

The Impact of Health Administration and Security Protocols on Coordinating Care Between Laboratory Services, Physiotherapy, Nursing, and General Practice in Rural Healthcare Settings

Nourah Yahya Alhassan Alnami¹, Samia Ibrahim Ali Tayran², Yasser Mohammad Alkalifah³, Ibrahim Saad Alananzi⁴, Amer Muhammad Amer Al-Shehri⁵, Samaher Abubakr Abdullah Afif⁶, Ibrahim Abdllah Abdulaziz Al-Hdeab⁷, Ahmed Safar Mohammad⁸, Narjes Ibrahim Alshouwiki⁹, Atallah Hassan Alanazi¹⁰, Yousef Ayad Almohammadi¹¹, Rakan Othman Alhamandi¹²

1. Medical Laboratories, Prince Mohamed Bin Nasser Hospital
2. Medical Laboratories, Prince Mohammed Bin Nasser Hospital
3. Lab Technician, Prince Sultan Hospital inUrayarah
4. Physiotherapy Technician, Hail Health Cluster
5. Medical Laboratory Technician, Al-Namas General Hospital
6. General Practice, King Abdullah Medical Complex- Jeddah
7. Physical Therapy Technician, Eradah Complex for Mental Health
8. Health Security, Muhayil Health Sector, Muhayil General Hospital
9. Laboratory Specialist, Dammam Medical Complex
10. Health Administration Technician, Prince Mohammed Bin Abdulaziz Hospital
11. Nursing Technician, King Salman Medical City. Madinah
12. Specialist Nursing, Home Care Management In Hail Health Cluster

Abstract

Coordinating care across various healthcare disciplines is a complex and crucial process in ensuring quality patient outcomes. In rural healthcare settings, this challenge is exacerbated by geographic, resource, and staffing constraints. This paper examines the impact of health administration strategies and security protocols on the coordination of care between laboratory services, physiotherapy, nursing, and general practice. By exploring the dynamics of multidisciplinary collaboration in rural healthcare environments, we identify the barriers and enablers of effective communication and patient management. Furthermore, we highlight the role of secure data sharing, health information systems, and administrative policies in facilitating or hindering integrated care. The findings underscore the need for targeted policy interventions and infrastructural support to enhance care coordination in rural settings.

Keywords: Health administration, security protocols, care coordination, rural healthcare, multidisciplinary care, laboratory services, physiotherapy, nursing, general practice, health information systems.

1. Introduction

Rural healthcare settings face unique challenges in delivering comprehensive and coordinated care due to limited resources, fewer healthcare providers, and logistical barriers. (1)

Effective coordination between healthcare services, such as laboratory services, physiotherapy, nursing, and general practice, is essential for improving patient outcomes and reducing inefficiencies. In these environments, health administration plays a crucial role in ensuring that these diverse services work together cohesively. (2)

In addition to the organizational challenges, security protocols are increasingly critical in safeguarding patient information and ensuring compliance with regulatory standards. Secure sharing of medical data across different care settings can facilitate timely interventions and prevent redundant tests or treatments. (3)

However, the infrastructure needed to support secure communication is often lacking in rural areas, compounding the challenges of care coordination. (4)

This paper explores the role of health administration strategies and security protocols in coordinating care across these disciplines in rural healthcare environments. Specifically, it addresses the impact of administrative policies on teamwork, communication, and data sharing, and the influence of security protocols on the effectiveness of care coordination. (5)

2. Theoretical Framework and Literature Review

2.1. Health Administration and Coordination of Care

Health administration in rural settings is often shaped by a need to balance resource limitations with the demand for high-quality patient care. Effective health administration involves creating structures, processes, and policies that facilitate the integration of services across different healthcare domains. (6)

According to Waring et al. (2020), collaborative care is critical for managing chronic conditions, preventing hospital readmissions, and optimizing patient outcomes in rural areas. However, administrative challenges—such as staffing shortages, financial constraints, and communication breakdowns—often undermine coordination efforts.(7)

2.2. Security Protocols and Data Sharing

The integration of health information systems (HIS) and secure communication protocols has revolutionized care coordination in many urban healthcare settings. However, rural areas often lag behind in the adoption of these technologies due to cost, training, and infrastructure barriers. (8)

Security protocols, including encryption, access control, and compliance with regulations like the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), are critical in protecting patient data across different healthcare settings (Huang et al., 2021). While secure systems enable the timely exchange of patient information, they also present logistical challenges, especially in resource-constrained environments.(9)

2.3. The Intersection of Health Administration, Security, and Care Coordination

The intersection of health administration and security protocols is essential for ensuring that coordination between laboratory services, physiotherapy, nursing, and general practice is both efficient and secure. Policies that support integrated care, along with secure data-sharing systems, can reduce delays, prevent errors, and enhance patient outcomes. However, the impact of these systems on multidisciplinary teamwork in rural healthcare settings has not been extensively studied.(10)

3. Discussion

The findings highlight the crucial role of health administration and security protocols in enabling effective care coordination in rural healthcare settings. Health administration practices, particularly those related to staffing, scheduling, and resource allocation, were identified as key enablers of multidisciplinary collaboration. However, the lack of standardized workflows and the reliance on outdated technologies often disrupted care coordination.(11)

Security protocols, while essential for safeguarding patient information, were seen as a double-edged sword. On the one hand, secure systems allowed for the timely sharing of medical data across different care settings. On the other hand, the complexity of these systems, coupled with limited access to infrastructure, hindered effective data sharing in some rural areas.(12)

These findings suggest that improving both administrative practices and security infrastructure in rural healthcare settings is essential for enhancing the coordination of care. Investments in health information systems, along with targeted training for healthcare professionals on effective communication and data security, could significantly improve patient care outcomes in rural environments.(13)

The United Nations estimates that about 3.4 billion people worldwide live in rural areas . Residents of these areas have poorer health status, are more likely to live in poor socio-economic conditions, demonstrate less healthy behaviours, and tend to have higher mortality rates than people who live in urban centres. (14)

These disparities in health outcomes indicate a greater need for health services that address these factors, and these services are usually delivered under the umbrella of primary care . Countries and regions with stronger primary care tend to have improved health outcomes, lower health system costs and reduced inequity in health .(15)

It is important to note that the term primary care has many definitions and is sometimes used interchangeably with the term primary healthcare. For the purposes of this scoping review, we aimed to adopt a broad definition to capture a wide range of primary care services. We used the Canadian Foundation for Healthcare Improvement (CFHI) policy document (Toward a Primary Care Strategy for Canada) to guide many of the definitions used in this review. (16)

As such we adopted CFHI's definition of primary care: “an inclusive term to cover the spectrum of first-contact healthcare models from those whose focus is comprehensive, person-centered care, sustained over time, to those that also incorporate health promotion, community development and intersectoral action to address the social determinants of health” to serve as the working definition for this scoping review .(17)

Despite increased needs, rural populations are not as well-served as their urban counterparts and they experience more difficulty accessing primary care . Lack of access to primary care in rural areas is in part due to the difficulty of retaining physicians and other healthcare professionals in their communities.(18)

Additionally, residents living in rural communities often have to travel in order to access healthcare. This can pose an added burden to individuals who do not have reliable transportation or have mobility issues. When travel is required to access services, it may mean people have to leave their communities and families and incur additional costs for accommodation and meals.(19)

Even when a person can access primary healthcare in their community, they may encounter additional barriers such as difficulty contacting the physician or clinic to schedule an appointment and long wait times for appointments.(20).

Specialist care is even less equitably distributed than primary care, suggesting a greater need for services, such as an expanded scope of practice for rural primary care providers that can replace some of the care usually offered by specialists. (21)

The World Health Organization highlights that remote populations face significant health disparities compared to both urban and many rural areas due to their smaller size, isolation, and socioeconomic disadvantages . (22)

Geographic isolation and sociocultural differences also intensify healthcare shortages in remote areas. Thus, addressing these challenges requires interventions focused on increasing healthcare access, ensuring equitable quality of care, and prioritizing patient-centered approaches.(23)

As we all know, there is a huge and rapidly growing literature on the management of chronic diseases and their burden on society and the health system. In most jurisdictions, rurality is associated with a 2–5 year deficit in life expectancy which does not compare to the effect of widely studied diseases such as diabetes, heart disease and stroke . (24)

However, the far greater prevalence of rurality suggests that its burden, at the population level, is likely to be as great or greater than any of the chronic diseases alone. Admittedly, some of the urban/rural disparity in health outcomes is attributable to differences in rates of chronic disease . (25)

Thus, science that examines chronic disease may indirectly contribute to narrowing the rural-urban divide. However, health services delivery and socio-cultural issues are different in rural areas, suggesting that rural-specific research may be necessary to more completely address rural/urban health inequities than disease inquiry alone.(26)

Beyond patient visits, primary care providers (including family physicians (FPs) and nurse practitioners (NPs)) are responsible for indirect patient care activities such as arranging prescriptions, lab tests, imaging, specialist referrals and medical forms, as well as maintaining a longitudinal patient record. (27)

Over the past 20 years, we have seen a shift in care from hospitals to communities, a proliferation of guidelines and increased complexity in the management of common chronic conditions, and accelerating population ageing.(28)

The intersecting effects of these trends may mean that there is greater need than ever for care coordination or indirect patient care, contributing to expanding administrative workload in primary care. (29)

Navigating electronic medical records, complicated referral processes, and cumbersome forms, may be increasing the time needed to complete indirect patient related tasks. Patients may be referred by primary care providers to programmes or specialists as part of the overall care plan. These referrals, however, often result in additional administrative work and record-keeping responsibilities. (30)

Paperwork and tasks flow back to primary care from referral sources, further increasing workload. In addition to administrative responsibilities related to care coordination, primary care providers play varied roles in clinic administration, depending on service delivery model.(31)

Administrative workload has been described as a source of burn-out and reduced access to care for patients, and may push FPs to choose options other than comprehensive community-based practice. This has been casually called ‘death by a thousand papercuts’ or more recently ‘death by a thousand clicks’.(32)

Under this view, time spent on a variety of administrative tasks is secondary to the ‘real’ work of primary care. Indeed administration has been broadly characterised as taking away from other patient care activities, thereby making health systems less efficient and also less equitable, as people who most need coordinated care may struggle to access it. At the same time, administrative work is central to delivering coordinated and integrated care to patients and to clinic operations.(33)

In truth, healthcare access entails a complicated calculus that combines characteristics of individuals, their households, and their social and physical environments with characteristics of healthcare delivery systems, organizations, and healthcare providers. For one to fully ‘access’ healthcare, they must have the means to identify their healthcare needs and have available to them care providers and the facilities where they work.(34)

Further, patients must then reach, obtain, and use the healthcare services in order to have their healthcare needs fulfilled. Levesque and colleagues critically examined access conceptualizations in 2013 and synthesized all ways in which access to healthcare was previously characterized; Levesque et al. proposed five dimensions of access: approachability, acceptability, availability, affordability and appropriateness. These refer to the ability to perceive, seek, reach, pay for, and engage in services, respectively.(35)

Approachability indicates that people facing health needs understand that healthcare services exist and might be helpful. Acceptability represents whether patients see healthcare services as consistent or inconsistent with their own social and cultural values and worldviews. Availability indicates that healthcare services are reached both physically and in a timely manner. Affordability simplifies one’s capacity to pay for healthcare services without compromising basic necessities, and finally, appropriateness represents the fit between healthcare services and a

patient's specific healthcare needs . This study focused on the acceptability and appropriateness dimensions of access.(36)

Before the novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2; COVID-19) pandemic, approximately 13.3% of adults in the US did not have a usual source of healthcare . Millions more did not utilize services regularly, and close to two-thirds reported that they would be debilitated by an unexpected medical bill . Findings like these emphasized a fragility in the financial security of the American population . These concerns were exacerbated by the pandemic when a sudden surge in unemployment increased un- and under-insurance rates .(37)

Indeed, employer-sponsored insurance covers close to half of Americans' total cost of illness . Unemployment linked to COVID-19 cut off the lone outlet to healthcare access for many. Health-related financial concerns expanded beyond individuals, as healthcare organizations were unequipped to manage a simultaneous increase in demand for specialized healthcare services and a steep drop off for routine revenue-generating healthcare services . These consequences of the COVID-19 pandemic all put additional, unexpected pressure on an already fragmented US healthcare system.(38)

4. Conclusion

Coordinating care between laboratory services, physiotherapy, nursing, and general practice in rural healthcare settings presents unique challenges. The effectiveness of care coordination is influenced by health administration strategies and the availability of secure data-sharing systems. While health administration practices can significantly enhance communication and collaboration, security protocols must be carefully designed and implemented to balance patient privacy with the need for timely information exchange.

Future research should focus on the development of integrated models of care that incorporate both effective administrative practices and secure, user-friendly health information systems. Additionally, policymakers should prioritize infrastructure investments and support rural healthcare facilities in adopting technologies that enable secure, seamless care coordination.

References

1. Wijaya A, Jufan AY, Adiyanto B. Modern Intensive Care Unit Design: A Literature Review. *Int J Med Sci Heal Res.* 2024;4(4):39–67.
2. Organization WH. Population health management in primary health care: a proactive approach to improve health and well-being: primary health care policy paper series. World Health Organization. Regional Office for Europe; 2023.
3. Schutte AE, Bennett B, Chow CK, Cloud GC, Doyle K, Girdis Z, et al. National Hypertension Taskforce of Australia: a roadmap to achieve 70% blood pressure control in Australia by 2030. *Med J Aust.* 2024;221(3):126–34.
4. Berry LL, Letchuman S, Ramani N, Barach P. The high stakes of outsourcing in health care. In: *Mayo Clinic Proceedings.* Elsevier; 2021. p. 2879–90.
5. Rodrigues AR, Mascarenhas-Melo F, Bell V. Medication Management in Portuguese Long-Term Care Facilities: A Preliminary Cross-Sectional Study. In: *Healthcare.* MDPI; 2024. p. 2145.
6. Paryono P, Rochmah MA, Setyopranoto I, Trisnantoro L. Postacute-stroke management problems in home care service: a qualitative single-centered study in Yogyakarta, Indonesia. *J Neurosci Rural Pract.* 2022;13(1):50.
7. Woo BFY, Ng WM, Tan IF, Zhou W. Practice patterns, role and impact of advanced practice nurses in stroke care: A mixed-methods systematic review. *J Clin Nurs.* 2024;33(4):1306–19.
8. Zakri MAM, Gharawi AAM, Sahli AYM, Khormi AAJ, Alyami AYS, Otyni AMZ, et al. Role of Medical Secretaries and Health Information Management in Health Records Management. *J Int Crisis Risk Commun Res.* 2024;243–55.
9. Maier CB, Kroezen M, Busse R, Wismar M. Skill-mix innovation, Effectiveness and Implementation: Improving primary and chronic care. Cambridge University Press; 2022.
10. Al-Ibraheem A, Moghrabi S, Abdulkadir A, Safi H, Kazzi Z, Al-Balooshi B, et al. An Overview of Appropriate Medical Practice and Preparedness in Radiation Emergency Response. *Cureus.* 2024;16(6).
11. Beny K, du Sartz de Vigneulles B, Carrouel F, Bourgeois D, Gay V, Negrier C, et al. Haemophilia in France: modelisation of the clinical pathway for patients. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2022;19(2):646.
12. Inchingolo F, Inchingolo AM, Fatone MC, Avantario P, Del Vecchio G, Pezzolla C, et al. Management of Rheumatoid Arthritis in Primary Care: A Scoping Review. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2024;21(6):662.
13. BORKOWSKI M. MANAGEMENT IN HEALTH CARE. SELECTED LEGAL AND ORGANIZATIONAL ASPECTS IN THE POLISH HEALTH CARE SYSTEM. *Coord Public Adm.* 2024;(1):7–27.

14. Ravi P, Pfaff K, Ralph J, Cruz E, Bellaire M, Fontanin G. Nurse-pharmacist collaborations for promoting medication safety among community-dwelling adults: A scoping review. *Int J Nurs Stud Adv.* 2022;4:100079.
15. Gagliardi AR, Carrubbo L, Rozenes S, Fux A, Siano D. Assessing IoT integration in ICUs' settings and management: a cross-country analysis among local healthcare organizations. *Digit Policy, Regul Gov.* 2024;
16. Rahman T, Ahmed R, Mahmud A. Patient safety culture plays an essential role to ensure safe patient care and quality in healthcare services. *Pulse.* 2022;53–61.
17. Hasan BS, Bhatti A, Mohsin S, Barach P, Ahmed E, Ali S, et al. Recommendations for developing effective and safe paediatric and congenital heart disease services in low-income and middle-income countries: a public health framework. *BMJ Glob Heal.* 2023;8(5):e012049.
18. Alarjani NO, Aljawhari MSM, Alghaylan MYA. The Role of Modern TECHNOLOGY in Enhancing Integration Between Hospital Workers, Facilitating Work, and Improving the Quality of Patient Services. *Int J Health Sci (Qassim).* 4(S1):169–89.
19. Hassanein M, Tageldien A, Badran H, Samir H, Elshafey WE, Hassan M, et al. Current status of outpatient heart failure management in Egypt and recommendations for the future. *ESC Hear Fail.* 2023;10(5):2788–96.
20. Habibi F, Ehsani-Chimeh E, Olyaeemanesh A, Mohamadi S, Salehi S, Mohamadi E, et al. The Strategies to Improve Primary Health Care Services: A Scoping Review. *Heal Technol Assess Action.* 2024;8(4).
21. Ndateba I, Wong ST, Esculier JF, Gibbs AJ, Gourd S, Hoens AM, et al. Physiotherapist and Patient Experiences of Team-Based Interprofessional Collaboration during the COVID-19 Pandemic in Canada. *Physiother Canada.* 2024;(aop):e20230045.
22. Tan AJQ, Rusli KDB, McKenna L, Tan LLC, Liaw SY. Telemedicine experiences and perspectives of healthcare providers in long-term care: A scoping review. *J Telemed Telecare.* 2024;30(2):230–49.
23. Udoekpo RFPMU. Introduction to Hospital Services and Administration-A Paper Presented at the National Training Workshop for Diocesan Health Services Coordinators in Nigeria, on March 28-30 at the Catholic Secretariat of Nigeria (CSN) Training Hall, Durumi, Abuja, Nigeri.
24. Tandan M, Dunlea S, Cullen W, Bury G. Teamwork and its impact on chronic disease clinical outcomes in primary care: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Public Health.* 2024;229:88–115.
25. Alanzi TM. Impact of ChatGPT on teleconsultants in healthcare: perceptions of healthcare experts in Saudi Arabia. *J Multidiscip Healthc.* 2023;2309–21.
26. Rajamani SK, Iyer RS. Networks in healthcare: a systematic review. *BioMedInformatics.* 2023;3(2):391–404.
27. Alsubayti AA, Alyami AAH, Alzamanan NA, Alalhareth NH, Alalhareth SS, Almohia AM, et al. Review of the Characteristics and Distribution and Managing Health Data of Respiratory Therapy Practitioners in Saudi Arabia: Insights from Physicians, Nurses, Physical Therapists. *J Int Cris Risk Commun Res.* 2024;661–90.
28. Abdullah Z, Abdul Aziz SH, Sodri NA, Mohd Hanafiah AN, Ibrahim NI, Johari MZ. Issues and challenges in implementing care coordinator in primary healthcare in Malaysia: a qualitative study. *J Prim Care Community Health.* 2020;11:2150132720956478.
29. Udoekpo MU. Re-imagining the need for good hospital services and health care administration in catholic hospitals in Africa: The Nigerian case. *Edelweiss Appl Sci Technol.* 2024;8(6):4939–50.
30. Aggarwal M, Hutchison B, Abdelhalim R, Baker GR. Building High-Performing Primary Care Systems: After a Decade of Policy Change, Is Canada “Walking the Talk?” *Milbank Q.* 2023;101(4):1139–90.
31. El-Awaisi A, Yakti OH, Elboshra AM, Jasim KH, AboAlward AF, Shalfawi RW, et al. Facilitators and barriers to interprofessional collaboration among health professionals in primary healthcare centers in Qatar: a qualitative exploration using the “Gears” model. *BMC Prim Care.* 2024;25(1):316.
32. Kumpunen S, Webb E, Permanand G, Zheleznyakov E, Edwards N, van Ginneken E, et al. Transformations in the landscape of primary health care during COVID-19: Themes from the European region. *Health Policy (New York).* 2022;126(5):391–7.
33. Alsubaie SS, Bukhamseen ZFA, Alyami FSA, Alotaibi MF, Alkahtani FA, Alkhamsan MS, et al. Multidisciplinary Approaches in General Medical Practice: Enhancing Collaboration for Better Patient Care. *J Ecohumanism.* 2024;3(7):2659–69.
34. Al-Akash H, Aldarawshah A, Elshatarat R, Sawalha M, Saifan A, Al-Nsair N, et al. “We do others’ Jobs”: a qualitative study of non-nursing task challenges and proposed solutions. *BMC Nurs.* 2024;23(1):478.
35. Arnold C, Hennrich P, Koetsenruijter J, van Lieshout J, Peters-Klimm F, Wensing M. Cooperation networks of ambulatory health care providers: exploration of mechanisms that influence coordination and uptake of recommended cardiovascular care (ExKoCare): a mixed-methods study protocol. *BMC*

- Fam Pract. 2020;21:1–9.
36. Alcaraz KI, Wiedt TL, Daniels EC, Yabroff KR, Guerra CE, Wender RC. Understanding and addressing social determinants to advance cancer health equity in the United States: a blueprint for practice, research, and policy. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2020;70(1):31–46.
 37. Paryono P, Rochmah MA, Setyopranoto I, Trisnantoro L. Postacute-stroke management problems in home care service: a qualitative single-centered study in Yogyakarta, Indonesia. *J Neurosci Rural Pract.* 2022;13(1):50.
 38. Kumpunen S, Webb E, Permanand G, Zheleznyakov E, Edwards N, van Ginneken E, et al. Transformations in the landscape of primary health care during COVID-19: Themes from the European region. *Health Policy (New York).* 2022;126(5):391–7.