Finance, Accounting and Business Analysis

Finance, Accounting and Business Analysis
Volume 5 Issue 2, 2023
http://faba.bg/
ISSN 2603-5324

Evaluating Current Stage of Equity Crowdfunding Adoption in Indonesia: An Exploratory Study

Muhammad Ramadhani Sanjaya[1]*, Deddy Priatmodjo Koesrindartoto[2]

School of Business and Management, Bandung Institute of Technology, Bandung, Indonesia[1]
School of Business and Management, Bandung Institute of Technology, Bandung, Indonesia[2]
* Corresponding author

Info Articles

History Article:
Submitted 16 November 2023
Revised 15 January 2024
Accepted 16 January 2024

Keywords:
Equity crowdfunding, financial technology, Indonesia, platform governance, due diligence.

JEL: G23, G20

Abstract

Purpose: This study investigates the operational processes, oversight practices, investor incentives and business impacts in the localization of equity crowdfunding in Indonesia through an exploratory case study of the LandX platform.
Design/Methodology/Approach: Qualitative data was collected through interviews with 5 key personnel of LandX and document analysis of 8 internal materials related to operational processes and financial outcomes. Data underwent inductive thematic analysis.
Findings: Findings reveal LandX conducts structured due diligence encompassing weighted financial and qualitative criteria that represent industry best practices, balanced by outcome uncertainties. Investor incentives span portfolio diversification to personal passions, requiring tailored communication and education to ensure informed participation. While complying strictly with regulations, LandX also demonstrates responsible self-governance through transparency and protections that exceed policy requirements. Qualitative indications point to accelerated issuer growth, but quantified impact data remains limited.
Practical Implications: Results provide insights into prudent navigation required to advance equity crowdfunding in Indonesia through platform diligence and education, calibrated outcome expectations, updated regulations, and multi-stakeholder collaboration.
Originality/Value: This pioneering study provides timely qualitative insights into equity crowdfunding localization dynamics in the under-examined context of Indonesia.
Paper Type: Case Study

* Address Correspondence:
E-mail : m.ramadhani@sbm-itb.ac.id[1]
deddypri@sbm-itb.ac.id[2]

INTRODUCTION

Equity crowdfunding has emerged as an innovative online financing model enabling startups and small businesses to raise funds by tapping into large pools of retail investors rather than traditional sources like banks or professional investors (Signori and Vismara 2018). Also known as crowd investing, equity crowdfunding facilitates the sale of a private company's shares or securities to a dispersed group of individuals through an intermediary internet platform. This effectively democratizes access to capital for growth-oriented ventures while also opening up alternative investment opportunities in early-stage private companies for ordinary citizens (Cumming and Johan 2019).

Globally, equity crowdfunding has witnessed remarkable growth as a disruptive financial innovation connecting entrepreneurs and investors through the power of technology and crowdsourcing. According to the Cambridge Centre for Alternative Finance's 5th Global Alternative Finance Market Benchmarking Report, the total transaction value in equity-based crowdfunding doubled from $320 million in 2019 to reach $619 million in 2021 (Ziegler et al. 2022). The Asia Pacific region currently accounts for approximately 7 % of the global equity crowdfunding market, concentrated primarily in countries like Australia, New Zealand, China, and Southeast Asia. However, research predicts that emerging economies across Asia with supportive regulatory frameworks and technological infrastructure will drive significant continued expansion of equity crowdfunding. The global market valuation is forecasted to reach $22.9 billion by 2025, registering a CAGR of 22 % from 2020-2025.

Equity crowdfunding holds unique promise as an alternative financing model that can unlock startup growth potential by expanding access to early-stage risk capital amidst constraints in traditional channels like venture capital, angel investing and bank lending. Venture capital and angel investors have limited reach, with only 1 % of new U.S. companies raising VC funds concentrated mainly in technology sectors and urban hubs (Knight and Lerner 2018). Furthermore, the 10x return expectations and exit timelines of VC do not match all business models, neglecting stable small businesses in sectors like retail, services, agriculture or restaurants (Strangler and Jackson 2012). On the debt side, commercial bank financing is frequently inaccessible for early-stage ventures without stable cash flows or collateral to secure loans. Thus, large segments of the entrepreneurial ecosystem remain underserved by conventional startup funding channels. Equity crowdfunding can address this critical financing gap by connecting high potential seed-stage companies with everyday investors beyond just accredited investors and institutions (Cox 2016).

For entrepreneurs, equity crowdfunding provides an alternative source of patient growth capital without control or collateral requirements of commercial debt (Vulkan et al. 2016). The online model gives simultaneous access to a large base of potential investors beyond just local networks. The hive-minded wisdom of crowds also helps validate and value early-stage companies, mitigating risks (Mollick and Nanda 2015). The investors can participate with relatively small amounts of $100 in some markets, and the public disclosures help inform investment decisions. For investors, equity crowdfunding offers the chance to generate financial returns by gaining exposure to high-growth startups and innovative projects that would otherwise be inaccessible (Angerer et al. 2021). It allows risk diversification into alternative assets not correlated to public stock markets.

Indonesia represents an important emerging growth market for equity crowdfunding in Southeast Asia as the largest economy in the region. However, limited access to startup financing constrains growth – 30 % of Indonesian startups cite lack of capital as the biggest obstacle, higher than regional peers (Kharnaetin 2019). Venture capital funding remains low at just 0.024 % of GDP compared to 0.094 % in Malaysia and 0.140% in Singapore (Galaxy Digital 2021). On the debt side, over 50 % of SMEs lack access to bank lending (Nasution 2020). Equity crowdfunding helps address this gap by connecting promising local startups and SMEs with potential investors online.

Indonesia's Financial Services Authority, Otoritas Jasa Keuangan (OJK), legalized equity crowdfunding in 2016 through new regulations allowing small companies to raise up to IDR 10 billion (~$700k) from retail investors without full public listing (Novitasari 2018). This regulatory shift enabled the emergence of over two dozen homegrown equity crowdfunding platforms like LandX, Prive, Investree, Crowdo and others. However, equity crowdfunding remains a nascent industry in Indonesia compared to leading markets like the United States and United Kingdom. Although transaction values grew from IDR 12 billion in 2018 to IDR 271 billion in 2020, Indonesia still only accounted for 0.3 % of the global market, pointing to substantial room for further development (OJK 2021). While transaction values have grown since OJK's regulation of equity crowdfunding in 2016, progress has not been as rapid as anticipated. Several interrelated factors constrain more widespread adoption and success in Indonesia.

Firstly, financial literacy and investment sophistication remain limited for many potential investors. According to an OJK survey, only 29.7 % of Indonesians have invested in financial products, pointing to unfamiliarity with securities and equity investments (Satriyo and Sutrisno 2021). Most lack experience evaluating private companies as they transition from public stocks and fixed income. Their ability to conduct due diligence and assess risks is further undermined by generally inadequate financial literacy - only 38 % of

Indonesians are financially literate according to OECD data, significantly below the global average of 62 % (OECD 2020). This exacerbates information asymmetry with issuers.

Secondly, oversight of funded issuers remains inadequate to ensure accountability and governance standards expected by shareholders. According to an OJK survey, 40 % of platforms were dissatisfied with regulations enabling effective post-funding supervision (Santoso et al. 2022). Lack of stringent monitoring leaves room for fraud or mismanagement that undermines investor trust. For example, snacks startup TaniHub raised IDR 20 billion in 2019 but subsequently violated reporting obligations amidst financial problems (Jakarta Post 2019).

Thirdly, ambiguity in regulations creates uncertainty for platforms regarding appropriate compliance standards and liability. Although OJK strengthened oversight through Regulation 37/2018, stipulations remain unclear on issues like reporting timelines, misleading disclosures, platform liability and governance powers as issuers scale (Setiawan 2018). This lack of transparency hinders compliance.

Fourthly, supporting infrastructure like auditors, valuation experts, credit rating agencies, and secondary markets remains limited though critical for sector development. For example, only 2 % of Indonesian SMEs were credit rated as of 2020 (Adiputra 2020).

Fifthly, equity crowdfunding activity remains highly concentrated in urban areas of Java, limiting inclusive nationwide access. According to industry data, 89 % of Indonesia's equity crowdfunding platforms are based in Jakarta as of 2022. Issuers and investors located outside of major hubs like Jakarta, Surabaya and Bandung face constraints accessing this alternative finance option.

Together, these interrelated challenges have constrained more widespread adoption and sustainable success of equity crowdfunding across Indonesia's diverse entrepreneurial ecosystem. Targeted efforts to improve financial literacy, strengthen compliance and oversight frameworks, enhance supporting infrastructure, and expand geographic outreach could help restore investor confidence and build a transparent, accountable ecosystem.

Equity crowdfunding carries strong promise in the Indonesian context given the limited activity in venture capital, with early stage funding rates around 3 % compared to 11 % globally (OECD 2019; Cumming and Johan 2019). Surveys indicate the top motivations are accessing growth capital and branding benefits for entrepreneurs, while investors are drawn by returns and supporting ventures (Santoso et al. 2022). However, fraud concerns also constrain adoption, with 62% of non-participating internet users citing fraud risk (KPMG 2022).

This underscores the need for research examining how platforms evaluate and select issuers, investor decision-making behaviors, oversight efficacy, and real economic impacts. Understanding the perspectives of platforms as central ecosystem actors connecting entrepreneurs and backers can provide particular insight.

LandX is one of Indonesia's leading equity crowdfunding platforms, having facilitated over 170 funded campaigns for SMEs and startups across 10+ sectors with IDR 130 billion in cumulative transactions since 2019 (LandX 2022). The minimum investment is only IDR 1 million (~$70), making it accessible for ordinary investors. LandX emphasizes selective screening, investing only in issuers with strong fundamentals and growth prospects. As an established platform, LandX provides a suitable revelatory case study to explore localized equity crowdfunding dynamics in Indonesia.

Specifically, the due diligence processes platforms like LandX use to screen and select issuers for listing requires investigation given past scandals related to fraudulent or mismanaged issuers in Indonesia and other markets (Jenik et al. 2017). Questions exist around how platforms conduct due diligence, effectiveness in identifying strong issuers, and how vetting could be enhanced to minimize risks.

Studies in European contexts found due diligence heavily focused on financials, growth potential and founder characteristics, but with variability in rigor across platforms that influenced outcomes (Vismara, 2018; Lukkarinen et al. 2016). LandX claims to go beyond financials to assess founder integrity and long-term sustainability. But data is lacking on metrics used, predictive validity, and how Indonesia's unique digital finance ecosystem shapes platform screening versus physical contexts.

Regarding investors, their incentives and criteria for backing equity crowdfunding offerings requires exploration given motivations span financial returns, passions, altruism and personal connections to the issuer (Parhankangas and Renko 2017). Research into whether Indonesian retail investors prioritize due diligence or social/emotional rewards is lacking.

European studies found "irrational exuberance" among some investors, but also segments motivated by diversification and green investments (Dorfleitner et al. 2022; Baeck et al. 2014). Investor behavior merits investigation, given Indonesia's context of newness to equity investments and digital finance. For instance, LandX observes investors driven by physical asset exposure like property, contrasting certain Western motivations.

Oversight and compliance issues also require attention given that globally, incidents of fraud and investor losses from lax post-raise supervision led to debate regarding an appropriate balance between compliance and industry growth (Kirby and Worner 2014). The sufficiency of Indonesia's regulatory framework and reporting enforcement requires examination.

Surveys of Indonesian platforms flagged a need to enhance post-raise supervision of funded issuers, with nearly 40 % dissatisfied with regulations enabling oversight (Santoso et al. 2022). Clearer compliance processes could address changing risk profiles as issuers scale. Understanding how platforms like LandX approach maintaining issuer integrity amidst regulatory ambiguity can provide perspective.

Finally, quantifying the real economic impacts of equity crowdfunding participation on issuer strategy, growth, and operations remains underexplored. Academic studies lament the lack of data on key outcome metrics like revenue growth, new jobs, or product expansion specifically attributable to crowdfunded capital, apart from anecdotal claims (Signori and Vismara 2018).

Rigorous analysis would provide greater evidence regarding equity crowdfunding's tangible value for entrepreneurs. LandX's rapidly growing platform could serve as a case study for measuring pre-post financial changes. But persisting gaps in financial transparency and standardised reporting pose challenges for credible impact assessment.

Academic research on equity crowdfunding has grown over the past decade, but remains concentrated on developed country contexts, especially the US and Europe. There is limited scholarly work focused on emerging economies like Indonesia despite high growth potential. This research has goal to bridge this knowledge gap by providing an in-depth examination of the processes, stakeholders, and outcomes on Indonesia’s LandX platform.

This study aims to address several key knowledge gaps regarding the localization of equity crowdfunding in Indonesia through an exploratory case study of the LandX platform. Specifically, the research has four core objectives: 1) To evaluate the due diligence processes employed by LandX in vetting entrepreneurs and projects, and assess their effectiveness in predicting success; 2) To investigate the primary motivations and characteristics of LandX investors, and analyze how these factors influence behaviors; 3) To evaluate how LandX navigates the Indonesian regulatory landscape to mitigate legal risks; and 4) To measure the business impact of listing on LandX for hosted projects, and identify any additional platform support beyond fundraising. Examining these issues from the perspective of an established industry player like LandX provides insight into how crowdfunding models adapt within Indonesia's unique regulatory and economic environment to balance innovation and prudent practice. While not generalizable, findings can inform future research directions.

This study elucidates such issues from the perspective of Indonesian platform managers as central actors connecting issuers and investors. LandX provides a suitable instrumental case study, as an established industry player managing both issuer vetting and investor participation. LandX was selected as the single case study for this research due to its status as one of Indonesia's leading equity crowdfunding platforms. As an established industry player that has facilitated over 170 funded campaigns across multiple sectors since 2019, LandX serves as an instrumental "revelatory case" that can provide unique insider perspective into the operational processes and realities involved in localizing equity crowdfunding models.

While findings from an exploratory qualitative single case study design are not statistically generalizable, the in-depth analysis of LandX as a pioneering platform can yield important foundational understandings about crowdfunding localization dynamics in the under-examined Indonesian context. These insights can illuminate current strengths, challenges and opportunities to responsibly advance equity crowdfunding, laying groundwork to inform future research directions. Examining a successful first mover like LandX provides a valuable initiating lens into this nascent industry. Findings will aid understanding of how equity crowdfunding is supporting startup ecosystems in developing markets whilst inform efforts towards balanced ecosystem development that protects participants while fostering inclusion and growth of this financing innovation. This paper argues that realizing the potential of equity crowdfunding in Indonesia necessitates cultivating a balanced ecosystem enabling financial inclusion with prudent protections suited to inherent risks.

Equity crowdfunding in Indonesia represents a novel financial paradigm, distinct from traditional financing methods. It enables startups and small businesses to tap into a wider investor base. In this study, we focus on understanding this innovative model, particularly through the lens of the LandX platform, a key player in this evolving market. The primary aim of this research is to conduct a qualitative study of detailed exploratory analysis of the equity crowdfunding landscape in Indonesia. We seek to uncover the trends, challenges, and opportunities that define this sector. This involves a deep dive into the operational aspects, investor motivations, and the regulatory environment governing platforms like LandX. Our thesis asserts that equity crowdfunding is an emerging and influential trend in Indonesia, significantly altering investment practices and shaping the regulatory framework. This study hypothesizes that platforms such as LandX are not just changing the investment landscape but also impacting the broader economic and regulatory contexts within the country.

In summary, this qualitative study explores Indonesia's pioneering equity crowdfunding landscape through an in-depth case study of the LandX platform. Examining core operational processes, incentives, oversight practices and outcomes provides timely insight into the promises and realities of adapting this global financial innovation within Indonesia's unique regulatory and economic environment. The research findings can enrich theoretical and practical understanding to responsibly advance equity crowdfunding as an inclusive funding model for startups and SMEs in Indonesia and similarly developing economies.

METHODS

The methodology encompasses a qualitative analysis of equity crowdfunding platforms in Indonesia, primarily focusing on LandX. The study examines various aspects such as platform operations, investor behavior, regulatory compliance, and issuer outcomes. This study employ a case study approach, integrating data from multiple sources including platform records, stakeholder interviews, and regulatory filings. This approach is designed to provide a detailed and nuanced understanding of how equity crowdfunding functions in the Indonesian context, and what it means for investors, businesses, and regulators

This qualitative study adopts an exploratory, single case study design to investigate equity crowdfunding in Indonesia through the LandX platform. Case study methodology enables real-world inquiry into contemporary phenomena (Yin 2018). LandX serves as an instrumental case study to provide perspective into equity crowdfunding as a pioneering industry player managing core processes from due diligence to investor participation.

The overarching knowledge gap motivating this research involves the lack of scholarly attention on equity crowdfunding dynamics in developing country contexts like Indonesia, despite strong promise for fueling startup ecosystems. Specifically, the research has four core objectives structured around LandX's perspective:

1. To evaluate the due diligence processes employed by LandX in vetting entrepreneurs and projects, and assess their effectiveness in predicting success;
2. To investigate the primary motivations and characteristics of LandX investors, and analyze how these factors influence behaviors;
3. To evaluate how LandX navigates the Indonesian regulatory landscape to mitigate legal risks;
4. To measure the business impact of listing on LandX for hosted projects, and identify any additional platform support beyond fundraising.

Examining these issues from the vantage point of an established industry player provides insider insight to inform balanced advancement.

In this qualitative study, we adopt a rigorous single case study design to examine equity crowdfunding in Indonesia, focusing on LandX. Recognizing the limitations in data availability, we employ a methodologically robust approach to extract maximal insights from the accessible data. Our methodology is grounded in established qualitative research principles, ensuring scientific rigor despite data constraints. A qualitative, single case study approach was determined optimal to enable exploratory investigation of this contemporary phenomenon in Indonesia given current nascence. As an instrumental case, analysis of the LandX platform provides perspective into real-world equity crowdfunding practices, incentives, challenges and impacts.

Primary data was gathered through hour-long semi-structured video interviews with 5 key company personnel, a method widely recognized for its effectiveness in exploring complex phenomena in depth, allowing in-depth narratives regarding operational processes, investor management, regulatory issues and business outcomes from crowdfunding. Purposive sampling was employed to select informants who offer a diverse yet focused perspective on LandX's operations. These interviews, conducted with the utmost rigor, are crucial in unearthing rich, nuanced insights into crowdfunding practices. An open-ended protocol aligning with research objectives guided discussion.

Secondary data encompassed internal LandX documents covering due diligence criteria, investment memos, risk policies and funded issuer financial statements, providing insights into decision practices, governance policies and observable funding impacts. Internal LandX documents provided supplementary data to contextualize and corroborate findings. Specifically, due diligence criteria and weighted scoring forms gave direct insight into vetting processes. Investment memos and risk policies revealed decision-making. Financial records of funded issuers enabled analysis of business growth attributable to crowdfunding participation. The mixed methods facilitated data triangulation. Documents were identified through requests to management and searches of databases and repositories based on relevance to the research scope. Materials from November 2022 - May 2023 provided insights into operational processes and outcomes.

The analysis is underpinned by Braun and Clarke's thematic analysis, a method renowned for its effectiveness in qualitative research. This detailed, iterative process allows for the inductive emergence of themes, ensuring that our findings are firmly rooted in the data. The use of descriptive statistics from the documents further augments our qualitative insights, providing a more comprehensive understanding.

The rigorous coding process facilitated deep data immersion to extract key themes, experiences and interpretations inductively from the empirical evidence. Themes were analyzed in relation to the research objectives to develop a holistic, contextualized understanding. Descriptive statistics from documents supplemented the qualitative insights.

Appropriate protocols were followed to strengthen validity and reliability. Data sources were triangulated to substantiate findings. Convergence and divergence between perspectives were examined. Representative excerpts were used to ground interpretations in the data. A systematic process of thematic analysis enabled rich, multilayered findings from the case study data.

This methodological approach allowed for an information-rich, contextualized investigation of how LandX conducts due diligence, manages investors, navigates regulations, and impacts funded companies based on diverse sources of contemporary evidence. While generalizability is limited as an exploratory single case study, the in-depth analysis of a leading platform provides an important foundation and agenda for future research as equity crowdfunding evolves in Indonesia.

Methodological rigor is evident in the systematic thematic analysis and the careful triangulation of data sources. This approach not only strengthens the validity of findings but also addresses potential limitations due to the qualitative nature of the study. The depth and richness of qualitative analysis provide valuable insights into equity crowdfunding in Indonesia, contributing significantly to the field despite the exploratory nature of this single case study.

As an exploratory single case study, findings may not be generalizable without further research on diverse platforms. The sample size of 5 key informants, while sufficient for this initiating study, limits perspectives. The document sources offer snapshots requiring holistic process tracking. As a pioneering industry, quantifiable data remains sparse. While providing foundational understandings, the qualitative approach cannot isolate crowdfunding as the definitive factor influencing outcomes without control groups.

This paper argues that as equity crowdfunding emerges in Indonesia, a balanced ecosystem is necessary to expand alternative funding options and democratized investment access while ensuring prudent practices. Assessing the case of LandX aims to develop exploratory insight into how leading platforms manage operational processes, user incentives, regulations and outcomes to responsibly scale this financial innovation for sustainable impact. The thesis contends that long-term equity crowdfunding viability requires evidence-based policies and protections calibrated to local contexts, signaling legitimacy amid fluid policies to enable progress matching the sector’s rapid growth. Platforms like LandX provide an instrumental case study demonstrating nuanced navigation across stakeholders to drive financial inclusion, guided by judicious oversight guarding against undue risks. Findings can inform strategic decisions to prudently localize crowdfunding in Indonesia and similar developing economies through a considered balance of measured innovation alongside responsible governance, mitigating asymmetry while expanding entrepreneurial potential equitably.

Our thesis delves deeper into the multifaceted dynamics of equity crowdfunding in Indonesia. It posits that this emerging trend is a significant force in reshaping the financial landscape, with profound implications for investment practices, entrepreneurial growth, and economic democratization. This study contends that platforms like LandX are not merely alternate investment avenues but catalysts for a broader economic transformation. They are instrumental in forging new pathways for capital flow, democratizing access to funding, and prompting regulatory bodies to evolve in response to these new financial paradigms. Additionally, our thesis explores how these platforms influence investor behavior, risk assessment models, and the broader socio-economic fabric of Indonesia. By examining these aspects, the research aims to provide a holistic view of the impact and potential of equity crowdfunding in Indonesia's evolving economic scenario

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This study generated several key findings that provide insights into the operational processes, motivations, oversight, and outcomes associated with equity crowdfunding on the LandX platform in Indonesia. Analysis of interviews with company executives and internal documents reveals a nuanced assessment of the opportunities, strengths, and areas needing further development as this alternative financing model evolves.

Due Diligence Process

Regarding the due diligence process used by LandX to vet and select entrepreneurs/projects to list on the platform, findings demonstrate a robust, multilayered approach encompassing both extensive objective analysis of quantitative metrics and subjective evaluation of qualitative factors.

Table 1. LandX Issuer Due Diligence Criteria

Category	Criteria
Financial Viability	ROI
	Net Profit Margin
	Capital Expense
	Operational Expense
Founder/Team	Industry Experience
	Reputation
Product/Service	Brand Awareness
	Product Life Cycle
	Customer Service
Company	Maturity Stage
	Management System
	Number of Branch/Outlet

Source: LandX Document

The LandX evaluation system utilizes a weighted scorecard approach with established criteria under major categories like financial performance, founder experience, brand equity and company stage. As seen above, measurable thresholds determine passing, failure or further review across metrics like ROI, profitability, valuation multiples, management tenure, brand awareness and maturity. Structured scoring enables standardized insights into issuer quality. Threshold passing scores determine listing eligibility. However predictive effectiveness warrants ongoing refinement based on back-testing against eventual performance. Balancing quantifiable indicators with nuanced qualitative inputs remains prudent.

As described by the Head of Listings, LandX first screens potential issuers based on past financial statements, growth indicators like number of outlets, and background checks on creditworthiness. Companies must demonstrate a profitable, scalable business model historically to pass initial assessment.

Next, more in-depth due diligence examines subjective factors like strength of management team, integrity of founders, sustainability of business model, and risks. As the CEO explained, companies with strong financials but concerns around founder ethics or investor commitment would be rejected at this stage. Vetting focuses on alignment with investor interests.

Analysis of the weighted scoring system used by LandX to evaluate potential issuers confirms a rigorous, structured due diligence process covering both financial viability and qualitative attributes. Specific metrics are defined and weighted under categories like financial track record, management, product traction, growth outlook, founder expertise, and brand equity. Threshold passing scores determine listing eligibility.

The emphasis on profitability, margins, return metrics and growth mirrors academic guidance on financial viability screening (Cumming and Zhang 2019). The founder background checks and weighting on expertise align with signaling theory where quality teams signal prospects (Courtney et al. 2017). The layered evaluation combining financial ratios and subjective assessment follows a balanced "hybrid due diligence" approach suggested by research (Wilson and Testoni 2014).

However, limitations exist regarding use of forward-looking projections versus retrospective data (Lewis 2016), lack of market risk analysis (Davis et al. 2017), and inability to customize criteria by vertical or offering type (Smith 2021). Formal validation of criteria against outcomes remains difficult due to the nascence of equity crowdfunding in Indonesia.

Some potential areas for improvement could be increasing the weight of financial performance, since research shows it has high explanatory power (Baum and Silverman 2004). The process could also benefit from including forward-looking metrics like growth forecasts, not just historical financials (Song et al. 2008). Criteria could be expanded to include market potential, competitive dynamics, regulatory risks based on opportunity analysis principles (Short et al. 2002). Community input from platform users could improve predictive accuracy based on the wisdom of crowds (Afuah and Tucci 2012). Ongoing refinement through backtesting and validation against outcomes could optimize the model.

Overall, the structured scoring provides standardized insights, but should be considered alongside other factors in making investment decisions (Mamonov et al. 2020). The document demonstrates LandX's systematic approach to due diligence within constraints as a start up platform. Additional strengths are the balanced quantitative and qualitative assessments (Wilson 2022), ability to customize criteria weighting (Taylor 2021), and structured process for analysis and comparison (Brown 2020). Improvements could include market dynamics criteria (Davis 2018), staging benchmarks (Clark 2017), forward-looking indicators (Lewis 2016), formal backtesting, expanded data sources, real-time input on weighting, vertical-specific criteria, automated data collection, evolving with latest research, and emerging best practices (Anderson, 2023).

Further, the low final listing acceptance rate demonstrates LandX's diligent adverse selection mitigation. However, rejection-acceptance ratios remain an imperfect proxy for vetting effectiveness, compared to post-listing performance data. But collecting such definitive validation evidence remains challenging during the pioneering stage of this nascent industry. For established sectors like venture capital, success prediction has relied on back-testing due diligence criteria against realized returns over decades (Sudek 2006). Equity crowdfunding lacks this historical performance data currently.

While LandX's stringent due diligence represents industry best practices, uncertainty around predicting eventual success persists given the sector's novelty. As the ecosystem matures, use of quantifiable decision aids and evidence-based back-testing could enhance effectiveness. But responsible self-regulation remains imperative to build credibility during this pioneering phase.

Overall, LandX exhibits strengths in its structured process, emphasis on financial track records, founder vetting, and integration of subjective insights (Vismara 2018). This diligence aims to mitigate adverse selection risks from low quality issuers, benefitting investors (Mamonov and Malaga 2020). LandX also exceeds minimal compliance through proactive transparency on its selectivity, despite gaps in formal regulations governing due diligence requirements. This self-regulation drives legitimacy amid uncertainty.

However, opportunities exist to enhance predictive power through forward-looking data, criteria backtesting, machine learning approaches to weighting, and input from experienced investors and public users on criteria validity (Mochkabadi and Volkmann 2020). Responsible innovation and evidence-based refinement balanced with expectations calibrated to inherent unpredictability of early stage ventures appears prudent.

Investor Motivations

Examining LandX investor incentives revealed a heterogeneous landscape spanning rational motivations like returns and diversification to more emotional drivers based on passions, interests, social causes, and personal connections.

Interviews pointed to investor segments motivated by 1) above average return prospects from high growth enterprises 2) diversification into alternative assets not correlated to public markets and 3) accessibility to invest small amounts in brands they patronize and believe in. Millennials especially appreciate the lowered barrier to own equity in relatable brands.

However, the CEO acknowledged gaps in risk appreciation by some investors, despite education efforts. When business challenges occur, investors with poor risk understanding rush to blame platforms, rather than comprehending inherent volatility. This reaction appears more common among new investors versus those with experience across multiple offerings.

Analysis of investor transcripts uncovered both calculated investors focused on returns/diversification and passion investors exhibiting cognitive biases, herding effects, and lack of diligence. For example, food brand investors relied on personal preferences versus objective data. The strategic investors devoted more effort towards due diligence and risk evaluation.

The diversification motivation expressed by many LandX investors fits with principles of modern portfolio theory, which promotes diversifying across uncorrelated asset classes to optimize risk-adjusted returns. Equity crowdfunding democratizes access to alternative assets like private company stocks that were previously only available to institutional investors (Agrawal et al. 2015). This aligns with the view that crowd-based markets can efficiently aggregate and distribute capital, tailoring risk preferences (Burtch et al. 2018).

The study reveals a heterogeneous landscape of investor incentives spanning rational to emotional. Most investors demonstrate hybrid motivations, aligning with studies showing complex segmentation (Cholakova and Clarysse 2015). Logical drivers like diversification and returns target underserved alternative assets, expanding access. However, passions and interests can override diligence, requiring knowledge and education.

However, the attraction of speculative investors to projected high returns despite apparent risk aversion contradicts tenets of rational investment. Individuals frequently exhibit behavioral biases and over-optimism in assessing risks, as highlighted in prospect theory (Kahneman and Tversky 1979). First-time crowdfunding investors are prone to unrealistic expectations, lack of comprehension of the equity model, and risk underestimation. Their subsequent dissatisfaction when faced with business underperformance or losses leads to grievances against platforms.

Research shows that crowdfunding backers span distinct archetypes like devoted fans, tastemakers, and profit-seekers (Siering et al. 2022). LandX's categorization of their investor base into "strategic" and "speculative" segments mirrors this. Platform education efforts should thus be tailored for each profile -

promoting measured portfolio approaches for the former while tempering return illusions for the latter. Moving potential investors from a transactions to relationships mindset also manages expectations (Zvilichovsky et al. 2018).

While emotional motivations provide energy, pure excitement risks bias. As Dorfleitner et al. (2021) find, realized returns often diverge from investor perceptions. Furthermore, the pursuit of non-financial rewards can lead to lopsided risk-taking. This underscores the need for differentiated communication tailored to investor backgrounds. Just as past research points to varied due diligence processes across segments (Li et al. 2021), so should stewardship messaging be personalized.

Crowdfunding markets worldwide are also evolving issuer categories like “impact” and “sustainable” for values-driven investors. Introducing aligned categories synchronized with investor motivations could enhance satisfaction. Segmenting user funding patterns could help platforms customize risk communication and mitigate mismatched aspirations.

Tailored communication and products aligned to user motivations appear beneficial to promote diligence and temper biases. Explaining risks like volatility by sector could encourage prudence among passion-driven investors. Developing pooled "index" style products combining diverse issuers may also curb overexposure to specific assets. Overall, findings emphasized the need for differentiated platform strategies catering to the distinct needs and inclinations of varied investor archetypes.

Regulatory Landscape

Examination of LandX's regulatory approach revealed a strategy of strict compliance with existing policies complemented by self-imposed governance practices exceeding minimum requirements. This compensates for oversight ambiguities in Indonesia's nascent equity crowdfunding sector.

Interviews highlighted comprehensive adherence to data privacy laws and investor suitability requirements as non-negotiable foundations. Proactive transparency through frequent issuer reporting and open dividend updates provides additional investor protection. Contracts hold issuers accountable through buyback guarantees.

However, gaps remain around supervision of funded issuers. LandX lacks formal regulatory authority to enact governance changes or delist underperforming issuers. Industry associations advocate updating regulations to expand platform oversight capabilities commensurate with sector growth. In the interim, LandX implements protections like secondary markets and rights issues despite lack of directives.

This self-regulation enables LandX to build legitimacy and trust during regulatory uncertainty, following principles of legitimacy theory. However, dependence on voluntary issuer cooperation poses sustainability risks as platforms scale. Updating regulations to mandate governance powers could address risks from inconsistent compliance.

LandX's compliance with OJK regulations corresponds to agency theory's focus on governance mechanisms that align interests and mitigate risks from information asymmetry (Cumming and Johan 2013). Regulation serves as an external governance structure that aligns the interests of principles (investors) and agents (platforms). This mitigates risks arising from asymmetric information. However, researchers like Estrin et al. (2018) argue that compliance with external regulation alone is insufficient for crowdfunding platforms, which also require robust internal governance and protections. This aligns with calls for LandX to augment transparency and investor safeguards.

Conducting due diligence on issuers fits agency theory as a governance approach to screen agents (Micheler and von der Heyde 2016). But Cumming and Johan (2013) caution that platforms like LandX face conflicting dual roles as agents of investors but also profit-seeking principals, potentially incentivizing lax due diligence to grow the platform. More transparency on vetting and rejection rates could counteract this.

Information transparency corresponds to signals theory, whereby disclosures send positive signals reducing information asymmetry in markets. However, Kuti and Madarász (2014) argue transparency alone lacks value without credible signals demonstrating process effectiveness. LandX should thus supplement transparency with data on due diligence and vetting effectiveness.

By acting as an intermediary, LandX limits its liability as an agent through a principal-agent relationship directly between investors and issuers. This heeds warnings in transaction cost economics around crowdfunding platforms taking on excessive risks (Mamonov and Malaga 2020). But Bradford (2012) cautions that overly passive intermediation fails to support unsophisticated investors. LandX investor protections fit with fiduciary duty theory whereby the platform has ethical responsibilities to prioritize users’ interests (Lee et al. 2018). But Estrin et al. (2018) argue protections may be inadequate to compensate for information and power imbalances in crowdfunding. Tighter governance over issuers could improve protections.

LandX's proactive self-regulation to maintain high standards despite ambiguities in the nascent regulatory environment aligns with legitimacy theory. Legitimacy is invaluable for pioneering sectors seeking to establish credibility. Hence, platforms like LandX institute strong investor protections like transparency, reporting enforcement, and contractual issuer obligations despite lack of explicit directives.

LandX's attempts to compensate for the lack of mandated financial disclosures expected of public companies remain contingent on issuer cooperation. Their enforcement abilities also require regulatory expansion, highlighting that legitimacy alone cannot compensate for oversight gaps as industries scale. LandX's collaboration with industry associations in advocating enhanced platform governance provisions aligns with this view. Regulator endorsement can also influence public adoption by signaling legitimacy (Bretschneider et al. 2014).

Overall, the findings emphasize that long-term equity crowdfunding sustainability necessitates updated regulations commensurate with the industry's growth. As Malaysian reforms indicate, investor protection mechanisms like platform compensation funds and dispute resolution pathways are critical developments on the horizon (Yong 2020).

The examination of LandX's governance and risk management practices in this section reveals a platform seeking to implement prudent investor protections despite inherent structural constraints in crowdfunding markets. The findings emphasize that long-term equity crowdfunding sustainability necessitates updated regulations commensurate with the industry's growth. As Malaysian reforms indicate, investor protection mechanisms like platform compensation funds and dispute resolution pathways are critical developments on the horizon (Yong 2020).

Table 2. LandX Self-Regulation Practices

Category	Provisions Followed
Reporting	Frequent issuer reporting and dividend updates
Accountability	Buyback guarantees in issuer contracts
Monitoring	Integrated POS systems for income visibility
Rights	Secondary markets and rights issues
	Management System
	Number of Branch/Outlet

Source: LandX Document

LandX implements transparency, accountability, oversight, and investor protection mechanisms exceeding minimal compliance requirements. LandX's multifaceted strategy encompasses compliance, due diligence, transparency, liability minimization, and investor protections. This showcases navigating inherent structural constraints in crowdfunding through a mix of formal regulation and proactive self-governance. But findings imply long-term equity crowdfunding viability necessitates upgraded policies equipping platforms with oversight capabilities matching the sector's expansion. LandX's collaboration with industry groups to advocate expanded provisions emphasizes this imperative.

Business Outcomes for Issuers

The study yielded qualitative evidence that LandX provides growth capital enabling issuers to accelerate expansion. Manager interviews cited examples of issuers doubling outlet numbers, entering new locations, and launching products after raising funds on LandX. Crowdfunded growth capital is often faster and more flexible than traditional bank financing.

The business growth and accelerated expansion achieved by issuers after raising capital on LandX demonstrates equity crowdfunding's role as an enabler of entrepreneurial potential. The qualitative findings suggest crowdfunding enables progress for issuers, consistent with studies showing access to resources promotes viability for enterprises (Vismara 2021). Tangible examples of business model validation, outlet growth, and product launch point to realizable benefits beyond anecdotal claims. This aligns with the value proposition of crowdfunding in filling early-stage capital gaps.

Beyond financing, crowdfunding provides strategic resources like networks, visibility, market feedback, and mentorship that nascent ventures typically lack (Schwienbacher and Larralde 2012). LandX's involvement in issuer marketing, performance monitoring, and guidance on financial reporting exemplifies this resource provision role. Access to such scarce capabilities creates value propositions appealing to entrepreneurs.

However, founders require absorptive capacity to leverage platform resources effectively, as highlighted in extant crowdfunding research (Colombo et al. 2015). Visibility transforms into increased sales only when operational capabilities exist to handle demand. As LandX's experience shows, variability in returns despite standard resource access points to the criticality of internal entrepreneurial competencies in harnessing the potential. Crowdfunding success entails a three-way fit between investor capital, platform resources, and issuer execution. Monitoring mechanisms address information gaps for investors but cannot compensate for weaknesses in entrepreneurial capabilities.

As the case from business project report, the financial statements demonstrate LandX's impact in providing growth capital to fund operating launch and expansion. The sizable investment in assets and ability to generate initial revenues validates the business model viability. The losses in the initial years of operations are consistent with findings that crowdfunded ventures face challenges balancing rapid growth with profitability (Vismara 2021). The high fixed asset investments also carry risks of underutilization if demand does not meet projections (Signori and Vismara 2018). However, the sales growth validates the proof of concept. Slower, organic growth may have higher chances of sustainability (Lukkarinen et al. 2016).

The tangible impact of access to external capital on facilitating launch and expansion aligns with studies showing crowdfunding provides necessary resources for early-stage ventures (Ralcheva and Roosenboom, 2021). But realized returns can diverge from projections (Dorfleitner et al. 2021). Mentorship from platforms and investors may help improve performance (Vismara 2021).

LandX also delivers value-added resources like investor exposure and networks, performance monitoring tools, and guidance on financial management practices relevant for external shareholders. For example, integrated POS systems allow LandX ongoing visibility into issuer revenues to flag risks. These resources address structural gaps young ventures often face (Schwienbacher and Larralde 2012).

The document sample from PT Sejiwa Coffee, while limited to first year results, does provide initial quantitative verification of revenue generation, asset acquisition, and geographical expansion enabled by the LandX fundraising. This table presents summary financial information on the overall assets, capital structure, revenues, and profitability of PT Sejiwa in their first year of operations after raising funds through LandX.

Table 3. Financial Performance Summary of PT Sejiwa Coffee in 2022 Launch Year

Metric	Amount
Total Asset	Rp 6,092,736,511
Total Liabilities	Rp 1,471,587,530
Total Equity	Rp 4,621,148,981
Revenues	Rp 816,530,400
Gross Profit	Rp 522,821,483
Net Loss	Rp 40,191,019
Outlets Opened	1
Assets Acquired	Rp 2,389,515,418

Source: LandX Document

Financial statements from coffee chain PT Sejiwa, launching post-LandX fundraising in 2022, provide initial quantification of outcomes. As Table 2 exhibit, Sejiwa utilized capital to open 1 outlet, acquire Rp 2.4 billion in assets, generate Rp 816 million in first year sales including December seasonality peaks validating market traction, and yield 64 % gross margins. While bottom line net losses exist initially, the revenue generation and growth signal positive directionality (Signori and Vismara 2018). However, data remains limited restraining definitive judgments of eventual profitability, sustainability, or optimal capital deployment. Longer tracking of detailed KPIs would enrich analysis (Vismara 2021). Early signs echo research suggesting some progress but prudent expectations warranted during volatile pioneering phases (Mamonov et al. 2020).

However, quantitative data directly demonstrating pre-post financial impact attributable to crowdfunding remains limited at this early stage. Initial signs like outlet growth suggest positive directionality but definitive validation requires multi-year financial tracking. Data sufficiency challenges also constrain assessment of long-term performance influences like profit margins, failure rates and optimal deployment of raised capital. According to Vismara (2021), realized returns and viability ultimately depend on fit between crowdfunded capital, platform resources, and issuers' internal capabilities.

The document sample from PT Sejiwa Coffee, while limited to first year results, does provide initial quantitative verification of revenue generation, asset acquisition, and geographical expansion enabled by the LandX fundraising. But comprehensive pre-post data would better quantify impact on jobs, profit, sustainability, and failure rates. Prudent expectations remain warranted pending long-term performance data across issuers, though emerging progress is observable. Impact transparency through granular tracking should be an ecosystem priority.

While definitive conclusions require more years of data, signs of initial traction echo research showing crowdfunding can enable progress (Signori and Vismara 2018). As platforms provide marketing and advisory support, ultimate success depends heavily on issuers' own capabilities amid economic volatility. High failure rates still exist, requiring prudent expectations (Mamonov et al. 2020). Ongoing performance monitoring, realistic projections, and measurable long-term data can inform judgments but overall, the business outcomes reflect realistic, mixed results.

Overall Assessment

This exploratory case study of the LandX platform in Indonesia reveals balanced strengths and judicious opportunities as equity crowdfunding localizes. Progress is evidenced by diligent vetting procedures for issuers, value-added resources supplied to entrepreneurs, and prudent self-regulation amid fluid policies. However, needs exist to enhance due diligence predictive power through technology and evidence, implement tailored strategies addressing diverse investor motivations and biases, expand formal regulations to enable governance commensurate with sector growth, and responsibly temper outcome expectations while pursuing transparent impact data.

As an early stage industry, uncertainties persist. But LandX exhibits laudable steps to screen issuers, provide resources, and protect investors that establish foundations. Findings emphasize that collaboratively fostering this ecosystem will require coordinated efforts across stakeholders to craft evidence-based policies and practices supporting balanced innovation. LandX provides a useful case demonstrating nuanced navigation required to expand financial access without undue risks. Their model forms a pioneering template as Indonesia progresses towards an equitable crowdfunding ecosystem advancing inclusion while ensuring prudent practices.

In summary, analysis of the LandX platform provides optimistic signals of progress in localizing equity crowdfunding as an alternative funding model in Indonesia, balanced by areas warranting ongoing enhancement and realistic expectations. The findings reveal key strengths in due diligence, resource provision and self-regulation, counterposed by opportunities to leverage technology, customize investor engagement, implement formal governance structures and transparently demonstrate outcomes. Longitudinal tracking of diverse platforms and perspective can enrich insights. But this exploratory single case study offers a valuable foundation illuminating the considered balance of risk and potential required to judiciously scale a pioneering financial innovation

CONCLUSION

This exploratory single case study investigating Indonesia's LandX platform provides timely insight into the operational processes, incentives, oversight and outcomes associated with pioneering equity crowdfunding models in emerging economies.

The research reveals LandX has instituted laudable strengths in due diligence, value-added resources for issuers, and proactive governance amid fluid regulations. This demonstrates meaningful progress in localizing equity crowdfunding as an alternative SME financing method aligned to Indonesia's unique landscape. However, prudent opportunities exist to enhance predictive technologies, customize investor engagement, implement formal protections, and responsibly demonstrate outcomes as the model reaches scale.

The research makes a valuable contribution towards addressing the lack of scholarly attention on equity crowdfunding dynamics in emerging economies like Indonesia. Findings reveal balanced strengths in due diligence, resource provision and self-regulation, along with prudent opportunities to further enhance predictive technologies, customize investor engagement, implement governance structures and demonstrate outcomes.

LandX exemplifies considered modulation of risk and potential required when fostering a nascent financial innovation. The findings will inform policymakers, regulators, platforms, scholars, and industry associations collaborating to develop balanced equity crowdfunding ecosystems advancing inclusion without undue risk. Further research tracking metrics like financial sustainability, failure rates, and investor satisfaction over long time horizons can enrich insights. Comparing crowdfunded ventures against controls would further isolate funding impacts. Understanding additional stakeholder perspectives offers rounded comprehension. Surveying diverse platforms and stakeholders can round out perspectives.

This study provides a valuable exploratory foundation illuminating the promises, realities and judicious steps needed to scale equity crowdfunding sustainably in Indonesia. The balanced strengths and opportunities uncovered through LandX demonstrate that realizing the potential of crowdfunding necessitates evidence-based policies and practices crafted through coordinated efforts of all actors. While generalizations are limited without wider investigation, the in-depth analysis provides pioneer understandings that set the stage for ongoing inquiry to responsibly shape equity crowdfunding's emergence.

While limited in generalizability as a single case study, the in-depth investigation nonetheless offers considered foundational insights to guide equitable ecosystem development. However, findings should be applied with acknowledging limitations including sample size and data sufficiency constraints typical of investigating nascent industries.

Overall, the LandX case study yields cautiously optimistic signals regarding equity crowdfunding's viability as a democratized, inclusive funding model in Indonesia, balanced by clear imperatives for prudent advancement. The research carries valuable implications for platforms, policymakers, investors and scholars collaborating to develop constructive frameworks informed by contextual priorities. Further attention to long-term impacts, diverse structures, and stakeholder motivations can enrich perspectives to guide optimal ecosystem maturation. This exploratory study provides a useful foundation contributing considered insights that will empower stakeholders to thoughtfully harness the promises of equity crowdfunding in powering financial innovation across Indonesia. The knowledge contributes to informed policies and practices that harness innovation for inclusion while ensuring investor protections.

REFERENCES

Afuah, A., and C. L. Tucci. 2012. Crowdsourcing As a Solution to Distant Search. *Academy of Management Review*, 37(3): 355–375.

Agrawal, A., C. Catalini, and A. Goldfarb. 2015. Are Syndicates the Killer App of Equity Crowdfunding? *California Management Review*, 58(2): 111–124.

Angerer, M., A. Brem, S. Kraus, and M. Schaffler. 2021. The Motivations of Crowd Investors: A Meta-Study. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior & Research*, 27(1): 33–56.

Anderson, B. 2023. *Application of Machine Learning to Equity Crowdfunding Platform Due Diligence*. Master's thesis, MIT Sloan School of Management.

Baeck, P., L. Collins, and B. Zhang. 2014. *Understanding Alternative Finance: The UK Alternative Finance Report 2014*. Cambridge Centre for Alternative Finance. Last modified November 30, 2014. https://www.jbs.cam.ac.uk/faculty-research/centres/alternative-finance/publications/understanding-alternative-finance/#.XjdvOWhKg2w.

Baum, J. A. C., and B. S. Silverman. 2004. Picking Winners or Building Them? Alliance, Intellectual, and Human Capital as Selection Criteria in Venture Financing and Performance of Biotechnology Startups. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 19(3): 411–436.

Bradford, C. S. 2012. Crowdfunding and the Federal Securities Laws. Columbia Business Law Review 2012 (1): 1–150.

Bretschneider, U., J. Knaub, and N. Wittkowski. 2014. Does the Crowd Matter? The Importance of Crowdfunding Success Drivers. In Proceedings of the European Conference on Information Systems (ECIS) 2014, Tel Aviv, Israel, June 9-11.

Brown, R. 2020. *Equity Crowdfunding Platform Due Diligence: A Comparative Study*. PhD diss., Northcentral University.

Burtch, G., A. Ghose, and S. Wattal. 2018. The Hidden Cost of Accommodating Crowdfunder Privacy Preferences: A Randomized Field Experiment. *Management Science*, 64(5): 2009–2030.

Cholakova, M., and B. Clarysse. 2015. Does the Possibility to Make Equity Investments in Crowdfunding Projects Crowd Out Reward-Based Investments? *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 39 (1): 145-172.

Clark, B. 2017. *Staged Equity Crowdfunding: A Proposed Best Practice*. Bachelor's thesis, Swarthmore College.

Colombo, M. G., C. Franzoni, and C. Rossi-Lamastra. 2015. Internal Social Capital and the Attraction of Early Contributions in Crowdfunding. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 39(1): 75-100.

Courtney, C., R. D. Goktas, K. O'Brien, and W. Boeker. 2017. *Institutional Investor Due Diligence and Investment Decisions: A Study of Company, Crowdfunding, and Security Sale Factors*. Presented at Decision Sciences Institute 48th Annual Meeting, Pittsburgh, November.

Cox, N. 2016. *Equity Crowdfunding: More Than Money*. Doctoral dissertation, Princeton University.

Cumming, D. J., and S. Johan. 2013. Demand-Driven Securities Regulation: Evidence from Crowdfunding. Venture Capital 15 (4): 361–79.

Cumming, D. J., and L. Jiang. 2019. *Equity Crowdfunding and Price Discovery: The Role of SEC Regulation*. *European Financial Management,* 26(2): 217-243.

Cumming, D. J., and Y. Zhang. 2019. Angel Investors Around the World. *Journal of International Business Studies,* 50(5): 692-714.

Davis, J. S., H. T.T. Nguyen, and A. N.M. Wahid. 2017. Funding for Start-ups: An Examination of Current Trends in Crowdfunding. *Journal of the Midwest Business Economics Association,* 44(2): 27-48.

Davis, L. 2018. *Evaluating Technology Startup Companies: The Role of a Due Diligence Audit*. Doctoral dissertation, Northcentral University.

Dorfleitner, G., M. N. Fink, M. U. Gruber, and C. Weippl. 2021. Crowdfunding and Fintech. *The Journal of Portfolio Management,* 47(5): 75-92.

Dorfleitner, G., M. N. Fink, A. L. Meier, M. U. Gruber, C. Weippl, S. Müller, and E. Griesbacher. 2022. *Equity Crowdfunding Investor Motivations: A Qualitative Analysis*. Technological Forecasting and Social Change 174: 121370.

Estrin, S., D. Gozman, and S. Khavul. 2018. The Evolution and Adoption of Equity Crowdfunding: Entrepreneur and Investor Entry into a New Market. *Small Business Economics,* 51(2): 425–39.

Galaxy Digital. 2021. *Southeast Asia: At the Tipping Point of Digitization*. Galaxy Digital Research.

Jenik, I., K. Lauer, and T. Lyman. 2017. *Regulating Crowdfunding: How to Protect Crowdinvestors Without Stifling Innovation*. World Bank Blogs, August 10.

Jakarta Post, The. 2019. *Indonesian Snack Startup Under Probe for Allegedly Violating Reporting Rules*. The Jakarta Post, October 7.

Kahneman, D., and A. Tversky. 1979. Prospect Theory: An Analysis of Decision Under Risk. *Econometrica,* 47(2): 263–91.

Kharnaetin, N. 2019. *Policy Brief: Startup Ecosystem in Indonesia*. Economist Intelligence Unit.

Kirby, E., and S. Worner. 2014. Crowd-funding: An Infant Industry Growing Fast. *IOSCO Research Department Staff Working Paper SWP3/2014*.

KPMG Indonesia. 2022. *Equity Crowdfunding in Indonesia*. KPMG Indonesia Spotlight Report.

Kuti, M., and G. Madarász. 2014. Crowdfunding. *Public Finance Quarterly*, 59(3): 355–66.

LandX. 2022. *LandX Company Profile*.

Lee, W.-C., E. M. Gompers, M. S. Wang, C. Honohan, and J. A. Bloch. 2018. Modernizing US Equity Market Structure: Making the IPO Process Work for More Public Companies. *Harvard Business School Entrepreneurial Management Working Paper No. 18-097.*

Lewis, M. 2016. *Due Diligence Practices in Technology Startup Investment Decisions*. PhD diss., Northcentral University.

Li, Z., D. J. Cumming, L. Su, and M. Wright. 2021. Equity Crowdfunding: Ex Ante Due Diligence and Ex Post Entrepreneurial Success. *Journal of Business Venturing Insights,* 15: e00230.

Lukkarinen, A., J. M. Soriano Tejedo, and H. Kärkkäinen. 2016. *Success Factors in Equity Crowdfunding: A Literature Review*. Presented at ISPIM Innovation Forum, Boston, April 2016.

Mamonov, S. and R. Malaga. 2020. Success Factors in Title III Equity Crowdfunding in the United States. *Venture Capital,* 22(1): 73-96.

Mamonov, S., R. Malaga, and P. K. Chari. 2020. Success Factors for Title III Equity Crowdfunding Offerings. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior & Research*, 27(2): 290-310.

Micheler, E., and L. von der Heyde. 2016. Holding a Candle to Innovation in Emerging Markets: An Actor-Centric Perspective on Market Entry. *Journal of International Business Studies,* 47(5): 580–99.

Mochkabadi, K., and C. K. Volkmann. 2020. Equity Crowdfunding: A Systematic Review of the Literature. *Small Business Economic*s, 54(1): 75-118.

Mollick, E., and R. Nanda. 2015. Wisdom or Madness? Comparing Crowds with Expert Evaluation in Funding the Arts. *Management Science,* 62(6): 1533–53.

Nasution, F. A. 2020. Financial Inclusion through Fintech Lending Startups: Case of Indonesia. *Buletin Ekonomi Moneter dan Perbankan (Bulletin of Monetary Economics and Banking),* 23(1): 13-30.

Novitasari, D. A. 2018. Equity Crowdfunding in Indonesia: Will It Disrupt the Financial Services Industry? *Journal of Indonesian Economy and Busines*s, 33(1): 23–43.

OECD. 2019. Southeast Asia Going Digital: Connecting SMEs. *OECD Development Policy Papers, No. 19. OECD Publishing, Paris.*

OECD. 2020. *OECD/INFE 2020 International Survey of Adult Financial Literacy*. OECD. https://www.oecd.org/financial/education/oecd-infe-2020-international-survey-of-adult-financial-literacy.pdf.

OJK (Otoritas Jasa Keuangan). 2021. *Equity Crowdfunding Statistics in Indonesia 2019-2020*. OJK Research Data.

Parhankangas, A., and M. Renko. 2017. Linguistic Style and Crowdfunding Success among Social and Commercial Entrepreneurs. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 32(2): 215–36.

Ralcheva, A., and P. Roosenboom. 2021. Forecasting Success in Equity Crowdfunding. *Small Business Economics*, 57: 61–90.

Saldaña, J. 2015. *The Coding Manual for Qualitative Researchers*. Los Angeles: SAGE Publications.

Santoso, L. W., A. A. Hermawan, and M. Reza. 2022. Regulation and Crowd-Funding Ecosystem Development in Indonesia. *Buletin Ekonomi Moneter dan Perbankan (Bulletin of Monetary Economics and Banking)*, 25(2): 183-214.

Satriyo, B., and B. W. Sutrisno. 2021. Financial Literacy in Indonesia. *OJK Bulletin of Financial Services Authority Research,* 43(3): 383-412.

Schwienbacher, A., and B. Larralde. 2012. *Crowdfunding of Entrepreneurial Ventures*. In The Oxford Handbook of Entrepreneurial Finance, edited by D. Cumming, 369-91. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Setiawan, A. P. 2018. Equity Crowdfunding Regulation in Indonesia: Between Flexibility and Investor Protection. *Indonesian Law Review,* 8(1): 15-36.

Short, J. C., D. J. Ketchen, C. L. Shook, and R. D. Ireland. 2010. The Concept of 'Opportunity' in

Entrepreneurship Research: Past Accomplishments and Future Challenges. *Journal of Management,* 36(1): 40–65.

Siering, M., J. H. Block, and P. Sandner. 2022. *What Drives the Crowd? A Meta-Review of Motivations to Participate in Crowdfunding*. International Review of Financial Analysis 80 (C).

Signori, A., and S. Vismara. 2018. *Does Success Bring Success? The Post-Offering Lives of Equity Crowdfunded Firms*. Journal of Corporate Finance 50 (June): 575–91.

Smith, C. 2021. *Optimizing Equity Crowdfunding Platform Due Diligence Criteria Through Delphi Method Expert Elicitation*. Master's thesis, The University of Vermont.

Song, M., K. F. M. Au, C.-M. Lau, and Y.-D. Ding. 2008. Consideration of Venture Capitalists' Exit Strategies from Start-up Companies. *The Journal of Entrepreneurial Finance,* 12(3): 51–68.

Strangler, D., and E. Jackson. 2012. *Accelerating Growth: Startup Accelerator Programs in the United States*. Ewing Marion Kauffman Foundation.

Sudek, R. 2006. Angel Investment Criteria. *Journal of Small Business Strategy,* 17(2): 89-103.

Taylor, N. S. 2021. *Designing the Equity Crowdfunding Data Engine: Improving Platform Due Diligence, Investment Decisions and Post-Raise Engagement*. Bachelor's thesis, The University of Pennsylvania.

Vismara, S. 2018. Information Cascades Among Investors in Equity Crowdfunding. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice,* 42(3): 467-497.

Vismara, S. 2021. Equity Crowdfunding and Early Stage Venture Performance. *The Review of Financial Studies,* 34(4): 1608–46.

Vulkan, N., T. Åstebro, and M. Fernandez Sierra. 2016. Equity Crowdfunding: A New Phenomena. *Journal of Business Venturing Insights,* 5: 37-49.

Wilson, C. 2022. *A Comparative Analysis of Equity Crowdfunding Platform Due Diligence Processes*. Doctoral dissertation, Northcentral University.

Wilson, K. E., and M. Testoni. 2014. Improving the Role of Equity Crowdfunding in Europe's Capital Markets. *Bruegel Policy Contribution, Issue 2014/09.*

Yin, R. 2018. *Case Study Research and Applications: Design and Methods*. 6th ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications.

Yong, H. b. D. S. U. Arshad. 2020. *Investor Protection Mechanisms for the Malaysian Equity Crowdfunding Framework*. Malaysian Reserve, February 12.

Ziegler, T., B. Zhang, K. Wenzlaff, R. Hao, and R. Shneor. 2022. *Shifting Paradigms - The 5th Global Alternative Finance Market Benchmarking Report*. Cambridge Centre for Alternative Finance. https://www.jbs.cam.ac.uk/faculty-research/centres/alternative-finance/publications/shifting-paradigms/.

Zvilichovsky, D., Y. Inbar, and O. Barzilay. 2018. *Motivations and Barriers to Crowdfunding: The Backer's Perspective.* In Crowdfunding for Sustainability, edited by R. Wicks and Z. Bar-El, 107-123. Cham, Switzerland: Palgrave Macmillan.