

ASSESSING THE CONSEQUENCES OF THE UNENDING HOSTILITIES BETWEEN ISRAEL FORCES AND HEZBOLLAH ON REGIONAL SECURITY DYNAMICS

¹Mohammed Kabeer Garba (Ph. D) and Jibrin Hussaini Abubakar

¹ECOWAS Parliament, Abuja

²Department of Political Science and International Studies, Kaduna State University

Email: kabirmashi@gmail.com; +234 803 659 0281// vistap.page@gmail.com Mobile: +234 803 530 3749

DOI:<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15730614>

Abstract: The enduring hostilities between Israel forces and Hezbollah have significant implications for regional security dynamics based on complex issue, driven by a combination of historical, ideological, and strategic factors. This study assesses the consequences of this conflict on regional and international security, exploring the impact on the Middle East peace process, the role of external actors, and the potential for escalation. This study employs a qualitative research approach, combining primary and secondary data sources, including official documents, academic literature, and expert interviews. The objective of this study is to investigate the consequences of this conflict on regional security, using a mixed-methods approach combining quantitative and qualitative data. This study adopts Realism, Constructivism, and Regional Security Complex Theory (RSCT). The theoretical framework will be integrated using a “Conflict Consequences Model”, which will guide the data collection and analysis. This theoretical framework will provide a comprehensive and systematic approach to assessing the consequences of the conflict between Israel forces and Hezbollah on regional security dynamics, and will contribute to the development of evidence-based policies and interventions for promoting regional stability and security. The study, in its findings suggests that the conflict has created opportunities for external Actors such as Iran and the United States, to exert influence in the region, potentially destabilizing the regional security landscape. To mitigate these consequences, it is essential to address the underlying drivers of the conflict, including the Israeli-Palestinian conflict and the role of external actors.

Key words: Hezbollah. Israel forces. Lebanon war. Middle East peace process. External actors

Introduction

The enduring hostilities between Israeli forces and Hezbollah represent a significant and persistent flashpoint in Middle Eastern geopolitics, with profound implications for regional security dynamics. This conflict, characterized by recurring cycles of violence, has shaped the security environment in the Levant and influenced the strategies of both regional and international actors. Hezbollah, a non-state actor with a dual identity as a political entity and armed resistance movement, has consistently challenged Israel's military and political

objectives. Meanwhile, Israel's security policies and military actions aim to counter Hezbollah's influence, perceived threats, and growing military capabilities.

The hostilities between Israel and Hezbollah are deeply rooted in the broader Arab-Israeli conflict and the Lebanese Civil War (1975–1990). The 1982 Israeli invasion of Lebanon and subsequent occupation of southern Lebanon provided the immediate backdrop for Hezbollah's emergence as a Shiite militia and political organization. Officially formed in 1985 with ideological and logistical support from Iran, Hezbollah positioned itself as a resistance movement committed to expelling Israeli forces from Lebanese territory and opposing Western influence in the region. (Buzan, & Wæver, 2003)

Following Israel's withdrawal from southern Lebanon in 2000, the conflict between the two parties evolved into a complex and multifaceted struggle. Hezbollah's cross-border raids and rocket attacks, coupled with Israel's targeted military responses, have kept the conflict alive, often resulting in significant civilian casualties and humanitarian crises. The 2006 Lebanon War marked a critical juncture, as the 34-day conflict highlighted Hezbollah's enhanced military capabilities and Israel's challenges in achieving its security objectives. Despite a ceasefire brokered by United Nations Security Council Resolution 1701, the conflict remains unresolved, with sporadic skirmishes, arms buildups, and an ongoing war of narratives fueling tensions.

Hezbollah's alliances with Iran and Syria further complicate the conflict, as these relationships provide the group with financial, political, and military support while drawing Israel into broader regional confrontations. Simultaneously, Israel's security doctrine, which prioritizes countering Hezbollah's missile arsenal and preventing Iranian entrenchment in Syria, underscores the enduring centrality of this conflict in regional security calculus. (World Bank Report on Lebanon's Economic Crisis, 2022) The implications of this protracted struggle extend beyond Lebanon and Israel, contributing to regional instability and shaping the strategic environment in the Middle East.

Theoretical Framework and Discussion

Realism emphasizes the anarchic structure of the international system, where states prioritize survival through the accumulation of power and security. The hostilities between Israel and Hezbollah can be understood as a fight for dominance within a competitive and hostile regional order. Israel's military actions, including preemptive strikes against Hezbollah, reflect its quest for security and deterrence. Realism explains Israel's strategy of maintaining a qualitative military edge to neutralize perceived threats, particularly Hezbollah's growing missile arsenal and ties to Iran. Hezbollah's alignment with Iran and Syria exemplifies an offsetting strategy against Israel. (Waltz, 1979) This relationship strengthens Hezbollah's capacity to challenge Israel militarily, thereby escalating the regional security dilemma. Realism highlights how this balance of power fuels an enduring cycle of hostility.

Constructivism shifts the focus to the role of identity, norms, and socially constructed meanings in shaping international relations. The Israeli-Hezbollah conflict is not only a material contest but also a clash of ideologies and identities. Hezbollah's resistance narrative, rooted in Shiite ideology and anti-Zionism, shapes its legitimacy among followers. Constructivism describes how these identities and ideational factors sustain the conflict, as

Hezbollah portrays itself as a defender of Lebanese sovereignty against Israeli aggression. (Wendt, 1999) The securitization of Hezbollah by Israel and the framing of Israel as an existential threat by Hezbollah perpetuate a cycle of mistrust. Constructivism underscores the importance of discourse in constructing these threats, which in turn influence policy decisions and public opinion.

RSCT, developed by Barry Buzan and Ole Wæver (2003), focuses on the interdependent nature of security dynamics within a geographical region. The Israeli-Hezbollah conflict is embedded within the broader Middle Eastern security complex, where security is indivisible and interconnected. RSCT posits that the Middle East is characterized by intense security interdependence. The Israeli-Hezbollah conflict influences and is influenced by other regional actors, including Iran, Syria, and Gulf states. For example, Hezbollah's military operations are often tied to Iran's regional ambitions, while Israel's actions are shaped by alliances with the United States. RSCT highlights the role of external actors in shaping regional security. The involvement of the United States, Russia, and European powers in the Middle East exacerbates the conflict, as these actors often support opposing sides. (Buzan, & Wæver, 2003) This external penetration complicates the regional security dynamics, making conflict resolution more challenging.

Integrating Realism, Constructivism, and RSCT provides a holistic understanding of the Israeli-Hezbollah conflict and its consequences for regional security. Realism emphasizes the material aspects of the conflict, such as military capabilities and alliances, while Constructivism highlights the ideational and identity-driven dimensions. Together, these frameworks explain both the tangible and intangible drivers of hostilities. RSCT on the other hand situates the conflict within the broader Middle Eastern security complex, emphasizing interdependence and the impact of external actors. It complements Realism by addressing the systemic and regional dimensions of power politics and supplements Constructivism by acknowledging the interplay of regional identities and norms. Understanding the conflict through these lenses underscores the need for multifaceted approaches to conflict resolution, incorporating power-balancing strategies, norm-building efforts, and regional cooperation frameworks.

This integrated framework enables a nuanced analysis of the consequences of the Israeli-Hezbollah hostilities on regional security, accounting for the material, ideational, and systemic factors that perpetuate the conflict.

Review of Literature

The prolonged conflict between Israeli forces and Hezbollah has gathered significant scholarly attention due to its implications for Middle Eastern regional security. A foundational body of literature traces the origins of the Israeli-Hezbollah conflict to the broader Arab-Israeli tensions and the Lebanese Civil War. Scholars such as Norton (2007) highlight the role of Israel's 1982 invasion of Lebanon in catalyzing Hezbollah's formation as a Shiite resistance movement. Hezbollah's evolution into a hybrid political-military organization, with substantial backing from Iran, emphasizes its dual role in Lebanese politics and regional power dynamics. (Levitt, 2013) This historical scholarship emphasizes the deep-rooted nature of the conflict, suggesting that its persistence reflects

unresolved territorial, ideological, and identity-based disputes. The Second Lebanon War (2006) is frequently cited as a pivotal moment that intensified the conflict, shaping subsequent Israeli and Hezbollah strategies.

The historical roots of the Israeli-Hezbollah conflict create a foundation of mutual mistrust and hostility, which complicates reconciliation efforts. Hezbollah emerged in response to Israel's 1982 invasion of Lebanon and its subsequent occupation of southern Lebanon until 2000. The Shebaa Farms dispute claimed by Lebanon but occupied by Israel remains a symbolic point of contention, perpetuating the conflict. These territorial issues serve as rallying points for Hezbollah's resistance narrative and justify its militarization, while Israel views Hezbollah's military presence near its borders as an existential threat. Hezbollah's commitment to armed resistance against Israel and its opposition to the state's legitimacy fundamentally conflicts with Israel's security objectives. The ideological divide fuels hostility, making concessions politically costly for both sides. (Norton, 2007)

The regional implications of the Israeli-Hezbollah conflict are often examined through the lens of Regional Security Complex Theory (RSCT). Buzan and Wæver (2003) argue that the Middle East constitutes a tightly interwoven security complex, where local conflicts have systemic repercussions. Within this framework, the Israeli-Hezbollah conflict serves as a microcosm of broader regional rivalries, particularly the Iran-Israel and Sunni-Shia divides. Studies such as those by Hinnebusch (2013) and Mabon (2020) link the conflict to the destabilization of the Levant and the broader Arab world. They argue that Hezbollah's role as a proxy for Iran exacerbates tensions between regional powers, while Israel's military actions often draw responses from other state and non-state actors. Hezbollah continues to frame its actions as resistance against Israeli "aggression," appealing to its Shiite base and regional supporters. This narrative complicates peacebuilding by delegitimizing diplomatic solutions and framing compromise as capitulation. (Wendt, 1999) Israel's security policies, including the "campaign between wars" strategy, focus on neutralizing Hezbollah's military capabilities. While this approach addresses immediate threats, it exacerbates long-term animosity by prioritizing military solutions over political engagement. (Norton, 2021)

Conversely, Iran's financial and military support for Hezbollah has fortified its position as a regional powerbroker, complicating efforts to achieve peace. (Byman, 2005) The broader instability of the Middle East exacerbates the conflict and reduces the prospects for peace. Hezbollah's involvement in Syria's civil war on behalf of the Assad regime has further entrenched its militarization and aligned its fate with regional conflicts. (Hinnebusch, 2013) Iran provided advanced weaponry and financial support to Hezbollah, bolstering its military capabilities but also increasing its dependency on Tehran. (Gause, 2023) This alignment positioned Hezbollah as a critical player in Iran's regional strategy, complicating Israel's ability to isolate Hezbollah diplomatically or militarily. Israeli officials have repeatedly cited Hezbollah's missile arsenal reportedly exceeding 150,000 missiles as a primary security threat. The United States has intensified its support for Israel, including providing advanced missile defense systems such as Iron Dome. Conversely, Russia's involvement in Syria has indirectly supported Hezbollah by ensuring the survival of the Assad regime, a critical ally. (Levitt, 2021) These rivalries have heightened the geopolitical complexity of the conflict.

Peacebuilding is hindered by weak institutional frameworks and the limitations of international mediation efforts. United Nations Security Council Resolution 1701 (2006) sought to end hostilities between Hezbollah and Israel after the Second Lebanon War, but it failed to address the root causes of the conflict. The United Nations Interim Force in Lebanon (UNIFIL) has faced significant limitations in enforcing ceasefires or addressing underlying grievances. Its inability to prevent Hezbollah's arms smuggling or ensure Israeli compliance with international resolutions has diminished its credibility. (Fawaz, 2022) Decades of hostilities have eroded trust, with both sides perceiving peace efforts as tactical maneuvers rather than genuine attempts at resolution. For example, Israeli leaders view Hezbollah's participation in the Lebanese government as a shield for its militant activities, while Hezbollah accuses Israel of undermining Lebanon's sovereignty. (Byman, 2023)

Lebanon's political and economic collapse since 2019 has exacerbated challenges to peacebuilding. The Lebanese state's inability to provide basic services, compounded by the 2020 Beirut Port explosion, has undermined governance and created a vacuum filled by Hezbollah. Hezbollah's dual role as a political and military actor allows it to maintain influence but also ties its legitimacy to Lebanon's fragmented sectarian system. Israeli officials often cite Lebanon's instability as a justification for preemptive military actions, further alienating Lebanese citizens and entrenching hostility. (Picard, & Ramsbotham, 2023) The economic crisis has fueled migration and humanitarian crises, with international agencies warning of heightened regional instability. These conditions hinder grassroots peace initiatives and amplify sectarian divides. (World Bank Report on Lebanon's Economic Crisis, 2022)

Other studies, including Gause (2010), explore the impact of external penetration on regional security. They argue that the involvement of powers like the United States and Russia exacerbates local conflicts, often transforming them into arenas for broader geopolitical competition. The conflict has far-reaching humanitarian and socioeconomic consequences for Lebanon and the broader region. Scholars such as Picard and Ramsbotham (2012) emphasize the devastating effects of Israeli-Hezbollah hostilities on civilian populations, infrastructure, and governance in Lebanon.

The displacement of civilians and the destruction of critical infrastructure have weakened state capacity, creating fertile ground for further instability. Additionally, economic analyses by Sayigh (2019) highlight how the conflict contributes to Lebanon's financial crises and exacerbates sectarian divisions. These socioeconomic disruptions, in turn, influence regional migration patterns and security, as displaced populations and economic hardship fuel broader instability. The normalization agreements between Israel and Arab states under the Abraham Accords (2020–2021) have reshaped regional politics. While these accords isolate Hezbollah diplomatically, they also intensify Iran's support for its proxies, including Hezbollah, as a counterbalance to Israel's strengthened alliances. (Mabon, 2023) The period also saw an increase in cyberattacks and hybrid warfare, with both sides using non-traditional methods to destabilize the other. This shift complicates conflict resolution by introducing new, less visible dimensions to the conflict. (Schenker, 2023)

Finally, the conflict's implications for regional security dynamics are well-documented. Scholars such as Byman (2011) argue that the Israeli-Hezbollah conflict has become a focal point for understanding the intersection of state and non-state actors in modern warfare. The conflict underscores the growing role of asymmetric warfare and the challenges it poses to conventional military doctrines. Moreover, Levitt (2013) highlights how the conflict influences regional alliances, with Arab states increasingly aligning with Israel against shared threats from Iran and Hezbollah. This realignment marks a significant shift in Middle Eastern geopolitics, with implications for long-term regional stability.

Discussion of Major Research Findings

Studies emphasize that the Israeli-Hezbollah conflict exemplifies the use of proxy warfare in the region. Hezbollah, supported by Iran, represents a non-state actor with significant influence, challenging traditional state-centric security models. The research concludes argues that Hezbollah functions as both a Lebanese political entity and an extension of Iran's regional strategy, exacerbating regional tensions.

The conflict has incentivized other states to adopt proxy strategies, destabilizing regional power balances. Research highlights how recurrent clashes undermine Lebanon's sovereignty and internal stability. Frequent Israeli strikes weaken Lebanese state institutions and exacerbate internal divisions. Findings of how Hezbollah's dual role as a resistance movement and political entity compromises Lebanon's neutrality as both sides' military advancements have escalated regional militarization. Hezbollah's arsenal growth, including precision-guided missiles, as a reaction to Israel's technological superiority. These arms race has spillover effects, prompting other regional actors to bolster their military capabilities, fostering instability.

Studies underscore the profound humanitarian toll, including displacement, civilian casualties, and infrastructure destruction. The research provides firsthand accounts of the 2006 Lebanon War, emphasizing the conflict's disproportionate impact on civilians. These crises strain international aid systems and foster anti-Israel sentiment, complicating peace efforts. The conflict has deepened divisions between regional blocs, primarily between the Saudi-led Sunni axis and the Iran-led Shia axis. The role of Hezbollah's reinforces the sectarian polarization of the region and by implication, international actors, including the United States and Russia, have become embroiled, linking the conflict to broader strategic contests.

Recent studies focus on the role of cyberattacks and propaganda in shaping perceptions and strategies. Both Israel and Hezbollah have deployed cyber tools, broadening the conflict into the digital domain. The research highlights how the conflict undermines collective security initiatives. Failure of regional organizations like the Arab League to address the conflict effectively. These failures demonstrate the limitations of current regional security frameworks in mitigating protracted conflicts. The conflict disrupts trade routes, tourism, and economic development across the Levant. World Bank reports (2018) highlight the financial strain on Lebanon due to infrastructural damage and reduced foreign investments.

Analysts warn that the evolving capabilities of both sides could lead to a larger-scale conflict. Policy briefs from think tanks like the International Crisis Group stress the urgency of diplomatic interventions.

Gaps in Literature Review

The protracted hostilities between Israeli forces and Hezbollah have significantly influenced regional security dynamics in the Middle East. While existing literature provides substantial insights into various facets of this conflict, several critical areas remain underexplored. The 2006 Lebanon War marked a pivotal shift in the military strategies of both Israel and Hezbollah. However, there is rareness of research analyzing how these strategies have evolved in response to recent geopolitical developments, such as the Syrian civil war and shifting U.S. foreign policies. Hezbollah's role as a non-state actor with significant influence challenges traditional state-centric security paradigms. While studies have addressed Hezbollah's military capabilities and political influence, there is limited analysis on how its interactions with other non-state actors and regional alliances affect broader security dynamics. The complex relationships between Hezbollah, Iran, Syria, and other regional players warrant deeper examination to understand their collective impact on regional stability.

The internal socio-political landscape of Lebanon is deeply affected by Hezbollah's military engagements with Israel. Existing literature often focuses on the military and political aspects of Hezbollah's role, but there is a gap in understanding how these conflicts influence Lebanon's internal politics, sectarian relations, and civil society. Research exploring the domestic repercussions of Hezbollah's external military actions would provide a more comprehensive view of the conflict's impact. The insistent Israel-Hezbollah conflict has implications for the broader regional security architecture, including the roles of international organizations and external powers. While some studies touch upon immediate security concerns, there is a lack of in-depth analysis regarding the long-term effects on regional security frameworks and the potential for establishing sustainable peace mechanisms.

Both Israel and Hezbollah engage in sophisticated information warfare and media strategies to influence public perception and morale. Although there is some research on this aspect, comprehensive studies examining the evolution, effectiveness, and implications of these strategies on regional and global scales are limited. Understanding the role of media in shaping the conflict's narrative is crucial for a holistic analysis.

Conclusion and Recommendations

Conclusion

The ongoing hostilities between Israeli forces and Hezbollah have far-reaching implications for regional security dynamics in the Middle East. The persistent state of conflict exacerbates instability across the region, undermines the prospects for peace, and fosters an environment conducive to extremist ideologies. This enduring hostility has amplified sectarian tensions, intensified geopolitical rivalries, and hindered socio-economic development.

Moreover, the conflict's transnational repercussions, such as refugee flows, arms proliferation, and economic disruptions, further complicate the regional security landscape. It disrupts the delicate balance of power, influences the strategic calculations of external state actors, and perpetuates cycles of violence. The lack of resolution highlights the inadequacy of current conflict mediation mechanisms and the challenges posed by entrenched ideological divides and mutual distrust.

Recommendations

- **Multilateral Diplomatic Initiatives:** Regional and international stakeholders, including the United Nations, the Arab League, and the European Union, should intensify diplomatic efforts to mediate dialogue between Israel and Hezbollah.
- **Strengthening Conflict Prevention Mechanisms:** Establishing robust early-warning systems and enhancing the role of peacekeeping missions.
- **Economic and Humanitarian Support:** To mitigate the socio-economic fallout of the conflict, international organizations should prioritize economic development programs and humanitarian aid in affected regions, fostering resilience and reducing the recruitment pool for extremist groups.
- **Addressing Root Causes:** Efforts must focus on addressing the root causes of the conflict, such as territorial disputes, ideological divergences, and security concerns.
- **Regional Cooperation on Security:** Promoting regional security dialogue involving Israel, Lebanon, and neighboring states could reduce mutual suspicions and encourage collective efforts to counter shared threats, such as terrorism and organized crime.
- **Engaging Civil Society:** Empowering civil society organizations in Lebanon and Israel to advocate for peace and reconciliation can help bridge divides at the grassroots level, fostering a culture of coexistence and tolerance.
- **Countering External Interference:** Regional and global powers must exercise restraint in their involvement, refraining from actions that exacerbate tensions. Instead, they should support inclusive, locally driven solutions to the conflict.

Reference

Alagha, J. (2011). *Hizbullah's Identity Construction*. Amsterdam University Press.

Buzan, B., & Wæver, O. (2003). *Regions and Powers: The Structure of International Security*. Cambridge University Press;

Byman, D. (2005). *Deadly Connections: States That Sponsor Terrorism*. Cambridge University Press;

Byman, D. (2011). *A High Price: The Triumphs and Failures of Israeli Counterterrorism*. Oxford University Press;

Byman, D. (2023). *A High Price: The Triumphs and Failures of Israeli Counterterrorism*. Oxford University Press.

Cobban, H. (1984). *The Palestinian Liberation Organisation: People, Power, and Politics*. Cambridge University Press;

- Farrar, Straus and Giroux; Gause, F. G. (2010). *The International Relations of the Persian Gulf*. Cambridge University Press.
- Fawaz, M. (2009). "Hezbollah's Military Role in Lebanon's Crisis." *Middle East Journal*, 63(1), 1-26;
- Fawaz, M. (2022). "UNIFIL's Role in the Israeli-Hezbollah Conflict." *Middle East Journal*, 76(4), 112-130.
- Gause, F. G. (2010). *The International Relations of the Persian Gulf*. Cambridge University Press;
- Gause, F. G. (2023). "Iran, Israel, and the U.S.: A New Middle Eastern Triangle." *Foreign Affairs*, 102(1), 20-30.
- Hinnebusch, R. (2003). *The International Politics of the Middle East*. Manchester University Press;
- Hinnebusch, R. (2013). *The International Politics of the Middle East*. Manchester University Press.
- Holmes & Meier; Sayigh, Y. (2019). "The Collapse of the Lebanese State: Implications for Regional Security." *Carnegie Middle East Center*.
- Inbar, E. (2017). *Israel's National Security: Issues and Challenges Since the Yom Kippur War*. Routledge;
- Levitt, M. (2013). *Hezbollah: The Global Footprint of Lebanon's Party of God*. Georgetown University Press.
- Levitt, M. (2021). "Hezbollah's Growing Role in Regional Conflicts." *The Washington Institute for Near East Policy*.
- Mabon, S. (2023). *Shifting Sands: Sectarianism and Conflict in the Middle East*. Manchester University Press.
- Mearsheimer, J., & Walt, S. (2007). *The Israel Lobby and U.S. Foreign Policy*.
- Norton, A. R. (2007). *Hezbollah: A Short History*. Princeton University Press;
- Norton, A. R. (2021). "The Ideological Landscape of Hezbollah and Its Regional Impact." *Journal of Middle Eastern Politics*.
- Picard, E., & Ramsbotham, O. (2012). *Lebanon: A Shattered Country*.
- Picard, E., & Ramsbotham, O. (2023). *Lebanon's Collapse: Implications for Regional Security*. Holmes & Meier.

Quandt, W. B. (2005). *Peace Process: American Diplomacy and the Arab-Israeli Conflict Since 1967*. University of California Press;

Schenker, D. (2006). "The Second Lebanon War." *The Washington Institute for Near East Policy*.

Schenker, D. (2022). "Maritime Disputes and Regional Stability in the Eastern Mediterranean." *Middle East Policy*, 29(2), 55-70.

Schenker, D. (2023). "Cyber Dimensions of the Hezbollah-Israel Conflict." *Journal of Cybersecurity Studies*.

UNIFIL Reports on Southern Lebanon, 2021–2023.

Waltz, K. (1979). *Theory of International Politics*. McGraw-Hill;

Wendt, A. (1999). *Social Theory of International Politics*. Cambridge University Press;

World Bank Report on Lebanon's Economic Crisis, 2022.