**INTERNATIONAL INTERDISCIPLINARY BUSINESS ECONOMICS ADVANCEMENT JOURNAL**
eISSN: 2375-9615 pISSN: 2375-9607

VOLUME05 ISSUE08
*Published 01-08-2024* *Page No. 1-7*

# PRODUCTIVITY EFFECTS OF BANK MERGERS AND ACQUISITIONS IN THE EU: A CAUSAL PERSPECTIVE

**Abdussalam Toumi**

**Department of Management, College of Business Administration in Yanbu, Taibah University, Madina, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia**

## ABSTRACT

**This study investigates the productivity effects of bank mergers and acquisitions (M&As) within the European Union (EU) from a causal perspective. As the banking sector in the EU continues to undergo significant consolidation, understanding the implications of these structural changes on productivity is crucial for policymakers, investors, and stakeholders. The primary objective of this research is to assess whether and to what extent M&As influence the productivity of banks operating in the EU.**

**We employ a robust econometric framework to analyze data from a comprehensive sample of EU banks over the past two decades. By leveraging a difference-in-differences approach combined with propensity score matching, we aim to isolate the causal effects of M&As on bank productivity. This methodology allows us to control for confounding factors and ensure that the observed impacts are attributable to the mergers and acquisitions themselves rather than external influences.**

**Our findings indicate that M&As have a heterogeneous impact on bank productivity across different EU countries and bank sizes. On average, we observe a positive effect on productivity in the post- merger period, driven primarily by increased operational efficiencies and economies of scale.**

**Larger banks, in particular, tend to experience more pronounced productivity gains compared to their smaller counterparts. This suggests that scale economies and resource optimization play a significant role in enhancing productivity following M&As.**

**However, the study also uncovers variability in outcomes based on the type of merger and the specific banking markets involved. For instance, mergers involving cross-border transactions or consolidation within highly competitive markets demonstrate different productivity effects.**

**Additionally, the short-term improvements in productivity may not always translate into long-term sustainable gains, as integration challenges and market dynamics can offset initial benefits.**

**The implications of these findings are multifaceted. For regulators and policymakers, understanding the productivity implications of M&As can inform decisions related to market concentration and competition. For banks, the results highlight the importance of strategic planning and effective integration processes to realize the potential productivity benefits of M&As.**

## KEYWORDS

**Bank mergers, bank acquisitions, EU banks, productivity effects, causal analysis, financial sector, European Union, bank efficiency, merger impact, acquisition impact, banking productivity, economic**

**performance, financial performance, EU banking sector, organizational efficiency.**

## INTRODUCTION

In recent decades, the banking sector in the European Union (EU) has undergone significant transformations due to a wave of mergers and acquisitions (M&As). This consolidation trend has been driven by various factors, including the pursuit of operational efficiencies, expansion into new markets, and the need to enhance competitive positioning in a rapidly evolving financial landscape. The impact of these M&As on bank productivity is a critical area of research, as it provides insights into how consolidation affects the efficiency and performance of financial institutions within the EU.

Productivity, in the context of banking, typically refers to the efficiency with which banks use their resources—such as labor, capital, and technology—to generate outputs like loans, deposits, and other financial services. M&As are often anticipated to improve productivity through economies of scale, streamlined operations, and enhanced technological capabilities. However, the causal effects of these consolidations on productivity are complex and multifaceted. While some studies suggest that M&As lead to significant gains in productivity by reducing costs and optimizing resource use, others highlight potential downsides, such as integration challenges, cultural clashes, and managerial inefficiencies that can negate the expected benefits.

This study aims to explore the causal effects of M&As on bank productivity within the EU, focusing on how consolidation activities influence the efficiency of banking operations. By analyzing empirical data and employing advanced econometric techniques, this research seeks to provide a comprehensive understanding of whether and how M&As contribute to productivity improvements in the banking sector. The study will address key questions such as: Do M&As lead to measurable improvements in productivity for EU banks? What are the underlying mechanisms through which these effects occur? And how do various factors, such as the size of the merging entities and the nature of the merger, influence the outcomes?

Understanding the productivity effects of bank M&As is crucial for policymakers, regulators, and industry stakeholders. For policymakers, insights into the productivity impacts can inform regulatory decisions and oversight mechanisms to ensure that the benefits of consolidation are realized without compromising market stability. For regulators, a nuanced understanding of the productivity outcomes can guide the evaluation of merger proposals and their potential impact on competition and financial stability. For industry stakeholders, including bank managers and investors, this research provides valuable information on the strategic implications of M&As and their potential to enhance or detract from operational efficiency.

## METHOD

To investigate the productivity effects of bank mergers and acquisitions (M&As) in the European Union (EU), a comprehensive and rigorous methodology is essential. This study employs a multifaceted approach, integrating quantitative analysis, econometric modeling, and a detailed examination of secondary data to assess the causal relationships between M&As and bank productivity. The methodology encompasses the following key components:

Data Collection and Sample Selection: The study utilizes a robust dataset covering a broad range of EU banks over an extended period. The data includes financial statements, operational metrics, and productivity indicators from various sources such as bank annual reports, regulatory filings, and industry databases. The sample is carefully selected to include banks that have undergone M&As between 2000 and 2020, ensuring a

diverse representation across different countries and bank sizes. This temporal and geographical scope allows for a comprehensive analysis of the impact of M&As on productivity across various banking environments within the EU.

Defining and Measuring Productivity: Productivity is measured using multiple indicators, including total factor productivity (TFP), labor productivity, and cost efficiency. TFP is calculated using a stochastic frontier analysis (SFA) approach, which accounts for variations in efficiency and production technology. Labor productivity is assessed by comparing pre- and post-M&A output per employee, while cost efficiency is measured using data envelopment analysis (DEA) to evaluate how well banks convert inputs into outputs. These measures provide a holistic view of productivity changes associated with M&As.

Econometric Modeling: To establish causality between M&As and changes in productivity, the study employs several econometric techniques. A difference-in-differences (DiD) approach is used to compare the productivity changes of banks involved in M&As with a control group of non-M&A banks, before and after the merger or acquisition. This method helps to control for time-invariant unobserved factors that could influence productivity. Additionally, a fixed-effects panel regression model is applied to control for bank-specific characteristics and temporal effects. This approach accounts for variations across banks and over time, providing a more accurate estimate of the causal impact of M&As on productivity.

Instrumental Variable (IV) Analysis: To address potential endogeneity issues, where the decision to merge or acquire may be influenced by factors related to productivity, the study uses instrumental variable (IV) analysis. Suitable instruments are identified, such as regulatory changes or industry shocks, that are exogenous to the banks' productivity outcomes but correlated with the likelihood of M&As. This helps to isolate the causal effect of M&As on productivity from other confounding factors.

Robustness Checks and Sensitivity Analysis: To ensure the reliability of the results, several robustness checks are conducted. These include using alternative productivity measures, varying the time windows for analysis, and testing different specifications of the econometric models.

Sensitivity analyses are performed to examine how different assumptions and model specifications affect the results, thereby providing confidence in the robustness and validity of the findings.

Qualitative Analysis: Complementing the quantitative analysis, the study incorporates qualitative insights through case studies of selected banks that have undergone significant M&As. Interviews with industry experts, bank executives, and regulators provide contextual understanding of the quantitative findings. This qualitative approach helps to interpret the underlying mechanisms driving productivity changes and to identify best practices for successful M&A integration.

## RESULT

The investigation into the productivity effects of bank mergers and acquisitions (M&As) within the European Union reveals several significant findings, shedding light on the complex relationship between these corporate strategies and bank performance. The results indicate that while M&As can lead to improvements in certain productivity metrics, the outcomes are influenced by a range of factors including the nature of the merger, the economic environment, and the strategic alignment of the merging entities.

Firstly, the analysis demonstrates that M&As often lead to substantial gains in operational efficiency. Banks that underwent mergers typically showed improvements in cost efficiency, as evidenced by reductions in operating expenses relative to assets. These gains can be attributed to economies of scale and scope achieved through

consolidation. By combining resources and streamlining operations, merged banks are able to reduce redundancies and achieve greater economies, leading to lower per-unit costs and improved profitability.

However, the impact on productivity is not uniformly positive. The study also reveals that the initial phase following a merger can be marked by integration challenges that temporarily hinder productivity. The process of integrating different organizational cultures, systems, and operational procedures can be complex and disruptive. This often results in transitional inefficiencies, as evidenced by an initial decline in productivity metrics such as return on assets (ROA) and return on equity (ROE) during the post-merger period. These challenges highlight the importance of effective integration strategies to ensure that the potential benefits of M&As are fully realized.

Moreover, the results indicate that the long-term effects of M&As on productivity vary depending on the strategic fit between the merging banks. Mergers that align with strategic objectives, such as expanding market share or entering new markets, tend to yield more favorable productivity outcomes. In contrast, mergers lacking clear strategic alignment or those driven primarily by opportunistic motives often result in less favorable or even negative productivity effects. This underscores the necessity of thorough due diligence and strategic planning in the merger process to maximize productivity benefits.

The economic environment also plays a crucial role in shaping the productivity effects of bank M&As. During periods of economic uncertainty or financial crisis, the productivity gains from mergers may be less pronounced or even offset by broader economic challenges. Conversely, in a stable or growing economic environment, banks are more likely to capitalize on the efficiencies gained from mergers, leading to more pronounced improvements in productivity.

The study further reveals that the impact of M&As on productivity is also influenced by regulatory factors and market conditions within the EU. Regulatory frameworks governing mergers and acquisitions, such as antitrust laws and competition regulations, can affect the degree to which banks are able to realize productivity gains. Additionally, market conditions, including competition and consumer demand, play a role in determining the extent to which merged banks can leverage their enhanced capabilities to achieve improved productivity.

## DISCUSSION

The analysis of productivity effects resulting from bank mergers and acquisitions (M&A) within the European Union (EU) offers critical insights into how consolidation in the banking sector influences operational efficiency and performance outcomes. This discussion delves into the key findings of the study, explores the underlying mechanisms driving productivity changes, and considers the broader implications for the EU banking industry.

One of the primary observations from the study is that bank M&As can lead to significant shifts in productivity levels, both positive and negative. On one hand, successful mergers and acquisitions can generate considerable efficiencies through economies of scale, enhanced resource allocation, and improved operational practices. By consolidating resources, banks can streamline processes, reduce redundant operations, and leverage combined expertise to foster greater innovation and service delivery. This aligns with the hypothesis that M&As, when executed effectively, enable banks to achieve higher productivity by optimizing their scale and scope of operations.

However, the impact of M&As on bank productivity is not uniformly positive. The study also highlights several challenges and inefficiencies that can arise from the consolidation process. Integration issues, cultural mismatches, and operational disruptions often accompany M&As, which can temporarily or even permanently

hinder productivity. These challenges underscore the complexity of merging organizational cultures, systems, and processes, which can lead to inefficiencies if not managed effectively. For instance, the process of aligning disparate IT systems, regulatory compliance requirements, and management practices can be resource-intensive and fraught with difficulties, potentially offsetting the anticipated productivity gains.

The study further reveals that the impact of M&As on productivity is influenced by various factors including the strategic fit between merging entities, the scale of the transaction, and the regulatory environment. Transactions involving firms with complementary strengths and strategic alignment tend to yield better productivity outcomes compared to those with mismatched goals or incompatible operations. Additionally, larger-scale mergers, while offering more substantial potential benefits, also come with increased complexity and integration challenges that can affect productivity. The regulatory environment also plays a crucial role; stringent regulatory requirements and oversight can either facilitate smooth integrations or create additional hurdles that impact productivity.

Moreover, the long-term effects of M&As on productivity suggest a need for a nuanced understanding of how these transactions influence bank performance over time. While short-term disruptions and costs associated with integration may initially dampen productivity, the long-term benefits often materialize as banks consolidate their market position, streamline operations, and enhance their competitive edge. Thus, the true measure of productivity gains from M&As may only become apparent after a period of adjustment and integration.

The findings of this study also have broader implications for policymakers and banking sector regulators. Understanding the causal relationship between M&As and productivity can inform regulatory practices aimed at promoting efficient consolidation while mitigating potential risks. For example, regulators can focus on ensuring that M&As are strategically sound and that integration processes are well-managed to maximize productivity gains. Additionally, providing guidance and support for effective integration practices can help banks navigate the complexities of consolidation and achieve desired productivity outcomes.

## CONCLUSION

One of the primary findings is that bank M&A can lead to significant productivity gains, particularly through economies of scale and enhanced operational efficiencies. Mergers and acquisitions often result in the consolidation of resources, leading to streamlined operations, reduced redundancies, and improved utilization of assets. These efficiencies can translate into higher productivity as banks leverage their increased scale to optimize processes and reduce costs. The study demonstrates that successful integration strategies, including harmonizing IT systems, consolidating branches, and rationalizing workflows, are critical to realizing these productivity improvements.

However, the study also highlights that the productivity effects of M&A are not uniformly positive and can vary depending on several factors. The integration process poses substantial challenges, and the anticipated productivity gains can be undermined by integration difficulties, cultural mismatches, and operational disruptions. Poorly managed mergers, where synergies are not fully realized or where there is significant employee turnover, can lead to decreased productivity and adverse effects on performance. This underscores the importance of effective integration planning and execution to achieve the desired productivity outcomes.

Additionally, the research points to the role of regulatory environments and market conditions in shaping the productivity effects of M&A. In the EU, regulatory frameworks and competition policies play a significant role in influencing the outcomes of bank consolidations. Stringent regulatory requirements and competitive pressures

can impact the extent to which banks can achieve productivity gains from M&A. The study suggests that a supportive regulatory environment that facilitates efficient integration and innovation can enhance the positive impacts of M&A on productivity.

The study also reveals that the productivity effects of M&A are influenced by the strategic alignment and compatibility of the merging entities. Banks with complementary strengths and compatible organizational cultures are more likely to experience positive productivity outcomes from M&A. Conversely, mismatches in strategic goals or operational practices can lead to challenges that offset potential gains. Therefore, careful consideration of strategic fit and cultural compatibility is crucial for maximizing productivity benefits.

Moreover, the research highlights the importance of post-merger monitoring and adjustment. Continuous evaluation of productivity metrics and operational performance post-M&A is essential for identifying and addressing issues that may arise during the integration phase. Implementing feedback mechanisms and making necessary adjustments can help mitigate challenges and enhance the long-term productivity effects of M&A.

## REFERENCES

1. Alarco, G. (2018). "Mergers and acquisitions in Latin America 1990–2014: factorial distribution and contractionary impacts." Metroeconomica, 69(3), 681–706.
2. Allen, L., & Rai, A. (1996). "Operational efficiency in banking: an international comparison." Journal of Banking & Finance, 20(4), 655–672.
3. Altunbas, Y., & Molyneux, P. (1996). "Economies of scale and scope in European banking."
4. Applied Financial Economics, 6(4), 367–375.
5. Amel, D., Barnes, C., Panetta, F., & Salleo, C. (2004). "Consolidation and efficiency in the financial sector: a review of the international evidence." Journal of Banking & Finance, 28(10), 2493–2519.
6. Amewu, G., & Alagidede, P. (2018). "Do mergers and acquisitions announcements create value for acquirer shareholders in Africa?" International Journal of Finance & Economics, 23(4), 606–627.
7. Ayadi, R., Boussemart, J. P., Leleu, H., & Saidane, D. (2013). "Mergers and acquisitions in European banking: higher productivity or better synergy among business lines?" Journal of Productivity Analysis, 39(2), 165–175.
8. Barth, J. R., Caprio, G., & Levine, R. (2004). "Bank regulation and supervision: what works best?" Journal of Financial Intermediation, 13(2), 205–248.
9. Berger, A. N., & Mester, L. J. (1997). "Inside the black box: what explains differences in the efficiencies of financial institutions?" Journal of Banking & Finance, 21(7), 895–947.
10. Bonin, J., & Wachtel, P. (2003). "Financial sector development in transition economies: lessons from the first decade." Financial Markets, Institutions & Instruments, 12(1), 1–66.
11. Brissimis, S. N., Delis, M. D., & Papanikolaou, N. I. (2008). "Exploring the nexus between banking sector reform and performance: evidence from newly acceded EU countries." Journal of Banking & Finance, 32(12), 2674–2683.
12. Carbó, S., Humphrey, D., Maudos, J., & Molyneux, P. (2009). "Cross-country comparisons of competition and pricing power in European banking." Journal of International Money and Finance, 28(1), 115–134.

13. Cavallo, L., & Rossi, S. P. (2001). "Scale and scope economies in the European banking systems." Journal of Multinational Financial Management, 11(4), 515–531.
14. Conyon, M. J., Girma, S., Thompson, S., & Wright, P. W. (2002). "The productivity and wage effects of foreign acquisition in the United Kingdom." Journal of Industrial Economics, 50(1), 85–102.
15. Du, K., & Sim, N. (2016). "Mergers, acquisitions, and bank efficiency: cross-country evidence from emerging markets." Research in International Business and Finance, 36, 499–510.
16. Engle, R. F., & Granger, C. W. (1987). "Co-integration and error correction: representation, estimation, and testing." Econometrica, 55, 251–276.
17. Hassen, T., Fakhri, I., Bilel, A., Wassim, T., & Faouzi, H. (2016). "Dynamic effects of mergers and acquisitions on the performance of commercial European banks." Journal of Knowledge Economics, 9, 1–17.
18. Haynes, M., & Thompson, S. (1999). "The productivity effects of bank mergers: evidence from the UK building societies." Journal of Banking & Finance, 23(5), 825–846.
19. Kao, C., & Chiang, M. H. (2001). "On the estimation and inference of a cointegrated regression in panel data." In Nonstationary Panels, Panel Cointegration, and Dynamic Panels. Emerald Group Publishing Limited, Bingley, pp. 179–222.
20. Kao, C., Chiang, M. H., & Chen, B. (1999). "International R&D spillovers: an application of estimation and inference in panel cointegration." Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics, 61(S1), 691–709.
21. Klimek, A. (2014). "Results of cross-border mergers and acquisitions by multinational corporations from emerging countries: the case of Poland." East European Economics, 52(4), 92–104.
22. Levin, A., Lin, C. F., & Chu, C. S. J. (2002). "Unit root tests in panel data: asymptotic and finite-sample properties." Journal of Econometrics, 108(1), 1–24.
23. Lichtenberg, F. R. (1992). "Industrial de-diversification and its consequences for productivity." Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization, 18(3), 427–438.
24. Mark, N. C., & Sul, D. (2003). "Cointegration vector estimation by panel DOLS and long run money demand." Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics, 65(5), 655–680.
25. Montgomery, H., & Takahashi, Y. (2018). "Are bank mergers good news for shareholders? The effect of bank mergers on shareholder value in Japan." International Review of Finance, Berlin.
26. Nagano, M., & Ushijima, T. (2018). "What drives interregional bank branch closure? The case of Japan's regional banks in the post deregulation period." International Review of Finance, Berlin.
27. Nurboja, B., & Košak, M. (2017). "Banking efficiency in South East Europe: evidence for financial crises and the gap between new EU members and candidate countries." Economic Systems, 41(2017), 122–138.