



Gingival Crevicular Fluid-A Medium for Diagnosis-An Overview

Dr Stuti Gupta, Dr M Siddharth, Dr Radhika Gupta, Dr Pallavi Sharma, Dr Srishti Thakur, Dr Ritika Gupta

Assistant Professor*, Dean Prof. & Head*, Associate Professor*, Associate Professor*, Assistant Professor*,

Assistant Professor*

*Department of Periodontology, School Of Dental Sciences, Sharda University

(Received: 16 March 2025

Revised: 20 April 2025

Accepted: 15 June 2025)

KEYWORDS

Gingival
crevicular
fluid,
Prognostic
tool,
Biomarkers

ABSTRACT:

Introduction: A change in the periodontal microbiota, which leads to irreparable loss of the periodontal tissues, characterizes periodontitis, a chronic inflammatory disease. A higher incidence of tooth loss is associated with the development of intrabony osseous abnormalities as periodontal disease worsens. (Koidou et al.2022). By highlighting a number of proinflammatory components that is believed to be found in gingival sulcular fluid, microbial action in periodontal diseases stimulates the host's local and systemic immune responses. (Sereti et al,2020).

Objectives: Given that gingival crevicular fluid serves as a reservoir of indicators and biomarkers of connective tissue as well as bone deterioration, it has been proposed as a viable diagnostic and prognostic marker for a noninvasive investigation of periodontal disorders. In order to assess the existence and severity of disease of periodontal tissues, GCF might be thought of as a potential prognostic tool. (Gupta et al.2021)

Results: A healthy periodontium produces minimum quantities of gingival crevicular fluid, which is similar to blood plasma in composition. The elements of gingival crevicular fluid also come from gingival tissues, as well as from bacteria and cells that respond to their environment, known as host reaction cells, that are found within the gingival crevicular crevice and the adjacent periodontal structures. Collecting and evaluating the Sulcular Fluid are hence the noninvasive techniques used to evaluate the host's response to periodontal disease. (Kasuma & Oinzel.2018).

Conclusions: A biomarker is a substantial material that exhibits a biological state and is used as a goal measure to evaluate the current and potential future course of a disease. Thus severe infection of tissues surrounding periodontium can't be projected by a sole biomarker. In order to predict how a disease may manifest, combinations of biomarkers are used. (Kharkar VV et al,2022)

1. Introduction

Several inflammatory mediators released from neutrophils and macrophages, including metalloproteases, prostaglandins, interleukins (IL), and C-reactive protein (CRP) overexpression, into the blood circulation in response to periodontal bacteria, is one of the factors that contribute to the cause of periodontitis. (Pai BS et al,2021)

More than 60, sulcular fluid elements have been examined precursory as potential biomarkers for diagnosing the active stages of periodontitis. These are the five divergent categories:

1. Enzymes derived from the host and Inhibitors

2. Biological Indicators:

Microorganisms and Their Products

3. Host Response Modifiers and Inflammatory Mediators:

- a) Mediators via Immune Response
- b) Mediators via Inflammatory Response

4. Products for Tissue Degradation

5. Bone Resorption Products

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Bellei et al,2022 undertook a study to assess the gingival sulcular fluid (GCF) of participants with advanced periodontitis using a proteomic model. Samples from crevice were drawn from both the periodontal pocket (D-GCF) and the sites with healthy periodontal tissues (H-GCF) and put through comparative examination utilizing sodium dodecyl sulfate in



polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDSPAGE). Liquid chromatography (LC-MS/MS) was incorporated in identifying twenty-six total substantially different proteins, fourteen of which were overly regulated and 12 of which were regulated in decreasing manner in D-GCF vs. H-GCF. Inflammatory compounds, immune response proteins, and host enzymes were the foremost proteins expressed. These proteins potentially exemplified a group of interesting molecular markers that, if confirmed in a large-scale investigation, could significantly improve our ability to diagnose periodontitis.

Romano et al, 2022 did a cross-sectional study based on the fact that although ample evidence is available based on the role of enzymatic & chemical components in periodontal health, not enough literature is available on the concomitant evaluation of saliva & gingival sulcular fluid's (GCF) ionic composition for diagnosing underlying periodontal status. For determining the measures of sodium (Na), potassium (K), calcium (Ca), & magnesium (Mg) in GCF with unstimulated saliva, mass spectrometry with inductively coupled plasma (ICP-MS) & optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES) have been deployed. A total 54 subjects (18 healthy periodontium, 18 severe periodontitis not being managed, and 18 managed severe periodontitis). Thus, inferred that untreated periodontitis had escalated levels of Sodium and Potassium ions in contrast with managed and controlled periodontitis and healthy periodontium. Sodium was significantly associated with periodontitis in salivary samples. These first findings showed that GCF has a larger propensity for clustering than saliva.

Wankhade & Dhadse (2022) 90 samples from a pool of 45 carefully chosen subjects were segregated amongst three groups. Group 1 (Healthy gingival tissue) no periodontal attachment loss (PAL), probable pocket depth (PPD) of 3 mm & less, and a papillary bleeding index (PBI) of less than 1. Subjects in Group 2 (AgP) must be older than 35 and having minimum of 6 teeth, excluding the incisors & molars, as well as a PPD and PAL of minimum 5 mm. Group 3 consists of individuals who have clinical signs of gingival inflammation, least of six teeth in maxilla and mandible, a PPD of less than 4 mm, and a PAL of less than 4 mm. IL-17 values were subsequently examined incorporating GCF (gingival crevicular fluid). For quantitative examination, representations from the first maxillary molar sites' sulcus were taken. Clinical indicators such the PI -(Quigley-Hein), PPD, and PBI were elevated amongst the diseased set in contrast to the control group in the gingiva. In AgP, found was a strong pragmatic association between IL-17 levels and both PAL and PPD; in spite of that, subjects with CP, the positive association of IL-17 values only observed with PAL but not PPD.

Cecil et al (2022). The study's objective was to measure and contrast the levels of ezrin mRNA exhibition in patients with

gingival and periodontal disease whole blood and GCF. Group one involved 20 subjects with healthy gingiva, Second set incorporated 20 subjects with gingivitis, & Group 3 involved 20 participants with chronic periodontitis. 60 patients in toto chosen for the research. Clinical indicators including probing pocket depth, gingival index, periodontal index, & relative attachment scores being evaluated. PCR was used for detecting the mRNA expression of ezrin. As contrasted to gingival disease and healthy individuals, periodontitis had higher validation and estimation of ezrin mRNA expression in fluid in crevice as well as blood, and these findings were positively in accordance with clinical parameters.

Gajendran PL et al (2022) examined the levels of cathepsin K (CSTK) as well as receptor activator of nuclear factor (RANKL) within crevicular fluid found amongst smokers and non-smokers diagnosed of chronic periodontitis (CP). This case-control research constituted 80 male patients with CP who were otherwise healthy were enrolled. There were 40 CP patients in Group A who were smokers, and 40 CP patients in Group B who were not smokers. Group A patients had a smoking history of count of ten or more cigarettes each day within timeframe of 5 years or more, while Group B patients had never smoked cigarettes before. Prior to GCF, baseline measurements were taken, including probable pocket (PD), attachment levels, scores for sulcular bleeding index, plaque index scores, and pack years. The clinical parameters in Groups A and B were correlated with the cytokine levels using Kendall's tau_b correlation analysis. Between Group A & Group B, the mean RANKL levels found to differ in statistical analysis ($P = 0.073$). When compared to non-smokers, smokers with CP have significantly higher levels of CSTK ($P = 0.037$ and 0.05 , respectively). In Group A, the RANKL levels had a significant positive connection with both pack years and PD and PD. Smokers with CP showed a strong positive association between RANKL and CSTK levels. Smoking did not significantly change the RANKL levels. When compared to non-smokers with periodontitis, smokers with the condition have significantly greater levels of CSTK. The positive correlation found in the smokers group may indicate that smoking is very important in regulating the levels of the cytokines RANKL and CSTK.

Koidou et al, 2021 profiled a cluster of pro and pre-inflammatory and regenerative markers against the gingival sulcular fluid from the intrabony defects in a prototypical research. One intrabony defect and one healthy periodontal location were selected amongst the 21 individuals who participated in the study. Clinical and radiographic parameters underwent measurements. GCF samples were taken, and 27 indicators previously discovered by the study's authors were examined using multiplex bead immunoassays. Employing



Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed-ranks tests, comparisons were made. From the sites with Intrabony defects, GCF volume was considerably elevated. To compare with periodontally healthy locations, intrabony defect sites had significantly higher IL-1, IL-1, IL-6, Interferons, and MMP-8 measures (p.0019). Additionally, levels of the regeneration markers VEGF and FGF were much greater.

In a study, **Pandit & Bhavsar, 2021** analyzed the proportions of IL-11 and IL-17 in the fluid from gingival crevice from a pool of patients with generalized chronic & gAP & contrasted them with clinical indicators. They divided Periodontal diseases into multiple categories based on the clinical symptoms accompanying them. The findings showed a strong correlation amongst IL-11 and IL-17 & AgP & chronic periodontal disease. Gingival fluid was extracted from the patients' deepest periodontal pockets, and ELISA was utilized to determine the levels of IL-11 and IL-17.

Kharkar VV et al (2021). Two opposing sites (posterior teeth) were randomly allocated to sites managed with NSPT & test sites (managed with NSPT plus PDT) in 21 individuals with CP. Through an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, clinical variables such as bleeding upon probing, probable pockets & attachment measurements analysed from baseline, 1 month, and 3 months. IL-6, IL-8 & IL-10 were also assessed at baseline & 3 months after management. Collation to the control site, the test site exhibited a larger enhancement in BOP score at 1 month and 3 months post therapy. At 3 months, test sites showed a substantial rise in IL-10 and a decline in IL-6 and IL-8 measures. In comparison with NSPT alone, further PDT treatment led to a noticeable decline in BOP score, pro-inflammatory cytokine levels in the GCF, and an elevation in anti-inflammatory cytokine levels.

Pai BS et al (2021). In this split-mouth investigation, fifteen subjects with chronic periodontal disease (aged 35–55) with probing pocket depths of less than 5 mm were included. At baseline, SRP alone was performed in the control group, while SRP and pocket diode laser irradiation were performed in the test group. On the second as well as third visits, LLLT application along normal saline irrigation was carried out in both groups. Both groups had two microliters of GCF samples taken at baseline, before therapy, and 90 days later to measure sclerostin levels. Both the groups experienced a statistically significant decline in clinical markers after three months. After three months, sclerostin levels in GCF decreased in both groups, with the test group experiencing highly noticeable decline (P 0.000) from 125.80 28.21 to 82.80 9.31. By lowering the values of sclerostin in GCF, the adjunctive use of diodes had a positive impact on clinical indices and osteoblast proliferation. As per these results of this study, inference can

be made that sclerostin be employed as a powerful biomarker and that the healing effectiveness of soft-tissue laser as a supplement to NSPT possess a positive impact.

Grant et al, 2021 conducted a study with 190 participants, including healthy, gingivitis, periodontitis, and edentulous participants, recruited from two places in the UK (Birmingham and Newcastle upon Tyne). For the purpose of discovering biomarkers, quantitative spectrometry (mass) technique of proteomics was used to analyze samples from Birmingham cohort. The best performing panels for differentiating between gingivitis, periodontitis, and health all had a constitution of matrix metalloproteinase-9 (MMP9), S100A8, alpha1-acid glycoprotein (A1AGP), pyruvate kinase, & age (AUC 0.970); gingivitis compared with health constituted MMP9, S100A8, A1AGP, and pyruvate kinase but not age (AUC). The authors arrived to the conclusion that this biomarker panel of four proteins, independent of age, can be utilized to differentiate in amongst periodontal inflammation and health conditions

at tellus at. Quisque egestas diam in arcu cursus. Pulvinar mattis nunc sed blandit. Tempus iaculis urna id **Castro et al (2020)**. In this study, 80 patients (45 no clinical gingivitis & 35 with chronic periodontitis) had their periodontal microbiota composition using checkerboard hybridization technique. Also, their congruence with TNF-, MMP-8 & 9 analysed with ELISA was examined. In comparison to the control group, the incidence of 18 species under consideration was elevated in patients exhibiting bone loss. Inference was that TNF- was statistically greater in the bone loss group (p0.01), while MMP-8 & 9 in the bone loss group had lower values when compared to the control group. TNF- showed a positive correlation with *Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans*, *Fusobacterium nucleatum* and *Porphyromonas gingivalis*, MMP-8 showed a negative correlation with *A. actinomycetemcomitans*. TNF- rose as each *A. actinomycetemcomitans* count increased. TNF- measures are significantly raised by the appearance of *A. actinomycetemcomitans*, *Campylobacter rectus*, *F. nucleatum*, and *P. gingivalis*. Despite minimum amounts that are collected, TNF- is an excellent biomarker of periodontal disease in gingival crevicular fluid because its levels rise as most damaging species to the periodontium do.

Afacan et al (2020). Twenty G-AgP patients and twenty others with good periodonties were involved. Non-Surgical Periodontal Therapy (NSPT), using ultrasonic along with periodontal hand devices, was conducted on G-AgP patients every other quadrant, every week. At baseline, one and three months post intervention, GCF collections, clinical periodontal measurements such as probing depth, relative attachment level, gingival index & plaque index were documented. GCF biomarker levels were examined using an ELISA. At baseline, G-AgP patients had considerably greater levels of HIF-1, VEGF, and TNF- than healthy controls (P 0.05) for all clinical



measures including GCF. In G-AgP patients, every clinical parameter improved during the course of three months (P 0.05). At 1 and 3 months post management, GCF HIF-1 values in G-AgP decreased, but found no statistical significance (P > 0.05). GCF VEGF & TNF measures did not alter during the course of the trial (P > 0.05).

Sereti et al (2020). The study's goal is to examine the values of (IL-8), (MMP-8) and (AGEs) in sulcular fluid (GCF) amongst a section of type 1 diabetes (T1D) participants & healthy controls. 50 T1D participants (30 males & 20 females; mean age: 35.2 years) matched for gender, age & smoking habits had their GCF samples and periodontal examinations evaluated. Incorporating a bead array multianalyte detection method for IL-8 and MMP-8 analysis & an ELISA for AGEs analysis, samples being evaluated. Between all groups, there was a consequential difference in the mean HbA1c. In comparison to the controls, T1D participants showed a substantial increase in plaque, gingival inflammation & the number of sites that bled when they were probed. Researchers found no appreciable differences in the sulcular fluid levels of IL-8, MMP-8, or AGEs across the groups. There were no discernible changes amongst young diabetics & controls or between older diabetics & controls. Again, no significant changes could be seen for any of the biochemical indicators when the groups were split up based on their glycemic status (HbA1c 6.1-8, and > 8%).

RESEARCH PROBLEMS

1. Periodontitis requires gingivitis as a required prerequisite. The very first objective sign of gingival disease, however, is gingival bleeding, which patients may not always recognise as a sign of illness..

2. A thorough periodontal examination by a dental healthcare professional/Periodontist is necessary for the accurate diagnosis of the progression from gingival disease to periodontal destruction.

3. The understanding of periodontal etiology and the paradigm change from disease understanding to disease deterrent and treatment/management call for a few conditions that guarantee the objectivity of diagnostic procedures, including sensitivity and specificity as well as an explanation of the severity of the disease.

4. For evaluating the effectiveness of periodontal therapy and determining the condition of the current disease, reliable diagnostic techniques are crucial.

5. Even though there is an increase in evidence regarding the role of gingival sulcular fluid biomolecules in periodontal health, there isn't enough literature available to estimate the

ionic profile in (GCF) in relation to the existing primary periodontal condition at the same time.

6. Periodontal disease cannot typically be evaluated radiographically for attachment loss or alveolar disintegration. Additionally, radiographs can only depict a 2-D image of a 3-D situation.

7. The depth to which a probe reaches inside a particular pocket. This varies depending on whether there is inflammation at the periodontal pocket base, which lessens struggle for tip of the probe and may allow it to enter the pocket.

8. More extensive study is required, including the development of accurate disease biomarkers for the prognosis and diagnosis of this condition because of the potential severity and irreversible nature of periodontal disease.

SOLUTIONS FOUND

An exudate from a diseased tissue and a serum transudate in non diseased conditions, gingival crevicular fluid (GCF) is abundant with biological markers of the host-mediated reaction to dental plaque as well as molecules that indicate the consequences of the dental plaque after they have already begun to affect the connective tissue connection. As a result, it can consider the conditions of the periodontal structures and be incorporated to evaluate the molecular markers of tissue remodeling, inflammation, and metabolism of bone. Since the composition of crevicular fluid changes with the intensity of inflammation, it has been thought of as a reliable sign of underlying tissue alterations.

Ionomics is a potential method for analyzing trustworthy

SCOPE FOR FURTHER WORK

Periodontitis is a rapidly prevailing condition worldwide resulting in an overall increase in burden of oral diseases eventually leading to productivity loss and inflated costs of treatment for the patients. According to the present literature, gingivitis is considered as an imperative cause for occurrence of periodontitis, thus, prompt arbitration through the precise and early diagnosis of the gingival diseases would be an efficacious strategy for prevention of periodontal diseases.

Diagnosis of periodontal diseases is habitually and conventionally reliant on analysis of clinical measurements such as- clinical attachment measures and bleeding upon probing (BOP) incorporating manual instruments called as periodontal probes. Nonetheless, periodontal probing involves pain along with the limitations of standardization, for instance- variations of the insertion pressure and angle. Additionally Clinical attachment loss can only be measured succeeding a significant amount of breakdown of periodontal tissue. Also, the clinical parameters, rather than exhibiting the active or current



state of inflammation, express only the history of disease progression. Active disease status monitoring requires reliable diagnostic methods such as Gingival crevicular fluid components evaluation to assess the stages and severity of periodontal diseases.

(Hong et al., 2020; Gupta et al 2021).

The importance of Gingival crevicular fluid lies in its capability To evaluate the severeness of periodontal illnesses, the efficacy of periodontal therapy, oral hygiene maintenance & the healing process following periodontal therapy

1. To measure the junctional and sulcular epithelium permeability, the rate of local destruction, and the connection between periodontal and systemic conditions.

Keeping this tenet in mind, Gingival crevicular fluid has an extensive role to play as a prognostic and diagnostic marker. molecular diagnostic biomarkers for the diagnostic and prognostic purposes along with clinical surveillance of periodontal structure deterioration utilizing gingival crevicular fluid in this regard. It might help with the identification of new, precise indicators and with the formulation of novel medications and treatment plans.

CONCLUSION

Due to the irreversible loss of periodontal tissues caused by periodontitis, clinical attachment is lost, which ultimately leads in tooth loss. Throughout the last ten years, there has been a rapid up regulation in the search for markers of periodontal disease activity and progression. Current research aims to represent a more objective and quantitative methodology, capable of prompt and accurate diagnosis before the emergence of clinical signs of destructive disease.

When it comes to examining the ongoing inflammatory processes associated with damaging periodontal diseases, GCF is regarded as one of the most reliable sources.

References

1. Afacan B, Yucel Z, Pacali C, Ilhan H, Kose T, Imingil G. (2020). Effect of non-surgical periodontal treatment on gingival crevicular fluid hypoxia inducible factor-1 alpha, vascular endothelial growth factor and tumor necrosis factor-alpha levels in generalized aggressive periodontitis patients. *J Periodontol.* 91:1495–1502.
2. Bellei E, Bertoldi C, Monari E, Bergamini S. (2022) Proteomics Disclose the Potential of Gingival Crevicular Fluid (GCF) as a Source of Biomarkers for Castro N, Villamar M, Valle J, Fernandes S, Radilla V. (2020) Relationship between TNF- α , MMP-8, and MMP-9 levels in gingival crevicular fluid and the subgingival microbiota in periodontal disease. *Odontology.* 108:25–33
3. Cecil A, Sambashivaiah S, Bilichodmath S, John RS. (2022). mRNA expression of ezrin in gingival crevicular fluid and whole blood of gingivitis and chronic periodontitis patients – A polymerase chain reaction study. *Contemporary Clinical Dentistry.* 13:267-73.
4. Gajendran PL, Parthasarathy H, Tadeballi A. (2022) The effect of smoking on the RANKL and Cathepsin K levels in GCF among chronic periodontitis patients: A case-control clinical study. *Journal of International Oral Health.* 14:158-62.
5. Grant MM, Taylor JJ, Jaedicke K, Creese A, Gowland C, Burke B, Doudin K, Patel U, Weston P, Milward M, Bissett SM, Cooper HJ, Kooijman G, Rmaile A, de Jager M, Preshaw PM, Chapple ILC. (2022) Discovery, validation, and diagnostic ability of multiple protein-based biomarkers in saliva and gingival crevicular fluid to distinguish between health and periodontal diseases. *Journal of Clinical Periodontology.* 49(7):622-632.
6. Gupta S, Chhina S, Sharma E, Sinha S, Mathur A, Gupta R. (2021) Comparative evaluation of laser biostimulation as an adjunct to NSPT and ITS effects on AST levels in the management of chronic periodontitis: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of International Oral Health.* 13:227-33.
7. Hong I, Pae HC, Song YW, Cha JK, Lee JS, Paik JW, Choi SH. (2020) Oral Fluid Biomarkers for Diagnosing Gingivitis in Human: A Cross-Sectional Study. *Journal of Clinical medicine.* 3;9(6):1720.
8. Kasuma N, Oenzil F, Darwin E, Sofyan Y. (2018). The analysis of matrix metalloproteinase-8 in gingival crevicular fluid and periodontal diseases. *Indian Journal of Dental Research.* 29:450-4.
9. Katsiki P, Nazmi K, Loos BG, Laine ML, Schaap K, Hepdenizli E, Bikker FJ, Brand HS, Veerman ECI, Nicu EA. (2021) Comparing periodontitis biomarkers in saliva, oral rinse and gingival crevicular fluid: A pilot study. *Journal of Clinical Periodontology.* 48(9):1250-1259.
10. Kharkar VV, Kolte AP, Kolte RA, Bawankar PV, Lathiya VN, Bodhare GH. (2021) Influence of adjunctive photodynamic therapy on Interleukin-6, Interleukin-8, and Interleukin-10 gingival crevicular fluid levels in chronic periodontitis – A randomized controlled trial. *Contemporary Clinical Dentistry.* 12:235-40.



11. Koidou VP, Hagi-Pavli E, Cross S, Nibali L, Donos N. (2022)Molecular profiling of intrabony defects gingival crevicular fluid. *Journal Of Periodontal Research*.57(1):152-161.
12. Nalmpantis D,Gataou A,Fragkioudakis I,Margariti A,Skoura L,Sakellari D.(2019).Azurocidin in gingival crevicular fluid as a potential biomarker of chronic periodontitis.*J Periodont Res*.55:209–214.
13. Ostrovskaya L,Beybulatova D, Zakharova, Katkhanova L,Lysov A,Heigetyan A & DomenyuK D (2020).Gingival Fluid as a potential object for diagnostic process.*Archiv Euromedica*.10.104-106.
14. Pandit N, Bhavsar N. (2021) Periodontal manifestations as related to the cytokines in the gingival crevicular fluid. *Indian Journal of Dental Sciences*.13:196-200.
15. Pai BS, Krishnan NR, Walveker A, Keeneri S, Emmanuel A, Krishna NR.(2021).Comparative evaluation of sclerostin levels in gingival crevicular fluid in the treatment of chronic periodontitis patients using diode laser as an adjunct to scaling and root planing: A clinico-biochemical study. *Contemporary Clinical Dentistry*.12:276-81.
16. Pei J, Li F, Xie Y, Liu J, Yu T, Feng X.(2020) Microbial and metabolomic analysis of gingival crevicular fluid in general chronic periodontitis patients: lessons for a predictive, preventive, and personalized medical approach. *EPMA J*.11(2):197-215.
17. Raj SC, Panda SM, Dash M, Patnaik K, Mohanty D, Katti N.(2018).Association of human interleukin-35 level in gingival crevicular fluid and serum in periodontal health, disease, and after nonsurgical therapy: A comparative study. *Contemporary Clinical Dentistry*.9:293-7.
18. Romano F, Iaderosa G, Corana M, Perotto S, Baima G, Di Scipio F, Abbadessa G, Mariani GM, Aimetti M, Berta GN.(2022).Comparing Ionic Profile of Gingival Crevicular Fluid and Saliva as Distinctive Signature of Severe Periodontitis. *Biomedicines*.17;10(3):687.
19. Romano F, Bongiovanni L, Bianco L, Di Scipio F, Yang Z, Sprio AE, Berta GN, Aimetti M.(2018) Biomarker levels in gingival crevicular fluid of generalized aggressive periodontitis patients after non-surgical periodontal treatment. *Clinical Oral Investigations*.22(2):1083-1092.
20. Sereti M,Roy M,Zekeridou A,Gastaldi G,Giannopoulou C.(2020).Gingival crevicular fluid biomarkers in type 1 diabetes mellitus: A case–control study.*Clin Exp Dent Res*.7:170–178.
21. Tonetti MS, Greenwell H, Kornman KS (2018) Staging and grading of periodontitis: framework and proposal of a new classification and case definition, *Journal of Periodontology* 89, S159-S17.
22. Wankhede AN, Dhadse PV.(2022). Interleukin-17 levels in gingival crevicular fluid of aggressive periodontitis and chronic periodontitis patients. *Journal of Indian Society Of Periodontology*.26:552-6.