


Small but Significant Errata/Typos in the Paper “The Distinction Between False Dilemma and False Disjunctive Syllogism”

Taeda Tomić

*Department of Philosophy,
Uppsala University
Postal Box 627
751 26 Uppsala, Sweden
taeda.tomic@filosofi.uu.se*

In the paper *The Distinction Between False Dilemma and False Disjunctive Syllogism*, by Taeda Tomić, *Informal Logic* vol. 41, No 4 (2021), pp. 607-639, there are typos in Figure 12, on page 630. In the last row of the figure, direct after “Thus”, the connective should be disjunction instead of the conjunction in the conclusion (written after the rightwards double arrow); and the propositional letter “D”, as well as the entire disjunctive sequence should also be included. It should be: $C \vee D \vee E \vee F \vee \dots F_n$; instead of: $C \wedge E \wedge F$.

Thus the figure 12 without the typos should be:



However, it is also true and relevant that $A \vee B \vee C \vee D \vee E \vee F \vee \dots F_n$, which also shows that $\neg A$.

Thus,
 $A \vee B \vee [C \vee D \vee E \vee F \vee \dots F_n], \neg B, [\neg A] \Rightarrow C \vee D \vee E \vee F \vee \dots F_n$.

Figure 12: General structure of the profile of dialogue for active criticism of unsound disjunctive syllogism, focusing on the incomplete disjunctive premise