

Frontier Exploration and Future Prospects of International and Comparative Research in Human Resource Management

Run Yang *

School of Economics and Management, Chongqing Jiaotong University, Chongqing 400074, China

* Corresponding author: Run Yang (Email: 622240130010@mails.cqjtu.edu.cn)

Abstract: Driven by globalization and digital transformation, international and comparative Human Resource Management (HRM) has become a critical field for understanding organizational adaptability and competitive advantage. This study systematically reviews 86 key articles to map the current research landscape, identify theoretical gaps, and propose a forward-looking research agenda. The review identifies three core themes shaping the field: (1) the central tension between global integration and local responsiveness, a complex interplay driven by deep-seated cultural and institutional differences across nations; (2) the strategic imperative to align international HRM (IHRM) with organizational performance, a nuanced relationship increasingly analyzed with sophisticated configurational methodologies; and (3) the transformative impact of contemporary frontiers, notably digitalization, artificial intelligence, and the growing demand for sustainability. However, the literature also reveals key limitations: the integration of macro- and micro-level theories remains underdeveloped, research requires broader methods and deeper exploration of emerging topics like digital ethics and Green HRM, and the localization practices in developing economies are significantly under-researched. We thus propose that future research should focus on deepening multi-level contextual analysis, fostering interdisciplinary innovation, and addressing the challenges posed by technological ethics, sustainability, and diverse geopolitical contexts. This will help build a theoretical framework with greater explanatory power and practical relevance for a complex world.

Keywords: International Human Resource Management (IHRM); Comparative HRM; Cross-Cultural Management; Strategic HRM; Digital HRM; Sustainability.

1. Introduction

As global economic integration deepens, the effective management of human resources across borders has evolved from a peripheral operational challenge to a cornerstone of corporate strategy for multinational corporations (MNCs). The design and implementation of global HRM policies and practices directly and significantly impact an MNC's ability to gain and sustain a competitive advantage in a complex global arena [3, 23]. Beyond facilitating the cross-border transfer of capital and technology, MNCs, through their HRM practices, serve as key enablers in optimizing global talent allocation, cultivating a global leadership pipeline, and fostering the cross-cultural understanding necessary for seamless international operations [1, 11].

However, the complexity and volatility of international markets—marked by significant disparities in cultural traditions, legal frameworks, labor market conditions, and employee values—pose persistent challenges [5, 9]. This reality raises a fundamental and enduring question for both scholars and practitioners: How can MNCs establish a coherent HRM system that balances the need for global strategic consistency with the flexibility required for local adaptation? Resolving this dilemma is a critical imperative for firms on the path to globalization, particularly for those from emerging economies seeking to expand their international footprint [54]. Against this backdrop, international and comparative HRM research offers crucial insights, providing the theoretical support and practical guidance essential for navigating a competitive and often turbulent international landscape [36].

Theoretically, this field is inherently interdisciplinary, drawing rich insights from management, organizational behavior, sociology, economics, and cultural anthropology [56]. Foundational frameworks like Hofstede's cultural dimensions provide a crucial lens for understanding how cultural values shape management practices [4, 22, 31, 38], while Institutional Theory helps explain how national-level institutional environments (e.g., legal systems, educational infrastructure, labor relations) constrain and shape HRM models [39, 69]. Concurrently, the Resource-Based View (RBV) emphasizes human capital as a key source of sustained competitive advantage, while Strategic HRM (SHRM) theory focuses on achieving vertical alignment between HRM practices and strategic goals to drive organizational performance [55]. More recently, research has moved towards constructing more complex, multi-level frameworks—for instance, by integrating organizational and comparative institutionalism [71] or employing analytical tools like the "Ability-Motivation-Opportunity" (AMO) framework [66]—to offer more comprehensive explanations of intricate IHRM phenomena.

This paper conducts a systematic review of 86 seminal articles sourced from leading academic databases, including the Web of Science (WOS) and China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), to synthesize the evolving frontiers of international and comparative HRM. We restructure the existing literature around three core thematic pillars that define the contemporary discourse: the foundational tension between global integration and local responsiveness, the strategic link between IHRM and organizational performance, and the disruptive forces of digitalization and sustainability.

By doing so, this review aims to consolidate the field's core knowledge, identify critical research gaps [70], and outline a cohesive and forward-looking agenda for future inquiry.

2. The Core Tension: Global Integration vs. Local Responsiveness

The central challenge in IHRM is navigating the inherent and persistent tension between the drive for global consistency (standardization) and the necessity of adaptation to diverse local contexts (localization). This strategic dilemma is fundamentally shaped by the dual pressures of economic globalization and the deep-seated cultural and institutional differences that characterize the global landscape.

2.1. The Global Context and Profound Cross-Cultural Challenges

Economic globalization is the primary catalyst for IHRM research, compelling firms to develop the capability to manage human resources on a global scale [3, 10, 12, 57]. While this process creates unprecedented opportunities for market expansion, resource acquisition, and talent diversification, it also introduces significant complexity [17, 23, 36]. As firms expand internationally, they inevitably encounter cross-cultural challenges that can impede communication, disrupt team collaboration, and decrease employee satisfaction, ultimately harming organizational efficiency and even leading to international venture failure [4, 5, 9].

The impact of national culture on employee values, communication styles, and work habits is profound and cannot be underestimated [4, 22, 31, 37, 38, 52, 60, 62]. A significant body of comparative research, contrasting HRM models such as those in China versus the U.S., Europe, or Japan, consistently demonstrates that management effectiveness is deeply embedded in and contingent upon its cultural context [21, 31, 50, 59]. For example, while the U.S. model may emphasize individualism, short-term performance metrics, and explicit communication, the Japanese model traditionally prioritizes collectivism, long-term employment security, and implicit communication [25, 30]. These deep-rooted differences necessitate that MNCs move beyond a simplistic "one-size-fits-all" approach [7, 22]. Indeed, a recurring theme in the literature is the caution that HRM practices and theories developed in Western contexts often require substantial adaptation to be effective elsewhere, highlighting the critical importance of cultural sensitivity and local knowledge [4, 45].

2.2. Standardization vs. Localization: A Dynamic Balancing Act in MNCs

In response to these diverse global environments, MNCs must strategically determine the optimal balance between standardization and localization within their HRM systems [7, 13, 35, 39, 40, 43, 58, 79]. Standardization—the implementation of uniform HRM policies and practices globally—offers compelling benefits. It helps to maintain a consistent corporate culture and brand identity, facilitates the seamless mobility of talent across borders, achieves economies of scale in HR operations, and allows MNCs to disseminate core values and proven best practices efficiently across the entire organization [65].

Conversely, localization—the alignment of HRM practices with host-country norms, laws, and employee expectations—

is crucial for gaining local legitimacy and effectiveness. This strategy can enhance the acceptance of management practices by local employees, reduce cultural friction and potential conflicts, and more effectively attract, motivate, and retain valuable local talent [13, 35, 58]. A compelling body of empirical research has demonstrated a positive correlation between the degree of HRM localization and the performance of foreign subsidiaries [58]. Notably, studies suggest that deep, institutional-level localization (e.g., empowering local managers in decision-making, adapting training and development systems) has a more significant positive effect on performance than superficial, personnel-level adjustments [58]. Case studies of successful MNCs like General Electric (GE) and HSBC in China reveal that a commitment to localizing management personnel and adapting leadership styles are key drivers of long-term success [35, 40].

However, neither strategy represents a perfect solution. Excessive standardization can lead to cultural insensitivity, stifle local innovation, and demotivate the local workforce. On the other hand, excessive localization can hinder global strategic synergy, fragment corporate culture, and increase administrative complexity and costs [79]. Consequently, most scholars and practitioners now advocate for a "hybrid" or "glocal" approach, where MNCs engage in a continuous and dynamic balancing act [39]. This balance is not uniform across all HR functions; rather, it is applied with strategic differentiation [43]. For instance, an MNC might highly standardize its global leadership development programs and core corporate values to cultivate a unified global mindset, while simultaneously localizing its compensation, benefits, and employee relations practices to remain competitive and compliant in diverse labor markets. The precise nature of this balance is influenced by a configuration of factors, including the parent company's overall strategy, the institutional and cultural pressures of the host country [39], and the specific strategic role assigned to each subsidiary [43].

3. The Strategic Dimension: Forging the Link Between IHRM and Performance

A central tenet of modern HRM is its transformation from a purely administrative function to a strategic partner in achieving organizational objectives. In an international context, Strategic International Human Resource Management (SIHRM) is fundamentally concerned with the systematic alignment of a firm's global HRM systems with its overarching international strategy to enhance global performance and build a sustainable competitive advantage [3, 55].

The relationship between SIHRM and firm performance is widely acknowledged to be complex, multidimensional, and non-linear [6]. Early research in this domain often relied on traditional linear regression models, which, while useful, struggled to capture the intricate interplay and combined effects of multiple contingent factors [6]. Recognizing these limitations, more recent studies have embraced sophisticated configurational methodologies, most notably fuzzy-set Qualitative Comparative Analysis (fsQCA). This approach allows researchers to move beyond analyzing the net effect of single variables and instead identify how different "recipes" or configurations of HRM practices, environmental conditions, and organizational characteristics combine to produce high performance [6, 16].

This new wave of research reveals that there is no single "best practice" for SIHRM; rather, effectiveness is contingent on achieving the right "fit." For instance, Peng (2020), using fsQCA, found that the choice of an effective HRM strategy (e.g., a control-oriented system versus a high-commitment system) for improving performance depends on the specific configuration of the firm's external environment (e.g., its dynamism) and its internal state (e.g., its life cycle stage) [16]. This echoes earlier findings on the importance of adapting HRM practices to different phases of the corporate life cycle [27]. Similarly, in a study that challenged conventional wisdom, Wang et al. (2025) discovered that in the context of Chinese private manufacturing firms, executive competence had a substantially greater impact on performance than general employee satisfaction [6]. This suggests that the optimal allocation of HRM resources depends heavily on context, requiring managers to strategically prioritize their efforts—be it on cultivating elite executive talent or fostering broad workforce engagement—where they will have the greatest strategic impact.

The strategic role of HRM is also particularly critical during periods of major organizational change, such as cross-border mergers and acquisitions (M&A). In this high-stakes context, HRM practices are instrumental in fostering post-merger organizational agility. As explored by Khan et al. (2020), by combining frameworks like the Ability-Motivation-Opportunity (AMO) model and Transactive Memory System (TMS) theory, SIHRM can be designed to promote knowledge sharing, integrate diverse corporate cultures, and build new collaborative routines [66]. By aligning HRM with the specific demands of the post-merger integration process, firms can accelerate the realization of synergies and enhance their long-term adaptive capacity [82]. Ultimately, the literature makes it clear that the impact of SIHRM on performance is not a simple, direct path but a complex, multidimensional equation contingent on achieving a dynamic alignment with the broader organizational and environmental context.

4. Contemporary Frontiers: Digitalization and Sustainability

The landscape of IHRM is currently being profoundly reshaped by two powerful and convergent forces: the digital revolution and the growing global imperative for sustainability. These trends are not merely incremental changes; they represent a paradigm shift, creating novel challenges and opportunities that compel organizations to fundamentally rethink how they manage their global workforce.

4.1. Digital Transformation and AI Empowerment

Digital technologies, including big data analytics, cloud computing, and artificial intelligence (AI), are permeating and transforming every facet of HRM [14, 73, 74, 76]. This ongoing shift, often conceptualized as "Digital HRM" or "HRM 4.0," is repositioning the HR function from a traditionally administrative role to that of a more intelligent, data-driven, and strategic business partner [74]. AI-augmented HRM systems are now being deployed across the entire talent lifecycle, from sophisticated talent acquisition and automated candidate screening to personalized employee development and dynamic performance management. A

growing body of research demonstrates a positive correlation between a firm's level of digital transformation and key outcomes like employee innovative behavior and individual performance [76], often mediated by the optimization of HR practices. The congruence between new digital systems and older, non-digital ones is also a crucial factor influencing a firm's ability to derive data-driven insights [73].

However, the rapid advance of digitalization is not without its challenges and ethical complexities. The adoption of AI in HRM, in particular, raises significant concerns that demand careful consideration [75]. These include the risk of embedding and amplifying algorithmic bias in hiring and promotion decisions, safeguarding employee data privacy in an era of constant monitoring, and ensuring the transparency and explainability of AI-driven decisions. Importantly, research underscores a strong global consensus among HR professionals: technology, while a powerful enabler, cannot and should not fully replace the human element in HRM [80, 81]. For tasks requiring deep emotional intelligence, nuanced ethical judgment, and complex interpersonal skills—such as managing sensitive labor relations or communicating difficult organizational changes—the role of human managers remains irreplaceable. The future of digital HRM, therefore, lies not in automation alone, but in achieving a symbiotic and effective human-machine collaboration, balancing efficiency gains with unwavering ethical responsibility [74].

4.2. The Rise of Sustainable HRM

In parallel with the digital wave, there is an escalating demand for organizations to integrate sustainability into the core of their business strategies. This has given rise to influential new concepts like "Green HRM" and "Sustainable HRM," which involve the strategic use of HRM policies to promote environmentally sustainable practices and broader social responsibility [49]. The scope of Sustainable HRM extends beyond purely environmental concerns to encompass a holistic set of issues, including employee health and well-being, fostering diversity and inclusion, ensuring fair labor practices and equitable compensation, and contributing positively to local communities.

Recent research, such as the work by Ehnert et al. (2016), has shown that leading global companies are increasingly reporting on their sustainable HRM performance in corporate sustainability reports, signaling a growing recognition of its strategic importance [77]. Specific Green HRM practices—such as designing training programs to raise environmental awareness, incorporating environmental criteria into performance appraisals, and promoting eco-friendly employee behaviors—can contribute directly to corporate sustainability goals while simultaneously enhancing organizational reputation and employer brand. As key stakeholders, including employees, customers, and investors, place ever-greater emphasis on corporate ethics and social responsibility [49], sustainable HRM is rapidly evolving from a niche interest into a strategic necessity for long-term value creation and organizational legitimacy.

5. Synthesis and Future Research Agenda

This review synthesizes the multifaceted landscape of international and comparative HRM, highlighting its core tension between global and local pressures, its strategic dimension in driving performance, and its transformation by

the contemporary frontiers of digitalization and sustainability. Our analysis reveals a dynamic field grappling with the complexities of managing a global workforce in an era of unprecedented change. Based on this synthesis, we identify several critical limitations in the existing literature and propose a focused, forward-looking agenda for future research.

5.1. Limitations of Existing Research

Despite significant progress, several crucial gaps remain that limit the field's theoretical and practical advancement.

First, theoretical integration remains insufficient, particularly in systematically connecting macro-level contextual factors (e.g., national institutions, cultural values) with micro-level organizational and individual phenomena (e.g., employee cognition, attitudes, and behaviors) [71]. A more robust and explicit dialogue between different theoretical streams, such as organizational and comparative institutionalism, is needed to build more comprehensive and powerful explanatory frameworks capable of spanning these levels of analysis.

Second, localization research currently lacks both breadth and depth. The existing literature is heavily concentrated on comparisons between China and developed Western nations [15, 20, 50]. There remains a significant scarcity of systematic, in-depth research on the unique HRM challenges, practices, and underlying cultural-institutional logic in other developing regions, such as the African continent, Latin America, or the diverse economies along the "Belt and Road" initiative [1, 79]. The development of indigenous HRM theories truly grounded in these unique contexts remains a critical and urgent task for the global academic community [8, 19, 28].

Third, the scholarly exploration of critical emerging topics is still nascent. While pressing issues like digital ethics, algorithmic bias in AI-driven HR, the long-term impacts of human-machine collaboration [74, 80, 81], and the practical implementation of Green and Sustainable HRM [75, 77] have garnered initial attention, in-depth, theory-driven empirical research is still notably lacking [72, 73]. Furthermore, methodological challenges persist, including the need for more reliable cross-cultural measurement tools and a move beyond over-reliance on traditional linear methods [6, 46, 48].

5.2. A Forward-Looking Research Agenda

To address these limitations and advance the field, we propose a multi-pronged research agenda for future inquiry:

Deepen Multi-Level Contextual Analysis. Future research must move beyond static, macro-level comparisons to meticulously examine how HRM practices dynamically co-evolve with a rich array of contextual factors. This includes not only national culture and institutions [69, 71, 83] but also industry characteristics, technological shifts, and importantly, "sub-national" regional differences [85]. Employing longitudinal and mixed-methods research designs will be critical for capturing these complex, time-dependent interactions.

Foster Interdisciplinary Innovation. To effectively bridge the macro-micro divide, IHRM research should more proactively and creatively draw from and integrate theories from adjacent disciplines. Sociology (e.g., social network theory), political science (e.g., stakeholder and power dynamics), and ethics (e.g., organizational justice) can provide powerful new lenses [67, 86]. Such interdisciplinary efforts will help to open the "black box" that explains

precisely how macro-environments shape the individual and organizational outcomes that matter most.

Focus Critically on Technology, Ethics, and Sustainability. Research on AI and digitalization must mature beyond descriptive accounts of efficiency gains to critically investigate the profound ethical challenges they pose, including data privacy, algorithmic fairness, and the future of work itself [74]. In parallel, the field must move to develop and empirically test robust models of "Green" and "Responsible" HRM, rigorously evaluating their comprehensive impact on a triple bottom line of economic, social, and environmental performance [77].

Expand the Geographic and Demographic Scope. There is an urgent need for research that gives voice to under-represented contexts, particularly in the Global South, to build a more inclusive and globally relevant body of knowledge [68]. Furthermore, studies should focus on the unique needs and expectations of specific, influential demographic groups, such as Gen Z employees [17, 19], the strategically vital role of bicultural and multicultural talent [82], and the growing population of "global nomads," in order to develop more nuanced and effective global talent management strategies.

By persistently and rigorously pursuing this agenda, the field of international and comparative HRM can develop more profound and actionable insights into the principles of managing human resources in a complex, interconnected world, offering more meaningful theoretical guidance and practical recommendations for navigating the uncertainties of the future.

References

- [1] Chu, J. (2025). Building a human resource cooperation system for multinational enterprises under the "Belt and Road" initiative: A review of "Overseas Human Resource Management: Helping Enterprises Successfully 'Go Global'". *International Economic Cooperation*, 41(01), 95.
- [2] Zhou, Q., Liang, Z., Zhang, X., Liu, H., Wang, C., Yusupujiang, T., & Qian, Y. (2025). Efficiency and fairness of human resource allocation for elderly care in China from a regional comparative perspective. *Nursing Research*, 39(01), 11-18.
- [3] Wang, D. (2024). The construction of an international strategic human resource management system: A review of "International Human Resource Management (7th ed.)". *International Economic Cooperation*, 40(06), 95.
- [4] Fan, S. (2024). A study on cross-cultural human resource management strategies. *China Collective Economy*, (28), 133-136.
- [5] Zheng, L. (2024). Challenges and countermeasures of cross-cultural human resource management under the background of globalization. In *Proceedings of the 2024 Lean Digital Innovation Conference Parallel Session: Metallurgical Industry Session (Vol. 1)*. Metallurgical Industry Education Resources Development Center.
- [6] Wang, L., Cheng, L., & Li, G. (2025). The myth and reality of the contribution of human resource management to firm performance: An analysis based on fuzzy-set qualitative comparative analysis. *Journal of Systems Science*, (03), 1-6.
- [7] Cui, Z. (2024). A study on human resource management of international enterprises in a cross-cultural context: A case study of Starbucks. *Modernization of Shopping Malls*, (20), 102-104.
- [8] Huang, Q., & Ma, X. (2024). A CiteSpace-based visual analysis of cross-cultural human resource management research. *International Public Relations*, (17), 35-37.

- [9] Li, G. (2024). Strategies and challenges of human resource management in a cross-cultural context. *Marketing Community*, (11), 11-13.
- [10] Li, W. (2023). Human resource management in international business. *Journal of Shanxi University of Finance and Economics*, 45(S1), 43-45.
- [11] Liu, Z. (2023). Theories and practices related to human resource management in international organizations: A review of "An Introduction to Human Resource Management in International Organizations". *International Economic Cooperation*, (01), 97.
- [12] Zhou, X. (2022). On human resource management strategies for international trade enterprises: A review of "International Enterprise Management". *International Trade*, (12), 97.
- [13] Zhang, J. (2021). A study on the localization management of human resources in international engineering projects: A case study of the CCECC Nigeria Badagry Expressway and Lagos Light Rail projects. *Construction Economics*, 42(05), 13-16.
- [14] Cai, J., Zhao, S., & Zhao, Y. (2021). Global investment, new technology and innovative human resource management practice: A review of the 10th (2020) International Symposium on Transnational Business Operations. *Reform*, (04), 146-154.
- [15] Tan, Q. (2020). A comparative analysis of the development trends of human resource management at home and abroad. *Financial Community*, (27), 242-243.
- [16] Peng, J. (2020). The impact of human resource management strategies on organizational performance from the perspective of dynamic environment and life cycle: Based on fuzzy-set qualitative comparative analysis. *China Human Resources Development*, 37(01), 98-112.
- [17] Zhao, S., Zhang, M., & Zhao, Y. (2019). A century of human resource management: Evolution and development. *Foreign Economics & Management*, 41(12), 50-73.
- [18] He, Y. (2019). An inquiry into human resource performance management in international trade enterprises: A review of "Multinational Corporations and the Global Economy". *International Trade*, (08), 1.
- [19] Yan, A., Guo, H., & Xie, J. (2019). Innovation and development of human resource management in the Chinese context in the new era: A review of the 7th China Human Resource Management Forum and International Symposium. *Management Journal*, 16(06), 821-827.
- [20] Wang, R., & Wang, N. (2019). A comparison of human resource management in the tourism and hospitality industries in China and the United States and its implications. *Journal of Heihe University*, 10(03), 86-87.
- [21] Meng, Z. (2019). A comparative discussion of Chinese and Japanese human resource management. *Modern Business Trade Industry*, 40(08), 88.
- [22] Li, H. (2018). An analysis of the impact of cultural differences in multinational corporations on human resource management based on case studies. *Cultural Innovation Comparative Research*, 2(14), 136-137.
- [23] Zhang, J., & Sun, J. (2017). The challenges faced by Chinese enterprises' human resource management under the "go global" strategy. *Modern Management Science*, (12), 94-96.
- [24] Tang, L. (2017). The evolutionary logic of HRM in strategic transformation: A study based on Toyota's practice. *Japanese Studies*, (06), 80-112.
- [25] Xiong, Z. (2017). A comparison of American and Japanese human resource management models and its implications for China. *Reform & Strategy*, 33(09), 191-194.
- [26] Li, Z., Qi, Y., & Wang, Y. (2017). A visual analysis of the research frontiers of international human resource management based on scientific knowledge mapping. *Journal of Shenyang Aerospace University*, 34(03), 90-96.
- [27] Shi, Y., & Cao, X. (2017). Human resource management practices for achieving corporate re-growth from the perspective of the corporate life cycle: A dual-case comparative study. *China Human Resources Development*, (05), 110-114+120.
- [28] Xie, L., Jiang, X., & Deng, L. (2017). The evolution, comparison, and selection of research paths for human resource management practices in China. *Modern Management Science*, (03), 103-105.
- [29] Zhao, F., Huang, H., & Chen, Y. (2017). International comparison and lessons for the cultivation of international talents in university human resource management. *Contemporary Economic Management*, 39(02), 66-72.
- [30] Shao, L. (2017). The enlightenment of American and Japanese human resource management models to China. *Chinese and Foreign Entrepreneurs*, (01), 139-140.
- [31] Hu, X. (2016). A comparative study of human resource management models in Chinese and Japanese enterprises under cultural differences. *Modernization of Shopping Malls*, (18), 72-73.
- [32] Wang, M. (2016). A comparative analysis of differences in human resource management between Chinese and American enterprises. *Cooperative Economy & Science*, (10), 101-102.
- [33] Li, Z., Qi, Y., Zhang, L., & Wang, C. (2016). A visual analysis of the research frontiers and evolution of human resource management. *Journal of Shenyang Aerospace University*, 33(02), 87-92+96.
- [34] Wen, Q. (2016). A brief discussion on the differences in human resource management between China and the United States. *Modern Communication*, (06), 47.
- [35] Song, Y., & Yao, J. (2015). Localized human resource management in multinational corporations: A case study of General Electric (China). *China Human Resources Development*, (24), 19-24+30.
- [36] Zhang, Q., & Li, P. (2015). An analysis of the current research status, practical drivers, and key issues of international human resource management. *China Human Resources Development*, (24), 6-11.
- [37] Zhang, J., Wang, D., & Wu, H. (2015). Building cross-cultural human resource management capabilities: A case study of Alibaba. *China Human Resources Development*, (24), 25-30.
- [38] Zhao, F. (2015). An exploration of enterprise human resources from a cross-cultural perspective. *Technoeconomics & Management Research*, (06), 61-64.
- [39] Cai, X. (2015). A study of human resource management of subsidiaries of multinational corporations from the perspective of institutional theory. *China Labor*, (10), 93-97.
- [40] Liu, Y., & Peng, J. (2015). A study on the global human resource management model of multinational enterprises: A case study of HSBC. *China Human Resources Development*, (02), 68-74.
- [41] Zhang, H. (2014). A comparison of the foundations of Chinese and Western human resource management. *China Labor*, (06), 38-40.
- [42] Yue, P., & Bao, C. (2013). Reforms in foreign government human resource management and their implications. *China Human Resources Development*, (15), 81-86.
- [43] Zhou, L., & Zhao, S. (2013). A study on the choice of parent-subsidiary control mechanisms and human resource management practices in multinational corporations. *Xuehai*, (04), 34-40.

- [44] Gao, Y., & Wang, L. (2013). A comparative study of student affairs human resource management in Chinese and American universities and its implications. *Hubei Social Sciences*, (07), 176-178.
- [45] Zhang, J., Wu, J., & Zhou, Z. (2013). A comparison of human resource differences between China and the United States and its implications for China's human resource management policies. *Jiangsu Social Sciences*, (03), 252-256.
- [46] Tang, G., Wei, L., & Jia, J. (2013). A review and prospect of research on the strength of human resource management. *Foreign Economics & Management*, 35(04), 40-48.
- [47] Fang, H. (2013). A bibliometric comparison of research hotspots in human resource management based on SSCI and CSSCI. *Science and Technology Management Research*, 33(07), 128-134.
- [48] Jin, N., & Wang, Y. (2013). A review of research on methods for evaluating the effectiveness of human resource management. *Journal of Yanbian University (Social Science Edition)*, 46(01), 68-74.
- [49] Fu, Y., & Liao, L. (2012). A comparative study of corporate social responsibility at home and abroad: An exploration based on CSR-oriented human resource management. *Lanzhou Academic Journal*, (05), 134-137.
- [50] Zhao, S. (2012). A comparative study of human resource management in Chinese, American, and European enterprises and its application in Chinese domestic enterprises. *Management Journal*, 9(03), 380-387.
- [51] Yu, Q. (2012). A comparison and reference of Chinese and foreign human resource management. *Foreign-related Economic and Trade Practice*, (02), 28-30.
- [52] Zhang, S., & Yang, N. (2011). A study on human resource management in cross-cultural enterprises. *Journal of Shanxi Normal University (Social Science Edition)*, 38(S3), 28-30.
- [53] Wang, A., & Chen, L. (2011). Cross-cultural human resource management and development: Based on the context of economic globalization. *People's Tribune*, (20), 246-247.
- [54] Ao, Y., & Song, C. (2011). An analysis of human resource management strategies for "going out" enterprises in a cross-cultural context. *China Business & Trade*, (06), 42-43.
- [55] Zhao, S., Gao, S., & Geng, C. (2011). A study on the relationship between strategic international human resource management and firm performance: Empirical evidence from multinational corporations in China. *Nankai Business Review*, 14(01), 28-35.
- [56] Zhao, S. (2011). A review of new progress and future prospects of human resource management theory research. *Foreign Economics & Management*, 33(01), 1-10.
- [57] Zhang, S., & Gao, A. (2010). The characteristics and implications of contemporary multinational corporation human resource management. *Dongyue Tribune*, 31(11), 63-65.
- [58] Wei, J., & Zheng, D. (2010). A study on the relationship between human resource management localization and firm performance in Korean-funded enterprises in China. *Science of Science and Management of S.&T.*, 31(10), 192-199.
- [59] Xie, P. (2010). A comparison of Chinese and Japanese enterprise human resource management models and its implications. *Asia-Pacific Economy*, (05), 74-77.
- [60] Zhang, Y. (2010). Cultural differences and human resource management in international enterprises. *Journal of Shanxi Normal University (Social Science Edition)*, 37(S2), 43-44.
- [61] Liu, Q. (2009). A comparative study of international human resource management. *Technology Venture*, 22(05), 97-100.
- [62] Liao, M. (2008). Enterprise human resource management in a cross-cultural context. *Contemporary Finance & Economics*, (03), 65-69.
- [63] Lin, J. (2007). An international comparison of human resource management. *Modern Business*, (29), 187.
- [64] Lin, J. (2007). An international comparison of human resource management. *Modern Business Trade Industry*, (08), 167-168.
- [65] Edwards T, Almond P, Murray G, et al. (2022). International human resource management in multinational companies: Global norm making within strategic action fields. *Human Resource Management Journal*, 32(3), 506-522.
- [66] Khan Z, Soundararajan V, Shoham A. (2020). Global post-merger agility, transactive memory systems and human resource management practices. *Human Resource Management Review*, 30(1), 100697.
- [67] Delbridge R, Hauptmeier M, Sengupta S. (2011). Beyond the enterprise: Broadening the horizons of International HRM. *Human Relations*, 64(4), 483-505.
- [68] De Cieri H, Sanders K, Lin C. (2022). International and comparative human resource management: an Asia-Pacific perspective. *Asia Pacific Journal of Human Resources*, 60(1), 116-145.
- [69] Brewster C, Gooderham P. (2019). A framework for comparative institutional research on HRM. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 30(1), 20-40.
- [70] Sanders K, De Cieri H. (2021). Similarities and differences in international and comparative human resource management: A review of 60 years of research. *Human Resource Management*, 60(5), 735-751.
- [71] Schotter A P J, Meyer K E, Wood G T. (2021). Organizational and comparative institutionalism in international HRM: Toward an integrative research agenda. *Human Resource Management*, 60(5), 753-771.
- [72] Theres C, Strohmeier S. (2022). Met the expectations? A meta-analysis of the performance consequences of digital HRM. *Human Resource Management Journal*, 32(4), 778-798.
- [73] Zhou Y, Zou Y. (2023). The effects of congruence between digital HRM systems and previous non-digital HRM systems on firms' data-driven insights. *Asia Pacific Journal of Human Resources*, 61(4), 866-896.
- [74] Fregnan E, Ivaldi S, Scaratti G. (2020). HRM 4.0 and New Managerial Competences Profile: The COMAU Case. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, 578251.
- [75] Fenyves V, Böcskei E, Fenyves B S, et al. (2024). Examining the Role of AI-Augmented HRM for Sustainable Performance: Key Determinants for Digital Culture and Organizational Strategy. *Sustainability*, 16(24), 10843.
- [76] Lou Y, Hong A, Li Y. (2024). Assessing the Role of HRM and HRD in Enhancing Sustainable Job Performance and Innovative Work Behaviors through Digital Transformation in ICT Companies. *Sustainability*, 16(12), 5162.
- [77] Ehnert I, Parsa S, Roper I, et al. (2016). Reporting on sustainability and HRM: a comparative study of sustainability reporting practices by the world's largest companies. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 27(1), 88-108.
- [78] De Guzman G M, Neelankavil J P, Sengupta K. (2011). Human resources roles: ideal versus practiced: a cross-country comparison among organizations in Asia. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 22(13), 2665-2682.
- [79] Mohamed F A, Singh S K. (2010). HRM Practices in Local and Multinational Companies: Survey and a Conceptual Model. In 2010 International Conference on Business and Economics Research (pp. 1-5).

- [80] Mantzaris K, Myloni B. (2023). Human resources under technological transformation: what HR professionals believe in an international scale. *Employee Relations: The International Journal*, 45(3), 696-712.
- [81] Mantzaris K, Myloni B. (2022). Human vs technology: a cross-cultural comparison of HR professionals' perceptions. *International Journal of Manpower*, 43(2), 401-415.
- [82] Liu Y, Vrontis D, Visser M, et al. (2021). Talent management and the HR function in cross-cultural mergers and acquisitions: The role and impact of bi-cultural identity. *Human Resource Management Review*, 31(4), 100744.
- [83] Farndale E, Sanders K. (2017). Conceptualizing HRM system strength through a cross-cultural lens. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 28(1), 132-157.
- [84] Rode J C, Huang X, Flynn B B. (2016). A cross-cultural examination of the relationships among human resource management practices and organisational commitment: an institutional collectivism perspective. *Human Resource Management Journal*, 26(4), 471-489.
- [85] Almond P. (2011). The sub-national embeddedness of international HRM. *Human Relations*, 64(4), 531-551.
- [86] Farndale E, Raghuram S, Gully S M, et al. (2017). A vision of international HRM research. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 28(1), 1-15.