

LINGUISTIC CHARACTERISTICS OF FOLKLORE GENRES (FAIRY TALES, PROVERBS, RIDDLES, EPICS, ETC.)

Sharipova Malikakhon Qahramon kizi.

Second-year master's student of the
Faculty of English Language and Literature,
The University of Exact and Social Sciences.
sharipovamalikhon@gmail.com

Abstract: This article examines the linguistic characteristics of major folklore genres including fairy tales, proverbs, riddles, and epics through the lens of structural linguistics, discourse analysis, semiotics, and ethnolinguistics. Folklore, as a collective cultural memory, preserves archaic linguistic patterns, symbolic expressions, and pragmatic strategies that reflect worldview, social norms, and cognitive models. The study analyzes the phonological, lexical, syntactic, and pragmatic features typical of each genre and highlights cross-genre similarities. The findings demonstrate that folklore genres are shaped by formulaic language, rhythm, metaphorical density, and communicative functionality.

Keywords: folklore linguistics, fairy tales, proverbs, riddles, epics, oral tradition, ethnolinguistics, pragmatics, discourse patterns, formulaic expressions.

Folklore genres represent one of the richest sources for linguistic and cultural analysis. As oral traditions transmitted across generations, they encode the collective cultural experience, worldview, and social values of a community. Linguistically, folklore genres exhibit specific structural and functional features that differ significantly from everyday speech. These features include formulaic openings, rhythmic patterns, archaic words, metaphorical constructions, symbolic references, and pragmatically loaded expressions.

The linguistic study of folklore draws upon interdisciplinary fields such as structural linguistics, pragmatics, semiotics, and cognitive anthropology. This article analyzes the linguistic characteristics of four major folklore genres fairy tales, proverbs, riddles, and epics by examining their phonological, morphological, lexical, syntactic, and pragmatic dimensions.

Folklore texts differ from written literary forms due to their oral performance, mnemonic techniques, and community-based transmission. These factors influence their linguistic structure and promote:

formulaicity

repetition

rhythm and rhyme

performative cues

symbolic language

Various linguistic frameworks inform this study:

Structural linguistics: analyzing patterns of form and meaning

Ethnolinguistics: linking language with cultural worldview

Semiotics: exploring symbolic systems encoded in expressions

Pragmatics: examining communicative intentions and contextual meaning

Fairy tales (tales of magic, wonder tales, animal tales) display linguistic features that serve narrative and mnemonic functions.

Fairy tales often begin and end with conventional expressions:

English: Once upon a time...

Uzbek: Bor ekan, yo‘q ekan...

These formulae signal the shift into an imaginary world and prepare the listener for a timeless narrative.

Repetition emphasizes suspense, character trials, and moral lessons.

- Tripartite sequences (three challenges, three helpers)
- Repeated dialogue or events

Archetypal Lexicon and Symbolism

Fairy tales utilize symbolic vocabulary such as:

- magical numbers (three, seven, forty)
- archetypal characters (wolf, lion, king, orphan)
- culturally loaded objects (ring, mirror, path)

Syntactic structures tend to be linear, facilitating oral performance and comprehension.

Proverbs are concise, metaphorical expressions reflecting wisdom, norms, and cultural values.

1. Compression and Semantic Density

Proverbs convey complex meanings through minimal linguistic form.

English: Actions speak louder than words.

Uzbek: Yaxshilik qil – dengizga ot.

2. Parallelism and Antithesis

Structural parallelism reinforces rhetorical effect.

“Better late than never” (English).

“O‘tgan ishga salovat, kelgan ishga peshonamat” (Uzbek).

3. Metaphor, Symbolism, and Cultural Codes

Proverbs frequently rely on:

animal symbolism

agricultural imagery

nature-based metaphors

4. Pragmatic Functions

Proverbs serve as:

social regulation tools

persuasive devices

moral judgments

conflict resolution strategies

Riddles represent a unique intersection of linguistic creativity, logical reasoning, and metaphorical expression. Riddles describe objects indirectly through metaphor, paradox, or symbolism.

Uzbek: Uzi yo‘q - izi bor. (shadow)

Riddles often omit subject or predicate to increase ambiguity. Polysemy, homonymy, and wordplay create intentional confusion. Riddles foster social interaction, challenge intellectual skill, and create communal entertainment. Epics (doston, heroic poems) are long narrative poems representing historical memory, heroic values, and communal identity. Epics preserve old lexical items and historical forms no longer used in everyday speech. Epics rely on stable epithets and descriptive patterns:

English: swift-footed Achilles

Uzbek: alp yigit, dorilamon ot

Epics employ long descriptive passages, parallel subplots, and extended dialogue. Epic language embodies values such as bravery, loyalty, and sacrifice, serving as a cultural repository. Despite their diversity, folklore genres share several linguistic characteristics:

1. Oral Performance Features

repetition

rhythm and musicality

mnemonics

2. Symbolic and Metaphorical Language

All genres utilize culturally embedded metaphors and symbolic references.

3. Formulaic Structure

Openings, closings, and repeated motifs aid memorization and transmission.

4. Cognitive and Pragmatic Functions

Folklore genres:

transmit knowledge

reinforce social norms

build identity

teach through metaphor

The linguistic characteristics of folklore genres reflect their oral nature, cultural significance, and pragmatic functionality. Fairy tales rely on formulaic language and symbolic lexicon; proverbs compress cultural wisdom into concise, metaphorical structures; riddles exploit ambiguity and wordplay; and epics preserve archaic vocabulary and elevated discourse. All genres collectively demonstrate how language serves not only as a communication tool but also as a cultural mechanism for transmitting values, knowledge, and identity.

This study underscores the need for further interdisciplinary research into folklore linguistics, particularly integrating corpus linguistics, cognitive semantics, and ethno poetics to deepen understanding of folklore as a dynamic linguistic system.

References

1. Dundes, A. Folklore as a Mirror of Culture.
2. Propp, V. Morphology of the Folktale.
3. Crystal, D. Language and Linguistics.
4. Ong, W. Orality and Literacy.
5. Rahmatullayev, Sh. O'zbek folklori lug'ati.
6. Bekjonov, T. O'zbek og'zaki ijodi.
7. Lord, A. The Singer of Tales.
8. Bascom, W. "Four Functions of Folklore."