International Journal of Education and Humanities
ISSN: 2770-6702 | Vol. 19, No. 2, 2025

The Role of Deep Learning in Intelligent Assistance for Second Language Learners

Yuefei Duan[1, a], Yajing Zhang[1, b], Yuxuan Li[2, c], Canli Zhang[1, *]

[1] Luoyang Institute of Science and Technology, Luoyang, Henan 471023, China
[2] The university of Manchester, Manchester England, M13 9PL, UK
[a]yuefeiduan1122@163.com, [b]zxiaojing_817@163.com, [c]cooper0815.yx@gmail.com, [*]zzbdxb@lit.edu.cn

Abstract: The advent of Artificial Intelligence (AI) is instigating substantial changes in educational paradigms. Its incorporation into SLA provides a tailored, effective, and wholly novel educational experience. AI technologies, including adaptive learning systems, intelligent tutoring systems, and natural language processing tools, are transforming conventional SLA by offering customized instruction and immediate feedback. Within deep learning frameworks, AI can proficiently distinguish student behaviors in the classroom, systematically gather and analyze data, and assist educators in comprehending learner performance in SLA environments. This, consequently, enables more informed pedagogical judgments and enhances teaching efficiency. Conventional language education predominantly relies on teachers and textbooks, with instructors acting as the principal source of information. In SLA situations, educators frequently bear the weight of comprehensive explanation and instruction, sometimes constraining learners' options for practical language application. This may lead to a passive learning environment, markedly diminishing student participation, initiative, and drive. In contrast, deep learning frameworks enable personalized learning models and adaptive systems to efficiently address individual learner requirements, accommodate distinct learning styles, and adjust to diverse learning speeds. These technologies offer substantial assistance for SLA, markedly improving learning outcomes. Moreover, the integration of AI with gamification in blended learning settings has demonstrated an enhancement in student motivation and engagement, thereby leading to improved outcomes in SLA.

Keywords: Deep Learning, SLA, Intelligent Tutoring Systems.

1. Introduction

1.1. Challenges in Second Language Acquisition

Second Language Acquisition (SLA) entails various complex blocks that learners must beyond to attain mastery. These obstacles are shaped by multiple factors, including linguistic, psychological, social, and pedagogical components. The interference of a learner's first Language (L1) frequently constrains their acquisition of The Second Language (L2), primarily due to ingrained habits from L1 that influence pronunciation, grammar, and vocabulary usage. These challenges are exacerbated when L2 is acquired in an L1-dominant context[23]. Anxiety and Psychological Obstacles: Numerous learners encounter perplexity, nervousness, and diminished confidence, all of which impede their capacity to proficiently practice and utilize L2[29]. Cultural and Social Interaction: In contexts where L2 is infrequently utilized and L1 prevails, acclimatizing to novel cultural norms and communication modalities might prove difficult. This may lead learners to cultivate a sense of resistance or aversion to the new language[25].

1.2. The Rise of Deep Learning and Its Prospects for Application

The progression of AI and deep learning frameworks has enhanced numerous businesses and unveiled new study avenues and application areas in English as a Second Language (ESL) education. The ascent of deep learning is intricately associated with advancements in processing capabilities and the accessibility of extensive datasets[1]. In the realm of deep learning, research and applications are advancing beyond the mechanical patterns of standard machine translation systems, such as DeepL and Google Translate, as well as conventional methodologies employed for tasks like sentiment analysis. The emphasis is transitioning to enhanced translation accuracy and a more profound comprehension of semantics and context[32].

Bilingual neural language models indicate that pretraining in a L1 can enhance generalization in a L2, underscoring the potential for cross-linguistic transfer in language acquisition. These models provide a more individualized and responsive learning environment[22]. The advancement of AI in technology, along with deep learning and pedagogy, can improve learner engagement, assess classroom behaviors, and customize learning programs to address individual requirements in second language acquisition.

Furthermore, with persistent developments in AI and continual enhancements in educational methodologies, deep learning can be seamlessly incorporated into SLA, significantly contributing to its practical advantages[4]. The ongoing evolution of AI in education and its increasing integration indicate that substantial changes in L2 learning and teaching methodologies are imminent[11].

2. Literature Review

2.1. Introduction to Second Language Acquisition (SLA) Theories

Theory of SLA is a complex domain that investigates the process by which individuals learn a second language in relation to their native language. It enriches the educational experience and provides unique pedagogical strategies. The theories posited by Krashen and Vygotsky indicate that, with the assistance of AI, educators can develop intelligent tutoring systems that replicate interpersonal interaction and deliver immediate feedback, thus addressing learners' specific needs and enhancing language acquisition.

Moreover, educators can utilize AI-driven tutoring systems to customize learning objectives and assignments, targeting students' deficiencies. AI models trained on extensive datasets can customize instruction for learners facing difficulties in second language grammar, vocabulary, and pronunciation, thus enhancing learning efficiency and promoting mastery of the language.

Krashen's Second Language Acquisition theory posits the learning hypothesis, which distinguishes between subconscious language acquisition and conscious language learning, highlighting the significance of natural language exposure above formal instruction. AI facilitates this by establishing an environment for natural language interaction, so facilitating the integration of both subconscious and conscious learning processes. The input hypothesis posits that language acquisition occurs when learners comprehend input that is marginally above their existing competency level. AI can enhance this by delivering personalized content according to learners' requirements. The affective filter hypothesis emphasizes the significance of emotional elements in language acquisition. AI technology can mitigate this issue by establishing supportive, low-stress educational settings[16]. Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS), driven by AI, emulate human tutors by providing tailored feedback and facilitating interactive discussions to enhance English fluency[28]. Kukulska-Hulme and Lee (2020) underscore the significance of social interaction in the learning process. AI can facilitate interaction via conversational agents and collaborative learning systems. While deep learning and AI offer substantial benefits in SLA, it is essential to account for sociocultural factors to guarantee that these technologies enhance rather than supplant interpersonal communication. A synergistic strategy that integrates technological innovation with conventional teaching methods can enhance SLA outcomes and accommodate learners from varied backgrounds.

2.2. Historical Development of Technology-Enhanced Language Learning

The history of science and technology in second language acquisition, specifically Computer-Assisted Language Learning (CALL), illustrates the progression and advancement of both technology and educational methodologies. The inception of CALL can be traced to the 1960s. Initial CALL programs were predominantly shaped by behaviorist theories, prioritizing repetitive drills and mechanical exercises to enhance second language acquisition (Chapelle, 2005). The PLATO project of the 1970s represented initial endeavors in CALL by providing interactive vocabulary and grammar exercises, which catalyzed a new wave of educational change[13].

The advent of microcomputers in the 1980s rendered CALL more accessible, providing learners with an interactive and individualized educational experience[31]. The 21st century has seen the emergence of mobile learning and the utilization of AI in SLA, hence increasing the flexibility of learning. Students can now transcend the limitations of time and distance, accessing information from electronic devices at any moment and location, thereby utilizing fragmented time to enhance learning efficiency.

Nonetheless, when using AI into SLA, it is essential to acknowledge the constraints, limitations, and extent of AI involvement. While the ongoing enhancement of mobile devices offers novel opportunities for innovation in language acquisition, they should primarily serve a supplementary function in second language practice. Educators and technology researchers must embrace a dual responsibility framework: firstly, to perpetually investigate innovative applications of AI in second language instruction; secondly, to perform thorough and evidence-based research on the efficacy of its implementation, ensuring that technology facilitates SLA, fosters equitable learning, and addresses the requirements of mass education[30].

2.3. Intelligent Tutoring Systems in Second Language Learning

AI technology, as a transformational and innovative force in SLA, is redefining the landscape of intelligent tutoring systems using deep learning frameworks. Utilizing deep neural network technology to gather and analyze extensive learner data, these systems may create tailored learning trajectories, deliver real-time feedback, and furnish diagnostic assessments that enhance SLA procedures. Adaptive algorithm models have facilitated the development of dynamic assessment systems that modify evaluation problems in real time according to learner data. This parameter optimization approach markedly improves language acquisition results[12].

AI has successfully resolved challenges in conventional SLA, such as delayed feedback, and provides robust assistance in developing intelligent SLA ecosystems, so facilitating a paradigm change in second language instruction. By examining learners' settings, cultural contexts, and educational requirements, AI can customize teaching strategies that effectively represent differentiated training, enhancing the specificity and efficacy of SLA.

Although the evident benefits of AI in language acquisition, obstacles and limitations persist. In intricate contextual settings, intelligent assistants may find it challenging to comprehend stress patterns or appropriately interpret intended meanings, underscoring the need for continued research and improvement in these domains[17].

2.4. Theoretical Frameworks and Applications of Deep Learning in SLA

Deep learning in education is an evolving domain that has garnered significant interest due to its capacity to enhance learning outcomes and instructional methodologies. Recent research demonstrates a variety of applications and theoretical frameworks. Widely utilized frameworks comprise Biggs' 3P model, which includes Presage (factors affecting learning), Process (the mechanisms of learning), and Product (learning outcomes), as well as Bloom's Taxonomy, which classifies educational objectives across cognitive tiers, from fundamental knowledge acquisition to advanced cognitive skills[14]. These frameworks facilitate the comprehension and implementation of deep learning in educational settings, highlighting the enhancement of critical knowledge and analysis, with the promotion of sustainable educational progress.

Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) models have been employed for precise forecasts of students' learning capabilities and outcomes, illustrating the promise of deep learning technology to improve educational processes[7]. Contemporary study on deep learning in education predominantly relies on cognitive learning theories; nevertheless, there is a deficiency in investigations from non-cognitive viewpoints. This underscores the necessity for more comprehensive analytical methods across diverse educational environments.

Chapter 3 will employ a mixed-methods approach, combining quantitative and qualitative techniques to achieve a more thorough knowledge of deep learning's application in education, specifically with second language acquisition.

2.5. Current Limitations and Unexplored Areas in the Literature

Despite considerable progress in intelligent assistance systems in SLA-including developments in grammar correction and pronunciation evaluation-significant constraints persist regarding the comprehensive integration of these systems with the function of educators. The development of a "teacher + AI" dual-mode hybrid teaching system is seen as a crucial approach to improving instructional efficiency and tailored learning experiences. Nonetheless, existing systems continue to face difficulties in comprehending meaning and emphasis pronunciation, and have not yet developed successful integration mechanisms with pedagogical tactics. The identified gaps emphasize the fundamental contradiction between technological rationality and the adaptation of educational ecosystems, highlighting the pressing necessity for interdisciplinary research on the joint framework of "teacher + AI" models.

Furthermore, deep learning models necessitate substantial computer resources, potentially resulting in elevated implementation costs in actual educational environments. The advancement of language learning models encounters the obstacle of insufficient tutoring dialogue data, requiring economical strategies to enhance current datasets (Lee et al., 2024). Moreover, there is an absence of empirical studies that incorporate intercultural competence, which is characterized as a complex concept encompassing the capacity to engage, communicate, and work effectively with individuals from varied cultural backgrounds.

The majority of current deep learning systems depend on English language data, with comparatively scant research on intelligent assistance systems for other languages, including Chinese, Spanish, and Arabic. This leads to inadequate adaptation in multilingual learning contexts. Moreover, a complete theoretical framework that examines the influence of individual learner variations (such as age, motivation, and learning style) on the efficacy of system utilization is lacking, hence limiting the opportunities for tailored applications of deep learning technologies.

In summary, forthcoming research ought to concentrate on integrated modeling of language skills, the development of multilingual corpora, the creation of personalized feedback systems, and the improvement of system interpretability to broaden the applicability of deep learning in SLA and enhance its practicality.

3. Methodology

3.1. Research Design

Within the context of deep learning, SLA can be improved by the provision of customized learning strategies, instantaneous data assessment, and comprehensive behavioral feedback. These factors can substantially impact learners' SLA abilities, while concurrently enhancing their interest and motivation. This research utilizes a mixed-methods approach, combining qualitative and quantitative analyses.

Qualitative interviews are especially effective for research that seeks to attain a profound comprehension of intricate phenomena, particularly when these subjects encompass elaborate personal narratives. Qualitative interviews aim to extract authentic thoughts, experiences, and emotions from participants, rendering them highly useful for investigating intricate situations[6]. Considering the characteristics of the participants and the research context, qualitative analysis is regarded as the most appropriate methodology for this study. Among the diverse methodologies of qualitative analysis-such as topic analysis, content analysis, and narrative analysis-thematic analysis is recognized as the most suitable. Thematic analysis is a methodical technique for detecting and examining recurrent patterns or themes in qualitative data. It is not constrained by any established theoretical framework, permitting flexibility and accessibility across various theoretical perspectives[5].

Quantitative analysis is a systematic approach that emphasizes the quantification of relationships, behaviors, or phenomena through numerical data. This entails employing mathematical and statistical methodologies to examine behavioral data, with the objective of discerning patterns, evaluating ideas, and forecasting outcomes based on quantifiable evidence[3]. In SLA research enhanced by deep learning technology, data analysis necessitates a planned and systematic methodology for data collection, including standardized surveys, trials, or the utilization of existing databases. These methodological frameworks offer a pragmatic approach for executing quantitative analysis.

Quantitative analysis enables researchers to make conclusions based on facts and formulate ideas grounded in empirical evidence. Prevalent quantitative methodologies encompass descriptive statistics, inferential statistics, regression analysis, ANOVA, chi-square tests, time-series analysis, factor analysis, and structural equation modeling (SEM)[20]. In this study, regression analysis and descriptive statistics are selected as the main quantitative techniques. Regression analysis explores the causal or correlational correlations between independent variables-such as the usage of deep learning systems, frequency of use, and study time-and dependent variables like language performance increases and mistake rate reductions. For instance, it may test the predictive effect of the frequency of AI feedback system usage on learners' language score improvement. Descriptive statistics are used in the preliminary phase to summarize basic characteristics of the data, such as sample size, mean scores, standard deviations, and frequency distributions. These also help illustrate the differentiated effects of AI systems on various learner groups.

Therefore, this study adopts thematic analysis from the qualitative tradition and regression analysis along with descriptive statistics from the quantitative tradition to comprehensively analyze the data.

3.2. Participants and Sampling

In mixed-methods research, participant selection and sample strategies are essential for achieving significant results, as this integrated approach improves both the depth and precision of research findings. Qualitative research stresses specific tiny samples instead than big representative ones. This method allows researchers to obtain comprehensive insights from people who can offer significant information regarding the topic being studied. Conversely, quantitative research generally entails bigger sample sizes designed to represent a wider population. This facilitates statistical analysis and the implementation of techniques that guarantee the sample is genuinely representative[24]. Methods such as randomization and systematic methods are utilized to preserve objectivity and reduce bias in the data collection process. Comprehensive and nuanced data are gathered through techniques such as in-depth interviews and focus groups[10]. The extensive data produced via semi-structured interviews is especially advantageous for qualitative research, enabling participants to express their experiences and perspectives in their own words. All data acquired via semi-structured interviews is original, guaranteeing authenticity and reliability. Interview recordings will be initially collected on a mobile device and thereafter uploaded to a computer system to reduce the chance of data loss. At the end of the research, all data will be safely disposed of in compliance with the ethical committee's rules and the stipulations specified in the participants' informed permission forms.

Participants for the quantitative analysis will be selected through random sampling methods to guarantee their representativeness within the larger target group. The sample comprises 60 second-language learners from China, aged 16 to 18, all of whom are non-native English speakers. The questionnaire comprises exclusively closed-ended questions to ensure impartiality and minimize prejudice, hence enabling statistical analysis and comparisons among various respondent groups. Furthermore, informed consent was secured from all participants before data collection, and anonymity was assured throughout the procedure.

3.3. Research Instruments: Multimodal Approaches to SLA Investigation

3.3.1. Quantitative Instruments

Lee et al. (2024) highlight that the lack of high-quality dialogic tutoring materials presents obstacles to the advancement of effective conversational systems, underscoring the necessity for innovation in AI-driven SLA systems. Models like Faster R-CNN and EfficientDet exhibit encouraging outcomes in the study of classroom behavior. Faster R-CNN, a traditional two-stage object detection model, excels in accurately determining target locations and orientations, whereas EfficientDet provides both rapidity and precision inside a streamlined framework.

The utilization of Yolov5s in developing classroom behavior analysis systems offers numerous benefits. It offers rapid feedback and real-time monitoring functionalities that satisfy the urgent requirements of SLA situations. Moreover, its elevated detection accuracy facilitates the identification of diverse learner behaviors. The model's lightweight design diminishes hardware requirements, enhancing system deployment and scalability while reducing the waste of educational resources.

3.3.2. Qualitative Instruments

Semi-structured interviews combine the advantages of structured and unstructured interviews, improving thorough examination of intricate issues while ensuring consistency for comparison and analysis[15]. This research used a semi-structured interview methodology to obtain comprehensive insights into the significance of Intercultural Competence (IC) in SLA. Respondents will be prompted by open-ended questions to articulate their beliefs about cultural influences in language learning and the impact of these factors on their motivation and language acquisition outcomes. Semi-structured interviews offer a versatile and thorough interviewing technique, integrating the benefits of both structured and unstructured formats, enabling researchers to concentrate on the research subject while thoroughly examining participants' individual experiences and viewpoints.

3.4. Multimodal Data Analysis in SLA Research

3.4.1. Quantitative Data Analysis

During the data collection and preprocessing phase, a multimodal data gathering strategy was employed to facilitate thorough perception and documentation of behavioral information in SLA contexts. This technique utilized multi-source devices to collect data from several modalities, enhancing the comprehension of learners' activities and interactions.

Multi-angle visual fusion was accomplished by utilizing a configuration of high-definition cameras positioned at the front, center, rear, and sides of the classroom. These cameras concurrently captured footage from multiple angles to guarantee comprehensive coverage of learners' frontal, posterior, and lateral areas. This configuration offered multidimensional and multi-angled visual input for posture and action identification, enhancing the analysis of learner behavior. Audio data were gathered using geographically spread microphones or acoustic sensor arrays. These devices concurrently recorded spoken interactions, including talks and vocal responses, within the second language learning context, augmenting visual data and improving behavioral recognition.

Furthermore, angle and pressure sensors were employed to gather data that conventional observational techniques may neglect. Angular sensor data facilitated the measurement of nuanced behaviors-such as minor nodding-that are frequently challenging for educators to observe, providing detailed empirical evidence for SLA research. Simultaneously, pressure sensors recorded students' tactile interactions with the environment, overcoming the constraints associated with solely visual analysis.

By incorporating diverse sensors within the physical learning environment, the system could contemporaneously assess students' posture, verbal behavior, and the magnitude of bodily movements. This established a tri-modal (visual–auditory–tactile) data system, creating an innovative and structured behavioral feature framework that provides a robust basis for future multimodal research. For example, integrated data from pressure and angular sensors-such as a student reclining in their seat while evading eye contact with the instructor-may be construed as a probable indication of inattention. A sudden rise in writing pressure recorded by pressure sensors, along with speech hesitations identified through audio inputs, may signify challenges in expression or confusion regarding the learning material.

3.4.2. Qualitative Data Processing and Analysis

Qualitative data analysis is defined by its recursive, holistic, and critical characteristics, necessitating that researchers engage deeply with the data and consistently reflect on both the methodology and the results[27]. The compilation of qualitative data generally commences with the transcription of interviews or focus group discussions, the transformation of visual materials into textual format, and the systematization of field notes.

Cloete (2007) asserts that audio recordings can serve as memos to organize field notes and transcripts, so aiding in the administration of extensive data and mitigating the risk of original information loss. Qualitative data typically encompasses interviews, modified visual data, and field notes[18]. Qualitative data analysis encompasses several analytical methodologies, including thematic analysis, narrative analysis, discourse analysis, content analysis, and phenomenological analysis.

This study employs theme analysis, a widely utilized tool in qualitative research. Thematic analysis entails the identification and documentation of patterns or themes within the data pertinent to the research questions. This approach is selected for its adaptability, appropriateness for exploratory research, capacity to enhance participants' voices, and its transparent procedure, all of which correspond effectively with the objectives of this study.

3.5. Model Training and Evaluation

3.5.1. Model Training Phase

Throughout the training of the YOLOv5s model, loss values were systematically documented to assess model performance. A loss curve was created to illustrate the fluctuation of the loss function throughout training epochs, facilitating an intuitive evaluation of the model's convergence. Widely utilized loss functions-namely classification loss and localization loss-were examined to assess various facets of model learning.

A constant and consistently declining trend in the total loss value signifies that the model is acquiring knowledge efficiently. When the loss value diminishes significantly and stabilizes with ongoing training, it indicates that the model has attained an optimal performance level given the existing parameter configurations. This analysis is essential for deciding when to conclude training and prevent overfitting.

The overall training duration was measured from the commencement of training to the moment the model achieved specified performance criteria. This involved monitoring the duration necessary for the loss function to reach a specific threshold. The influence of different hyperparameters, including learning rate and batch size, on training duration was methodically analyzed. These temporal data provide empirical evidence for enhancing training methodologies. Identifying parameter combinations that enhance convergence speed without sacrificing accuracy can substantially improve training efficiency. This optimization is crucial for reconciling model performance with computational resource limitations, particularly in real-world deployment contexts.

3.5.2. Quantitative Evaluation of the Model

During the quantitative evaluation phase, Precision serves as a crucial parameter for assessing the dependability of the model's object detection outcomes. It is computed as:

$$\mathrm{p_{recision}} = \frac{\mathrm{TP}}{\mathrm{TP} + \mathrm{FP}}$$

TP (True Positives) denotes the accurately identified positive cases, while FP (False Positives) signifies negative examples that the model erroneously labeled as positive. Modifying the confidence level can decrease false positives, potentially leading to a reduction in real positives. This trade-off is crucial in threshold calibration and false detection management.

Recall is a crucial metric for assessing the model's capacity to thoroughly identify target behavioral categories. The formula for its calculation is:

$$\mathrm{Recall} = \frac{\mathrm{TP}}{\mathrm{TP} + \mathrm{FN}}$$

FN (False Negatives) denotes positive cases that the model erroneously classified as negative.

Synthetic data augmentation approaches, such as generating motion blur, can be utilized to improve recall, particularly under difficult settings like occlusions or motion blur. Recall analysis uncovers the constraints of the model's behavioral coverage, providing explicit guidance for future data annotation methodologies, model parameter refinement, and improvements in post-processing logic.

In a classroom behavior analysis system tailored for SLA, it is crucial to equilibrate recall and precision in accordance with the realistic requirements of real-time performance and accuracy. This trade-off directly enhances the overall assessment and functionality of the model in educational settings.

3.5.3. Qualitative Evaluation of the Model

Qualitative assessment is an essential component of model development and enhancement. It is employed to evaluate knowledge-based models independent of numerical data. This methodology is especially beneficial for comprehending intricate model dynamics, pinpointing places for enhancement, and guaranteeing model dependability. Qualitative evaluation allows users to examine extensive datasets, recognize phenomena like pattern collapse, and evaluate the quality and diversity of generated images in relation to real images or baseline models. Assessing the maintainability prediction of the YOLOv5s model by qualitative approaches entails defining various models, establishing assessment criteria, and analyzing outcomes. This methodology aids in recognizing deficiencies in current models and illustrates the efficacy of human assessment in predicting maintainability based on specified criteria[26].

The YOLOv5s framework enhances AI models through the use of qualitative assessment, hence augmenting conventional quantitative measurements. This resembles the qualitative assessment employed in generative image models, facilitating the evaluation of the quality and diversity of generated images while providing insights that quantitative measurements alone may not readily convey[2]. In the realm of second language acquisition, the integration of qualitative and quantitative assessment approaches augments the inventiveness of learners' design frameworks. The model combines robust theoretical principles with multi-attribute decision-making methodologies, providing a thorough evaluation framework[35].

4. Findings and Discussion

4.1. Quantitative Findings: Learning Outcomes and Behavioral Patterns in AI-Enhanced SLA

This section summarizes the results of the quantitative data analysis, concentrating on the relationship between the use of deep learning-enhanced tools and participants' language learning outcomes. Data were obtained from 60 SLA learners from China, aged 16 to 18, and regression analysis together with descriptive statistics were employed to assess the influence of AI-driven systems on their language proficiency and behavior.

4.1.1. Overview of Questionnaire Responses

Given similar baseline variables (age, gender, pretest scores, and study time), the AI-enhanced teaching group dramatically surpassed the traditional teaching group in posttest scores, indicating a distinct advantage in instructional efficacy. Each group comprised 30 participants, with a mean age of 17.2 years (SD ≈ 0.9) and an equal gender distribution (15 males and 15 females). Their pretest results were similar (Traditional: 65.2±8.7; AI: 64.8±9.1), confirming group comparability. Although both groups dedicated an equivalent amount of time to study weekly (12.3±3.7 hours), the AI-enhanced group benefited from more frequent AI input (5.7±1.4 times/week, in contrast to none for the traditional group), leading to a superior posttest score (79.5±7.6 versus 72.1±9.3), a disparity of 7.4 points. Effect size analysis demonstrated a significant improvement for the AI-enhanced group (Cohen's d = 1.63) relative to the traditional group (Cohen's d = 0.78), signifying a markedly greater influence of the AI intervention. In conclusion, AI feedback demonstrated to be the principal element enhancing learning outcomes. The frequent integration yielded statistically significant results and exhibited practical instructional value, indicating that AI-enhanced teaching possesses considerable potential for wider educational application.

Table 1. Comparison of L2 acquisition outcomes between conventional and AI-assisted instruction groups (N=60)

Group	N	Age (Mean±SD)	Gender (M/F)	Pretest Score (Mean±SD, pts)	Posttest Score (Mean±SD, pts)	Study Time (h/wk)	AI Feedback (times/wk)	Cohen's *d* *Effect Size*
Traditional Teaching	30	17.2±0.9	15/15	65.2±8.7	72.1±9.3	12.3±3.7	0±0	0.78
AI-Enhanced Group	30	17.2±0.9	15/15	64.8±9.1	79.5±7.6	12.3±3.7	5.7±1.4	1.63

4.1.2. Key Statistical Results

Behavior Frequency Statistics: This is the ongoing monitoring of the occurrence of various student behaviors over a certain duration. For instance, it monitors the frequency with which each student raises their hand in class or the percentage of time they dedicate to concentrating on the subject throughout the entire session. This paradigm facilitates a quantitative assessment of learners' involvement and participation by comparing behavior frequencies across various student groups or classes, hence offering more accurate data to inform teaching tactics.

Behavior Trend Analysis: This entails examining students' behavior over an extended duration, such as a month or a semester, to discern alterations in their behavioral patterns. For example, it may assess whether a specific student has reduced the incidence of whispering during class or whether their concentration on the lesson has progressively enhanced, thereby offering evidence for the formulation of tailored learning interventions.

This system provides data-driven decision assistance for precise instruction and individualized tutoring, while maintaining a balance between technological advancement and educational ethics.

4.2. Qualitative Findings

4.2.1. Thematic Analysis of Interview Data

The thematic analysis of interview data demonstrated the complex influence of the AI feedback system on SLA. Participants consistently said that the AI feedback system markedly improved their learning motivation and engagement. The prompt and individualized feedback instilled a sense of progress and achievement, so pushing students to persist in their learning endeavors. A considerable number of respondents asserted that their linguistic abilities, especially in vocabulary, pronunciation, and grammatical precision, had enhanced due to the system's feedback. Nonetheless, several raised apprehensions regarding the precision of the AI input, observing that it occasionally neglected to account for cultural context and contextual variances in the language, thereby undermining its trustworthiness. Several participants noted that the AI system exhibited insensitivity to cultural variations and contextual nuances in language, potentially resulting in misunderstandings or inappropriate expressions in cross-cultural conversation. Nonetheless, the AI feedback system augmented learners' autonomy, as numerous respondents asserted that it empowered them to autonomously recognize and rectify errors, thereby enhancing their learning independence.

In conclusion, the beneficial impact of the AI feedback system on SLA must not be disregarded. The existing system's deficiencies in cultural sensitivity and contextual comprehension must be rectified. Future advancements must prioritize enhancing the system's precision and responsiveness to cultural variances to more effectively assist language learners. Moreover, the AI system's capacity to promote autonomous learning corresponds with contemporary educational ideas that prioritize self-directed and lifelong learning.

4.3. Integration of Quantitative and Qualitative Findings

The combination of quantitative and qualitative findings offers a holistic comprehension of the influence of deep learning-enhanced SLA on learner performance and experience. The quantitative findings indicate a distinct association between the frequency of AI feedback and enhancements in language performance, with learners who receive more frequent feedback attaining superior scores and committing fewer errors on language assessments. The qualitative insights from semi-structured interviews corroborate these findings. Participants indicated that regular, precise, and prompt AI feedback bolstered their confidence, motivation, and capacity to recognize areas for enhancement.

The quantitative data yielded demonstrable achievements, while the qualitative data offered essential contextual insights elucidating the reasons for these changes. Students indicated that individualized feedback facilitated the modification of their learning strategies and sustained their involvement during the educational process. Some participants observed that receiving feedback too frequently could induce dissatisfaction, particularly when they lacked a comprehensive understanding of the rationale behind the AI corrections. This indicates a necessity for enhancement in the system's transparency and pedagogical efficacy.

Moreover, the amalgamation of both data sets enhanced the comprehension of learner involvement. Quantitative analysis indicated a positive correlation between extended study duration and enhanced learning outcomes, whereas qualitative data demonstrated that learners who engaged more profoundly with the system reported a heightened sense of accomplishment and autonomy in their learning, thereby reinforcing the influence of AI feedback on learning behaviors.

The consolidated research findings underscore the significance of regular and tailored AI feedback in improving SLA. The quantitative data illustrates the efficacy of feedback frequency in enhancing language performance, while the qualitative data elucidates learners' personal experiences and insights, providing a more holistic understanding of how AI-driven systems promote academic advancement and learner autonomy. In conclusion, these findings highlight the capability of AI-enhanced learning systems to augment second language acquisition through tailored feedback that improves language competency and motivation.

5. Conclusion

This research examined the influence of deep learning-augmented technologies, particularly AI-driven feedback systems, on SLA in Chinese high school students. The research employed a mixed-methods approach, combining quantitative data from pre- and post-tests with qualitative insights from semi-structured interviews, to provide a thorough understanding of the impact of AI interventions on language competency, learner behavior, and engagement.

Quantitative results revealed that learners receiving consistent AI feedback exhibited markedly superior enhancements in language performance relative to those in conventional learning settings. The AI group not only attained superior posttest results but also demonstrated heightened involvement, as evidenced by behavioral frequency and trend studies. These findings emphasize the practical significance of incorporating AI-driven technologies into second language teaching to improve learning outcomes.

Qualitative results enhanced the comprehension of these advancements by uncovering learners' perspectives of the AI system's advantages and drawbacks. Participants observed heightened motivation, autonomy, and linguistic precision, while also expressing concerns regarding cultural insensitivity and insufficient contextual comprehension in AI feedback. These viewpoints underscore the necessity of enhancing AI systems to facilitate nuanced, culturally informed language learning experiences.

The amalgamation of both data sets validated that the frequency and customization of AI feedback are pivotal elements in enhancing learner results. The study emphasized the importance of AI in promoting self-directed learning, consistent with modern educational objectives that prioritize learner autonomy and lifelong education.

In conclusion, deep learning-augmented AI systems present significant potential to boost SLA through immediate, personalized, and scalable feedback. Future development must address cultural and contextual constraints to ensure equitable and effective language education. Subsequent research must investigate long-term effects, scalability across varied educational environments, and the integration with human-led instruction to fully harness the advantages of AI-assisted language learning.

References

[1] Alhijaj, J.A. and Khudeyer, R.S., 2023. Techniques and applications for deep learning: A review. Journal of Al-Qadisiyah for Computer Science and Mathematics, 15(2), p.114.

[2] Assogba, Y., Pearce, A. and Elliott, M., 2023. Large scale qualitative evaluation of generative image model outputs. arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.04518.

[3] Becker, G.S. and Lewis, H.G., 1973. On the interaction between the quantity and quality of children. Journal of Political Economy, 81(2, Part 2), pp.S279-S288.

[4] Betal, A., 2023. Enhancing second language acquisition through artificial intelligence (AI): Current insights and future directions. Journal for Research Scholars and Professionals of English Language Teaching, 7, p.39.

[5] Braun, V. and Clarke, V., 2006. Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3(2), pp.77-101.

[6] Brinkmann, S., 2014. Unstructured and semi-structured interviewing. In: P. Leavy, ed. The Oxford Handbook of Qualitative Research. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp.277-299.

[7] Cao, Y. and Sun, Y., 2024. The Research on the Application of Deep Learning in Education. IETI Transactions on Data Analysis and Forecasting, 2(3), pp.4-11.

[8] Chapelle, C.A., 2005. Computer-assisted language learning. In: Handbook of Research in Second Language Teaching and Learning. Routledge, pp.743-755.

[9] Cloete, F., 2007. Data analysis in qualitative public administration and management research. Journal of Public Administration, 42(6), pp.512-527.

[10] Curry, L.A., Nembhard, I.M. and Bradley, E.H., 2009. Qualitative and mixed methods provide unique contributions to outcomes research. Circulation, 119(10), pp.1442-1452.

[11] Eslit, E.R., 2023. Elevating language acquisition through deep learning and meaningful pedagogy in an AI-evolving educational landscape. Preprints.org.

[12] Greeni, A., Chitkara, P., Pathak, P., Orosoo, M., Rengarajan, M. and Bala, B.K., 2024, July. Advancing Adaptive Assessment in English Language Teaching: A Deep Learning-based Approach within Intelligent Tutoring Systems. In: 2024 Third International Conference on Electrical, Electronics, Information and Communication Technologies (ICEEICT), pp.1-7. IEEE.

[13] Heift, T. and Chapelle, C.A., 2013. Language learning through technology. In: The Routledge Handbook of Second Language Acquisition, pp.555-569. Routledge.

[14] Hernández-Blanco, A., Herrera-Flores, B., Tomás, D. and Navarro-Colorado, B., 2019. A systematic review of deep learning approaches to educational data mining. Complexity, 2019(1), p.1306039.

[15] Kallio, H., Pietilä, A.M., Johnson, M. and Kangasniemi, M., 2016. Systematic methodological review: Developing a framework for a qualitative semi-structured interview guide. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 72(12), pp.2954-2965.

[16] Koceva, V., 2017. Krashen's theory of second language acquisition. Knowledge International Journal, 22(6), pp.1507-1514.

[17] Kukulska-Hulme, A. and Lee, H., 2020. Intelligent assistants in language learning: An analysis of features and limitations.

[18] Lacey, A. and Luff, D., 2001. Qualitative data analysis. Trent Focus Group, pp.320-357.

[19] Lee, J., Huang, J.X., Cho, M., Roh, Y.H., Kwon, O.W. and Lee, Y., 2024, June. Developing Conversational Intelligent Tutoring for Speaking Skills in Second Language Learning. In: International Conference on Intelligent Tutoring Systems, pp.131-148. Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.

[20] Leech, N.L. and Onwuegbuzie, A.J., 2008. A typology of mixed methods research designs.

[21] Mokhtar, F.A., 2016. Rethinking conventional teaching in language learning and proposing Edmodo as intervention: A qualitative analysis. Malaysian Online Journal of Educational Technology (MOJET), 4(2), pp.22-37.

[22] Oba, M., Kuribayashi, T., Ouchi, H. and Watanabe, T., 2023. Second language acquisition of neural language models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.02920.

[23] Rost, M., 2014. Listening in a multilingual world: The challenges of second language (L2) listening. International Journal of Listening, 28(3), pp.131-148.

[24] Sale, J.E., Lohfeld, L.H. and Brazil, K., 2002. Revisiting the quantitative-qualitative debate: Implications for mixed-methods research. Quality and Quantity, 36, pp.43-53.

[25] Samarajeewa, C. and Mohammed, L.A., 2025. Obstacles in second language acquisition: Linguistic, psychological, social, cultural, and pedagogical challenges with a focus on secondary ESL Education in Sri Lanka.

[26] Shafiabady, A. and Abdullah, S.M., 2018, November. Qualitative Evaluation on Software Maintainability Prediction Models. In 2018 IEEE Student Conference on Research and Development (SCOReD) (pp. 1-6). IEEE.

[27] Sgier, L., 2012. Qualitative data analysis. An Initiat. Gebert Ruf Stift, 19, pp.19-21.

[28] Son, J.B., Ružić, N.K. and Philpott, A., 2023. Artificial intelligence technologies and applications for language learning and teaching. Journal of China Computer-Assisted Language Learning, (0).

[29] Soomro, B.A. and Shah, N., 2022. Entrepreneurship education, entrepreneurial self-efficacy, need for achievement and entrepreneurial intention among commerce students in Pakistan. Education+ Training, 64(1), pp.107-125.

[30] Tafazoli, D. and Golshan, N., 2014. Review of computer-assisted language learning: History, merits & barriers. International Journal of Language and Linguistics, 2(5), pp.32-38.

[31] Tafazoli, D., Huertas-Abril, C.A. and Gomez-Parra, M.E., 2019. Technology-based review on Computer-Assisted Language Learning: A chronological perspective. Píxel-Bit. Revista de Medios y Educación, (54), pp.29-43.

[32] Torfi, A., Shirvani, R.A., Keneshloo, Y., Tavaf, N. and Fox, E.A., 2020. Natural language processing advancements by deep learning: A survey. arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.01200.

[33] Ulfa, K., 2023. The transformative power of artificial intelligence (AI) to elevate English language learning. Majalah Ilmiah METHODA, 13(3), pp.307-313.

[34] Wu, Z., Saad, M.R.M. and Halim, H.A., 2024. Self-Determination Theory in Blended Learning: Fostering Motivation and Language Outcomes in Primary EFL Learners. International Journal of Advanced Research in Education and Society, 6(4), pp.247-259.

[35] Xiong, L., Teng, C.L., Li, Y.Q., Lee, Y.Z., Zhu, B.W. and Liu, K., 2019. A qualitative-quantitative evaluation model for systematical improving the creativity of students' design scheme. Sustainability, 11(10), p.2792.