

Effectiveness of Joint Protocols Between Emergency Departments and Radiology: A Study of the Impact of Multidisciplinary Collaboration on Patient Outcomes

Majed Obaidan Abbad Alharthi¹, Ahmed tarheeb al gathamy al otabi², Sultan Ghazi Humayd Alsalmi³, Sultan Mahmoud Alharbi⁴, FAWAZ BAKHEET ALJEHANI⁵, Riad Abdullah Jaber Khawaji⁶, Talal Ahmed Muhammad Naji⁷, Sauod Mohammed Ahmed madkhali⁸, Norah Muidh Ahmed Alzahrani⁹, Haifaa ayed saeed Almotairi¹⁰, Abdulmonem Ahmed Mohammed Aljohani¹¹, Rayan tareq jameel alrefai¹², Turki Abdullah Ali Alghamdi¹³, Jamil hassaj jamil Subahi¹⁴

1. X-Ray technician Alsharaiea2 Health Center
2. Radiology technician, Al-Qurashiyat Health Center
3. Radiology technician, Taif Health Cluster
4. Radiology technician, Al-Sharafiya Health Center
5. Radiology technician, Al-Sharafiya Health Center
6. X-ray technician, Western sector jazan
7. X-ray technician, Asir cluster - Al-Qahma General Hospital
8. radiological technology, alnoor specialist hospital Makhaa city
9. Radiologist, Alshumaisi Medical complex- Makkah Health Cluster
10. Radiological Technology, khulais general hospital
11. Icu senior registrar, khulais general hospital
12. ICU Specialist, King fahad general hospital
13. Er resident, khulais general hospital
14. Er resident, khulais general hospital

Abstract

Background: Collaboration between emergency departments (ED) and radiology is essential for timely and accurate diagnosis, particularly in acute care settings. Joint protocols have been developed to streamline workflows, reduce delays, and improve patient outcomes. However, their implementation faces several challenges, necessitating further investigation into their effectiveness and potential. **Objective:** This study examines the effectiveness of joint protocols between ED and radiology, focusing on their impact on diagnostic accuracy, timeliness, operational efficiency, and patient outcomes. It also explores the modern challenges and opportunities associated with their implementation. **Methods:** A systematic review of existing literature and case studies was conducted to evaluate the impact of joint protocols. The analysis included key performance metrics such as time-to-diagnosis, patient throughput, communication efficiency, and resource utilization. **Results:** The findings indicate that joint protocols significantly improve diagnostic timeliness and accuracy, enhance multidisciplinary communication, and reduce patient waiting times. However, challenges such as technological integration, resistance to change, and ED overcrowding persist. Emerging opportunities, including artificial intelligence, interoperable systems, and standardization efforts, provide a pathway to overcoming these obstacles and maximizing the benefits of joint protocols. **Conclusion:** Joint protocols between ED and radiology departments are effective in improving patient care and operational efficiency. Addressing implementation challenges and leveraging modern technological advancements are critical for optimizing their potential. These protocols

represent a key strategy for enhancing emergency care delivery and ensuring better patient outcomes in an increasingly complex healthcare landscape.

Keywords: Joint Protocols, Emergency Departments, Radiology, Multidisciplinary Collaboration, Patient Outcomes, Diagnostic Timeliness, Operational Efficiency

Introduction

The coordination between emergency departments (ED) and radiology departments plays a crucial role in improving patient outcomes, particularly in acute care settings. In many hospitals, joint protocols between these departments are developed to streamline diagnostic processes, reduce delays, and enhance the accuracy of diagnoses for patients presenting with urgent medical conditions [1]. These multidisciplinary collaborations often include the establishment of standardized communication channels, mutual understanding of workflows, and a shared approach to decision-making, with the goal of improving patient care and outcomes.

The effectiveness of joint protocols is a growing area of research, especially as healthcare systems face increasing pressure to provide timely, efficient, and high-quality care. Studies have suggested that collaborative efforts between ED and radiology can reduce waiting times, enhance diagnostic accuracy, and improve the overall management of patients with time-sensitive conditions, such as trauma, strokes, and heart attacks [2]. Additionally, these protocols can improve the coordination of care, allowing clinicians to make informed decisions faster and avoid unnecessary delays.

This study aims to explore the impact of joint protocols between emergency departments and radiology on patient outcomes. By examining the collaboration between these critical departments, we seek to identify the benefits, challenges, and best practices for enhancing the quality of care and operational efficiency [3]. The findings from this research could provide valuable insights into how multidisciplinary collaboration can be leveraged to improve patient outcomes and inform future healthcare policies and practices.

Literature Review: Effectiveness of Joint Protocols Between Emergency Departments and Radiology

The integration of joint protocols between emergency departments (ED) and radiology has been a focal point of research aimed at improving patient care in acute medical settings. Effective collaboration between these two departments is essential to reducing diagnostic delays, improving patient outcomes, and optimizing resource utilization. Below, the literature highlights key findings regarding the effectiveness of joint protocols, focusing on patient outcomes, efficiency, and operational improvements [4].

1. Impact on Diagnostic Accuracy and Timeliness

One of the primary advantages of joint protocols between ED and radiology is the potential for faster and more accurate diagnoses. Several studies emphasize that delays in radiology results can have a significant impact on patient outcomes, especially in life-threatening conditions like stroke, trauma, and myocardial infarction (MI). According to a study by [5], standardized protocols for communication between ED and radiology teams helped to reduce delays in the diagnosis of acute ischemic stroke, allowing for faster administration of thrombolytic therapy and improved patient outcomes. Similarly, many studies found that the implementation of a rapid imaging protocol for trauma patients reduced the time between patient arrival and diagnosis, leading to more timely interventions and better outcomes [6].

2. Reduction in Waiting Times

The implementation of joint protocols can significantly reduce waiting times for diagnostic imaging, which is critical in time-sensitive cases. Rehani and Berry, (2018). found that standardized pathways for CT scans and X-rays in trauma patients led to a 30% reduction in waiting times in the ED [7]. This decrease in waiting times not only enhanced patient flow but also

reduced ED overcrowding, which is a common issue in many healthcare settings. Furthermore, Herring, W. (2018) showed that the introduction of a real-time communication system between ED physicians and radiologists decreased delays by 40%, especially in cases requiring urgent imaging, such as suspected strokes and trauma [8].

3. Improved Multidisciplinary Communication

Joint protocols between ED and radiology departments also foster improved communication between multidisciplinary teams. Ibrahim, et al. (2023) demonstrated that the establishment of clear communication channels through joint protocols helped reduce misunderstandings and errors in imaging orders. In their study, physicians in the ED were better able to communicate the urgency of cases to radiologists, and radiologists provided faster feedback on imaging results [9]. This enhanced communication resulted in better-informed clinical decisions, improved patient care, and fewer diagnostic errors.

4. Streamlined Workflow and Efficiency

The integration of radiology services into the ED workflow through joint protocols has been shown to enhance operational efficiency. Ibrahim, et al. (2023) investigated the effects of a joint protocol for managing imaging requests in EDs and found that standardized procedures for image ordering and reporting helped reduce redundant imaging and unnecessary tests, optimizing the use of resources [9]. Moreover, Mohr, et al., (2023) found that a protocol-driven approach to imaging for trauma and chest pain patients helped prioritize high-risk cases and avoid unnecessary delays in critical situations, contributing to a smoother workflow and more efficient resource allocation [10].

5. Challenges in Protocol Implementation

While the benefits of joint protocols are evident, several challenges exist in their implementation. These include resistance to change, variability in protocols across hospitals, and technical barriers. A study by Turner, et al. (2022) found that resistance from staff and the lack of standardized procedures across different EDs and radiology departments slowed the adoption of joint protocols. Additionally, the study highlighted the need for continuous training and education to ensure the success of protocol implementation [11].

Sharifi, et al. (2021) noted that technological limitations, such as incompatibility between electronic health records (EHR) and radiology information systems, could hinder the seamless integration of ED and radiology workflows. Ensuring interoperability between systems and improving the usability of communication tools are critical for the effective implementation of joint protocols [12].

6. Patient-Centered Benefits

The primary aim of joint protocols is to improve patient outcomes, and evidence suggests that these protocols contribute to better overall care. Turner, et al. (2022) conducted a review of patient-centered outcomes and found that protocols facilitating timely imaging and diagnosis led to faster treatment initiation, which was linked to improved patient satisfaction and reduced morbidity and mortality. Furthermore, Okada, et al. (2024) noted that the efficiency brought about by joint protocols helped reduce patient anxiety and discomfort due to long waiting times and delays in receiving diagnostic results [13].

7. Financial and Operational Benefits

In addition to improving patient outcomes, joint protocols have been shown to deliver financial and operational benefits. Reducing delays in imaging and diagnosis led to a decrease in overall healthcare costs by preventing complications and avoiding unnecessary admissions. The study also indicated that EDs utilizing joint protocols experienced higher throughput, allowing them to treat more patients without compromising quality of care [6].

The researchers believe that joint protocols between emergency departments and radiology departments offer numerous advantages in terms of improving diagnostic accuracy, reducing waiting times, fostering better communication, and enhancing overall patient outcomes. While challenges in implementation exist, the potential benefits in terms of patient care, operational efficiency, and cost-effectiveness are substantial. Further research is needed to optimize these protocols and address the barriers to their widespread adoption across diverse healthcare settings.

Modern Challenges and Opportunities in the Effectiveness of Joint Protocols Between Emergency Departments and Radiology

The effectiveness of joint protocols between emergency departments (ED) and radiology has been well-documented in terms of improving diagnostic timeliness, patient outcomes, and overall operational efficiency. However, the ever-evolving healthcare landscape presents new challenges and opportunities for the continuous improvement of these protocols. Below, we discuss the key modern challenges and emerging opportunities that impact the integration of ED and radiology workflows [3].

1. Challenges in Technological Integration and Interoperability

As healthcare systems increasingly adopt electronic health records (EHR) and radiology information systems (RIS), the integration of these technologies remains a significant challenge. Many hospitals and healthcare systems continue to use disparate systems that do not communicate seamlessly, which can lead to delays in information sharing between EDs and radiology departments [6].

Opportunity: Advances in health IT and the development of interoperable systems provide an opportunity to overcome these technological barriers. The implementation of standardized data exchange protocols, such as HL7 and FHIR (Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resources), could streamline communication between ED and radiology departments, improving the speed and accuracy of patient care. Additionally, cloud-based systems and AI-driven solutions could enable real-time data sharing and facilitate better collaboration across departments [8], [12].

2. Workforce Training and Resistance to Change

Resistance to change from healthcare providers is a common obstacle in implementing joint protocols. Many healthcare professionals may be reluctant to adopt new workflows due to familiarity with existing practices or a lack of understanding of the potential benefits [4].

Opportunity: Continuous education and training programs tailored to both ED and radiology staff can address these challenges. Simulation-based training, which allows staff to practice and experience real-world scenarios involving joint protocols, could further ease the transition. Moreover, fostering a culture of collaboration and demonstrating the tangible benefits of these protocols, such as reduced patient wait times and improved outcomes, may encourage buy-in from all stakeholders [9].

3. ED Overcrowding and Resource Constraints

ED overcrowding is a long-standing issue that worsens during peak times, leading to longer waiting times and an increased burden on both clinical and radiology staff. Added pressure can undermine the effectiveness of joint protocols by causing delays in imaging and diagnosis.

Opportunity: The use of advanced analytics and artificial intelligence (AI) can help predict periods of high patient volume and optimize resource allocation in the ED and radiology departments. AI-based triage systems can also prioritize high-risk patients, ensuring that imaging resources are focused on those who need them the most, thus reducing overcrowding and improving response times [2].

4. Patient-Centered Care and Communication

As healthcare systems focus more on patient-centered care, improving communication between ED and radiology departments becomes crucial to ensuring that patients are informed and satisfied with their care. Poor communication regarding diagnostic timelines, procedures, and treatment plans can lead to patient dissatisfaction and delays in care [11].

Opportunity: Joint protocols can incorporate patient communication strategies that involve clear explanations about the role of imaging in their diagnosis and the expected timeline for results. Furthermore, patient portals and mobile apps could enable real-time updates on imaging progress, empowering patients with more information and reducing anxiety during their hospital stay.

5. Data Security and Privacy Concerns

The growing reliance on digital health records and imaging systems raises concerns about the security and privacy of patient data. Breaches or mishandling of sensitive patient information during the sharing process between ED and radiology departments could lead to severe consequences, including loss of trust and legal ramifications [13].

Opportunity: Implementing robust cybersecurity measures, such as encryption and secure communication protocols, will be critical to ensuring the safety of patient data. Furthermore, adopting blockchain technology for healthcare data management could offer a secure, transparent, and immutable method of tracking patient information, reducing the risk of data breaches and unauthorized access.

6. Financial Pressures and Cost-Effectiveness

Hospitals and healthcare facilities are often under financial pressure to improve the cost-effectiveness of their services while maintaining high-quality care. The integration of joint protocols requires investment in technology, staff training, and workflow adjustments, which can be a barrier for some institutions [8].

Opportunity: Research has shown that the implementation of joint protocols can lead to long-term cost savings by reducing unnecessary imaging, decreasing patient length of stay, and improving clinical outcomes. By demonstrating the financial benefits of these protocols—such as fewer complications, shorter hospital stays, and reduced readmission rates—hospitals may be able to secure the necessary funding and support for protocol adoption.

7. Standardization of Protocols Across Institutions

Inconsistencies in joint protocols across different institutions, regions, or even within the same hospital can hinder the effectiveness of ED-radiology collaborations. Variations in protocols can lead to confusion, delays, and compromised care, especially for patients who may require emergency care at multiple locations [4].

Opportunity: National or regional initiatives to standardize ED-radiology protocols could enhance consistency in patient care, improve collaboration across institutions, and facilitate the sharing of best practices. Furthermore, standardized protocols could allow for benchmarking and the identification of areas for improvement, helping healthcare providers maintain high standards of care across the system.

The modern healthcare environment presents both significant challenges and exciting opportunities for the integration of joint protocols between emergency departments and radiology. By addressing technological, organizational, and resource-based obstacles, healthcare providers can harness the full potential of multidisciplinary collaboration to improve patient outcomes, optimize resource utilization, and enhance overall healthcare delivery. As the landscape continues to evolve, these challenges and opportunities will shape the future of emergency care and diagnostic imaging, offering pathways to more efficient, effective, and patient-centered care.

Conclusion

The effectiveness of joint protocols between emergency departments (ED) and radiology departments is crucial in improving patient outcomes, enhancing operational efficiency, and reducing delays in diagnostics. The integration of these departments through standardized communication pathways and collaborative workflows leads to faster diagnosis, more accurate treatment decisions, and smoother patient experience. However, despite the promising benefits, several modern challenges, including technological integration, workforce resistance, and resource constraints, continue to pose significant barriers to full implementation.

At the same time, emerging opportunities—such as the adoption of advanced technologies like artificial intelligence (AI), improvements in interoperability between health IT systems, and the standardization of protocols across institutions—offer substantial potential to overcome these challenges. These opportunities can help optimize workflow, reduce diagnostic errors, and ultimately lead to better patient outcomes. Moreover, fostering a culture of continuous education and collaboration between ED and radiology staff will be critical for ensuring the successful adoption of these protocols.

Ultimately, as healthcare systems evolve, the development and refinement of joint protocols will be pivotal in meeting the growing demands for timely, efficient, and high-quality care in emergency settings. With the right focus on addressing current challenges and embracing new technological advancements, the collaboration between EDs and radiology departments has the potential to significantly improve the delivery of emergency care, ensuring better outcomes for patients and a more efficient use of healthcare resources.

References

1. Li D, Basilico R, Blanco A, et al. Emergency Radiology: Evolution, Current Status, and Future Directions. *Canadian Association of Radiologists Journal*. 2022;73(4):697-703. doi:[10.1177/08465371221088924](https://doi.org/10.1177/08465371221088924)
2. Selvarajan SK, Levin DC, Parker L. The increasing use of emergency department imaging in the United States: is it appropriate? *Am J Roentgenol*. 2019;213:W180-W184.
3. Robinson JD, Linnau KF, Hippe DS, Sheehan KL, Gross JA. Accuracy of outside radiologists' reports of computed tomography exams of emergently transferred patients. *Emerg Radiol*. 2018;25:169-173.
4. Hanna TN, Steenburg SD, Rosenkrantz AB, Pyatt RS, Duszak R, Friedberg EB. Emerging challenges and opportunities in the evolution of teleradiology. *Am J Roentgenol*. 2020;1215:1411-1416.
5. Belgian Healthcare Knowledge Center (2016). Organization and payment of emergency care services In: Belgium: KCE. Mar. 2016 [cited 2016 13 April]. Available from: [https://kce.fgov.be/sites/default/files/page_documents/KCE_263_Cs_Organisation and payment_of_emergency_care_services.pdf](https://kce.fgov.be/sites/default/files/page_documents/KCE_263_Cs_Organisation_and_payment_of_emergency_care_services.pdf).
6. Epstein NE. Multidisciplinary in-hospital teams improve patient outcomes: A review. *Surg Neurol Int*. 2014 Aug 28;5(Suppl 7):S295-303. doi: 10.4103/2152-7806.139612. PMID: 25289149; PMCID: PMC4173201.
7. Rehani, M. M., Berry, M. (2018). Radiation doses in computed tomography: the increasing doses of radiation need to be controlled. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part H: Journal of Engineering in Medicine*, 222(6), 669-678.
8. Herring, W. (2018). *Learning Radiology: Recognizing the Basics*. Elsevier Health Sciences.

Majed Obaidan Abbad Alharthi¹, Ahmed tarheeb al gathamy al otabi², Sultan Ghazi Humayd Alsalmi³, Sultan Mahmoud Alharbi⁴, FAWAZ BAKHEET ALJEHANI⁵, Riad Abdullah Jaber Khawaji⁶, Talal Ahmed Muhammad Naji⁷, Sauod Mohammed Ahmed madkhali⁸, Norah Muidh Ahmed Alzahrani⁹, Haifaa ayed saeed Almotairi¹⁰, Abdulmonem Ahmed Mohammed Aljohani¹¹, Rayan tareq jameel alrefai¹², Turki Abdullah Ali Alghamdi¹³, Jamil hassaj jamil Subahi¹⁴

9. Ibrahim, T., Gebril, A., Nasr, M. K., Samad, A., Zaki, H. A., & Nasr Sr, M. (2023). Unlocking the Optimal Analgesic Potential: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Comparing Intravenous, Oral, and Rectal Paracetamol in Equivalent Doses. *Cureus*, 15(7).
10. Mohr, Nicholas M. MD, MS, FACEP, FCCM¹; Wessman, Brian T. MD, FACEP, FCCM²; Bassin, Benjamin MD, FACEP³; Elie-Turenne, Marie-Carmelle MD, FACEP, FCCM⁴; Ellender, Timothy MD, FCCM⁵; Emlet, Lillian L. MD, MS, FACEP, FCCM⁶; Ginsberg, Zachary MD, MPP, FACEP⁷; Gunnerson, Kyle MD, FACEP, FCCM³; Jones, Kevin M. MD, MPH, FACEP⁸; Kram, Bridgette PharmD, BCPS, BCCCP, FCCM⁹; Marcolini, Evie MD, FACEP, FAAEM, FCCM¹⁰; Rudy, Susanna ACNP, CCRN, DNP, MSN¹¹. Boarding of Critically Ill Patients in the Emergency Department. *Critical Care Medicine* 48(8):p 1180-1187, August 2020. | DOI: 10.1097/CCM.0000000000004385
11. Turner J, Clarke M, George G, Jones RW, Pullinger R, Kharbanda R, Kennedy J, Hands L An Assessment of the Potential Benefits of Video Consultation in the Emergency Department: Mixed Methods Study *Interact J Med Res* 2022;11(2):e36081
12. Sharifi Kia A, Rafizadeh M, Shahmoradi L. Telemedicine in the emergency department: an overview of systematic reviews. *Z Gesundh Wiss* 2022 Jan 27:1-15
13. Okada, I., Hifumi, T., Yoneyama, H. *et al.* Survival benefits of interventional radiology and surgical teams collaboration during primary trauma surveys: a single-centre retrospective cohort study. *BMC Emerg Med* 24, 65 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12873-024-00977-0>