

Language, Power, and Equity: Multicultural Pedagogies for Inclusive Classrooms

Meiyan Lu

The Education University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong 999077, China

Abstract: The social rights dimension of language teaching is critical to the impact of multicultural education in contemporary inclusive classrooms. Positive social rights dimensions in language teaching and learning are important for identity, resource allocation, and social equity. In order to promote social equity through multicultural educational environments, Grounded in global contexts, based on James Banks' model of multicultural educational integration, and incorporating CRT and Kevin Kumashiro's theory of anti-oppression education, this collection challenges educators to reconceptualise language diversity as an asset while dismantling structural barriers to equity in schooling. It provides a practice-oriented strategy for promoting educational and social equity.

Keywords: Language Ideologies, Multicultural Education, Inclusive Practices, Equity.

1. Introduction

In today's increasingly globalised yet inequitable educational environment, the intersection of 'language', 'rights' and 'equity' requires urgent scholarly attention. This article explores how language can be a vehicle for social rights and how multicultural pedagogies can promote inclusiveness in education, and how multilingual and multicultural classrooms can be sites for anti-oppressive education and interlingual practices, thereby promoting the social practice of multicultural education reform, and promoting equity in education for the sake of social justice and harmony.

2. Theoretical Perspective

The trajectory of the theory of multicultural education maps the intellectual journey of modern society in response to the challenges of cultural diversity. This theoretical system is not a single doctrine, but a system of multiple interrelated theoretical perspectives that collectively respond to the cultural-political issues in the field of education.

Firstly, the field of linguistic ideology reveals how social power constructs linguistic hierarchies through discursive practices: Bourdieu's Language and symbolic power suggests that dominant groups establish their language variants as 'legitimate languages' through institutionalised means, such as the education system and official language policies, giving them economic and political power. by institutionalising their language variants as 'legitimate languages' through, for example, the education system, official language policies, and by giving them economic and cultural capital. This gives an authoritative status to a particular dialect or language and marginalises other linguistic practices. The social rights dimension of language teaching and learning thus plays a crucial role in the pedagogical system, with language rights having varying degrees of influence in shaping students' identities and academic performance.

James Banks published two pedagogical works at the beginning of the 21st century, the core of which centred on educational equity in a multicultural education system. James Banks argued that multicultural education should be

systematically integrated into school curricula, pedagogies, and institutional structures, rather than existing as an add-on, and his 2006 book *Race, culture, and education* is an example of James Banks' work on multicultural education. *Race, culture, and education*, published in 2006, is a collection of James Banks' excellent multicultural education writings. He advocates the inclusion of the history and literature of different cultural groups in the curriculum, the integration of content under a multicultural education system, the revelation of cultural positions behind knowledge, and the incorporation of comparative analyses of colonial and Indigenous perspectives; he also challenges stereotypes with pedagogical strategies aimed at reducing prejudice and discrimination, advocates equitable pedagogical approaches to accommodate culturally diverse learning styles, and promotes school-wide reforms through anti-discrimination policies. promote school-wide policy reform. The above approach is to integrate the social rights dimension into the pedagogical framework, empowering school culture and laying the cornerstone for promoting the reform of the education system in the post-apocalyptic era, as well as creating the conditions and theoretical foundations for the development of multicultural curricula in K-12 education in the United States.

Second, critical race theory and culturally sustaining pedagogies have played a critical role in the pedagogical development process. Finally, interlingual practices have had a significant impact in challenging monolingual hegemony and supporting the cognitive development of multilingual learners.

Together, the above theories form a critical toolbox for multicultural education, providing theoretical and practical tools for building inclusive and equitable classrooms. Theoretical development in the current era is tending towards intersectional analyses that focus on the interplay of culture with other social categories. The value of theory lies not only in explaining reality, but also in providing emancipatory possible paths for future educational practices.

3. Teaching Practice

Theory develops along with practice. In the multicultural education classroom, language is not only a communication tool, but also a carrier of rights and identities, and equity-

oriented language teaching is conducive to the development of students' critical language awareness, the reduction of discrimination and prejudice in the multicultural teaching environment, the promotion of fair development of education, and the enhancement of social harmony, so it is necessary to combine pedagogical theory with practice to promote the contemporary multicultural education environment's comprehensive development.

Firstly, in terms of teaching practice, we should combine the educational theories mentioned in the previous section and focus on cultivating students' critical language awareness. CLA encourages students to analyse how language reflects and reinforces social inequality, which plays a positive role in reducing prejudice and discrimination. In the classroom, media and textbook analyses can be used together to guide students to compare the differences in wording between different media reports of the same event, for example, the difference between 'protesters' and 'rioters', and to discuss the ideological gaps implicit therein.

Secondly, the social construction of 'correct language' can be revealed by comparing the grammatical rules of dialects, informal forms and 'standard language'. For example, the controversy over the legitimacy of 'Vernacular English'. In addition, anti-bias programmes can be designed to directly challenge linguistic hegemony and cultural stereotypes through their content. For example, by including minority writers in literature classes and analysing how their linguistic styles defy dominant narratives.

The above pedagogical practices not only promote the development of critical thinking in today's students, reduce prejudice and promote equity in education, but also enable teachers to reflect on their own potential prejudice and avoid alienating minorities in the name of 'cultural inclusion', thus improving the quality of multicultural education environments and contributing to the equitable development of society. This will enable teachers to reflect on their own potential biases and avoid alienating minorities in the name of 'cultural inclusion', thus truly improving the quality of multicultural educational environments and promoting equitable social development.

4. Policy Recommendations and Future Directions

Based on the findings of the above studies, multicultural education research and policy reforms have mostly remained at the theoretical stage, so this article will propose policy and practice-level improvement programmes.

At the macro-policy level, the national language education policy should take the initiative to protect linguistic diversity and avoid the tendency of 'monolingualism', which can be learnt from Canada's bilingualism policy, i.e., minority languages should be included in the official education system to guarantee the status of Aboriginal people. At the level of school practice improvement, teacher training should be strengthened and critical language awareness should be incorporated into teacher education curricula in order to develop teachers' culturally responsive teaching skills. At the same time, curricula development should be promoted, and multilingual teaching materials should be designed to allow students to complete some of their assignments in their mother tongue, for example, in bilingual Spanish-English writing projects, which are in line with the themes of inclusive classrooms and multicultural education, as the essence of

contemporary inclusive classrooms is the promotion of cultural equity, which is a key element in the development of a multicultural education system. The essence of the contemporary inclusive classroom is to promote cultural equity and open and friendly exchanges as a means of promoting equity and harmony in education. In addition, multilingual students' intercultural communication skills can be documented by replacing the standardised and boring standardised tests with language growth portfolios.

In the future research environment of multicultural education, more attention should be paid to linguistic equity in the digital age, thinking about whether there is linguistic hegemony in AI translation tools and language bias in ChatGPT. In a multicultural education environment, interdisciplinary cooperation should be advocated, and educational linguists and sociologists can be organised to analyse the social stratification effect of language policy, so as to better understand how language teaching can eliminate the shortcomings and develop comprehensively under the dimension of social rights. Psychology can also be combined with education and linguistics to analyse the cognitive advantages of multilingualism from the perspective of psycholinguistics, and consider how to transfer such advantages to classroom teaching policies, so as to better identify students' strengths, formulate reasonable teaching plans, and promote the fair development of multicultural educational environments, eliminating discrimination and prejudice, and promoting educational, cultural and social equity.

The core objective of the above policy recommendations and future research directions is to safeguard linguistic human rights and to adapt to the development of the educational ecosystem under the demands of globalised communication.

5. Conclusion

Multicultural pedagogy is not just a choice of teaching methods, but also a core issue of social justice and cultural justice. Through strategies such as critical language awareness and anti-bias curricula, we are able to reveal the power structures behind language, challenge linguistic hegemony, and promote the equitable development of multiculturalism and the improvement of pedagogical methods to better promote social justice. However, achieving true classroom inclusion and cultural inclusion requires concerted efforts from many parties, and more importantly, educators need to practice equitable pedagogical practices in the classroom. Only then can we build a diverse educational ecosystem that respects all cultural and linguistic variants and empowers every learner.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to each of the teachers for their dedication and guidance to successfully complete the above study.

References

- [1] Banks, J. A. (2008). An introduction to multicultural education.
- [2] Banks, J. A. (2006). Race, culture, and education: The selected works of James A. Banks. Routledge.
- [3] Bourdieu, P. (1991). Language and symbolic power. Harvard University Press.

- [4] de Sousa Santos, B. (2015). *Epistemologies of the South: Justice against epistemicide*. Routledge.
- [5] Gay, G. (2002). Preparing for culturally responsive teaching. *Journal of teacher education*, 53(2), 106-116.
- [6] Hall, S. (1993). Culture, community, nation. *Cultural studies*, 7(3), 349-363.
- [7] Kumashiro, K. K. (2000). Toward a theory of anti-oppressive education. *Review of Educational research*, 70(1), 25-53.
- [8] Ladson-Billings, G., & Tate, W. F. (1995). Toward a critical race theory of education. *Teachers college record*, 97(1), 47-68.
- [9] Ladson-Billings, G. (1995). Toward a theory of culturally relevant pedagogy. *American educational research journal*, 32(3), 465-491.
- [10] Paris, D. (2012). Culturally sustaining pedagogy: A needed change in stance, terminology, and practice. *Educational researcher*, 41(3), 93-97.
- [11] Taylor, C. (2021). The politics of recognition. In *Campus wars* (pp. 249-263). Routledge.