

# The impact of artificial intelligence on the personalization of learning in higher education: challenges and opportunities

Byron Oviedo-Bayas<sup>1</sup>, Dinora Alexandra Carpio Vera<sup>2</sup>, Marcos David Oviedo Rodríguez<sup>3</sup>, Liliana Napa-Arévalo<sup>4</sup>,

1. *Universidad Técnica Estatal de Quevedo, boviedo@uteq.edu.ec, ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5366-5917>*
2. *Universidad Estatal de Milagro, dcarpiov@unemi.edu.ec, ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7394-5791>*
3. *Universidad Técnica de Babahoyo, moviedorodriguez@gmail.com, ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8919-6123>*
4. *Universidad Técnica Estatal de Quevedo, filiyilili84@gmail.com, ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5652-3412>*

## ABSTRACT

Artificial intelligence (AI) transforms higher education by enabling the personalization of learning based on individual student needs. This study analyzes the impact of AI on educational personalization, exploring its benefits, challenges and opportunities in the university context. Through a mixed approach, which includes interviews, surveys and controlled experiments, the perceptions of students, teachers and administrators were evaluated, as well as the academic results associated with the use of these technologies. The findings reveal that AI improves academic performance and learning experience by offering adaptability, immediate feedback, and inclusive tools for students with specific needs. However, important barriers were identified, such as lack of teacher training, resistance to change, algorithmic bias, and inequalities in access to technology. Additionally, ethical concerns arise related to privacy and the use of student data. Despite the challenges, AI offers significant opportunities to optimize teaching time, predict dropout risks, and foster educational inclusion. This article concludes that institutions must adopt comprehensive strategies to implement AI equitably, ethically, and effectively, combining advanced technology with human-centered pedagogy.

**Keywords:** Artificial intelligence, personalization of learning, higher education, educational inclusion, technological ethics

## INTRODUCTION

In recent decades, the technological revolution has reshaped countless aspects of human life, and artificial intelligence (AI) has established itself as one of the most disruptive technologies of our time. This phenomenon has not only transformed sectors such as medicine, industry, and commerce, but has also begun to redefine the landscape of education, particularly in the field of higher education. Universities and other educational institutions are faced with the challenge of adapting to an increasingly digitized and globalized environment, where student expectations, technological advances, and labor market demands demand an innovative approach in teaching and learning methods.

AI has transformed traditional learning paradigms by introducing tools capable of tailoring content to individual student needs. According to Luckin et al. (2016), AI makes it possible to design personalized educational experiences, addressing historical limitations in traditional teaching. For their part, Holmes et al. (2019) highlight the ability of AI to analyze large volumes of educational data and provide immediate feedback.

The personalization of learning has long been an aspiration in education. Pedagogical principles indicate that each student has unique needs, learning styles and assimilation rhythms. However, traditional education systems have found it difficult to implement this level of individualization on a large scale, due to limitations of resources, time, and methodologies. It is in this context that artificial intelligence appears as a potentially revolutionary tool. Thanks to its ability to analyze large volumes of data and generate

adaptive responses, AI has the potential to personalize learning effectively, addressing the specific needs of each student and optimizing their educational experience.

Smart tutoring platforms and adaptive systems have been central themes in recent literature. For example, Graesser et al. (2018) investigate intelligent tutoring systems as tools to improve understanding of complex concepts, while Baker and Siemens (2014) explore how learning analytics predicts academic performance.

The impact of AI on the personalization of learning has begun to be evident in various contexts. AI-powered learning platforms, such as intelligent tutoring systems, educational chatbots, and predictive analytics, are transforming the way students interact with educational content, their teachers, and each other. These tools allow you to design personalized learning paths, identify areas of difficulty in real time, and provide instant feedback. In addition, AI can adapt to individual learning styles, which improves knowledge retention and increases student motivation. This level of personalization not only benefits students, but also gives educators tools to more accurately assess academic progress and design more effective pedagogical strategies.

Personalization has positioned itself as one of the greatest benefits of AI in education. Studies such as those by Chen et al. (2020) demonstrate how adaptive algorithms improve knowledge retention by adjusting content to students' learning styles. Other researchers, such as Zawacki-Richter et al. (2019), address how AI applications can bridge educational gaps by providing personalized resources.

However, incorporating AI into learning personalization also poses significant challenges that cannot be ignored. One of the main challenges lies in guaranteeing equity in access to these technologies. Existing digital divides, especially in developing countries or in marginalized communities, can be exacerbated if inclusive strategies are not implemented to ensure that all students can benefit from these innovations. In addition, ethical and legal concerns related to the use of student data, such as privacy, security, and informed consent, require careful attention. Higher education institutions must establish clear and transparent policies on data handling and ensure that AI-based systems respect fundamental ethical principles.

The implementation of AI redefines the role of educators, who must adapt to a hybrid teaching model. Williamson (2019) analyzes how AI can complement teaching, while Selwyn (2020) warns about teachers' resistance to technological integration.

Another crucial challenge is related to the impact of AI on the role of the teacher. Although AI has the potential to complement teaching tasks, there is a risk that it will be perceived as a replacement, which could lead to resistance among educators. In addition, the education and training of academic staff to use these technologies effectively represents an additional obstacle. Universities should invest in the development of digital competencies and in the creation of an organizational culture that promotes the effective integration of AI into teaching practice, ensuring that this technology is used as a tool to empower, rather than replace, teachers.

The literature also underscores ethical concerns related to the use of AI. Binns (2018) addresses algorithmic biases that can perpetuate inequalities, and Slade and Prinsloo (2013) discuss the handling of students' personal data in educational contexts.

This research topic has been dealt with a lot worldwide, this is how we can have a broad state of the art from the definition and conceptualization of AI in education, the use of technological platforms and tools based on AI, the personalization of learning indicating the potential and benefits, the impact on the role of the teacher, until the ethical and social challenges are determined with their inclusion and accessibility.

One of the main challenges is to ensure that AI is inclusive and accessible to all students. According to Khalil and Ebner (2016), the digital divide remains a significant obstacle, especially in resource-limited regions.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The development of this research focuses on exploring the impact of artificial intelligence (AI) on the personalization of learning in higher education, considering both opportunities

and challenges. To this end, a mixed methodological approach is proposed that combines qualitative and quantitative techniques, allowing a comprehensive and detailed analysis. A mixed exploratory-sequential design was used, where qualitative data are first collected and analyzed to identify key trends, and then these trends are validated using quantitative methods. This design facilitates data triangulation and increases the validity of the findings.

Necessary materials were determined such as technological infrastructure (AI-based educational platforms, data analysis software, bibliographic reference management), data sources (primary, secondary).

Within the qualitative approach, a systematic bibliographic review was carried out to identify the advances, challenges and trends related to AI and the personalization of learning using academic databases such as Scopus, Web of Science, IEEE Xplore, and Google Scholar as a source and using a PRISMA protocol to guarantee an exhaustive and replicable review.

Semi-structured interviews were then conducted with 20 faculty, 20 students, and 5 administrators at universities using AI tools. The aim is to be able to identify perceptions, benefits and concerns about the use of AI in the personalization of learning and thus to be able to then transcribe and code the interviews using a thematic analysis.

Then the focus groups were determined, which were made up of mixed groups of students and teachers who were analyzed their perceptions about the implementation of AI tools in the learning process and with that we could identify the patterns and divergences in the responses.

For the Quantitative Approach, structured surveys were carried out aimed at 200 students and 50 teachers from different universities. The instrument used was a questionnaire with a Likert scale to measure perceptions, satisfaction and perceived efficacy of AI tools, and then to make an analysis based on descriptive and inferential statistics (regression analysis and t-tests).

Next, controlled experiments were developed, including the pilot implementation of an AI-based tutoring platform in a university course. This allowed the comparison between two groups (experimental and control) in terms of academic performance and satisfaction, defining academic performance as the dependent variable, measured through final grades and the independent variable the use of the AI platform. Finally, it was analyzed with ANOVA tests to determine significant differences.

The analytical methods for qualitative analysis are the use of software: NVivo for the coding of interviews and focus groups identifying the categories and recurring themes. For the quantitative analysis, the SPSS software was used to perform the different statistical analyses that allow the identification of correlations, trends and significant effects.

Some ethical considerations were considered, such as informed consent that allows us to ensure that all participants sign an informed consent document, explaining the objectives of the research, the confidentiality of the data, and their right to withdraw at any time. Additionally, the privacy and security of the data is guaranteed since the data was anonymized and stored on secure servers. This approach ensures comprehensive analysis and enables robust findings on the impact of AI on learning personalization.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Based on the methods and materials used, the results of this research are presented, organized around the main areas of analysis: perceptions of educational actors, effectiveness of artificial intelligence (AI) in the personalization of learning, identified challenges, and future opportunities.

Regarding the perception of teachers and students about AI in the personalization of learning, the results of the interviews and focus groups by the students were the following results: 85% of the students interviewed positively valued the use of AI tools to personalize learning, highlighting as benefits the adaptability of the content and immediate feedback. However, 60% expressed concerns about the reduction of human

interaction in teaching. On the other hand, 70% of teachers considered AI to be an effective complementary tool, but 50% mentioned feeling untrained to integrate it effectively into their teaching practice.

75% of respondents reported that AI platforms improved their understanding of topics compared to traditional methods. And 65% of teachers stated that these tools save time in student evaluation.

Regarding the impact on academic performance, the results of the controlled experiments carried out on the experimental group (with AI) were that the students obtained an average of 8.7/10 final grades, while the control group (without AI) obtained an average of 7.9/10. This allows us to determine that the use of AI platforms showed a statistically significant positive impact on academic performance ( $p < 0.05$ ). Students in the experimental group highlighted that AI allowed them to identify specific areas for improvement, adjusting their learning pace according to their needs.

This study allows us to identify some latent challenges, such as having algorithmic biases since cases were detected in which AI-based personalization reinforced implicit biases. For example, students with low initial performance received more basic content, which limited their progress to more advanced topics. Another challenge is resistance to change, as 40% of teachers expressed reluctance to implement AI due to the perception that it could replace their role or increase their initial workload. A final challenge is technological limitations, as institutions with limited infrastructures reported difficulties in integrating AI platforms, especially in rural areas.

On the other hand, some opportunities were identified, such as the improvement in educational inclusion where students with disabilities reported a more accessible experience through adaptive AI tools, such as virtual assistants and applications with screen reading. Optimizing teaching time is another opportunity identified as 60% of teachers indicated that AI allowed them to focus on more meaningful activities, such as personalized mentoring and the design of learning strategies. All this helps to be able to predict student success, since AI-based learning analytics helped identify students at risk, allowing early interventions. In 70% of cases, these interventions improved academic continuity.

Regarding the validation of findings through descriptive and inferential statistics, a regression analysis was performed that showed us that the use of AI-based tools has a moderate positive correlation with academic performance ( $R^2 = 0.65$ ). On the other hand, the surveys allowed us to determine that student satisfaction with AI platforms had an average score of 4.3/5, highlighting personalization and feedback as the most valued aspects.

Referring to ethical and social considerations, 30% of students expressed concerns about the handling of their personal information. This finding underscores the need for clear policies on data security in educational institutions. Similarly, 25% of the participating universities reported difficulties in financing software licenses and training, which accentuates the digital divide between institutions.

The findings confirm that AI has a significant positive impact on the personalization of learning in higher education. However, challenges related to infrastructure, teacher training, and ethical concerns remain. Institutions that manage to overcome these barriers will be able to maximize the opportunities offered by AI, promoting more inclusive and effective learning.

## **CONCLUSIONS**

This research on the impact of artificial intelligence (AI) on the personalization of learning in higher education has identified advances, challenges, and opportunities that redefine the current educational landscape. The main findings are presented below:

- Artificial intelligence transforms the educational experience: The implementation of AI-based tools has proven to be effective in personalizing learning. These technologies allow content to be adapted to the individual needs of students, favoring their understanding and retention of knowledge. The results show that

students exposed to these platforms obtain better academic performance compared to traditional methods.

- Positive perception with challenges in implementation: Both students and teachers perceive AI as a valuable tool to complement educational processes. However, teachers face significant barriers, such as lack of training and resistance to change, which limit their effective integration. In addition, concerns about the replacement of the teaching role highlight the importance of promoting a collaborative approach where AI acts as a support, not a substitute.
- Improving educational inclusion and accessibility: AI offers meaningful opportunities to serve students with specific needs, such as physical or cognitive disabilities. Adaptive tools have proven effective in improving accessibility, which could close educational gaps in the long term.
- Need to address algorithmic biases and ethical concerns: A major challenge identified is algorithmic bias, which can perpetuate inequalities rather than correct them. In addition, privacy and ethical handling of student data are priority issues that require clear policies and robust protection mechanisms.
- Digital divide and inequality in AI adoption: Although AI tools have transformative potential, their uneven implementation between institutions with different economic and technological resources reinforces the digital divide. This poses a challenge for public policies and the designers of these technologies, who must guarantee equitable access.
- Potential to optimize teaching time and resources: AI not only benefits students, but also teachers, by automating repetitive tasks such as assessment, allowing them to focus on more meaningful activities such as personalized mentoring. This potential could improve the quality of teaching if combined with appropriate teacher training.
- Prediction and support for at-risk students: AI-based analytical tools make it possible to identify students at risk of dropping out, facilitating early interventions that improve their academic continuity. This reinforces the role of AI as an ally in improving student performance and retention.

Artificial intelligence represents a powerful tool for personalizing and enriching learning in higher education. Although the benefits are clear, its implementation requires addressing technical, ethical and social challenges. Teacher training, investment in infrastructure, and the development of inclusive and accountable policies are critical to maximizing their positive impact and ensuring that AI is accessible to all education actors.

This study suggests that higher education institutions need to take a strategic approach to integrating AI, combining advanced technology with human-centered pedagogy to fully realize its transformative opportunities.

## REFERENCES:

1. Baker, R. S., & Siemens, G. (2014). Educational data mining and learning analytics. *Journal of Educational Data Mining*, 5(1), 1-15. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3554657>
2. Binns, R. (2018). Fairness in machine learning: Lessons from political philosophy. *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency*, 149-159. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3287560.3287583>
3. Chen, G., Zhao, X., & Li, S. (2020). Adaptive learning systems in higher education: A review of applications and challenges. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 68(3), 1027-1050. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-020-09799-9>

4. Graesser, A. C., Hu, X., & Sottolare, R. (2018). Intelligent tutoring systems. In Spector, J. M., & Lockee, B. B. (Eds.), *Learning, design, and technology* (pp. 1-29). Springer. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-17727-4\\_6](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-17727-4_6)
5. Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2019). *Artificial intelligence in education: Promises and implications for teaching and learning*. Center for Curriculum Redesign.
6. Khalil, H., & Ebner, M. (2016). What is learning analytics about? A literature review of learning analytics research in higher education. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 74, 778-788. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2016.07.016>
7. Luckin, R., Holmes, W., Griffiths, M., & Forcier, L. B. (2016). *Intelligence unleashed: An argument for AI in education*. Pearson Education.
8. Selwyn, N. (2020). Re-imagining the role of technology in higher education: Moving beyond COVID-19. *Postdigital Science and Education*, 2(3), 561-568. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42438-020-00123-6>
9. Slade, S., & Prinsloo, P. (2013). Learning analytics: Ethical issues and dilemmas. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 57(10), 1510-1529. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0002764213479366>
10. Williamson, B. (2019). Policy networks, performance metrics and platform markets: Charting the expanding data infrastructure of higher education. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 50(6), 2794-2809. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12849>
11. Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 16(1), 39. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0171-0>
12. Wang, Y., & De la Torre, J. (2018). Learning analytics: A critical review of the state of the field. *Educational Psychology Review*, 30(3), 511-523. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-018-9426-8>
13. Olmos, M., & Corrin, L. (2019). Learning analytics in higher education: A review of literature. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education*, 29(1), 65-82. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40593-018-00119-y>
14. De Laat, M., & Ryberg, T. (2020). AI and analytics in higher education: Ethical perspectives. *Studies in Higher Education*, 45(4), 684-692. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2020.1712690>
15. Kitto, K., Lupton, M., Davis, K., & Waters, Z. (2017). Designing for student empowerment in learning analytics. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, 33(3), 61-77. <https://doi.org/10.14742/ajet.2850>
16. Mislevy, R. J., & Haertel, G. D. (2020). Implications of artificial intelligence for educational assessment. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 57(3), 126-140. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jedm.12246>
17. Wang, M., & Berger, E. (2021). Integrating AI in higher education: Challenges and recommendations. *Computers & Education*, 174, 104310. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2021.104310>
18. Bond, M. (2020). Facilitating student engagement through AI-driven technologies. *Educational Media International*, 57(2), 75-92. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09523987.2020.1751230>
19. Liu, D., & Ren, H. (2021). Privacy and ethics in learning analytics: An overview. *Educational Research Review*, 33, 100397. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2021.100397>
20. Long, P. D., & Siemens, G. (2011). Penetrating the fog: Analytics in learning and education. *EDUCAUSE Review*, 46(5), 31-40.