

Contributions Of Dental Technicians, Laboratory Specialists, And Health Informatics To Care Quality And Patient Safety In University Hospitals: A Systematic Review

Hussam Ahmed Kashmiri¹, Ali Hassan Alshareef¹, Musleh Mohammed Al-Sahabi¹, Majed Tariq Al-Towairqi¹, Al-Mohanad Abdulshakoor Al-Sulaimani¹, Ahmed Mohammed Halawani¹, Saud Musaad Al-Rashdi¹, Ehab Fawzi Marghalani¹, Yasser Muneef Al-Otaibi², Abdulaziz Dhaifallah Hawi³, Amro Hussein Ahmed Salloum⁴, Shahad Khalid Al-Jared⁵, Ohud Abdulrahman Fallatah⁵

¹ Dental Technician, University Dental Hospital, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.

² Dental Technology Specialist, University Dental Hospital, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.

³ Assistant Medical Administration, University Dental Hospital, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.

⁴ Laboratory Specialist, Microbiology Laboratory, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.

⁵ Health Information Management - Medical Coding Unit, University Hospital, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.

Abstract

Dental technicians play a critical role in ensuring the quality of dental prosthetics and patient safety in university hospital settings. This systematic review investigates the integrated contributions of dental technicians, laboratory specialists, and health informatics professionals to dental care quality and patient safety, emphasizing the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration. A comprehensive search of PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar identified 145 articles published between 2018 and 2024, of which 24 met predefined inclusion criteria. Results indicate that integrating these disciplines improves prosthetic accuracy, patient outcomes, laboratory efficiency, and overall safety. The findings highlight the need for ongoing professional development and interdisciplinary teamwork as key strategies to enhance quality in academic dental environments.

Keywords: Dental Technicians, Patient Safety, Healthcare Quality, Dental Prosthetics, Laboratory Specialists, Health Informatics, Systematic Review.

1. Introduction

University hospitals are complex, multidisciplinary environments where dental care relies on coordinated teamwork among various health professionals. The literature highlights the ongoing evolution of dental technology and the rising expectations for quality and safety in academic settings [1]. Within this context, laboratory specialists and health informatics professionals have become indispensable partners for dental technicians, ensuring reliable diagnostic data, infection control, digital workflow integration, and rigorous safety protocols.

Hussam Ahmed Kashmiri¹, Ali Hassan Alshareef¹, Musleh Mohammed Al-Sahabi¹, Majed Tariq Al-Towairqi¹, Al-Mohanad Abdulshakoor Al-Sulaimani¹, Ahmed Mohammed Halawani¹, Saud MUSAAD Al-Rashdi¹, Ehab Fawzi Marghalani¹, Yasser Muneef Al-Otaibi², Abdulaziz Dhaifallah Hawi³, Amro Hussein Ahmed Salloum⁴, Shahad Khalid Al-Jard⁵, Mokhtar Abdulrahman Fallatah⁵

Oral healthcare has advanced significantly, with increased attention to patient safety and the consistent delivery of high-quality outcomes. Traditionally, dental technicians have worked “behind the scenes,” but their expertise is critical for the accurate fabrication of dental prostheses and appliances. Research shows that errors or inconsistencies in laboratory work are closely linked to clinical complications such as prosthetic failure, poor fit, and patient dissatisfaction [6,7].

Global studies emphasize the evolution of dental laboratories, driven by innovations in digital dentistry, such as CAD/CAM technology and 3D printing [2,3]. These advances have improved both the accuracy and efficiency of prosthetic fabrication and have raised standards for quality assurance and patient safety. Health informatics systems further support process standardization and help minimize human error [12,13].

Comparative reviews indicate considerable variation in how countries regulate dental laboratory practices. In advanced healthcare systems, strict accreditation protocols, ongoing professional development, and transparent quality indicators are standard [1,4]. Conversely, regions with weaker regulation often see higher laboratory error rates, highlighting the value of international standards. Leading health organizations, including the World Health Organization (WHO), American Dental Association (ADA), and Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), have all published guidance on laboratory safety, quality, and integration with clinical care [5].

Despite progress, communication gaps between clinicians and laboratory personnel persist as a primary source of error. The literature increasingly supports integrating laboratory specialists and health informatics professionals to bridge these gaps, ensure data integrity, and promote a culture of continuous improvement. As dental care becomes more interdisciplinary, the role of dental technicians in quality and safety is gaining deserved recognition [8].

Objectives

This review systematically evaluates the role of dental technicians in promoting dental care quality and patient safety in university hospitals, with a focus on interdisciplinary collaboration with laboratory specialists and health informatics professionals. The main objectives are: 1. To assess the direct contributions of dental technicians to prosthetic accuracy and patient outcomes. 2. To examine how laboratory specialists and health informatics professionals support quality assurance and error prevention. 3. To identify current challenges, best practices, and policy implications for improving quality and patient safety in academic healthcare settings.

2. Methodology

This review followed the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) guidelines [4].

Search Strategy and Selection Criteria

A comprehensive literature search was conducted in PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar for articles published between January 2018 and May 2024. The search strategy combined keywords and MeSH terms, including: (“Dental Technicians” OR “Dental Laboratory Technology”) AND (“Patient Safety” OR “Healthcare Quality”) AND (“Dental Prosthetics” OR “CAD/CAM”) AND (“Health Informatics”) AND (“University Hospitals” OR “Academic Dental Centers”).

Inclusion criteria: 1. Peer-reviewed original research. 2. Published in English. 3. Focused on the role of dental technicians, laboratory specialists, or health informatics in quality or safety. 4. Conducted in a university hospital or academic setting.

Exclusion criteria: 1. Review articles, case reports, or opinion pieces. 2. Studies not directly relevant to the research questions. 3. Articles with inaccessible full texts.

PRISMA Flow and Study Selection

- Identification: 145 articles retrieved from database searches.
- Screening: After removing duplicates, 102 titles and abstracts were screened.
- Eligibility: 60 articles underwent full-text screening.
- Inclusion: 24 studies ultimately met the inclusion criteria and were included in the synthesis.

Data Extraction and Synthesis

Data were extracted using a standardized form, capturing study design, setting, participant demographics, interventions, and key outcomes related to quality and safety. A narrative synthesis was performed to integrate the findings across the included studies.

Characteristics of Included Studies

Most studies were conducted in academic or university hospital settings and were observational or qualitative, focusing on interdisciplinary collaboration, laboratory quality protocols, error prevention, and digital technology adoption. Participants typically included dental technicians, laboratory specialists, health informatics professionals, and clinicians. Key study characteristics included publication year, country, research design, and main outcomes related to quality and patient safety.

3. Results

Quality Assurance and Error Prevention in Dental Laboratories

Quality assurance and error prevention in dental laboratories are central to both patient safety and the reputation of dental practices, especially in university hospitals, where complexity is high and standards are rigorous. International guidelines, such as those from the ADA and CDC, emphasize that effective quality assurance (QA) programs must include standardized protocols, routine equipment calibration, and systematic checks at each stage of prosthesis fabrication [9,10].

Dental technicians, laboratory specialists, and health informatics professionals are all frontline contributors to QA. Common errors such as inaccurate impressions, improper material selection, or contamination can result in significant patient complications if not detected early [15,16]. Laboratories employ checklists, double-verification systems, and digital workflow solutions to reduce such risks [12]. The adoption of CAD/CAM systems has improved accuracy and traceability, enabling rapid identification and correction of discrepancies [3,13].

Error prevention is as much about fostering a culture of safety as it is about technology. Successful laboratories encourage staff to report near misses and incidents without fear of blame. Continuous education and regular audits are hallmarks of high-performing laboratories, ensuring that knowledge gaps are quickly addressed. Collaborative meetings between technicians, laboratory specialists, informatics professionals, and clinicians support open discussion, problem-solving, and ongoing quality improvement [18].

Key Findings from Included Studies

Synthesis of the included studies reveals that dental technicians, laboratory specialists, and health informatics professionals collectively drive improvements in dental care quality and patient safety in university hospital settings. Key findings include: 1. Dental Technicians' Direct Impact: Dental technicians directly affect the accuracy, durability, and patient satisfaction of dental prosthetics, especially through meticulous fabrication and use of digital technologies [14]. 2. Laboratory Specialists' Quality Control Role: Laboratory specialists are crucial for implementing and monitoring quality control protocols, calibrating instruments, and conducting audits. Their efforts significantly reduce laboratory errors and cross-contamination [11,15,16]. 3. Health Informatics Integration: Health informatics professionals enable efficient data management, digital workflow tracking, and real-time error reporting, supporting communication among all dental care team members [12,13]. 4. Interdisciplinary Collaboration Benefits: Interdisciplinary teamwork is associated with reduced turnaround times, improved diagnostic accuracy, quicker error detection, and more effective patient safety interventions [18]. 5. Digital Workflow Improvements: Joint efforts in digital workflow management, error tracking, and infection control have improved clinical and laboratory outcomes in academic settings.

Persistent Challenges

Despite these positive outcomes, persistent challenges include: - Resource constraints in university hospital settings. - Training gaps among interdisciplinary team members. - Communication barriers between departments. - Inconsistent adherence to quality standards across different institutions.

4. Discussion

This review demonstrates the essential value of interdisciplinary teams comprising dental technicians, laboratory specialists, and health informatics professionals in achieving and sustaining quality and safety in dental care, particularly in university hospitals. The integration of digital technologies, robust protocols, and open communication channels underpins continuous improvement.

Key Challenges and Opportunities

Persistent challenges include resource constraints, training gaps, and communication barriers between departments. However, these challenges also present opportunities for innovation. For instance, implementing shared digital platforms can mitigate communication issues, while targeted cross-disciplinary training can address knowledge gaps.

Comparison with Existing Literature

Our findings align with previous research emphasizing the benefits of digital workflows and interdisciplinary care [17]. However, this review uniquely synthesizes the contributions of three distinct professional groups, offering a more holistic perspective on quality assurance in academic dental settings. Comparisons with earlier literature indicate that university hospitals with established interdisciplinary collaboration outperform less integrated systems in patient safety.

Limitations

This review is limited by the exclusion of non-English studies and potential publication bias. The heterogeneity in study designs and outcome measures across the included articles precluded a meta-analysis and may limit the generalizability of the findings. Diversity in study designs, settings, and

reporting standards may further limit generalizability. Focusing on university hospital environments may not capture all relevant challenges present in other healthcare settings.

5. Policy and Practical Implications

The findings have significant implications for university hospital leaders, policymakers, and educators. Recommendations include:

Policy Recommendations

- Establish interdisciplinary quality committees in all dental laboratories within university hospitals to oversee safety protocols.
- Develop standardized accreditation requirements for dental laboratory operations in academic settings.
- Implement mandatory continuing education programs for all dental laboratory personnel.

Practice Recommendations

- Prioritize integrated digital systems that connect clinical and laboratory workflows to ensure seamless data transfer and reduce errors.
- Adopt standardized safety protocols across all laboratory operations.
- Implement real-time error reporting systems to enable immediate corrective actions.

Education Recommendations

- Invest in ongoing professional education and cross-disciplinary training programs to foster a shared understanding of quality and safety goals.
- Develop simulation-based training programs for interdisciplinary teams.
- Create mentorship programs pairing experienced professionals with newcomers.

Cultural Recommendations

- Promote a non-punitive culture of error reporting and transparency to encourage continuous learning and improvement.
- Foster open communication channels between all team members.
- Support transparency in quality metrics and outcomes reporting.

6. Future Directions

Future research should focus on: 1. Cost-effectiveness Analysis: Evaluating the cost-effectiveness of integrated digital workflows in university hospital dental laboratories. 2. Standardized Metrics Development: Developing standardized metrics for assessing interdisciplinary team performance and patient outcomes. 3. Longitudinal Studies: Conducting longitudinal studies to measure the long-term impact of these collaborations on patient outcomes and safety. 4. Innovative Collaboration Models: Exploring innovative models of interdisciplinary collaboration in different healthcare settings. 5. Emerging Technology Impact: Investigating the impact of emerging digital tools and artificial intelligence on dental laboratory operations. 6. Comparative Studies: Conducting comparative studies between university hospitals and other healthcare institutions. 7. Multicenter Research: Implementing real-world, multicenter research to establish evidence-based best practices.

7. Conclusion

Dental technicians, laboratory specialists, and health informatics professionals collectively play a central role in advancing dental care quality and patient safety in university hospital environments.

Hussam Ahmed Kashmiri¹, Ali Hassan Alshareef¹, Musleh Mohammed Al-Sahabi¹, Majed Tariq Al-Towairqi¹, Al-Mohanad Abdulshakoor Al-Sulaimani¹, Ahmed Mohammed Halawani¹, Saud Musaad Al-Rashdi¹, Ehab Fawzi Marghalani¹, Yasser Muneef Al-Otaibi², Abdulaziz Dhaifallah Hawi³, Amro Hussein Ahmed Salloum⁴, Shahad Khalid Al-Jard⁵, Mokhtar Abdulrahman Fallatah⁵

Their integrated efforts supported by robust systems, training, and leadership are essential for meeting modern standards and ensuring optimal patient outcomes.

The evidence demonstrates that interdisciplinary collaboration, when properly implemented and supported, leads to measurable improvements in prosthetic accuracy, patient satisfaction, laboratory efficiency, and overall safety outcomes. However, success requires ongoing investment in technology, training, and organizational culture change.

As dental care continues to evolve with new technologies and increasing complexity, the importance of these collaborative relationships will only grow. University hospitals, as centers of education and innovation, have a unique opportunity and responsibility to lead the way in establishing best practices for interdisciplinary dental care teams.

Ongoing investment in interdisciplinary collaboration and technology will be crucial to sustaining excellence in academic dental care and ensuring that patients receive the highest quality, safest dental care possible.

References

1. Rekow ED. Digital dentistry: The new state of the art - is it disruptive or evolutionary? *Dental Materials*. 2020;36(1):9-24. doi:10.1016/j.dental.2019.11.013.
2. Miyazaki T, Nakamura T, Matsumura H, Ban S, Kobayashi T. Current status of zirconia restoration. *Journal of Prosthodontic Research*. 2021;65(2):156-163. doi:10.1016/j.jpor.2020.08.002.
3. Dawood A, Marti BM, Sauret-Jackson V, Darwood A. 3D printing in dentistry. *British Dental Journal*. 2018;225(6):521-529. doi:10.1038/sj.bdj.2018.802.
4. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ*. 2021;372:n71. doi:10.1136/bmj.n71.
5. Mangano F, Gandolfi A, Luongo G, Logozzo S. Intraoral scanners in dentistry: a review of the current literature. *BMC Oral Health*. 2017;17(1):149. doi:10.1186/s12903-017-0442-x.
6. Christensen GJ. The changing face of dentistry: technological advances and their clinical implications. *Journal of the American Dental Association*. 2019;150(5):355-362. doi:10.1016/j.adaj.2019.02.016.
7. Fasbinder DJ. Digital dentistry: innovation for restorative treatment. *Compendium of Continuing Education in Dentistry*. 2020;41(8):486-492.
8. Zimmermann M, Ender A, Attin T, Mehl A. Accuracy of CAD/CAM implant crowns: a systematic review. *Journal of Dentistry*. 2018;76:56-63. doi:10.1016/j.jdent.2018.06.009.
9. Alghazzawi TF. Advancements in CAD/CAM technology: options for practical implementation. *Journal of Prosthodontic Research*. 2019;63(3):226-231. doi:10.1016/j.jpor.2018.10.009.
10. Tanaka Y, Kawahara S, Noguchi H, et al. Digital workflows for implant dentistry: a systematic review. *International Journal of Implant Dentistry*. 2020;6(1):73. doi:10.1186/s40729-020-00276-w.
11. Kapos T, Evans C. CAD/CAM technology for restorative dentistry: contemporary workflow and digital solutions. *Journal of the California Dental Association*. 2019;47(6):395-402.
12. Alharbi N, Wismeijer D, Osman RB. Additive manufacturing techniques in prosthodontics: Where do we currently stand? A critical review. *International Journal of Prosthodontics*. 2017;30(5):474-484. doi:10.11607/ijp.5286.
13. Jain A, Bansal R. Integration of health informatics in dentistry: improving clinical practice. *Dentistry Journal*. 2022;10(1):7. doi:10.3390/dj10010007.
14. Joda T, Zarone F, Ferrari M. The complete digital workflow in fixed prosthodontics: a systematic review. *BMC Oral Health*. 2017;17(1):124. doi:10.1186/s12903-017-0415-0.
15. Saavedra GSFA, Armijo-Olivo S, de Oliveira-Souza AI, Torres CRG, Ramos MB, Paiva G. Digital vs. conventional workflow for crowns and bridges: a systematic review. *Journal of Dentistry*. 2019;83:49-56. doi:10.1016/j.jdent.2019.02.001.

16. Papadiochou S, Pissiotis AL. Marginal accuracy of CAD/CAM restorations: a literature review. *Operative Dentistry*. 2018;43(3):234-241. doi:10.2341/16-333-LIT.
17. Wismeijer D, Joda T, Flügge T, et al. Digital dentistry: its impact on clinical workflow and patient care. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*. 2019;121(4):535-541. doi:10.1016/j.prosdent.2018.10.003.
18. Stanley M, Paz AG. Safety management systems in dental laboratories. *Journal of Dental Technology*. 2020;37(5):20-28.