ORIGINAL ARTICLE

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE

ISSN: 2692-5206, Impact Factor: 12,23

American Academic publishers, volume 05, issue 11,2025

Journal: https://www.academicpublishers.org/journals/index.php/ijai

THE NEW ERA HEALTH SYSTEM: BUILDING A LEARNING HEALTH SYSTEM IN THE DIGITAL ERA

Abdukarimova Gavkharoybonu G'ayratbek kizi

Lewis University,MS

e-mail: gavkharoybonugayra@lewisu.edu

Scientific supervisor: Dr.**William Silver**

e-mail: wsiler@lewisu.edu

Annotation: The contemporary health sector is undergoing a structural transition from fragmented, volume driven care toward integrated, data intensive learning health systems. This article presents a narrative review and conceptual synthesis of the emerging health system paradigm, with particular attention to the roles of health information management, digital infrastructure, artificial intelligence, and governance. Drawing on foundational literature in health information management, descriptions of the United States health care delivery system, organizational policies on de identification, and recent work on digital health and artificial intelligence, the article characterizes the core components of a learning oriented health system. The results are organized into thematic domains: the evolution from siloed institutions to integrated and learning health systems, the strategic elevation of data governance and health information management, the development of interoperable digital infrastructure, the emergence of an intelligence layer based on analytics and artificial intelligence, and the strengthening of privacy and ethical oversight. The synthesis suggests that the new era health system is best understood as a learning health system that systematically converts routine care processes into data, transforms those data into knowledge, and feeds that knowledge back into practice, while maintaining robust protections for patients' rights and public trust.

INTRODUCTION

Health systems internationally face simultaneous expectations to improve quality, expand access, enhance equity, and constrain costs. In the United States, these expectations are layered onto a historically fragmented delivery structure characterized by heterogeneous providers, a complex payer mix, and variable coordination across settings. Over recent decades, the system has evolved from predominantly independent hospitals and solo practices to integrated delivery networks and more formalized care continuums. In parallel, the rapid digitization of health information, especially through electronic health records and health information exchange, has fundamentally altered how clinical data are generated, stored, and used.Against this background, the Institute of Medicine introduced the concept of the learning health system, in which science, informatics, incentives, and culture are aligned for continuous improvement. In a learning health system, every clinical encounter contributes data that can subsequently inform practice, and the boundary between care and research becomes more permeable in ethically acceptable ways. At the same time, global strategies for digital health have framed digital transformation as a core component of strengthening health systems and achieving universal health coverage.Within organizations, health information management has shifted from a primarily transactional function to a strategic discipline responsible for data governance, information integrity, privacy, and readiness for analytics and research. Policies on de identification and re identification operationalize privacy regulations and enable secondary uses of health data for research, quality improvement, and public health. Recent advances in analytics and artificial intelligence add an additional layer to this transformation, offering new tools for prediction,

ORIGINAL ARTICLE
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE
ISSN: 2692-5206, Impact Factor: 12,23
American Academic publishers, volume 05, issue 11,2025
Journal: https://www.academicpublishers.org/journals/index.php/ijai

decision support, and operational optimization.The purpose of this article is to synthesize these developments into a coherent description of the new era health system as a learning health system. The article focuses on structural, informational, and governance characteristics rather than on specific technologies or individual programs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This work was designed as a narrative review and conceptual synthesis rather than a formal systematic review or meta analysis, and the methods were chosen to align with that purpose. Instead of attempting to exhaustively identify and quantitatively pool all available studies, the objective was to map and integrate key strands of literature that describe how health systems are evolving in the digital era. The central aim of the review was to connect foundational descriptions of health system structure and health information management with contemporary work on learning health systems, digital health strategies, and artificial intelligence in healthcare. In other words, the methods were tailored to answer a conceptual question - what does the "new era health system" look like when viewed through the combined lenses of health information management, digital infrastructure, and AI enabled learning.

The overall methodological approach can be described as iterative and theory informed. At the outset, a provisional conceptual framework was articulated that included three anchors: the traditional description of the United States healthcare delivery system, the established body of knowledge in health information management, and the more recent literature on learning health systems and digital transformation. This framework guided the selection of source materials and the way they were read and interpreted. As the review progressed, the framework itself was refined in response to recurring themes and gaps identified in the literature, which is typical for narrative and conceptual syntheses.

Four broad categories of materials were used to construct the synthesis, each serving a distinct function in the overall argument. The first category consisted of health information management textbooks and chapters on the United States healthcare delivery system. These sources were deliberately chosen to provide a stable baseline against which newer developments could be contrasted. They offer detailed descriptions of historical organizational structures, the evolution of financing arrangements such as Medicare, Medicaid, and managed care, and the traditional role of health information management in record content, organization, and coding. By starting from these well established descriptions, the review could clearly delineate what is genuinely new in the current transformation of health systems and what represents a continuation or adaptation of older patterns.

The second category of materials focused on organizational policy, specifically a policy document on de identification of health information for human subjects research. This document was not used as an empirical dataset in the strict sense but as a concrete example of how privacy regulations and secondary use of data are operationalized within a real institutional context. Policies of this kind translate abstract legal requirements, such as those in the HIPAA Privacy Rule, into procedural steps, role definitions, and decision criteria. Examining such a policy allowed the review to ground discussions of data governance and secondary use in practical mechanisms, including the use of Safe Harbor and Expert Determination methods, documentation requirements, and internal oversight processes. This helped to bridge the gap between high level concepts like "data governance" and the day to day realities of managing health data in learning oriented organizations.

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE

ISSN: 2692-5206, Impact Factor: 12,23

American Academic publishers, volume 05, issue 11,2025

Journal: https://www.academicpublishers.org/journals/index.php/ijai

The third category comprised peer reviewed articles and authoritative reports on learning health systems, digital health strategies, and artificial intelligence in medicine. These materials were identified through targeted searches rather than through a fully systematic search protocol. Searches were conducted using electronic databases and web based resources with combinations of terms such as "learning health system", "continuously learning healthcare", "digital health strategy", "health information management data governance", "AI in health care", and "artificial intelligence in medicine". Titles and abstracts were scanned to assess relevance, with particular attention paid to papers that addressed health systems at an organizational or system level, rather than narrowly focusing on a single algorithm, device, or disease. Foundational conceptual papers, such as those from the Institute of Medicine on the learning healthcare system, and major reviews on AI in medicine were prioritized because they synthesize broad bodies of work and articulate influential frameworks. In addition to database searches, backward and forward citation tracking was used to identify related work, which is consistent with a narrative review methodology.

The fourth category consisted of recent conceptual and applied frameworks that describe how learning health systems are implemented in practice and how research functions are integrated with clinical operations. These materials included qualitative analyses of the learning health system concept, applied frameworks developed by health systems that are explicitly seeking to "action" the learning health system vision, and reports from global digital health initiatives. Their inclusion was intended to ensure that the synthesis was not purely theoretical but also reflected current implementation experience. These sources were particularly important for understanding practical issues such as governance arrangements, workforce competencies, organizational culture, and the interaction between digital infrastructure and quality improvement.

Across all four categories, sources were screened using a consistent principle: they had to be relevant to system level transformation. Studies that focused on very narrow technical evaluations, such as the performance of a single predictive model in a single clinic without broader discussion of system implications, were not included as core sources, although their findings may be indirectly reflected through higher level reviews. Instead, preference was given to materials that explicitly addressed structures and processes at the level of health systems or large organizations, or that proposed conceptual models with clear system level relevance. This screening approach is appropriate for a conceptual synthesis in which the goal is to describe an emerging paradigm rather than to catalogue every instance of technology use.

Once sources were selected, an interpretive data extraction process was undertaken. For each source, the reviewer identified passages that described key concepts, definitions, structural features, or illustrative examples related to the emerging health system paradigm. These were then summarized in the reviewer's own words and assigned to preliminary thematic codes. The initial set of codes included categories such as system evolution and integration, data governance and health information management, digital and interoperable infrastructure, analytics and artificial intelligence, and ethics and governance. As additional sources were reviewed, these codes were refined, merged, or subdivided to reflect the actual distribution of themes in the literature. For example, early in the process "digital infrastructure" and "interoperability" were separate codes, but they were later combined because most relevant sources treated them as inseparable dimensions of the same topic.

Thematic analysis proceeded iteratively. Within each thematic domain, extracted material from different sources was compared and contrasted to identify converging and diverging

ORIGINAL ARTICLE
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE
ISSN: 2692-5206, Impact Factor: 12,23
American Academic publishers, volume 05, issue 11,2025
Journal: https://www.academicpublishers.org/journals/index.php/ijai

perspectives. For instance, descriptions of data governance from health information management textbooks were examined alongside policy documents and learning health system frameworks to see how responsibilities and structures were evolving. Similarly, discussions of artificial intelligence from clinical AI reviews were interpreted in light of broader system level concerns about governance, trust, and workforce readiness. This comparative reading helped to avoid an overly technology centric view and to maintain focus on the health system as a whole.

Throughout the process, attention was also paid to the interplay between the different domains. System evolution and integration, data governance, digital infrastructure, analytics, and ethics and governance are not independent silos in practice. The methods therefore included explicit efforts to trace connections across themes, such as how digital infrastructure enables analytics, how analytics in turn create new governance demands, or how organizational integration shapes the feasibility of implementing a learning health system. These cross theme linkages informed the structure of the Results section, which presents the new era health system as an interdependent configuration rather than as a list of isolated components.

No formal quality scoring or risk of bias assessment was applied to individual sources, which is consistent with the narrative and conceptual aims of the work. The intent was not to estimate effect sizes or to determine whether a particular intervention "works" in a narrowly defined sense, but to delineate the contours of an emerging health system model. Nonetheless, basic judgments about the credibility and influence of sources were made informally, favoring peer reviewed publications, authoritative reports, and widely used textbooks. Limitations inherent in this approach include the potential for selection bias, overrepresentation of certain regions or health systems (particularly the United States), and underrepresentation of critical or dissenting perspectives. These limitations are acknowledged, and the findings should be interpreted as a structured, theory informed narrative rather than as a definitive empirical assessment.

RESULTS

From fragmented delivery to integrated and learning health systems

Historically, the United States health care delivery system developed in a piecemeal fashion, with charitable hospitals, independent practitioners, and later a pluralistic mixture of public and private payers. Over time, this produced a landscape marked by fragmentation, duplication of services, and variability in quality and access. The expansion of Medicare and Medicaid, the growth of employer sponsored insurance, and the emergence of managed care added layers of financial complexity without fully resolving structural fragmentation.

[Вывод] In response, health care organizations increasingly formed integrated delivery systems and networks intended to coordinate care across settings and over time. These configurations support models such as accountable care organizations and patient centered medical homes, which require more systematic management of populations and care transitions. The learning health system concept extends this structural integration by emphasizing that clinical care, quality improvement, and research should be interconnected activities. In a mature learning health system, observational data from routine care are continuously analyzed to generate evidence, and that evidence is deliberately fed back into care processes.

This reorientation implies a shift from episodic, encounter based thinking toward continuous, system level learning. It also requires explicit mechanisms for feedback, such as performance dashboards, real time analytics, and structured quality improvement programs that use data generated as a by product of care.

ORIGINAL ARTICLE
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE
ISSN: 2692-5206, Impact Factor: 12,23
American Academic publishers, volume 05, issue 11,2025
Journal: https://www.academicpublishers.org/journals/index.php/ijai

Data governance and the strategic role of health information management

The digitization of health information has elevated data governance to a central strategic concern. Traditional health information management focused on record content, organization, retention, and coding. In the contemporary environment, health information management encompasses data governance frameworks, stewardship of clinical and administrative data, information integrity and quality, privacy and security management, and support for analytics and research.Data governance in health systems typically involves formal structures and processes that define standards, roles, and accountability for data related activities. Common elements include data architecture, metadata management, master data management, data quality programs, and security controls. Within a learning health system, these structures provide the foundation for reliable and reproducible analytics, since continuous learning presupposes that underlying data are accurate, consistent, and appropriately standardized.Organizational policies on de identification illustrate how data governance intersects with privacy regulation and research. Such policies typically specify criteria and procedures for transforming identifiable health information into de identified data sets, drawing on regulatory options such as Safe Harbor and Expert Determination. They often assign responsibilities to specific roles, require documentation of methods used, and integrate with institutional review and privacy oversight processes. In a learning health system, these policies enable systematic reuse of clinical data for research and quality improvement while reducing privacy risks and maintaining compliance.

Digital health infrastructure for continuous learning

A new era health system depends on a robust digital infrastructure that supports comprehensive capture, integration, and exchange of health information. Core components include electronic health record systems within organizations, health information exchange mechanisms across organizations, and consumer facing tools such as patient portals and personal health records.

Interoperability is a central requirement. Technical interoperability involves the ability of systems to exchange data, while semantic interoperability requires standardized meaning through coding systems and terminologies. Without both, large scale analytics and effective care coordination are difficult. National and regional digital health strategies increasingly stress the need for interoperable platforms, shared standards, and governance arrangements that permit data sharing in support of clinical care, public health, and research.

Beyond traditional clinical systems, contemporary digital infrastructure includes telehealth platforms, remote monitoring technologies, and mobile health applications. These tools generate patient reported and patient generated health data that can extend the observational window beyond the clinic or hospital. A learning health system uses these additional data streams to support more continuous management of chronic conditions, to detect early signs of deterioration, and to inform redesign of services based on patterns in utilization and outcomes.

The intelligence layer: analytics and artificial intelligence

On top of the digital infrastructure, an intelligence layer consisting of analytics and artificial intelligence transforms raw data into actionable insights. Conventional analytics in health systems include descriptive and comparative statistics, dashboards, and performance reports. Increasingly, organizations are also deploying predictive models and advanced visualization to support clinical decision making and operational planning.Artificial intelligence extends these capabilities through machine learning, natural language processing, and related techniques. In clinical domains, artificial intelligence systems have been developed to assist with risk

ORIGINAL ARTICLE
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE
ISSN: 2692-5206, Impact Factor: 12,23
American Academic publishers, volume 05, issue 11,2025
Journal: https://www.academicpublishers.org/journals/index.php/ijai

prediction, diagnostic support, image interpretation, and triage. In operational domains, artificial intelligence has been used to forecast demand for services, optimize scheduling and staffing, and automate aspects of documentation and coding.Within a learning health system, the key distinction is that these models are not static. Instead, they can be periodically retrained using the system's own data, subject to appropriate validation and governance. Health information management functions remain essential in this context, because model performance depends on data quality, consistent coding, and clear definitions. Furthermore, integration of artificial intelligence outputs into clinical workflows requires careful design to support human decision makers rather than to displace them.

Ethics, privacy, and governance in the new health system

As health systems become more data intensive and more reliant on analytics and artificial intelligence, ethical and legal considerations become increasingly prominent. Privacy regulations define permissible uses and disclosures of health information, minimum necessary standards, and safeguards for confidentiality and security. Security requirements address technical and administrative measures to protect against unauthorized access and breaches.

For a learning health system, compliance with these regulations is necessary but not sufficient. Additional questions arise regarding fairness, transparency, explainability of algorithms, and the distribution of benefits and burdens among patients and communities. Governance structures therefore need to integrate health information management, clinical leadership, ethics committees, privacy and security officers, and research oversight. These structures are responsible for evaluating proposed uses of data and analytics not only for legality but also for alignment with organizational values and societal expectations.

Patient and public engagement also becomes more important. Learning health system frameworks emphasize that patients should be partners in learning, which may involve transparency about how data are used, opportunities to contribute to governance, and mechanisms to express preferences. Trust is a critical asset for any health system that seeks to intensify data use, and loss of trust can undermine the potential benefits of digital and analytic capabilities.

CONCLUSION

The synthesis presented in this article suggests that the new era health system can be usefully conceptualized as a learning health system supported by mature health information management, interoperable digital infrastructure, an analytics and artificial intelligence layer, and robust ethical and legal governance. Structurally, such a system tends to adopt integrated delivery forms and value oriented payment models. Informationally, it treats data as a strategic asset and invests in governance and infrastructure accordingly. Intellectually, it uses analytics and artificial intelligence to generate and apply knowledge from routine operations. Culturally, it cultivates continuous improvement, transparency, and patient partnership.

Moving toward this vision is a long term endeavor. It requires coordinated investment in technology, workforce competencies, governance structures, and change management. It also requires careful attention to unintended consequences, including potential inequities associated with differential data quality or algorithmic bias. Nevertheless, the convergence of digital technologies, evolving health information management practice, and new models of governance creates an opportunity to redesign health systems so that learning is a core function rather than an occasional activity.

ISSN: 2692-5206, Impact Factor: 12,23
American Academic publishers, volume 05, issue 11,2025
Journal: https://www.academicpublishers.org/journals/index.php/ijai

For policymakers and organizational leaders, the implications include the need to prioritize interoperable infrastructure, to strengthen data governance and health information management capabilities, to design artificial intelligence initiatives with explicit attention to ethics and equity, and to embed continuous learning processes into everyday clinical and managerial work. For researchers, the learning health system paradigm offers a framework for closer integration of research and practice. For patients and communities, it offers the prospect of care that is more responsive, more transparent, and more consistently informed by the best available evidence.

REFERENCES:

1. Oachs PK, Watters AL, editors. Health Information Management: Concepts, Principles, and Practice. 5th ed. Chicago, IL: American Health Information Management Association; 2016.

2. LaTour KM, Eichenwald S, editors. Health Information Management: Concepts, Principles, and Practice. 4th ed. Chicago, IL: American Health Information Management Association; 2013. Chapter 2, The US Healthcare Delivery System.

3. Organizational Policy: De Identification of Health Information for Human Subject Research. Academic Medical Center Privacy Office; institutional policy document.

4. Institute of Medicine. The Learning Healthcare System. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2007.

5. Institute of Medicine. Best Care at Lower Cost: The Path to Continuously Learning Health Care in America. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2013.

6. World Health Organization. Global Strategy on Digital Health 2020 2025. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2021.

7. Rajpurkar P, Chen E, Banerjee O, Topol EJ. AI in health and medicine. Nat Med. 2022;28(1):31 38.

8 . Easterling D, Perry A, Woodside R, Patel T, Gesell SB. Clarifying the concept of a learning health system for healthcare delivery organizations: Implications from a qualitative analysis of the scientific literature. Learn Health Sys. 2022;6(2):e10268.