K + b_r \pmod{n_r}; a_s \equiv K + T_s + b_s \pmod{n_s} \forall 1 \leq s \leq r - 1$. Also, since $(a, b) \in \mathcal{S}_k$, we get $b_r \equiv k + a_r \pmod{n_r}; b_s \equiv k + t_s + a_s \pmod{n_s} \forall 1 \leq s \leq r - 1$.

This implies that $K + k \equiv 0 \pmod{n_r}$ and $K + k + T_s + t_s \equiv 0 \pmod{n_s} \forall 1 \leq s \leq r - 1$. Solving these equations, we get the value of K as claimed. \square

Corollary 1. Let $Y = \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{Z}_m)$ be the set of all $n \times n$ matrices over \mathbb{Z}_m where $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2$. Any element of $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{Z}_m)$ can be written as n^2 -tuple in $\mathbb{Z}_m^{n^2}$. Therefore, relations defined on $\mathbb{Z}_m^{n^2}$ will form an association scheme over $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{Z}_m)$.

Proof. Follows from Theorem 1 when $m = 2$, and from Theorem 3 when $m > 2$. \square

Presentations of some general linear groups $GL(2, \mathbb{Z}_n)$ are given in [15] for $n = 4, 6, 8, 10$. With the help of these presentations, we can compute the canonical form for these groups (provided in Table 1 Canonical forms of some general linear groups

The the canonical form of the presentation of $GL(2, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is $A^a B^b: 0 \leq a \leq 1, 0 \leq b \leq 2$. The group is isomorphic onto S_3 , symmetric group on 3-symbols. Hence, another familiar presentation can be given as $GL(2, \mathbb{Z}_2) = \langle A, B | A^2 = B^3 = I, AB = B^{-1}A \rangle$. Using this presentation, we get a non symmetric association scheme.

Table 1 Canonical forms of some general linear groups

Group	Generators	Presentation	Canonical form
$GL(2, \mathbb{Z}_2)$	A, B	$\tau^2 = \sigma^3 = (\tau\sigma)^3 = 1$	$A^a B^b:$ $0 \leq a \leq 1, 0 \leq b \leq 2$
$GL(2, \mathbb{Z}_4)$	A, B, C	$A^2 = B^2 = C^4 = X^3 = Y^4$ $= (CBA)^4 = I,$ $C^2 A = AC^2, C^2 B = BC^2, BC = C^{-1}B$ where $X = AB$ and $Y = XCX$	$A^a C^b Y^c X^d:$ $0 \leq a \leq 1, 0 \leq b, c \leq 3,$ $0 \leq d \leq 2$
$GL(2, \mathbb{Z}_6)$	A, B, C	$A^2 = B^2 = C^4 = X^{12} = Y^6$ $= (AB)^3 = (BC)^2 = I,$ $C^A = AC^2, C^2 B = BC^2,$ $(AC)^{12} = C^2,$ $(CA)^2 B (CA)^2 = (AC)^2 B (AC)^2$ where $X = B(CA)^2 B$ and $Y = CAB$	$C^a X^b Y^c B^d:$ $0 \leq a, d \leq 1,$ $0 \leq b \leq 11, 0 \leq c \leq 5$

Theorem 4. Let $Y = GL(2, \mathbb{Z}_2)$. Define relations \mathcal{S}_k on \mathcal{P} by

$$\mathcal{S}_k = \{(A^a B^b, A^{k+a} B^{k+b}) | 0 \leq a \leq 1, 0 \leq b \leq 2\} \text{ for all } 0 \leq k \leq 5.$$

Then (Y, \mathcal{P}) is a non symmetric association scheme with parameters as follows:

$$p_{lm}^k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = l + m, \\ 0 & \text{if } k \neq l + m \end{cases}$$

where $0 \leq k, l, m \leq 5$.

Proof. Observe that $\mathcal{S}_0 = \{(M, M) | M \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z}_2)\}$ is an identity relation. For arbitrary relations $\mathcal{S}_l, \mathcal{S}_m, \mathcal{S}_k \in \mathcal{P}$, we find the cardinality p_{lm}^k such that $|M\mathcal{S}_l \cap N\mathcal{S}_m^*| = p_{lm}^k$ for all $(M, N) \in \mathcal{S}_k$. Let $(M, N) \in \mathcal{S}_k$ and let $M\mathcal{S}_l = M'$ and $N\mathcal{S}_m^* = N'$. That is, $M = A^a B^b, N = A^{k+a} B^{k+b}; M = A^{a_1} B^{b_1}, M' = A^{l+a_1} B^{l+b_1}; N' = A^{a_2} B^{b_2}, N = A^{m+a_2} B^{m+b_2}$ where $0 \leq a, a_1, a_2 \leq 1$ and $0 \leq$

$b, b_1, b_2 \leq 2$. Since every pair (M, N) in Y are k^{th} associates for exactly one k , we find that p_{lm}^k can be either 0 or 1. Hence, with the help of the above equations, we obtain $p_{lm}^k = 1$ if $M' = N'$ equivalently, if $k = l + m$. Moreover, $p_{lm}^k = 0$ if $M' \neq N'$ that is, whenever $k \neq l + m$. Also it can be easily proved that the relations are not symmetric and hence (Y, \mathcal{P}) is a non symmetric association scheme. \square

Theorem 5. Let $Y = GL(2, \mathbb{Z}_4)$ and \mathcal{P} be a partition of $Y \times Y$. For all k written in form of $48s + 16n + 4t + r$ ($s \in \mathbb{Z}_2; n \in \mathbb{Z}_3; r, t \in \mathbb{Z}_4$), the relations \mathcal{S}_k in \mathcal{P} defined by

$$\mathcal{S}_k = \{(A^a C^b Y^c X^d, A^{a+s} C^{b+t} Y^{c+r} X^{d+n}) \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}_2; b, c \in \mathbb{Z}_4; d \in \mathbb{Z}_3\}$$

is a non-symmetric association scheme with parameters

$$p_{lm}^k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s^{(k)} \equiv (s^{(l)} + s^{(m)}) \pmod 2, \\ & n^{(k)} \equiv (n^{(l)} + n^{(m)}) \pmod 2, \\ & t^{(k)} \equiv (t^{(l)} + t^{(m)}) \pmod 4, \text{ and} \\ & r^{(k)} \equiv (r^{(l)} + r^{(m)}) \pmod 4 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Observe that $|\mathcal{S}_k| = |Y|$ for all $0 \leq k < 96$. The relations \mathcal{S}_k are disjoint and $\cup \mathcal{S}_k: 0 \leq k < 96 = \mathcal{P}$.

$\mathcal{S}_0 = \{(M, M) : M \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z}_4)\}$ is an identity relation. Let $\mathcal{S}_l, \mathcal{S}_m, \mathcal{S}_k$ be arbitrary relations in \mathcal{P} and (M, N) be any element of \mathcal{S}_k . Since $M \in Y$, M is of the form $A^a C^b Y^c X^d$ for some a, b, c, d (from Table [table:canonical forms An, Sn]). Suppose $M\mathcal{S}_l = M'$ and $N\mathcal{S}_m^* = N'$ where $M', N' \in Y$.

Now $(M, N) \in \mathcal{S}_k, (M, M') \in \mathcal{S}_l$ and $(N', N) \in \mathcal{S}_m$, implies

$$N = A^{(a+s^{(k)})_{\pmod 2}} C^{(b+t^{(k)})_{\pmod 4}} Y^{(c+r^{(k)})_{\pmod 4}} X^{(d+n^{(k)})_{\pmod 3}},$$

$$M' = A^{(a+s^{(l)})_{\pmod 2}} C^{(b+t^{(l)})_{\pmod 4}} Y^{(c+r^{(l)})_{\pmod 4}} X^{(d+n^{(l)})_{\pmod 3}}, \text{ and}$$

$$N' = A^{(a+s^{(k)}-s^{(m)})_{\pmod 2}} C^{(b+t^{(k)}-t^{(m)})_{\pmod 4}} Y^{(c+r^{(k)}-r^{(m)})_{\pmod 4}} X^{(d+n^{(k)}-n^{(m)})_{\pmod 3}}$$

respectively, where $k = 48s^{(k)} + 16n^{(k)} + 4t^{(k)} + r^{(k)}, l = 48s^{(l)} + 16n^{(l)} + 4t^{(l)} + r^{(l)}$ and $m = 48s^{(m)} + 16n^{(m)} + 4t^{(m)} + r^{(m)}$, for some $s^{(k)}, s^{(l)}, s^{(m)} \in \mathbb{Z}_2; n^{(k)}, n^{(l)}, n^{(m)} \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ and $t^{(k)}, t^{(l)}, t^{(m)}, r^{(k)}, r^{(l)}, r^{(m)} \in \mathbb{Z}_4$.

Since every pair (M, N) in \mathcal{P} are k^{th} associates for exactly one k , we find that p_{lm}^k can be either 0 or 1. Hence we obtain that $p_{lm}^k = 1$ if and only if $M' = N'$ that is, if $s^{(k)} \equiv (s^{(l)} + s^{(m)}) \pmod 2, t^{(k)} \equiv (t^{(l)} + t^{(m)}) \pmod 4, r^{(k)} \equiv (r^{(l)} + r^{(m)}) \pmod 4$ and $n^{(k)} \equiv (n^{(l)} + n^{(m)}) \pmod 3$. \square

Theorem 6. Let $Y = GL(2, \mathbb{Z}_6)$ and \mathcal{P} be a partition of $Y \times Y$. For all k written in form of $144s + 72n + 12t + r$ ($s, n \in \mathbb{Z}_2, t \in \mathbb{Z}_6, r \in \mathbb{Z}_{12}$), the relations \mathcal{S}_k in \mathcal{P} defined by

$$\mathcal{S}_k = \{(C^a X^b Y^c B^d, C^{a+s} X^{b+r} Y^{c+t} B^{d+n}) \mid a, d \in \mathbb{Z}_2; b \in \mathbb{Z}_{12}; c \in \mathbb{Z}_6\}$$

is a non-symmetric association scheme with parameters

$$p_{lm}^k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s^{(k)} \equiv (s^{(l)} + s^{(m)}) \pmod{2}, \\ & n^{(k)} \equiv (n^{(l)} + n^{(m)}) \pmod{2}, \\ & t^{(k)} \equiv (t^{(l)} + t^{(m)}) \pmod{6}, \text{ and} \\ & r^{(k)} \equiv (r^{(l)} + r^{(m)}) \pmod{12} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Here, $|c_k| = |Y|$ for all $0 \leq k < 288$. The relations \mathcal{S}_k are disjoint and $\cup \mathcal{S}_k: 0 \leq k < 288 = \mathcal{P}$. Proceeding as in proof of theorem 5, we can find cardinality p_{lm}^k such that for all $(x, y) \in \mathcal{S}_k$, $|x\mathcal{S}_l \cap y\mathcal{S}_m^*| = p_{lm}^k$ is a constant. \square

Note: Let $SL(2, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ be the special linear group over \mathbb{Z}_p and $GL(2, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ be general linear group over \mathbb{Z}_p . The structure of these conjugacy classes are worked out in detail in [16]. Using these classes one can compute group association scheme for $SL(2, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ and $GL(2, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ using Definition 2.

Let us represent S_n as the symmetric group of degree n . Group association scheme for symmetric groups have been described by Tomiyama and Yamazaki in [17]. In [13], Sabharwal et al. identified the association schemes for the symmetric groups S_3 and S_4 without using the conjugacy classes. In next theorem, we have determined non symmetric commutative association scheme for the symmetric groups S_4 and S_5 and alternating groups A_3, A_4 and A_5 without using conjugacy classes.

Lemma 2. *Let $X = A_3 = \sigma^i: 0 \leq i \leq 2$ where $\sigma^3 = 1$. Then the relations \mathcal{S}_k on \mathcal{P} defined by*

$$\mathcal{S}_k = \{(\sigma^i, \sigma^{k+i}) \mid 0 \leq i \leq 2\} \text{ for all } 0 \leq k \leq 2$$

is a non-symmetric commutative association scheme and intersection numbers of this association scheme are as follows:

$$p_{lm}^k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = l + m, \\ 0 & \text{if } k \neq l + m \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since A_3 is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_3 by mapping $\sigma^i \mapsto i$, and the set of relations $\{(i, k+i) \mid i = 0,1,2\}$ forms AS for \mathbb{Z}_3 , we can conclude the result. \square

Presentations of alternating and symmetric groups of degree less than 8 are given in [18]. With the help of these presentations, we can compute the canonical form for these groups (provided in Table 2 Canonical forms of alternating and symmetric groups of degree 4 and 5). In [13], canonical form of S_4 is discussed.

Table 2 Canonical forms of alternating and symmetric groups of degree 4 and 5

Group	Generators	Presentation	Canonical form
A_4	τ, σ	$A^2 = B^3 = I, AB = B^{-1}A$	$\tau^a \sigma \tau^b \sigma^c:$ $0 \leq a, b \leq 1,$ $0 \leq c \leq 2$
S_4	τ, σ	$\tau^2 = \sigma^3 = (\tau\sigma)^3 = 1$	$A^2 \tau^a \sigma^b \tau \sigma^c:$ $0 \leq a \leq 1, 0 \leq b \leq 2,$ $0 \leq c \leq 3$

A_5	τ, σ	$\tau^2 = \sigma^3 = \gamma^5 = 1$ where $\gamma = \tau\sigma$	$\tau^a \sigma \tau \sigma^2 \gamma^b \tau^c \sigma \tau \sigma^d$: $0 \leq a, c \leq 1,$ $0 \leq b \leq 4, 0 \leq d \leq 2$
S_5	τ, σ	$\tau^5 = \sigma^6 = (\gamma)^2 = (\delta)^2 = 1$ where $\gamma = \tau\sigma$ and $\delta = \tau^2\sigma^2$	$\tau^a \sigma \gamma^b \tau^4 \sigma^5 \delta^c \tau \sigma^d$: $0 \leq a \leq 4, 0 \leq b, c \leq 1,$ $0 \leq d \leq 5$

Theorem 7. Let $Y = A_4$ and \mathcal{P} be a partition of $Y \times Y$. For all k written in form of $3n + t$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}_4, t \in \mathbb{Z}_3$), the relations \mathcal{S}_k on \mathcal{P} defined by

$$\mathcal{S}_k = \left\{ \left(\alpha_i^{(j)}, \alpha_{(i+t) \bmod 3}^{(j+n) \bmod 4} \right) \mid 0 \leq i \leq 2, 0 \leq j \leq 3 \right\}$$

where $\alpha_i^{(0)} = \sigma^{i+1}, \alpha_i^{(1)} = \sigma\tau\sigma^i, \alpha_i^{(2)} = \tau\sigma^{i+1}, \alpha_i^{(3)} = \tau\sigma\tau\sigma^i$

is a non-symmetric association scheme with parameters

$$p_{lm}^k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n^{(k)} \equiv (n^{(l)} + n^{(m)}) \bmod 4, \text{ and} \\ & t^{(k)} \equiv (t^{(l)} + t^{(m)}) \bmod 3 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $k = 3n^{(k)} + t^{(k)}; l = 3n^{(l)} + t^{(l)}; m = 3n^{(m)} + t^{(m)}$; for some $t^{(k)}, t^{(l)}, t^{(m)} \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ and $n^{(k)}, n^{(l)}, n^{(m)} \in \mathbb{Z}_4$.

Proof. Observe that $|\mathcal{S}_k| = |Y|$ for all $0 \leq k < 12$. The relations \mathcal{S}_k are disjoint and $\cup \mathcal{S}_k: 0 \leq k < 12 = \mathcal{P}$.

$\mathcal{S}_0 = \left\{ \left(\alpha_i^{(j)}, \alpha_i^{(j)} \right) : 0 \leq i \leq 2, 0 \leq j \leq 3 \right\}$ is an identity relation. For arbitrary relations $\mathcal{S}_l, \mathcal{S}_m, \mathcal{S}_k$ in \mathcal{P} , we prove that for all $(x, y) \in \mathcal{S}_k, |x\mathcal{S}_l \cap y\mathcal{S}_m^*|$ is constant. Now let (x, y) be an arbitrary element of \mathcal{S}_k . Since $x \in Y, x$ is of the form $\tau^a \sigma \tau^b \sigma^c$ for some a, b, c (from Table [table:canonical forms A_n, S_n]) and further $x = \alpha_i^{(j)}$ for some i, j . Suppose $x\mathcal{S}_l = x'$ and $y\mathcal{S}_m^* = y'$ where $x', y' \in Y$.

Now $(x, y) \in \mathcal{S}_k$ implies $y = \alpha_{(i+t^{(k)}) \bmod 3}^{(j+n^{(k)}) \bmod 4}$ where $k = 3n^{(k)} + t^{(k)}$ for some $t^{(k)} \in \mathbb{Z}_3, n^{(k)} \in \mathbb{Z}_4$.

Similarly, $(x, x') \in \mathcal{S}_l$ and $(y', y) \in \mathcal{S}_m$, implies $x' = \alpha_{(i+t^{(l)}) \bmod 3}^{(j+n^{(l)}) \bmod 4}$ where $l = 3n^{(l)} + t^{(l)}$ for some

$t^{(l)} \in \mathbb{Z}_3, n^{(l)} \in \mathbb{Z}_4$, and $y' = \alpha_{(i+t^{(k)}-t^{(m)}) \bmod 3}^{(j+n^{(k)}-n^{(m)}) \bmod 4}$ where $m = 3n^{(m)} + t^{(m)}$ for some $t^{(m)} \in$

$\mathbb{Z}_3, n^{(m)} \in \mathbb{Z}_4$. Since every pair (x, y) in \mathcal{P} are k^{th} associates for exactly one k , we find that p_{lm}^k can be either 0 or 1. Hence we obtain that $p_{lm}^k = 1$ if and only if $x' = y'$ that is, if $t^{(k)} \equiv (t^{(l)} + t^{(m)}) \bmod 3$ and $n^{(k)} \equiv (n^{(l)} + n^{(m)}) \bmod 4$. \square

Theorem 8. Let $Y = A_5$ and \mathcal{P} be a partition of $Y \times Y$. For all k written in form of $12n + 4t + r$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}_5, t \in \mathbb{Z}_3, r \in \mathbb{Z}_4$), the relations \mathcal{S}_k on \mathcal{P} defined by

$$\mathcal{S}_k = \left\{ \left(\alpha_{ij}^{(s)}, \alpha_{(i+n) \bmod 5 (j+t) \bmod 3}^{(s+r) \bmod 4} \right) \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_5, j \in \mathbb{Z}_3, s \in \mathbb{Z}_4 \right\}$$

where $\alpha_{ij}^{(0)} = \sigma\tau\sigma^2\gamma^i\sigma\tau\sigma^j, \alpha_{ij}^{(1)} = \sigma\tau\sigma^2\gamma^i\tau\sigma\tau\sigma^j, \alpha_{ij}^{(2)} = \tau\sigma\tau\sigma^2\gamma^i\sigma\tau\sigma^j, \alpha_{ij}^{(3)} = \tau\sigma\tau\sigma^2\gamma^i\tau\sigma\tau\sigma^j$

is a non-symmetric association scheme with parameters

$$p_{lm}^k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n^{(k)} \equiv (n^{(l)} + n^{(m)}) \pmod{5}, \\ & t^{(k)} \equiv (t^{(l)} + t^{(m)}) \pmod{3}, \text{ and} \\ & r^{(k)} \equiv (r^{(l)} + r^{(m)}) \pmod{4} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Observe that $|\mathcal{S}_k| = |Y|$ for all $0 \leq k < 60$. The relations \mathcal{S}_k are disjoint and $\cup \{\mathcal{S}_k : 0 \leq k < 60\} = \mathcal{P}$.

$\mathcal{S}_0 = \{(\alpha_{ij}^{(s)}, \alpha_{ij}^{(s)}) : 0 \leq i \leq 4, 0 \leq j \leq 2, 0 \leq s \leq 3\}$ is an identity relation. Let $\mathcal{S}_l, \mathcal{S}_m, \mathcal{S}_k$ be arbitrary relations in \mathcal{P} and (x, y) be any element of \mathcal{S}_k . Since $x \in Y$, x is of the form $\tau^a\sigma\tau\sigma^2\gamma^b\tau^c\sigma\tau\sigma^d$ for some a, b, c, d (from Table [table:canonical forms An,Sn]) and further $x = \alpha_{ij}^{(s)}$ for some i, j, s . Suppose $x\mathcal{S}_l = x'$ and $y\mathcal{S}_m^* = y'$ where $x', y' \in Y$.

Now $(x, y) \in \mathcal{S}_k$ implies $y = \alpha_{(i+n^{(k)})_{\pmod{5}}(j+t^{(k)})_{\pmod{3}}}^{(s+r^{(k)})_{\pmod{4}}}$ where $k = 12n^{(k)} + 4t^{(k)} + r^{(k)}$ for some $t^{(k)} \in \mathbb{Z}_3, n^{(k)} \in \mathbb{Z}_5, r^{(k)} \in \mathbb{Z}_4$. Similarly, $(x, x') \in \mathcal{S}_l$ and $(y', y) \in \mathcal{S}_m$, implies $x' = \alpha_{(i+n^{(l)})_{\pmod{5}}(j+t^{(l)})_{\pmod{3}}}^{(s+r^{(l)})_{\pmod{4}}}$ where $l = 12n^{(l)} + 4t^{(l)} + r^{(l)}$ for some $t^{(l)} \in \mathbb{Z}_3, n^{(l)} \in \mathbb{Z}_5, r^{(l)} \in \mathbb{Z}_4$; and $y' = \alpha_{(i+n^{(k)}-n^{(m)})_{\pmod{5}}(j+t^{(k)}-t^{(m)})_{\pmod{3}}}^{(s+r^{(k)}-r^{(m)})_{\pmod{4}}}$ where $m = 12n^{(m)} + 4t^{(m)} + r^{(m)}$ for some $t^{(m)} \in \mathbb{Z}_3, n^{(m)} \in \mathbb{Z}_5, r^{(m)} \in \mathbb{Z}_4$. Since every pair (x, y) in \mathcal{P} are k^{th} associates for exactly one k , we find that p_{lm}^k can be either 0 or 1. Hence we obtain that $p_{lm}^k = 1$ if and only if $x' = y'$ that is, if $t^{(k)} \equiv (t^{(l)} + t^{(m)}) \pmod{3}, r^{(k)} \equiv (r^{(l)} + r^{(m)}) \pmod{4}$ and $n^{(k)} \equiv (n^{(l)} + n^{(m)}) \pmod{5}$. \square

Theorem 9. Let $Y = S_4$ and \mathcal{P} be a partition of $Y \times Y$. For all k written in form of $4n + t$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}_6, t \in \mathbb{Z}_4$), the relations \mathcal{S}_k on \mathcal{P} defined by

$$\mathcal{S}_k = \{(\alpha_i^{(j)}, \alpha_{(i+t)_{\pmod{4}}}^{(j+n)_{\pmod{6}}}) \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_4, j \in \mathbb{Z}_6\}$$

where $\alpha_i^{(0)} = \tau\sigma^i, \alpha_i^{(1)} = \sigma\tau\sigma^i, \alpha_i^{(2)} = \sigma^2\tau\sigma^i, \alpha_i^{(3)} = \sigma^i, \alpha_i^{(4)} = \tau\sigma\tau\sigma^i, \alpha_i^{(5)} = \tau\sigma^2\tau\sigma^i$

is a non-symmetric association scheme with parameters

$$p_{lm}^k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n^{(k)} \equiv (n^{(l)} + n^{(m)}) \pmod{6}, \text{ and} \\ & t^{(k)} \equiv (t^{(l)} + t^{(m)}) \pmod{4} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proof. $\mathcal{S}_0 = \{(\alpha_i^{(j)}, \alpha_i^{(j)}) : 0 \leq i \leq 2, 0 \leq j \leq 3\}$ is an identity relation. It can be verified that (Y, \mathcal{P}) is an association scheme and the relations are non-symmetric.

Let $\mathcal{S}_l, \mathcal{S}_m, \mathcal{S}_k \in \mathcal{P}$. To find cardinality p_{lm}^k such that for all $(x, y) \in \mathcal{S}_k, |x\mathcal{S}_l \cap y\mathcal{S}_m^*| = p_{lm}^k$.

Let $(x, y) \in \mathcal{S}_k$, $x\mathcal{S}_l = x'$ and $y\mathcal{S}_m^* = y'$ where $x', y' \in Y$. Since $x \in Y$, x is of the form $\tau^a \sigma^b \tau \sigma^c$ for some a, b, c (from Table [table:canonical forms An,Sn]) and further $x = \alpha_i^{(j)}$ for some i, j . Proceeding as in proof of previous theorem, we get $y = \alpha_{(i+t^{(k)})_{\text{mod } 4}}^{(j+n^{(k)})_{\text{mod } 6}}$ where $k = 4n^{(k)} + t^{(k)}$ for some $t^{(k)} \in \mathbb{Z}_4, n^{(k)} \in \mathbb{Z}_6$; $x' = \alpha_{(i+t^{(l)})_{\text{mod } 4}}^{(j+n^{(l)})_{\text{mod } 6}}$ where $l = 4n^{(l)} + t^{(l)}$ for some $t^{(l)} \in \mathbb{Z}_4, n^{(l)} \in \mathbb{Z}_6$, and $y' = \alpha_{(i+t^{(k)}-t^{(m)})_{\text{mod } 4}}^{(j+n^{(k)}-n^{(m)})_{\text{mod } 6}}$ where $m = 4n^{(m)} + t^{(m)}$ for some $t^{(m)} \in \mathbb{Z}_4, n^{(m)} \in \mathbb{Z}_6$. Using these equations, we have $p_{lm}^k = 1$ if and only if $x' = y'$ that is, if $t^{(k)} \equiv (t^{(l)} + t^{(m)}) \pmod{4}$ and $n^{(k)} \equiv (n^{(l)} + n^{(m)}) \pmod{6}$. \square

Theorem 10. Let $Y = S_5$ and \mathcal{P} be a partition of $Y \times Y$. For all k written in form of $24t + 4n + r$ ($t \in \mathbb{Z}_5, n \in \mathbb{Z}_6, r \in \mathbb{Z}_4$), the relations \mathcal{S}_k on \mathcal{P} defined by

$$\mathcal{S}_k = \left\{ \left(\alpha_{ij}^{(s)}, \alpha_{(i+t)_{\text{mod } 5}(j+n)_{\text{mod } 6}}^{(s+r)_{\text{mod } 4}} \right) \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_5, j \in \mathbb{Z}_6, s \in \mathbb{Z}_4 \right\}$$

where $\alpha_{ij}^{(0)} = \tau^i \sigma \tau^4 \sigma^5 \tau \sigma^j$, $\alpha_{ij}^{(1)} = \tau^i \sigma \gamma \tau^4 \sigma^5 \tau \sigma^j$, $\alpha_{ij}^{(2)} = \tau^i \sigma \tau^4 \sigma^5 \delta \tau \sigma^j$, $\alpha_{ij}^{(3)} = \tau^i \sigma \gamma \tau^4 \sigma^5 \delta \tau \sigma^j$

is a non-symmetric association scheme with parameters

$$p_{lm}^k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n^{(k)} \equiv (n^{(l)} + n^{(m)}) \pmod{6}, \\ & t^{(k)} \equiv (t^{(l)} + t^{(m)}) \pmod{5}, \text{ and} \\ & r^{(k)} \equiv (r^{(l)} + r^{(m)}) \pmod{4} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Here, $|\mathcal{S}_k| = |Y|$ for all $0 \leq k < 120$. The relations \mathcal{S}_k are disjoint and $\cup \mathcal{S}_k : 0 \leq k < 120 = \mathcal{P}$. Proceeding as in proof of theorem 8, we can find cardinality p_{lm}^k such that for all $(x, y) \in \mathcal{S}_k$, $|x\mathcal{S}_l \cap y\mathcal{S}_m^*| = p_{lm}^k$ is a constant. \square

References

- [1] R. C. Bose and T. Shimamoto, "Classification and Analysis of Partially Balanced Incomplete Block Designs with Two Associate Classes," *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, vol. 47, no. 258, pp. 151-184, 1952.
- [2] P. Delsarte and V. Levenshtein, "Association schemes and coding theory," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 44, no. 6, pp. 2477 - 2504, 1998.
- [3] T.-T. Xia, Y.-Y. Tan, X. Liang and J. H. Koolen, "On association schemes generated by a relation or an idempotent," *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, vol. 670, pp. 1-18, 2023.
- [4] A. Švob, "LCD codes from equitable partitions of association schemes," *Applicable Algebra in Engineering, Communication and Computing*, vol. 34, p. 889-896, 2021.
- [5] B. Xu, "Partial geometric designs arising from association schemes," *European Journal of Combinatorics*, vol. 109, 2023.
- [6] Y. Filmus and F. Ihringer, "Boolean degree 1 functions on some classical association schemes," *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, vol. 162, pp. 241-270, 2019.
- [7] P.-H. Zieschan, *Theory of Association Schemes*, The Netherlands: Springer, 2005.
- [8] M. Klin, J. Lauri and M. Ziv-Av, "Links between two semisymmetric graphs on 112 vertices via association schemes," *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, vol. 47, no. 10, pp. 1175-1191, 2012.

- [9] E. Bannai, E. Bannai, H. Tanaka and Y. Zhu, "Design Theory from the Viewpoint of Algebraic Combinatorics. Graphs and Combinatorics," *Graphs and Combinatorics*, vol. 33, pp. 1-41, 2017.
- [10] W. J. Martin, "Designs in Product Association Schemes," *Designs, Codes and Cryptography*, vol. 16, pp. 271-289, 1999.
- [11] B. Xu, "On IP-graphs of association schemes and applications to group theory," *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, vol. 117, no. 7, pp. 981-995, 2010.
- [12] L. K. Jørgensen, "Schur rings and non-symmetric association schemes on 64 vertices," *Discrete mathematics*, vol. 310, no. 22, pp. 3259-3266, 2010.
- [13] A. Sabharwal and P. Yadav, "Association Schemes Over Some Finite Group Rings," in *Mathematical Modeling, Computational Intelligence Techniques and Renewable Energy*, 2022.
- [14] P.-H. Zieschang, *An algebraic approach to association schemes*, Springer, 2006.
- [15] R. K. Sharma, P. Yadav and P. Kanwar, "Lie regular generators of general linear groups," *Communications in Algebra*, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 1304-1315, 2012.
- [16] C. Bonnafé, *Representations of $SL_2(F_q)$* , vol. 13, Springer Science & Business Media., 2010.
- [17] M. Tomiyama and N. Yamazaki , "Characterization of the group association scheme of the symmetric group," *European Journal of Combinatorics*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 237-255, 1998.
- [18] H. S. Coxeter and W. O. Moser, *Generators and relations for discrete groups*, vol. 14, Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [19] K.-U. Schmidt, "Quadratic and symmetric bilinear forms over finite fields and their association schemes," *Algebraic Combinatorics*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 161-189, 2020.
- [20] R. Feng, Y. Wang, C. Ma and J. Ma, "Eigenvalues of association schemes of quadratic forms," *Discrete Mathematics*, vol. 308, no. 14, pp. 3023-3047, 2008.