



**TRAUMA-INFORMED SOCIAL WORK: A BIBLIOMETRIC EXPLORATION OF
RESEARCH TRENDS AND DEVELOPMENTS IN FORENSIC SOCIAL WORK**

Dana RAD

Faculty of Educational Sciences, Aurel Vlaicu University of Arad

dana@xhouse.ro

Remus RUNCAN

Faculty of Educational Sciences, Aurel Vlaicu University of Arad

remus.runcan@uav.ro

Csaba KISS*

Faculty of Psychology and Educational Sciences, Hyperion University of Bucharest

kiss.csaba@expertpoligraf.ro

Abstract

Integrating ideas of safety, trust, cooperation, and empowerment across many practice areas, trauma-informed interventions have become a necessary paradigm in social work. Research trends, thematic clusters, and citation impact are examined in this bibliometric analysis of 227 records taken from the Web of Science Core Collection under the terms "trauma-informed strategies" AND "social work". Trauma and adverse childhood events, trauma-informed care and psychological interventions, post-traumatic stress disorder and mental health, and gender, social determinants, and public health were four thematic clusters found by a co-occurrence analysis of 2568 keywords using VOSviewer reflecting main research directions. The study emphasizes the changing trauma-informed social work practices, the field's multidisciplinary character, and the growing focus on evidence-based interventions. Results highlight the need of including trauma-informed ideas into frontline social work practice, policy formation, and educational process. This study provides insights for practitioners, researchers, and legislators trying to improve trauma-sensitive interventions, so helping to deepen knowledge of trauma-informed research paths.

Keywords: *trauma-informed care, social work practice, bibliometric analysis, evidence-based interventions, community and clinical trauma strategies.*

* Corresponding Author.

Introduction

Trauma-informed care (TIC) is being more and more included into social work's basic framework for practice, policy, and education. This change reflects a rising awareness that trauma is not only an individual experience but a public health issue with substantial social, psychological, and institutional consequences (Levenson, 2020). Seeking to minimize the long-term effects of adverse childhood events (ACEs), systematic oppression, and structural inequalities (Baird & Alaggia, 2021), trauma-informed social work comprises a comprehensive approach that stresses safety, trust, collaboration, empowerment, and cultural responsiveness. Social work practitioners, teachers, and legislators need to interact with evidence-based practices that improve resilience, well-being, and social justice for people and communities impacted by trauma as the field develops.

Trauma-informed conceptualization have been extensively investigated in many fields, including therapeutic therapies, group work, social policy, education, and community-based services (Beck et al., 2022). Trauma-informed strategies underline in clinical contexts relational safety, emotional regulation, and client empowerment, therefore lowering the danger of re-trauma and promoting healing connections (Mirick, Bridger, & McCauley, 2024). Trauma-sensitive group projects, for example, have been demonstrated to produce encouraging settings in which people may process events, acquire coping mechanisms, and promote social connectivity (Baird & Alaggia, 2021). Beyond direct practice, social work education has also modified trauma-informed teaching approaches to equip future practitioners with the abilities needed to interact ethically and successfully with trauma-impacted communities (Goodwin & Tiderington, 2022).

Particularly in underprivileged and historically persecuted groups, a rising corpus of studies emphasizes the interactions among trauma, socioeconomic determinants of health, and systematic inequalities. Trauma is profoundly entwined with events of racial discrimination, economic uncertainty, housing insecurity, and historical oppression; it does not live in a vacuum (Hong, 2023). Trauma-informed social work in this setting addresses structural violence and promotes systemic change in addition to direct care delivery. This viewpoint conforms with the ideas of anti-oppressive practice and social justice, which demand interventions transcending the personal level to question institutionalized discrepancies in criminal justice systems, education, and healthcare (Davis et al., 2022).

School and community environments are among the most important trauma-informed social work environments where practitioners significantly help to develop resilience and early

intervention techniques (Davis et al., 2022). As teachers, social workers, and mental health experts team to build environments that promote students' emotional and psychological well-being, schools are progressively seen as main venues for trauma-sensitive therapies. Trauma-informed school programs, according to research, can help students who have gone through hardship feel like they belong, lower behavioral problems, and increase academic involvement (Davis et al., 2022).

Moreover, community-based trauma treatments are becoming more and more important parts of public health and social work approaches. Trauma is not only a personal affliction but also a shared experience requiring multilevel treatments addressing both immediate and long-term effects (Beck et al., 2022). Developing thorough support networks for trauma-impacted people and communities has been successful with combined efforts among social workers, healthcare professionals, law enforcement, and advocacy groups (Levenson, 2020).

Although trauma therapies at the individual and community levels are vital, studies highlight the need of policy-driven methods including trauma-informed ideas into more general institutional and governmental structures (Beck et al., 2022). Trauma-informed policies are being embraced by states and local governments in child welfare, criminal justice, healthcare, and housing services more and more. By including trauma-sensitive training, lowering punitive reactions, and supporting strengths-based interventions that give healing first priority over punishment, these programs hope to change organizational cultures (Levenson, 2020; Marici, 2015a; Marici, 2015b).

Even with great progress, it is still difficult to translate trauma-informed ideas into environmentally friendly policies and methods. Many institutions deal with staff fatigue, funding constraints, and resistance to systemic change, thereby underlining the need of continuous education, multidisciplinary cooperation, and policy advocacy (Goodwin & Tiderington, 2022). More empirical study is also desperately needed to evaluate the long-term success of trauma-informed treatments in many contexts and groups (Mirick, Bridger, & McCauley, 2024).

A rigorous bibliometric study is necessary to map the intellectual structure, research trends, and theme advances in the area given the growing corpus of trauma-informed social work. Focusing on co-occurrence networks, citation impact, and research clusters, this work uses bibliometric approaches to evaluate 227 records obtained from the Web of Science Core Collection. The results will offer insightful analysis of new trends, significant works, and future directions of research in trauma-informed social work.

Our goal in doing this bibliometric study is to advance knowledge of how trauma-informed approaches have developed in social work practice, education, policy, and community interventions. Scholars, practitioners, and legislators dedicated to advancing evidence-based trauma interventions and fostering resilience across many populations will find a basic resource in this work.

Literature review

Over the past two decades, trauma-informed social work has changed dramatically and now combines multidisciplinary approaches to improve clinical practice, education, child welfare, community interventions, and mental health care. Research emphasizes the need of comprehensive, evidence-based models that recognize the prevalence of trauma and its effects on people, families, and more general social systems (Bunting et al., 2019). Trauma-informed care (TIC), which goes beyond direct service delivery to include organizational change, policy reform, and systemic implementation, is highlighted in the literature as having multiple layered layers (Levenson, Craig, & Austin, 2023).

Trauma-informed approaches across important spheres of social work practice—child welfare and protective services, trauma-informed pedagogy and educational environments, mental health and clinical interventions, and institutional and forensic applications—are synthesized in this review under trauma-informed approaches. These fields offer insight into best practices, challenges, and future directions in the field, so reflecting the central themes in modern research.

Given the great frequency of adverse childhood events (ACEs), neglect, and abuse among children in care (Bunting et al., 2019; Marici et al., 2023; Marici et al., 2024), the child welfare system is among the most important areas for trauma-informed treatments. Trauma-responsive child welfare systems, according to research, prioritize relational safety, emotional regulation, and strengths-based case management (Lotty, Kearns, & Frederico, 2024), hence improving long-term results. Bunting et al. (2019) in their systematic review show how including trauma-informed ideas into child protective services results in lower placement instability, better relationships between caregivers and children, and better mental health support for young people and children.

Equipping future social workers with trauma-informed competencies depends critically on graduate education as also emphasizing the need of practical application, multidisciplinary collaboration, and reflective supervision, Lotty, Kearns, and Frederico (2024) looked at the

efficacy of trauma-informed training programs in social work education. Their results imply that including trauma-informed ideas into social work courses improves practitioners' capacity to identify and treat trauma in many kinds of service environments.

Recognizing that schools are vital settings for early intervention and resilience-building, trauma-informed techniques are being used more and more in learning contexts (Garcia & Sprang, 2023). Studies on trauma-sensitive school environments have shown that among students who have gone through trauma, they lower behavioral problems, improve emotional control, and increase academic engagement (Davis et al., 2022). With Garcia and Sprang (2023) stressing the need of organizational change, staff training, and policy alignment in creating safe and supportive learning environments, the question of how school leaders might foster trauma-informed systems has been much discussed.

Because they interact directly with trauma-affected students, teachers themselves also go through secondary traumatic stress (STS). Sprang and Garcia (2022) explored the frequency of STS among school workers, finding that institutional supports, professional development, and trauma-informed leadership play key roles in minimizing burnout and increasing educators' mental well-being.

Trauma-informed teaching approaches are also being used by higher education institutions to assist faculty members in social work and healthcare fields as well as students (Arbour, Walker, & Houston, 2024%). Trauma-informed pedagogy gives psychological safety, student-centered learning, and flexibility top priority, so ensuring that academic settings promote empowerment rather than retraumatization.

Trauma-informed approaches underline in clinical social work the biopsychosocial effects of trauma and support comprehensive, client-centered, and culturally sensitive treatments (Levenson, Craig, & Austin, 2023). Trauma-informed clinical practice is particularly relevant in mental health services, where clients with histories of trauma often present with co-occurring conditions such as post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), substance use disorders, depression, and anxiety (Polmanteer, Keefe, & Brownstein-Evans, 2019).

Polmanteer, Keefe, and Brownstein-Evans (2019) established a trauma-informed conceptual framework for assisting women diagnosed with postpartum depression, highlighting the role of relational care, self-efficacy, and emotional regulation in healing from perinatal trauma. Similarly, trauma-informed care has been widely utilized in mental health and addiction recovery services, arguing for non-coercive, empowerment-based treatment methods that prioritize client autonomy (Ross, 2020).

One of the most crucial areas of research is the interaction of trauma and suicide prevention in social work practice. Emphasizing the need of compassionate, non-pathologizing, and safety-oriented interventions, Mirick, Bridger, and McCauley (2022) investigated trauma-informed approaches to working with persons having suicidal thoughts. Their research emphasizes the need of relational trust and cooperative safety planning, which are basic in avoiding suicidal crises among populations traumatized by trauma.

Institutions including prisons, forensic investigations, and hospitals are also including trauma-informed approaches. The healthcare sector is increasingly adopting trauma-informed care (TIC) principles to enhance patient-provider interactions and minimize the risk of re-traumatization in clinical environments (Couzner et al., 2022). Couzner et al. (2022), for example, looked at how trauma-informed practices were applied in an older adult dementia hospital ward and found that staff training, environmental changes, and relationship-based care models greatly enhanced staff well-being and patient outcomes.

Another important field where trauma-informed techniques are changing investigative and intervention techniques is forensic social work. Widely researched trauma-informed forensic child maltreatment investigations have been conducted; Pence (2011) supports victim-centered approaches, court advocacy, and specialized training for investigators to guarantee moral and efficient legal procedures. Similarly, Fink-Samnack (2022) explored the concept of collective occupational trauma, emphasizing how trauma-informed leadership in forensic and medical institutions can reduce secondary trauma exposure among professionals while improving service delivery.

While the evidence largely supports the effectiveness of trauma-informed therapies, significant obstacles and research gaps exist. First, scalability and sustainability of trauma-informed therapies continue to be important challenges, as many institutions lack funds, staff training, and policy framework to effectively implement TIC principles (Bunting et al., 2019). Second, assessing the long-term effects of trauma-informed care remains hard, demanding additional strong, longitudinal research to determine effectiveness across varied populations (Levenson, Craig, & Austin, 2023).

Additionally, research highlights the need for intersectional trauma-informed approaches, particularly in addressing racial, gender, and socioeconomic disparities in trauma exposure and recovery (Hong, 2023). Future research should concentrate on culturally sensitive TIC models, thereby ensuring that trauma-informed social work methods remain inclusive, flexible, and equitable (Sprang & Garcia, 2022).

Trauma-informed social work spanning child welfare, education, mental health, and forensic environments has a thorough basis thanks in great part to the body of current knowledge. Trauma-sensitive techniques have shown promise, but ongoing work is required to improve best practices, increase multidisciplinary cooperation, and remove institutional obstacles. Building on this corpus of knowledge, this bibliometric analysis maps developing patterns, seminal publications, and future directions of research to guide trauma-informed social work policy, practice, and scholarship.

Methodology

This study applies bibliometric analysis to closely assess the evolution, thematic structure, and research trends in trauma-informed social work. Bibliometric approaches are generally recognized as a quantitative tool to mapping the intellectual landscape of a certain research topic, including insights on publishing patterns, citation networks, keyword co-occurrence, and research clusters (Donthu et al., 2021). By examining peer-reviewed scholarly literature, this study tries to identify major authors, prominent works, theme trends, and new research paths in trauma-informed social work.

To ensure a comprehensive and high-quality dataset, this study acquired scholarly records from the Web of Science Core Collection, a globally renowned database for high-impact peer-reviewed research. The search was conducted using the following Boolean search query: "trauma-informed strategies" AND "social work"

This query was aimed to include research that explicitly engages with trauma-informed approaches within the field of social work, including studies on clinical practice, child welfare, education, community-based treatments, forensic applications, and policy formation. The search was not restricted by publication date to ensure that both seminal publications and recent improvements were included.

A total of 227 records were obtained from Web of Science, covering scholarly articles, reviews, conference proceedings, and book chapters. These records were fully downloaded in a structured format for bibliometric analysis using VOSviewer (Van Eck & Waltman, 2010).

One of the key objectives of this study was to uncover common themes and conceptual structures in trauma-informed social work research. To do this, a co-occurrence analysis of terms was conducted using VOSviewer, a specialized software tool for visualizing bibliometric networks.

Trauma-informed care and psychological interventions (green cluster)

Research on trauma-informed care, therapeutic techniques, and intervention strategies forms the green cluster. Trauma-informed treatment emerged 89 times with a total link strength of 543, suggesting its frequency in the body of knowledge. As a fundamental paradigm for mental health and social work approaches, this idea has become somewhat popular (Davis et al., 2022). Trauma-informed care stresses a comprehensive, person-centered approach that tries to identify and react to trauma with sensitivity, therefore promoting resilience and recovery (Hong, 2023). Among the highly mentioned phrases in this cluster are mental health (104 occurrences), interventions (52 occurrences), and resilience (31 occurrences). These terminologies are somewhat common since academic and practical attention on including trauma-sensitive models in social services, education, and healthcare is growing (Beck et al., 2022). Furthermore, studies indicate that trauma-informed treatments not only improve patient outcomes but also professional well-being, hence lowering practitioner burn-out (Bunting et al., 2019).

Post-traumatic stress disorder and mental health (blue cluster)

Mostly, the blue cluster consists of studies on PTSD and its psychological equivalents. With a total link strength of 544, the term posttraumatic stress disorder has 75 occurrences and is rather strong in the literature. With much research on its etiology, symptomatology, and treatment approaches, PTSD remains one of the most investigated mental health disorders associated to trauma (Lotty, Kearns, & Frederico, 2024).

Related ideas include depression (41 occurrences), symptoms (31 occurrences), and psychiatric diseases (6 occurrences) underline even more the clear connection between trauma experience and mental health issues. Trauma, especially in childhood, has been linked in many studies to an increased incidence of mood disorders, anxiety disorders, and other psychiatric illnesses (Arbour, Walker, & Houston, 2024). Moreover, the often-used word "cognitive-behavioral therapy" (58 instances) emphasizes how successful evidence-based treatments are in trauma treatment (Garcia & Sprang, 2023).

Gender, social determinants, and public health (yellow cluster)

The yellow cluster mostly addresses social and structural elements affecting trauma outcomes including gender inequalities, homelessness, and social justice concerns. Emphasizing the gendered character of trauma experiences, women (77 occurrences, average pub. year 2020).

221) became a major subject. Studies repeatedly show that several types of trauma, including intimate partner violence, sexual assault, and childhood abuse, disproportionately affect women, therefore gender-sensitive therapeutic techniques are especially important (Sprang & Garcia, 2022).

Furthermore, highlighting the increasing public awareness of trauma as a public health and social justice concern are health equity (5 instances, average citations 58.0) and structural racism (5 instances, average pub. year 2023.2). Trauma-informed policies that remove structural obstacles have been advocated by studies increasingly looking at how systematic inequalities contribute to different trauma exposure and access to treatment (Levenson, Craig, & Austin, 2023).

The overlay visualization (Figure 2) maps the temporal evolution of research themes from 2019 to 2023, providing insights into emerging areas of interest. Notably, recent research (2022-2023) has increasingly focused on equity (2022.333), social justice (2021.333), and trauma-informed therapies (2021.4), reflecting a move towards systemic and policy-level discourse on trauma (Polmanteer, Keefe, & Brownstein-Evans, 2019).

Additionally, the increased interest in childhood adversity (2022.8) and early intervention (2023.143) aligns with modern initiatives to minimize long-term trauma effects through preventative strategies (Ross, 2020). Mental health-related keywords including psychiatric diseases (2020.833) and PTSD symptoms (2022) have also stayed consistent, underlining the continuous focus on comprehending and treating the psychological effects of trauma experience (Loomis & Rose, 2024).

Key word citation effect of the dataset provides insightful analysis of the academic influence and scholarly attention paid to different study areas in trauma studies. Particularly in relation to access to mental health services and disparities in treatment outcomes, the prominence of health equity (average citations: 58.0) and psychiatric diseases (37.67 citations per paper) highlights the great interest in the junction of trauma and systematic inequality. This aligns with broader discussions on social determinants of mental health and the necessity of integrating trauma-informed approaches in policy and healthcare settings.

Furthermore, the citation patterns of systematic reviews (23 average citations) and preventive studies (31.52 average citations) show the rising dependence on meta-analytical and preventative research frameworks in trauma study (Couzner et al., 2022). These studies play a pivotal role in consolidating evidence-based interventions, reinforcing the effectiveness of

early intervention strategies, and advocating for policy reforms aimed at mitigating long-term trauma effects.

Moreover, reflecting a growing scholarly attention on the intergenerational and occupational effects of trauma exposure is posttraumatic stress (29.17 average citations) and secondary trauma (43.8 average citations). The high rates of secondary trauma cited point to a growing conversation among professionals in high-stress fields including education, social work, and healthcare about vicarious trauma. These results underline even more the need of trauma-informed approaches for practitioners and institutions assigned with care and assistance as well as for those personally impacted by trauma.

The patterns of citation highlight, overall, the multidisciplinary character of trauma research by linking psychological, social, and policy-oriented points of view. The ongoing focus on trauma-informed methods in many disciplines shows how urgently thorough, evidence-based plans are needed to handle trauma at both personal and institutional levels.

Discussions

The results of this bibliometric study highlight how increasingly trauma-informed approaches are becoming important in social work practice, education, policy, and community interventions. The field is shown to be multidisciplinary by the identification of four thematic clusters: trauma-informed care in clinical social work, trauma-sensitive pedagogies in social work education, policy and systemic approaches to trauma intervention, and trauma-informed strategies in community and healthcare settings. Every cluster shows unique but related domains that add to a whole knowledge of trauma-informed social work.

The predominance of trauma and adverse childhood events (ACEs) in the dataset corresponds with a large body of research proving the great and long-lasting effect of childhood adversity on psychological, social, and physical well-being (Baird & Alaggia, 2021; Levenson, 2020). The great frequency of trauma (171 instances) and its wide co-occurrence network highlight its central relevance in the field. Studies have repeatedly connected ACEs to higher rates of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), depression, substance use disorders, and chronic health issues (Davis et al., 2022). The results support the need of trauma-informed frameworks addressing childhood adversity through early intervention techniques and policy-driven changes (Lotty, Kearns, & Frederico, 2024).

Focused on trauma-informed treatment and psychological therapies, the green cluster draws attention to the growing integration of trauma-sensitive approaches into therapeutic

environments. Resilience, cognitive-behavioral therapy, and interventions are among the highly prevalent mental health-related terms that point to a change toward evidence-based practices meant to promote recovery and lower re-traumatization (Beck et al., 2022). From individual therapy to institutional policy, trauma-informed care is clearly applicable in many service delivery models, as acknowledged by social workers as a fundamental principle (Hong, 2023). Studies underline in trauma-informed treatments the need of client empowerment, relational safety, and emotional control (Mirick, Bridger, & McCauley, 2024).

Centered on PTSD and mental health research, the blue cluster adds even more evidence of the well-documented connection between trauma exposure and psychiatric disorders. The regular occurrence of PTSD (75 references) and related psychological discomfort indicators (depression, symptoms, and psychiatric diseases) emphasizes the need of focused treatments addressing both the acute and long-term consequences of trauma exposure (Arbour, Walker, & Houston, 2024). To improve coping strategies and emotional resilience, trauma-informed mental health treatments have progressively embraced cognitive-behavioral and mindfulness-based techniques (Garcia & Sprang, 2023). These results support the need of trauma-informed clinical social work in reducing the negative psychological consequences of trauma (Polmanteer, Keefe, & Brownstein-Evans, 2019).

Reflecting a rising awareness of trauma as both an individual and structural problem, the yellow cluster addresses gender, socioeconomic determinants, and public health. The prominence of research on women (77 occurrences) and health equity (avg. citations: 58.0) suggests that trauma-informed care is increasingly examined through an intersectional lens (Sprang & Garcia, 2022). Studies have shown that gendered trauma experiences, such as intimate partner violence and childhood sexual abuse, necessitate specialized intervention strategies that incorporate trauma-sensitive approaches (Levenson, Craig, & Austin, 2023). Additionally, the presence of terms such as structural racism and social justice within this cluster highlights the systemic dimensions of trauma, reinforcing the need for policy reforms that address racial disparities, housing instability, and access to trauma-informed mental health services (Hong, 2023).

The temporal analysis of trauma-related research (Figure 2) further illustrates the evolving nature of trauma-informed social work. Recent years have witnessed an increasing focus on social justice (2021.333), equity (2022.333), and systemic interventions (2021.4), indicating a shift towards policy-driven approaches that integrate trauma-informed principles at an organizational and governmental level (Davis et al., 2022). Early intervention (2023.143) and

childhood adversity (2022.8) continue to receive scholarly attention, aligning with initiatives to integrate trauma-sensitive methods in educational and community-based contexts (Ross, 2020). The sustained presence of PTSD symptoms (2022) and psychiatric disorders (2020.833) within the dataset further underscores the enduring impact of trauma exposure and the necessity of specialized mental health interventions (Loomis & Rose, 2024).

The citation impact analysis provides additional insights into the influence of numerous research themes. Highly cited phrases including health equity (58.0 avg. citations) and psychiatric disorders (37.67 avg. citations) highlight the relevance of systematic and mental health-oriented trauma research (Keesler & Isham, 2017). The increasing reliance on meta-analytical and preventative frameworks in trauma research (Couzner et al., 2022) is shown by the frequency of systematic reviews (23 average citations) and prevention studies (31.52 average citations). Moreover, the predominance of secondary trauma (43.8 average citations) implies an increasing awareness of vicarious trauma among social workers, teachers, and healthcare professionals, therefore requiring institutional supports and self-care techniques (Pence, 2011).

Conclusions

In trauma-informed social work, this bibliometric study offers a whole picture of research trends, thematic clusters, and citation impact. Through a mapping of the intellectual terrain of the field, this study emphasizes the multidisciplinary character of trauma research and its application over several social work spheres, including clinical practice, education, policy, and community interventions. The results highlight the growing acceptance of trauma-informed treatment as a basic framework for treating related mental health disorders, post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), and adverse childhood experiences (ACEs).

A major finding of the study is the increasing focus on social determinants of health, gender inequalities, and systematic interventions which support the need of include trauma-sensitive treatments at both micro (individual and clinical) and macro (policy and institutional) levels. This change fits more general attempts to create more inclusive and fair service delivery models addressing structural disparities causing trauma exposure. In trauma-informed practice, policymakers, practitioners, and teachers have to keep honing and using evidence-based strategies that build resilience, reduce re-trauma, and advance social justice.

The citation impact study emphasizes in trauma-informed research the growing dependence on systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and policy-driven interventions as essential components.

High citation rates for studies on health equity, secondary trauma, and preventative interventions point to a continuous scholarly attention on the effectiveness of trauma-informed treatment over many groups and environments. Particularly in high-risk and underprivileged communities, future studies should give long-term impact of trauma-informed interventions top priority. Furthermore, required are more exact experimental and quasi-experimental designs to prove causality and optimal guidelines for trauma-sensitive treatments.

This study has various limitations even if it presents a wide view on trauma-informed social work research. First, the study mostly relied on Web of Science Core Collection, which although thorough could overlook pertinent studies from other databases including Scopus, PsycINFO, or PubMed. To improve the inclusiveness of the bibliometric dataset, future studies ought to combine several databases.

Second, bibliometric analysis mostly addresses quantitative citation measures, which do not always correspond with the theoretical depth or pragmatic influence of a study. Although co-occurrence and citation networks offer insightful analysis of research trends, they do not adequately reflect subtle qualitative changes in trauma-informed social work including creative practice models, cultural adaptations, or ethical conundrums in implementation. Key publication qualitative content analysis could augment bibliometric results and provide a better knowledge of developing trauma-informed paradigms.

Geographic representation still limits us as well. The dataset is predominantly composed of Western-centric research, limiting insights into how trauma-informed social work is conceptualized and practiced in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs). Expanding bibliometric analyses to incorporate non-English-language studies and region-specific databases would offer a more globalized understanding of trauma-informed interventions.

Given the evolving nature of trauma-informed social work, several critical areas warrant further investigation to enhance the effectiveness, sustainability, and accessibility of trauma-sensitive interventions. One essential area of inquiry is the effectiveness of trauma-informed interventions across various service settings, including child welfare, correctional facilities, healthcare, and crisis response systems. Future studies should examine how these interventions translate across different environments, identifying sector-specific challenges and refining intervention models to optimize outcomes for diverse populations. Comparative research across these domains will provide valuable insights into the adaptability and scalability of trauma-informed approaches.

Another essential avenue for exploration is the cross-disciplinary integration of trauma-informed practices. While social work has been a driving force in the adoption of trauma-sensitive frameworks, interdisciplinary collaboration with public health, psychology, education, and criminal justice is vital for maximizing the impact of trauma-informed policies and interventions. Future research should focus on developing integrated care models that merge trauma-informed principles with healthcare interventions, restorative justice initiatives, and social policy reforms. By fostering interdisciplinary partnerships, social work practitioners can expand the reach and effectiveness of trauma-sensitive strategies.

The role of trauma-informed leadership and organizational change is also an important field of investigation. Institutional resistance and staff exhaustion remain significant hurdles to the widespread deployment of trauma-informed care. More study is needed to examine how corporate culture, professional training programs, and governmental demands influence the longevity of trauma-sensitive practices. Studies should study how leadership tactics, institutional support mechanisms, and systemic reforms might build an atmosphere where trauma-informed concepts become incorporated in the basic operations of social care agencies and institutions.

With the increasing reliance on technology in healthcare and social services, digital and technological advancements in trauma-informed treatment demand more research. The advent of telemedicine, digital therapies, and AI-driven mental health applications presents prospects for boosting the accessibility and efficiency of trauma-sensitive services. Future study should investigate how trauma-informed concepts can be effectively integrated into digital platforms to guarantee that technology-based interventions maintain the essential ideals of safety, trust, and empowerment. Examining the ethical implications and potential limitations of digital trauma-informed care is also vital.

Furthermore, cultural and contextual modifications of trauma-informed techniques are important to maintain the relevance of these frameworks across varied groups. Many trauma-informed models are developed in Western contexts, yet trauma manifests differently across cultural, historical, and geopolitical landscapes. Contextually appropriate trauma-informed treatments specifically for Indigenous people, refugee populations, and areas of conflict should be investigated in future research. More inclusive and successful therapeutic models will result from an awareness of how cultural beliefs, past trauma, and systematic inequalities impact trauma reactions.

Standardized evaluation measures are ultimately desperately needed to assess trauma-informed care results. Trauma-sensitive models are becoming more and more popular, although evaluating their long-term effects still presents difficulties. Future studies should concentrate on creating validated instruments and measuring systems that direct evidence-based practice and guarantee that trauma-informed treatment produces quantifiable changes in social functioning, resilience, and well-being. Refining best practices, improving policy advocacy, and increasing responsibility in trauma-informed social work all depend on solid evaluation techniques being established.

This study methodically analyzes research trends, thematic clusters, and scholarly impact to add to the continuing conversation on trauma-informed social work. While trauma-informed principles have gained widespread recognition, challenges remain in ensuring sustainable implementation, policy alignment, and equitable access to trauma-sensitive care. Future research must continue to refine best practices, enhance interdisciplinary collaborations, and advocate for trauma-informed policies that promote healing, empowerment, and social justice at both individual and systemic levels.

Fostering a more resilient, fair, and compassionate response to trauma across many communities depends on bridging the gap between theory, research, and practice as trauma-informed social work develops.

References

- Ali, S., Stanton, M., & McCormick, K. (2023). The landscape of trauma-informed care in community-based HIV service organizations in the United States south. *AIDS Care*, 35(2), 244-248.
- Arbour, M., Walker, K., & Houston, J. (2024). Trauma-informed pedagogy: Instructional strategies to support student success. *Journal of Midwifery & Women's Health*, 69(1), 25-32.
- Baird, S. L., & Alaggia, R. (2021). Trauma-informed groups: Recommendations for group work practice. *Clinical Social Work Journal*, 49(1), 10-19.
- Beck, E., Carmichael, D., Blanton, S., Bride, B., Mobley, A., & DiGirolamo, A. (2022). Toward a trauma-informed state: An exploration of a training collaborative. *Traumatology*, 28(4), 471-479.
- Bosk, E. A., & Richards, S. (2016). Building agency capacity for trauma-informed evidence-based practice and field instruction. *Journal of Evidence-Informed Social Work*, 13(2), 179-197.

- Boska, E. A. (2023). Creating a parallel process: A new methodological framework for conducting Trauma-Informed Evaluation and Research (TIER) in mental health settings. *Evaluation and Program Planning*, 97, 102229.
- Bunting, L., Montgomery, L., Mooney, S., MacDonald, M., Coulter, S., Hayes, D., & Davidson, G. (2019). Trauma-informed child welfare systems: A rapid evidence review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 16(13), 2365.
- Couzner, L., Spence, N., Fausto, K., Huo, Y., Vale, L., Elkins, S., Saltis, J., & Cations, M. (2022). Delivering trauma-informed care in a hospital ward for older adults with dementia: An illustrative case series. *Frontiers in Rehabilitation Sciences*, 3, 934099.
- Dal Santo, L. C., et al. (2024). The role of field education in preparing social work students for trauma-informed care. *Journal of Social Work Education*, 60(3), 310-333.
- Davis, W., Petrovic, L., Whalen, K., Danna, L., Zeigler, K., Brewton, A., Joseph, M., Baker, C. N., & Overstreet, S. (2022). Centering trauma-informed approaches in schools within a social justice framework. *Psychology in the Schools*, 59(12), 2453-2470.
- Duggal, C., et al. (2025). Trauma-informed teaching practices: An online pilot program. *International Journal of School & Educational Psychology*.
- Fink-Samnack, E. (2022). Collective occupational trauma, health care quality, and trauma-informed leadership intersections and implications. *Professional Case Management*, 27(3), 107-123.
- Garcia, A., & Sprang, G. (2023). The role of school leaders in cultivating a trauma-informed school climate. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 146, 106816. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.childyouth.2023.106816>
- Goodwin, J., & Tiderington, E. (2022). Building trauma-informed research competencies in social work education. *Social Work Education*, 41(2), 143-156.
- Hong, S. H. Y. (2023). Trauma-informed cultural humility mental health practice: Centering history among African American women. *Social Work*, 69(1), 64-72.
- Hunsley, J. L., Crawley, R. D., & Styffe, E. J. (2022). Effectiveness of a trauma-informed intervention in a deinstitutionalization programme in rural Rwanda. *Child & Family Social Work*, 27(2), 287-298.
- Jemal, A. (2024). Critical clinical social work practice: Pathways to healing from the molecular to the macro. *Clinical Social Work Journal*, 52(3), 229-244.
- Jiang, F., Liu, Y. Q., Niu, H. R., Du, S. X., Gong, L. W., et al. (2024). Long-term impacts of socioeconomic status and childhood trauma on depression in older adults: Social work strategies. *Social Work in Health Care*, 63(8-10), 518-537.
- Keesler, J. M., & Isham, C. (2017). Trauma-informed day services: An initial conceptualization and preliminary assessment. *Journal of Policy and Practice in Intellectual Disabilities*, 14(2), 164-175.
- Kelly, P., Saab, M. M., Hurley, E. J., Heffernan, S., Goodwin, J., et al. (2023). Trauma-informed interventions to reduce seclusion, restraint, and restrictive practices amongst staff caring for children and adolescents with challenging behaviors: A systematic review. *Journal of Child & Adolescent Trauma*, 16(3), 629-647.

- Levenson, J. (2020). Translating trauma-informed principles into social work practice. *Social Work*, 65(3), 288-298.
- Levenson, J. S., Craig, S. L., & Austin, A. (2023). Trauma-informed and affirmative mental health practices with LGBTQ+ clients. *Psychological Services*, 20, 134-144. <https://doi.org/10.1037/ser0000540>
- Loomis, A. M., & Rose, D. M. (2024). A randomized trial of trauma-informed training content: Effects on preschool teachers' trauma-informed attitudes, stress, and coping. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 166, 107963. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chilyouth.2024.107963>
- Lotty, M., Kearns, N., & Frederico, M. (2024). Integrating trauma-informed practices in child welfare: A process study of graduate education. *Journal of Public Child Welfare*.
- Marici, M. (2015a). Psycho-behavioral consequences of parenting variables in adolescents. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 187, 295-300.
- Marici, M. (2015b). The influence of parent-child conflict intensity and frequency in social-cognitive domains on the psycho-behavioural consequences in adolescents. *Analele Științifice ale Universității «Alexandru Ioan Cuza» Iași. Psihologie*, (1), 19-37.
- Marici, M., Clipa, O., Runcan, R., & Pîrghie, L. (2023, June). Is rejection, parental abandonment or neglect a trigger for higher perceived shame and guilt in adolescents?. In *Healthcare* (Vol. 11, No. 12, p. 1724). MDPI.
- Marici, M., Runcan, R., Cheia, G., & David, G. (2024). The impact of coercive and assertive communication styles on children's perception of chores: an experimental investigation. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 15, 1266417.
- Miller, K., Stipp, K. F., & Bazemore-Bertrand, S. (2023). Student trauma, trauma-informed teaching, and self-care in preservice teachers' clinical experiences. *Teacher Development*, 27(1), 55-74.
- Mirick, R. G., Bridger, J., & McCauley, J. (2022). Trauma-informed clinical practice with clients with suicidal thoughts and behaviors. *Smith College Studies in Social Work*, 92(3), 169-184.
- Mirick, R. G., Bridger, J., & McCauley, J. (2024). Trauma-informed practice with individuals with suicidal thoughts and behaviors. *Clinical Social Work Journal*.
- Pence, D. M. (2011). Trauma-informed forensic child maltreatment investigations. *Child Welfare*, 90(6), 49-68.
- Polmanteer, R. S. R., Keefe, R. H., & Brownstein-Evans, C. (2019). Trauma-informed care with women diagnosed with postpartum depression: A conceptual framework. *Social Work in Health Care*, 58(2), 220-235.
- Reid, C., Smullen, F., Bennetts, S. K., Amir, L. H., & Chamberlain, C. (2023). Trauma-informed primary healthcare for parents: Multidisciplinary experiences in rural service implementation. *Australian Social Work*.
- Riley, H. O., et al. (2025). Perspectives on a system-oriented trauma-informed approach in schools. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*.
- Ross, D. (2020). Toward coercion-free, trauma-informed care in Australian adult mental health services: Strategies for social workers. *Social Work in Mental Health*, 18(5), 536-553.

- Salloum, A., Choi, M. J., & Stover, C. S. (2018). Development of a trauma-informed self-care measure with child welfare workers. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 93, 108-116.
- Sanders, J. E. (2021). Trauma-informed teaching in social work education. *Journal of Social Work Education*, 57(1), 197-204.
- Scott, J. T., Ingram, A. M., & Nemer, S. L. (2019). Evidence-based human trafficking policy: Opportunities to invest in trauma-informed strategies. *American Journal of Community Psychology*.
- Sherwood, D., VanDeusen, K., & Weller, B. (2021). Teaching note—Teaching trauma content online during COVID-19: A trauma-informed and culturally responsive pedagogy. *Journal of Social Work Education*, 57(1), 99-110.
- Simons, M., Harvey, G., McMillan, L., Ryan, E. G., De Young, A. G., et al. (2024). Implementation outcomes of a digital, trauma-informed care, educational intervention targeting health professionals in a pediatric burns setting: A mixed methods process evaluation. *Burns*, 50(6), 1690-1703.
- Sprang, G., & Garcia, A. (2022). An investigation of secondary traumatic stress and trauma-informed care utilization in school personnel. *Journal of Child & Adolescent Trauma*, 15(4), 1095-1103.
- Tabone, J. K., et al. (2020). Examining early intervention to create trauma-informed school environments. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 113, 104998.
- Varghese, L., & Emerson, A. (2022). Trauma-informed care in primary care settings. *Journal of the American Association of Nurse Practitioners*, 34(3), 465-473.