

Analysis of the Role of Teacher Feedback in Students' Cognitive Engagement

Nianliang Ding

School of Foreign languages, Shandong University of Technology, Zibo 255000, China

Abstract: Teacher feedback is critical for enhancing students' cognitive engagement in learning. This paper aims to analyze the different forms of teacher feedback that considerably enhance student cognitive engagement, investigate the factors that impact the effectiveness of such feedback, and outline practical strategies for educators to improve student cognition through effective feedback methods. This paper also reviews the latest trends in educational technology and feedback methods. The results of this analysis show that the integration of technology-enhanced interactive feedback methods, combined with personalized approaches, can cultivate more effective learning environments, where students are cognitively engaged. Meanwhile, students' self-assessment and reflection on their own learning can help maintain sustained motivation for cognitive engagement. Future research examining long-term effects of various feedback types, culture-specific feedback, and the impact of AI-driven feedback systems on cognitive engagement are expected to be conducted to promote student engagement.

Keywords: Teacher Feedback, Cognitive Engagement, Formative Feedback.

1. Introduction

In modern education, the relationship between teacher feedback and students' cognitive engagement has always been a concern in teaching and learning practices. To master their connection is of great value for designing effective feedback strategies to promote student engagement. For the sake of precise discussion, it is necessary to clarify the two concepts. First, teacher feedback, a term that has evolved in complexity over time [1,2], can be broadly defined as the information offered by an instructor concerning various aspects of a student's performance or comprehension [2]. It is an acknowledged instrument for aiding cognitive processes and meaningful learning experiences. The second term, student engagement, which consists of cognitive, affective, and behavioral modes, is another widely discussed area that attracted quite a number of researchers. Despite its popularity as an overall concept, cognitive engagement, as one of the three types, has not been fully explored yet. Cognitive engagement refers to the extent to which students invest in and value learning, including their willingness to exert the effort necessary to comprehend complex ideas and master difficult skills [3].

The significance of examining the relationship between teacher feedback and cognitive engagement lies in its potential to enhance learning outcomes and academic achievement. Effective feedback can enhance cognitive engagement by motivating students to invest more effort in learning and by prompting them to engage in deeper cognitive processes to understand the task or concept at hand. Research has demonstrated that feedback can "lead to increased effort, motivation, or engagement to reduce the discrepancy (between current understandings and what is desired), and/or it can increase cue searching and task processes that lead to understanding" [2]. When students receive appropriate feedback, they are more likely to engage in sophisticated cognitive processes, such as analysis, synthesis, and evaluation. This deeper level of cognitive engagement has been consistently associated with improved academic performance and better retention of knowledge.

More importantly, the advancement of educational technology and teaching methodologies has fundamentally changed the dynamics of feedback delivery and reception in contemporary classrooms. Digital tools and platforms have created new opportunities for providing immediate, personalized feedback that can boost cognitive engagement. Research indicates that technology-enhanced feedback assists more interactive and supportive learning environments, which in turn can enhance student motivation and promote deeper cognitive engagement with course content [4–6]. For the reason of technological advancement, the analysis of the relationship between teacher feedback and students' cognitive engagement seems to be more imperative.

This analysis has three goals: to evaluate types of teacher feedback that boost cognitive engagement, investigate factors affecting feedback effectiveness, and recommend strategies for educators to improve student engagement through feedback. By understanding these aspects, educators are expected to better design and implement feedback interventions that maximize student learning and academic success.

2. Types of Teacher Feedback Influencing Cognitive Engagement

2.1. Formative Feedback

Formative feedback is essential in enhancing students' cognitive involvement by offering continuous insights into their learning progress and identifying areas for development. This feedback approach, which focuses on enhancing learning rather than merely assessing performance, has been demonstrated to substantially influence the acquisition of academic content in a range of educational settings [7, 8].

Research has shown that teachers, focusing on students' individual progress and providing constructive feedback, encourage students to develop a mastery-oriented mindset [9], which supports intrinsic motivation and promotes deeper engagement with the learning process. When implemented effectively, formative feedback helps students take control of their learning pace, master content, and come to class better

prepared. The effectiveness of formative feedback depends on several key characteristics. It should be timely, specific, and encouraging. Specifically, if teachers' formative feedback is concrete and timely, it is highly likely for students to use the feedback constructively and in turn enhance understanding. In a word, formative feedback encourages immediate learning and promotes self-regulation and autonomy on the part of students. [10,11].

More importantly, technology-driven assessment tools in this modern age can improve formative feedback by allowing ongoing monitoring of student progress and providing customized, responsive feedback interventions. This integration of technology with formative assessment practices has shown promise in supporting student agency and engagement in learning processes [12,13].

2.2. Descriptive Feedback

Descriptive feedback represents a detailed and specific form of teacher response that focuses on particular aspects of student performance and learning processes. Unlike evaluative feedback, descriptive feedback provides students with specific learning goals and success criteria and thus it is particularly effective in enhancing student learning and educational outcomes [14]. This type of feedback helps students understand not just what they need to improve, but also how to make those improvements. By suggesting specific steps toward improvement, descriptive feedback can be most effective when it offers students an insight into their current situation, and desired goal and the next steps to close the gap [15].

Yet even the most detailed feedback needs to be acted upon by students, otherwise it would end up futile. So students must be made active participants in the learning process, in which actionable feedback strategies are provided by teachers to help students. As ongoing descriptive feedback is provided, students gain clear insights into how to refine and improve their work, leading to progressively enhanced performance [16]. The primary objective is to enhance students' sense of agency regarding their academic achievements and to cultivate their confidence as learners.

2.3. Technology-enhanced Feedback

The integration of technology-driven feedback has proven to be an effective option for encouraging cognitive engagement in contemporary educational environments. By using platforms and advanced tools, this approach to feedback creates distinct opportunities for real-time, interactive, and customized learning experiences, considerably improving student engagement and comprehension.

This can be evidenced in a study that demonstrates that proper technology-enhanced feedback can effectively support deep science understanding and complex system comprehension [6,17]. By providing features such as ease of use, aesthetic appeal, choice, immediate feedback, appropriate challenges, and interactivity, technology creates opportunities for students to think critically, make judgments, and solve complex open-ended problems [17]. These affordances help students remain cognitively engaged, particularly when dealing with complex phenomena that may be difficult to perceive through traditional methods.

Technology-enhanced feedback can be particularly effective in online learning environments. Throughout the COVID-19 pandemic, feedback provided by educators via platforms played an important role in enhancing student

engagement, particularly in terms of their cognitive participation in learning activities [18]. Innovative technological methods for providing feedback have shown to enhance academic performance and increase student satisfaction within synchronous online learning settings [19]. Some interactive platforms, including quiz systems, have been set up and used in actual teaching, demonstrating potential in enhancing student cognitive engagement through immediate feedback. They offer opportunities for students to adopt "a proactive approach to their learning by actively reviewing the questions that they answered incorrectly" [20].

3. Factors in Feedback Effectiveness

3.1. Timing and Specificity

To enhance learning and achievement, feedback should be ongoing, clear, specific, meaningful and timely [14]. Among these features, timing and specificity of feedback are no less important factors than the others to influence effectiveness of feedback in enhancing students' cognitive engagement. Specifically, these two features can help students interpret and apply the information they receive. Poor timing of feedback could limit learning effectiveness. When this restraint occurred, it could offset the positive influence of technology-enhanced scaffolding and students' interest in learning [21]. Therefore, the timing of feedback delivery needs to be carefully considered to maximize its impact on student engagement and learning.

Feedback specificity is equally important for promoting student cognitive engagement. Standard feedback alone may not be sufficient. Instead, more detailed and individualized feedback approaches have shown greater effectiveness. For instance, a study has demonstrated that in English as a Foreign Language (EFL) listening assessments, individualized feedback based on established standards, along with supportive language courses and practice resources, greatly improved students' listening abilities [22].

3.2. Student Perception and Reception

Student perception and reception of teacher feedback are the integral part of teacher-students interaction. After the feedback is administered, how students interpret and respond to feedback determines whether it leads to desired outcome. In this interaction, students' perception and reception of teacher feedback are shaped by its clarity, relevance, and delivery method, all of which impact their cognitive engagement to some extent.

Clarity of feedback can serve as a facilitative factor in achieving learning goals [24]. When there is a disparity between students' and teachers' understandings of assessment criteria and learning goals, this lack of clarity can eventually undermine the effect of feedback and students' cognitive engagement. Clarity can be achieved through real-time interaction between teachers and students. A study found that students, often engaging in dialogues with teachers, could obtain clarity in feedback through verbal and written forms [25]. Meanwhile, feedback clarity can also be attained by technological means. Research exploring student perceptions of video and written feedback revealed that clarity and relevance greatly influenced how students evaluated the quality of feedback. The study found that video feedback was rated higher in terms of clarity, relevance, and helpfulness compared to written feedback [26]. The same study also demonstrated the importance of the delivery method in

shaping students' perceptions. Another study points to the same conclusion, demonstrating that audio feedback is appreciated more as it has some personal tone, which encourages engagement, though it is deemed by others less effective without accompanying written comments for clarity [27]. This paradox further testifies to the importance of feedback delivery mode. It could be safe to assume that by combining multiple formats, such as written and verbal feedback, teachers could possibly maximize students' understanding and cognitive engagement.

3.3. Individual Differences in Feedback Interpretation

Diverse individual characteristics can complicate the connection between teacher feedback and students' cognitive engagement. The reason for this is that students' personal traits, experiences, and cultural backgrounds greatly affect their reception and processing of feedback in education. This can be exemplified in a study that shows girls perceive more effective feedback than boys [28]. The difference indicates the impact of personal differences on interpreting teacher feedback. In addition, students' previous exposure to certain types of feedback can shape their expectations and interpretations of what is ideal [27]. For instance, a student accustomed to formative, constructive feedback may struggle to engage with summative-only comments. This emphasizes the significance of customizing feedback to meet individual requirements in order to enhance its impact.

In addition to personal characteristics, the cultural and social background of students also influences how feedback is interpreted. A study comparing Finnish and Chinese students in joint degree programs reveals how varied teaching methods of different cultures influence feedback interpretation. The results of the study show that Finnish students prioritized grade privacy and disapproved of public displays, while Chinese students had varied opinions, with some finding it motivating and others worried about privacy issues [29]. This indicates that students' previous educational and cultural backgrounds greatly influence their interpretation and response to feedback. This complexity in interpreting feedback emphasizes the necessity for educators to take individual differences into account when designing and administering feedback, thereby enhancing cognitive engagement.

4. Strategies for Enhancing Cognitive Engagement Through Feedback

4.1. Personalized Feedback Approaches

Personalized feedback has been proven effective in boosting cognitive engagement in education. It can be more powerful when it engages technology and new teaching strategies in addressing each student's needs and learning styles.

Personalized feedback can be facilitated by AI-driven solutions, which can offer customized and flexible learning experiences that are often more convenient and accessible than traditional methods. Actually, AI-enhanced feedback can meet this purpose by taking into account students' cognitive, affective, and psychomotor domains while catering to their specific needs [30].

Personalized feedback is made more effective when it includes stealth assessment, which allows the blending of evaluation with real learning activities. This technology not

only promotes continuous cognitive engagement but also helps evaluate non-cognitive skills and attributes while generating automated, personalized feedback that supports self-directed learning. Such innovative feedback methods effectively encourage reflection and essential skills for individual students' academic success.

4.2. Interactive Feedback Methods

Interactive feedback methods are proved effective for enhancing cognitive engagement through the integration of technology and innovative pedagogical approaches. These methods combine traditional feedback with interactive elements that promote active participation and deeper learning.

Interactive feedback methods are most effective when they use various media and promote knowledge sharing. Using interactive media alongside hands-on activities and communication between learners and teachers can deepen understanding and improve learning results. For instance, game-based learning can be potential for boosting critical thinking and engagement. In particular, interactive puzzle boxes and virtual reality games can effectively improve reasoning and analytical skills while keeping students motivated. These innovative approaches expand learning demands and enhance the overall learning experience through immediate and engaging feedback mechanisms.

4.3. Self-assessment and Reflective Practices

With teacher feedback at play, students' self-assessment and self-reflection can be easily activated and maintained, as teacher feedback enables students to develop metacognitive awareness and take greater ownership of their learning journey.

Reflective portfolios are often used by teachers as effective tools to enhance self and peer assessment. It is widely acknowledged that portfolios not only promote student agency and autonomy but also strengthen self-responsibility and deepen engagement with the learning process [31]. This method encourages a more open and responsive relationship between students and teachers, allowing for customized assessment of student progress.

With the help of portfolios and other tools in the learning process, students are able to engage in metacognitive problem-solving with self-assessment and self-reflection. This practice helps students identify weaknesses and misunderstandings, and thus they acquire opportunities to enhance their cognitive strategies. As a result, by recognizing the gaps in learning, students can develop targeted improvement strategies, such as revising their approach, seeking additional resources, or experimenting with alternative solutions. Over time, this iterative process cultivates problem-solving abilities and encourages greater independence and adaptability in learning, resulting in better cognitive engagement and academic outcomes.

5. Conclusion

Teacher feedback and student cognitive engagement are related in a subtle way. First, effective feedback, especially when customized and tech-driven, greatly influences students' cognitive engagement. Second, the success of feedback depends on various factors, including timing, specificity, quality of feedback and consideration of individual differences in reception. Third, formative feedback, combined with reflective practices and interactive methods, promotes

deeper learning strategies and metacognitive awareness among students.

The subtle and complex relationship between teacher feedback and student engagement justifies the role of teacher in promoting student cognitive engagement. This assumption holds important implications for educational practices. First, educators should emphasize the implementation of diverse feedback strategies that cater to the varied learning needs of students and encourage greater cognitive engagement. Second, the integration of technology-enhanced feedback methods, coupled with personalized approaches, can create more effective learning environments. Third, institutions should promote professional development that enables teachers to give effective, timely feedback to boost student engagement.

Future research could explore the long-term impact of various types of feedback on cognitive engagement within learning contexts. Studies can also delve into how cultural and individual differences influence feedback interpretation and effectiveness. Finally, AI feedback systems and their impact on cognitive engagement are also worth the time and effort to provide valuable insights for education.

References

- [1] H. Y. Tay and K. W. L. Lam, "Students' engagement across a typology of teacher feedback practices," *Educational Research for Policy and Practice*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 427-445, Apr. 2022.
- [2] J. Hattie and H. Timperley, "The Power of Feedback," *Review of Educational Research*, vol. 77, no. 1, pp. 81-112, Mar. 2007.
- [3] J. A. Fredricks, P. C. Blumenfeld, and A. H. Paris, "School Engagement: Potential of the Concept, State of the Evidence," *Review of Educational Research*, vol. 74, no. 1, pp. 59-109, Mar. 2004.
- [4] C. Munshi and C. C. Deneen, "Technology-Enhanced Feedback," in *The Cambridge Handbook of Instructional Feedback*, A. A. Lipnevich and J. K. Smith, Eds. New York: Cambridge University Press, Nov. 2018, pp. 335-356.
- [5] F. Picasso, D. Agostini, P. Venuti, et al., "Technology-Enhanced Assessment and Feedback: From Literature Review and Analysis of Practices to the Design of a MOOC to Scaffold Academic Development Processes," in *Higher Education Learning Methodologies and Technologies Online*, G. Casalino, R. Di Fuccio, G. Fulantelli, et al., Eds. Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland, 2024, pp. 735-745.
- [6] L. Kaldaras, K. D. Wang, J. E. Nardo, et al., "Employing technology-enhanced feedback and scaffolding to support the development of deep science understanding using computer simulations," *International Journal of STEM Education*, vol. 11, no. 1, p. 30, Jul. 2024.
- [7] T. Hatzia Apostolou and I. Paraskakis, "Enhancing the Impact of Formative Feedback on Student Learning through an Online Feedback System," *Electronic Journal of e-Learning*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 111-122, Mar. 2010.
- [8] C. Ozan and R. Kincal, "The Effects of Formative Assessment on Academic Achievement, Attitudes toward the Lesson, and Self-Regulation Skills," *Educational Sciences-Theory & Practice*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 85-118, Apr. 2018.
- [9] K. M. Cauley and J. H. McMillan, "Formative Assessment Techniques to Support Student Motivation and Achievement," *The Clearing House: A Journal of Educational Strategies, Issues and Ideas*, vol. 83, no. 1, pp. 1-6, Jan. 2010.
- [10] I. Clark, "Formative Assessment: Assessment Is for Self-regulated Learning," *Educational Psychology Review*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 205-249, Jun. 2012.
- [11] Y. B. Chung and M. Yuen, "The Role of Feedback in Enhancing Students' Self-regulation in Inviting Schools," *Journal of Invitational Theory and Practice*, vol. 17, pp. 22-27, Feb. 2022.
- [12] I. Elmahdi, "Using Technology for Formative Assessment to Improve Students' Learning," *The Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology*, vol. 17, no. 2, Apr. 2018.
- [13] V. Kim, "Technology-Enhanced Feedback on Student Writing in the English-Medium Instruction Classroom," *English Teaching*, vol. 73, no. 4, pp. 29-53, Dec. 2018.
- [14] M. R. Qhosola, "Enhancing the teaching and learning of auditing: the case for descriptive feedback," *Perspectives in Education*, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 30-44, Dec. 2017.
- [15] A. Kanjee, "Teacher Expectations and Feedback Practices in South African Schools," in *The Cambridge Handbook of Instructional Feedback*, A. A. Lipnevich and J. K. Smith, Eds. New York: Cambridge University Press, Nov. 2018, pp. 451-473.
- [16] R. Stiggins, "The Emotional Dynamics of Feedback from the Student's Point of View," in *The Cambridge Handbook of Instructional Feedback*, A. A. Lipnevich and J. K. Smith, Eds. New York: Cambridge University Press, Nov. 2018, pp. 519-530.
- [17] S. Sinha, "Impact of technological affordances on cognitive engagement in computer supported collaborative learning environments," in *Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Computer Supported Collaborative Learning - Volume 2*, Rhodes, Greece: International Society of the Learning Sciences, 2009, pp. 267-269.
- [18] T. Xu and N. Farhana Jumaat, "Impact of Teachers' Support Strategies on Students' Engagement of Online College English Class Experiences," in *2023 11th International Conference on Information and Education Technology (ICIET)*, Fujisawa, 2023, pp. 326-331.
- [19] Y. L. Chin, L. V. Li, and H. L. Siow, "Innovative Approach to Enhance Active Online Learning: Engagement, Feedback and Classroom Environment," *Asian Journal of University Education*, vol. 19, no. 2, Apr. 2023.
- [20] M. T. T. Le and K. V. Tran, "University Students' Engagement with Feedback in a Quiz Platform: A Case Study of Quizizz," *Journal of University Teaching and Learning Practice*, vol. 21, no. 10, Dec. 2024.
- [21] R. Seethamraju and V. Murthy, "Technology-enabled Active Learning (TEAL) – A Study of its Influence on Student Learning Influence on Student Learning," in *ACIS 2023 Proceedings*, Wellington, New Zealand, 2023, p. 146.
- [22] S. Min, J. Zhang, Y. Li, et al., "Bridging local needs and national standards: Use of standards-based individualized feedback of an in-house EFL listening test in China," *Language Testing*, vol. 39, no. 3, pp. 425-452, Jul. 2022.
- [23] G. Cagliesi, L. Batishcheva, and A. Sanmartin, "Designing Feedback Spirals To Motivate And Promote Student Learning," *Journal of Perspectives in Applied Academic Practice*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 47-61, Jul. 2023.
- [24] M. M. A. B. Ahea, M. R. K. Ahea, and I. Rahman, "The Value and Effectiveness of Feedback in Improving Students' Learning and Professionalizing Teaching in Higher Education," *Journal of Education and Practice*, vol. 7, no. 16, pp. 38-41, Jan. 2016.
- [25] S. B. Rawal, "Exploring Students' Perceptions on Teachers' Feedback in the Classroom (A Study of Achham Multiple Campus, Nepal)," *World Journal of Education and Humanities*, vol. 5, no. 4, p. 58, Oct. 2023.

- [26] M. J. Co, A. Fenton, B. Mitchell, et al., "Exploring students' perceptions and emotional responses to video and written feedback," ASCILITE Publications, pp. 630-635, Nov. 2024.
- [27] A. Sparrow, S. Smith, D. Petronzi, et al., "Student autonomy of feedback format in higher education and perceived functional behaviours for academic development," *Journal of Pedagogical Research*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 98-111, May. 2020.
- [28] C. Carvalho, J. Santos, J. Conboy, et al., "Teachers' Feedback: Exploring Differences in Students' Perceptions," *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, vol. 159, pp. 169-173, Dec. 2014.
- [29] M. Liantou, "How do Finnish and Chinese students' diverse pedagogical experiences shape feedback interpretation?," *AFinLA-teema*, vol. 15, pp. 81-96, Apr. 2023.
- [30] M. A. Alafnan, "Artificial Intelligence and Language: Bridging Arabic and English with Technology," *Journal of Ecohumanism*, vol. 3, no. 8, Nov. 2024.
- [31] A. R. D. Santos, "Reflective portfolios: a learning and self-assessment tool," *South Florida Journal of Development*, vol. 5, no. 10, p. e4511, Oct. 2024.