International Journal of Education and Humanities
ISSN: 2770-6702 | Vol. 19, No. 1, 2025

Ethical Considerations in the Application of Artificial Intelligence in Education

Nianliang Ding

School of Foreign languages, Shandong University of Technology, Zibo 255000, China

Abstract: The integration of artificial intelligence in education marks a transformative era, rich with both promise and complexities. This paper conducts a systematic analysis of the principal ethical challenges and governance approaches in educational AI applications. This research identifies three critical ethical concerns in current educational AI implementations. First, the protection of student data privacy and security has emerged as a paramount concern, as sensitive personal information and learning data become increasingly vulnerable to breaches and misuse. Second, issues of algorithmic fairness threaten educational equity, as AI systems may inadvertently amplify existing disparities in resource distribution through inherent algorithmic biases. Third, the traditional teacher-student dynamic faces significant disruption, as excessive reliance on AI technologies risks diminishing both the teacher's pedagogical role and the essential emotional components of education. To address these challenges, it is essential to develop a comprehensive governance framework operating across three dimensions. At the technical level, we advocate for an "ethics by design" approach, embedding ethical considerations into the very architecture of AI systems. The institutional dimension calls for the development of robust regulatory standards and guidelines. The educational dimension emphasizes the cultivation of ethical literacy among all stakeholders in the educational ecosystem. The analysis concludes that the successful integration of AI in education depends critically on establishing a holistic ethical governance system. This framework is essential not only for ensuring that AI technology advances educational objectives but also for maintaining a crucial balance between technological innovation and humanistic values in education.

Keywords: Educational AI, Artificial Intelligence, Ethical Risks, Data Security, Algorithmic Fairness.

1. Introduction

The emergence of next-generation artificial intelligence technologies, exemplified by ChatGPT, has catalyzed a profound transformation in educational practices. As intelligent teaching assistants and adaptive learning platforms become increasingly embedded in educational environments [1], they are fundamentally reshaping traditional pedagogical approaches. However, this technological revolution, while promising, carries significant legal, ethical, and social implications. The educational implementation of AI technologies faces several critical ethical challenges. These include potential violations of student privacy through data collection and usage [2], the risk of creating "echo chambers" through over-customized AI-recommended learning content [3], and the possible erosion of fundamental teacher-student relationships through inappropriate technological integration [4,5]. If left unaddressed, these challenges could not only impede the effective deployment of AI in education but also compromise educational equity and quality. Addressing these concerns requires a thoughtful approach grounded in human-centered educational philosophy. Success in leveraging AI's educational potential depends on our ability to thoroughly understand and proactively address its ethical implications. This paper therefore undertakes a comprehensive examination of the ethical challenges in educational AI applications, focusing particularly on data privacy, algorithmic bias, and the preservation of teacher-student relationships, while proposing strategic approaches to risk mitigation.

2. Ethical Risks in Educational AI

2.1. Data Privacy Risks

The proliferation of AI in education has elevated data security and privacy protection to the forefront of ethical concerns. Modern educational AI systems continuously gather an extensive array of student information-personal data, learning patterns, behavioral metrics, academic records, and psychological profiles-to enable sophisticated analysis and personalized instruction. While this comprehensive data collection promises enhanced educational outcomes, it simultaneously presents substantial ethical risks [6].

The consequences of data breaches extend far beyond immediate privacy violations, potentially casting long shadows over students' future opportunities and development. Current implementation practices reveal concerning vulnerabilities: educational institutions, despite collecting vast quantities of student data through AI platforms, often operate without robust data management frameworks or adequate security protocols. More troublingly, some institutions have been found to commercialize student data by selling it to third-party marketers for advertising purposes, triggering widespread alarm [7,8].

The risk landscape is further complicated by third-party educational technology providers who, driven by commercial imperatives, frequently engage in excessive data collection or misuse of student information [9]. This problem is compounded by the vulnerability of minor students, who typically lack sophisticated awareness of data protection principles and may unknowingly compromise their personal information while using educational AI tools.

2.2. Algorithmic Bias

While data privacy concerns represent one critical dimension of AI ethics in education, algorithmic bias emerges as an equally significant challenge that threatens educational equity. This bias manifests as systematic discrimination in AI-driven learning processes, recommendations, and classifications, primarily originating from inherent limitations in training datasets or flaws in algorithmic design [10,11].

Contemporary personalized learning systems, despite their sophisticated architecture, often perpetuate historical prejudices embedded in their training data. These biases can create self-reinforcing cycles of disadvantage: students from marginalized groups frequently find themselves confined within predetermined algorithmic pathways, effectively limiting their access to high-quality educational resources. The consequences of such systematic bias extend far beyond individual learning experiences, potentially amplifying existing socioeconomic and racial disparities.

A stark illustration of this problem can be found in facial recognition technologies, where algorithms predominantly trained on white faces demonstrate significant accuracy deficits when identifying individuals with darker skin tones [10]. This technical limitation serves as a metaphor for broader systemic biases in educational AI systems, where resource distribution and learning opportunities may be inadvertently skewed along demographic lines.

The implementation of AI tools harboring such biases can create a cascade of educational disparities. By systematically limiting certain groups' access to learning resources and support mechanisms, these systems risk entrenching and exacerbating existing social inequalities. This underscores the critical importance of prioritizing algorithmic fairness in the development and deployment of educational AI systems—not merely as a technical consideration, but as a fundamental requirement for ensuring educational equity.

2.3. Risks in Restructuring Teacher-Student Relationships

The expanding capabilities of artificial intelligence in education are fundamentally transforming traditional teacher-student dynamics [12,13]. These advances encompass intelligent learning environments, automated instructional support, sophisticated assessment systems, AI teaching assistants, and smart administrative tools [14]. While these technological innovations demonstrate remarkable potential, they also raise crucial questions about maintaining the irreplaceable human elements that have long been central to effective education.

Traditional teacher-student relationships are characterized by warm interpersonal connections built on meaningful interaction, mutual trust, and deep understanding-qualities that AI systems, despite their sophistication, cannot fully replicate. The increasing integration of AI in education presents several significant challenges. First, the growing dependence on AI systems risks disrupting traditional teacher-student relationships, potentially weakening teachers' traditional role as authority [13,15]. Second, an overemphasis on technological solutions may cause teachers to overlook students' vital social and emotional development needs. Likewise, students who become heavily immersed in AI-driven learning environments would be less motivated for human interaction, potentially impeding the development of crucial social-emotional competencies and interpersonal skills [15]. Third, the widespread adoption of AI systems in education could disrupt the natural process of value formation and character development that traditionally emerges through human mentorship and guidance. These concerns highlight the pressing need to thoughtfully reimagine teacher-student relationships in the AI era, carefully balancing technological innovation with humanistic educational principles.

3. Ethical Governance Approaches for Educational AI

The accelerating advancement of educational AI technologies has brought their ethical implications into sharp focus, necessitating the development of a comprehensive governance framework. This framework must operate across three interconnected dimensions: technical, institutional, and educational. At the technical level, ethical considerations must be woven into the very fabric of AI system design and development, ensuring that moral principles guide technological innovation from inception. The institutional dimension requires the establishment and continuous refinement of regulatory frameworks and standards, providing robust safeguards for ethical governance. The educational dimension focuses on cultivating enhanced AI literacy and ethical awareness among all stakeholders-students, teachers, and administrators alike. These three dimensions do not exist in isolation but rather form an integrated, synergistic system. The technical foundations support institutional oversight, while educational capacity building enables effective implementation of both technical and institutional measures. Together, they create a holistic framework for ensuring the ethical deployment of AI in educational settings. This tripartite approach recognizes that effective governance of educational AI requires more than just technical solutions or regulatory controls-it demands a comprehensive strategy that addresses the full spectrum of challenges while fostering an environment where technology serves educational goals without compromising ethical principles.

3.1. Ethical Design at the Technical Level

In the ethical governance framework for educational AI, ethical design at the technical level serves as the most fundamental protective mechanism. Educational AI systems must embrace the principle of "Ethics by Design for AI" (EbD-AI), integrating ethical considerations into every layer of their architecture. This distinctive design philosophy emphasizes the incorporation of ethical standards into routine design processes, rather than relying solely on post-design ethical assessments [16]. It necessitates that ethical principles are treated as core design elements from the conceptual stage onward, ensuring that ethical values are woven throughout the entire technical implementation process.

3.1.1. Data Management Principles

The foundation of ethical data management in educational AI rests on the principle of data minimization-collecting only what is demonstrably essential for educational purposes. This approach aligns with leading regulatory frameworks, including the EU's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and California's Privacy Rights Act (CPRA) [17], which have established stringent standards for data collection and usage. Beyond minimal collection, comprehensive data protection requires robust desensitization protocols that

effectively obscure or encrypt sensitive student information [18,19]. These technical safeguards must be complemented by rigorous access control systems that govern who can view and utilize student data, under what circumstances, and for what specific purposes.

3.1.2. Algorithm Design Considerations

In the domain of algorithm design, transparency, accountability, and explainability among others must stand as important considerations [20], empowering educators to see and comprehend the underlying logic of AI decision-making processes. These approaches foster confidence in both the accuracy and fairness of system-generated recommendations. The dynamic nature of educational data and contexts demands vigilant human oversight regarding AI's decision cycles and operation [16]. This ongoing process is essential not only for maintaining optimal performance but also for ensuring consistent fairness in automated decision-making. Regular evaluation enables the early detection and correction of potential biases, thereby safeguarding against inadvertent discrimination in AI-based educational tools and promoting equitable outcomes for all student groups [15].

3.1.3. System Implementation and Human-Machine Collaboration

At the implementation level, the design of human-machine collaboration interfaces should empower teachers with appropriate control over AI systems, avoiding the complete delegation of decision-making to AI. Educators play an integral role in the "human-machine collaboration" paradigm of teaching; they must actively participate in the development of algorithmic models within the AI framework. Furthermore, teachers should retain the authority to make judgments when the reliability of AI-generated outcomes is questionable, thereby strengthening trust in AI systems [21].

3.2. Regulatory Development at the Institutional Level

While technical design provides the foundation for ethical AI in education, robust institutional regulation is equally vital for ensuring responsible implementation. The current landscape of educational AI governance reveals significant disparities in institutional approaches-from outright prohibition to sophisticated regulatory frameworks-as evidenced by research from UK Russell Group universities [22]. This variation underscores the pressing need for standardized governance mechanisms.

The development of ethical standards must align with UNESCO's recommendations for global ethical standards [23], incorporating cultural sensitivity while maintaining core principles of fairness, transparency, and accountability [24]. These standards must clearly delineate boundaries for AI applications in education, particularly in areas of data collection, algorithm deployment, and privacy protection, providing unambiguous guidelines for both educational institutions and technology providers.

Implementation requires establishing rigorous ethical review processes and comprehensive safety assessment protocols for AI educational products. A lifecycle-spanning supervision system must be instituted to continuously monitor and address ethical concerns as they arise [25]. This includes regular compliance evaluations, security audits [26], and oversight by independent third-party evaluation frameworks [24]. Such comprehensive monitoring ensures that AI systems maintain their ethical integrity throughout their operational lifespan.

In the global regulatory landscape, the European Union has emerged as a pioneer, establishing benchmark standards through the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) [27,28] and the groundbreaking AI Act. These frameworks provide comprehensive guidelines for educational technology implementation. The United States, in contrast, has adopted a more decentralized approach, with oversight mechanisms at both federal and state levels, notably including the Algorithmic Accountability Act, which focuses on transparency and decision-making traceability [26].

These evolving regulatory frameworks provide essential guidelines for the ethical development of educational AI systems. However, their effectiveness depends on continuous refinement and adaptation to emerging challenges in the educational technology landscape. The establishment of comprehensive institutional regulations, coupled with robust enforcement mechanisms, creates a secure foundation for the ethical implementation of AI in education. This regulatory infrastructure not only protects stakeholders but also fosters innovation within clearly defined ethical boundaries.

3.3. Capability Development at the Educational Level

The proliferation of AI in education necessitates a comprehensive approach to developing stakeholder capabilities, with particular emphasis on ethical literacy and practical application skills. This development must occur across multiple levels of the educational ecosystem to ensure effective long-term AI governance.

For teachers, the foundation lies in specialized AI literacy training that combines theoretical knowledge with practical case studies [29]. This professional development must encompass understanding AI's fundamental principles and inherent limitations, mastering appropriate methodologies for implementing AI teaching tools, and developing keen awareness of potential ethical risks. Crucially, teachers must learn to strike a delicate balance between leveraging AI tools and maintaining human agency in their pedagogy, promoting a seamless integration of human and AI capabilities in the educational setting [1].

Student development focuses on cultivating robust digital literacy and ethical awareness. This can be achieved through dedicated coursework or by thoughtfully integrating relevant content into existing curricula [25,30]. The goal is to help students develop a nuanced understanding of AI technology-its capabilities, limitations, and appropriate applications. This understanding should enable students to maintain their intellectual independence while leveraging AI as a supportive tool rather than becoming overly dependent on it.

Educational administrators face the unique challenge of developing comprehensive AI governance capabilities. As institutions increasingly adopt AI tools, they must serve dual roles as both guardians of educational integrity and pioneers of innovative practices, ensuring that AI-driven tools augment, rather than replace, critical thinking and problem-solving skills [1]. This responsibility demands enhanced capabilities in ethical risk assessment, data governance, and strategic decision-making regarding AI applications. Through systematic training and practical experience, administrators must develop the expertise to establish and maintain effective AI governance mechanisms at the institutional level, ensuring that AI technology is deployed in standardized, ethical ways that advance educational objectives.

This multi-tiered approach to capability development-encompassing teachers, students, and administrators-provides the essential foundation for achieving ethical governance in educational AI. By building capacity across all stakeholder groups, institutions can better ensure that AI technology serves its intended purpose of enhancing, rather than compromising, the educational experience.

4. Conclusion

As a transformative technology, artificial intelligence is profoundly reshaping education. While AI applications bring unprecedented innovation and transformation to the educational landscape, they also present significant ethical challenges, particularly in areas of data security and privacy protection, algorithmic fairness and educational equity, and the fundamental restructuring of teacher-student relationships. These challenges pose risks not only to individual student welfare but also to the broader goals of educational equity and quality.

Addressing these ethical concerns requires a systematic governance framework built on three pillars: technical, institutional, and educational. At the technical level, the principle of "Ethics by Design" must be foundational to AI system development, ensuring robust data security and algorithmic fairness from inception. The institutional dimension calls for comprehensive ethical guidelines and standards, supported by rigorous regulatory and evaluation mechanisms. In the educational sphere, priority must be given to cultivating AI literacy and ethical awareness across all stakeholders—teachers, students, and administrators.

The ethical governance of educational AI will continue to evolve as the technology advances. Moving forward, we must maintain a delicate balance between technological innovation and ethical constraints while staying true to human-centered educational principles. AI technology must serve, rather than supersede, the fundamental aims of education. Only through a comprehensive ethical governance framework can we harness AI's potential in education while preserving the essential harmony between technological advancement and humanistic values.

References

[1] A. Abulibdeh, E. Zaidan, and R. Abulibdeh, "Navigating the confluence of artificial intelligence and education for sustainable development in the era of industry 4.0: Challenges, opportunities, and ethical dimensions," Journal of Cleaner Production, vol. 437, pp. 140527, Jan. 2024.

[2] A. Nguyen, H. N. Ngo, Y. Hong, et al., "Ethical principles for artificial intelligence in education," Education and Information Technologies, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 4221-4241, Apr. 2023.

[3] D. Darwin, D. Rusdin, N. Mukminatien, et al., "Critical thinking in the AI era: An exploration of EFL students' perceptions, benefits, and limitations," Cogent Education, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 2290342, Dec. 2024.

[4] K. Seo, J. Tang, I. Roll, et al., "The impact of artificial intelligence on learner–instructor interaction in online learning," International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 54, Oct. 2021.

[5] J. Luo, "How does GenAI affect trust in teacher-student relationships? Insights from students' assessment experiences," Teaching in Higher Education, pp. 1-16, Apr. 2024.

[6] D. Jose, "Data privacy and security concerns in AI-integrated educational platforms," Recent Trends in Management and Commerce, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 87-91, Jul. 2024.

[7] A. Chima, N. Onyebuchi, and A. Idowu, "Integrating AI in education: Opportunities, challenges, and ethical considerations," Magna Scientia Advanced Research and Reviews, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 006-013, Mar. 2024.

[8] L. Varella, "When it rains, it pours: protecting student data stored in the cloud," Rutgers Computer & Technology Law Journal, vol. 42, no. 1, pp. 94-119, 2016.

[9] S. Merz, "Am I a consumer or a product? The data being collected on teachers, students, and their families could easily turn us into products used by data-rich, third-party vendors to enhance their own bottom lines or political agendas," Phi Delta Kappan, vol. 96, no. 5, pp. 80-80, Feb. 2015.

[10] L. R. Jain and V. Menon, "AI algorithmic bias: Understanding its causes, ethical and social implications," in 2023 IEEE 35th International Conference on Tools with Artificial Intelligence (ICTAI), Atlanta, 2023, pp. 460-467.

[11] P. Y. Libouban, S. Aci-Sèche, J. C. Gómez-Tamayo, et al., "The Impact of Data on Structure-Based Binding Affinity Predictions Using Deep Neural Networks," International Journal of Molecular Sciences, vol. 24, no. 22, pp. 16120, Nov. 2023.

[12] F. R. Baskara, "AI-Driven Dynamics: ChatGPT Transforming ELT Teacher-Student Interactions," Lensa: Kajian Kebahasaan, Kesusastraan, dan Budaya, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 261-275, Dec. 2023.

[13] J. Wang and Z. Pan, "Hidden Problems and Resolution: Moral Inspection of the Educational Application of Generative AI," Journal of Yangzhou University (Higher Education Study Edition), vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 47-57, Aug. 2024.

[14] Y. Lu, A. Ma, and P. Chen, "Artificial Intelligence plus Education: Key Technologies and Typical Application Scenarios," Digital Teaching in Primary and Secondary Schools, no. 10, pp. 5-9, Oct. 2021.

[15] F. Kamalov, D. Santandreu Calonge, and I. Gurrib, "New Era of Artificial Intelligence in Education: Towards a Sustainable Multifaceted Revolution," Sustainability, vol. 15, no. 16, pp. 12451, Aug. 2023.

[16] P. Brey and B. Dainow, "Ethics by design for artificial intelligence," AI and Ethics, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 1265-1277, 2024.

[17] R. Staab, N. Jovanović, M. Balunović, et al., "From principle to practice: Vertical data minimization for machine learning," in 2024 IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy (SP), San Francisco, 2024, pp. 4733-4752.

[18] W. Man, Y. Zhu, and J. Zhang, "Analysis of data loss and disclosure in the process of big data governance in colleges and universities in the smart era," in The International Conference on Natural Computation, Fuzzy Systems and Knowledge Discovery, vol. 153, N. Xiong, M. Li, K. Li, et al., Eds. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2023, pp. 1453-1462.

[19] D. E. Bakken, R. Rarameswaran, D. M. Blough, et al., "Data obfuscation: Anonymity and desensitization of usable data sets," IEEE Security & Privacy, vol. 2, no. 6, pp. 34-41, Nov. 2004.

[20] E. Ferrara, "Fairness and bias in artificial intelligence: A brief survey of sources, impacts, and mitigation strategies," Sci, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 3, Mar. 2024.

[21] F. Chen, "Human-AI cooperation in education: Human in loop and teaching as leadership," Journal of Educational Technology and Innovation, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 14-25, Apr. 2022.

[22] A. Atkinson-Toal and C. Guo, "Generative artificial intelligence (AI) education policies of UK universities,"

Enhancing Teaching and Learning in Higher Education, vol. 2, pp. 70-94, Nov. 2024.

[23] N. AllahRakha, "UNESCO's AI ethics principles: Challenges and opportunities," International Journal of Law and Policy, vol. 2, no. 9, pp. 24-36, Sep. 2024.

[24] P. G. R. de Almeida, C. D. dos Santos, and J. S. Farias, "Artificial intelligence regulation: A framework for governance," Ethics and Information Technology, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 505-525, Sep. 2021.

[25] X. Tang and C. Zheng, "An examination of the ethical issues of artificial intelligence in American education from the perspective of technical ethics: Realistic representation and avoidance strategies," Journal of Guangxi Normal University (Philosophy and Social Sciences Edition), vol. 60, no. 3, pp. 118-129, May 2024.

[26] S. Vignesh. and D. N. Nagarjun, "Legal challenges of artificial intelligence in India's cyber law framework: Examining data privacy and algorithmic accountability via a comparative global perspective," International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research, vol. 6, no. 6, pp. 31347, Nov. 2024.

[27] P. Owusu, S. O. Yusuf, G. Ocran, et al., "Privacy, confidentiality and ethical concerns in audio AI assistants: A comparative study of North American, European, and Asian markets," International Journal of Science and Research Archive, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 3023-3035, Oct. 2024.

[28] C. Tikkinen-Piri, A. Rohunen, and J. Markkula, "EU General Data Protection Regulation: Changes and implications for personal data collecting companies," Computer Law & Security Review, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 134-153, Feb. 2018.

[29] L. Lei, "The impact of artificial intelligence on teachers' ethical decision-making in educational assessment," International Journal of New Developments in Education, vol. 6, no. 11, pp. 17-24, Jun. 2024.

[30] N. Ranade and M. Saravia, "Teaching AI ethics in technical and professional communication: A systematic review," IEEE Transactions on Professional Communication, vol. 67, no. 4, pp. 422-436, Dec. 2024.