
Radiological Imaging in Pregnancy: Balancing Maternal and Fetal Safety

Adel Hisn Al-Dahim¹, Khaled Awad Al-Anzi², Hamoud Mutlaq Al-Mutairi², Ahmed Mohammed Al-Shammari², Bandar Khalaf Al-Anzi², Shujaa Marzi AlRashidi², Nawaf Saeed Al-Abiwi², Khaled Matar Al-Anzi², Falah Aiban Al-Anzi², MASHAEL SHALASH ALSHAMMARI², Metab Fares Altemyat³, Saleema Mohamad Aljohne⁴, Huda Sarhan Al-Jahni⁵, Afnan Saleh Hawsawi⁵, Sultan Abdullah Yahya Alqurashi⁶

1. Radiology, Al-Hamra Center, Second Health Cluster, Riyadh, KSA
2. Radiology, King Khalid General Hospital in Hafar Al-Batin, KSA
3. X-ray, Women, Maternity and Children's Hospital in Rafha, Northern Borders Health Cluster, KSA
4. Radiology Technician, King Fahd Hospital in Madinah, KSA
5. X-ray technician, King Fahd hospital in Madinah, KSA
6. Senior Radiology Specialist, King Abdulaziz Hospital, Makkah Region - Jeddah Governorate, KSA

ABSTRACT

Radiological imaging is a critical component of modern medical practice, providing essential diagnostic information for a variety of conditions. However, the use of imaging modalities during pregnancy raises significant concerns regarding the safety of both the mother and the developing fetus. This review explores the complexities of radiological imaging in pregnant patients, emphasizing the need to balance the benefits of accurate diagnosis with the imperative to minimize risks associated with ionizing radiation exposure. The biological effects of ionizing radiation on fetal development are particularly concerning, with the potential for teratogenic effects varying depending on the gestational age at exposure. Imaging modalities such as Xray, computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and ultrasound each present unique risks and benefits. While X-rays and CT scans involve ionizing radiation, which can pose risks to the fetus, MRI and ultrasound are considered safer alternatives, as they do not utilize ionizing radiation. Current guidelines from

Radiological Imaging in Pregnancy: Balancing Maternal and Fetal Safety organizations such as the American College of Radiology (ACR) and the International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP) emphasize the importance of justification and optimization in imaging practices. These guidelines advocate for the use of alternative imaging modalities whenever possible and stress the need for a thorough risk-benefit analysis before proceeding with any imaging study. Emerging technologies, including low-dose CT, artificial intelligence, and fetal MRI, offer promising advancements in enhancing safety and diagnostic accuracy. As healthcare providers navigate the complexities of radiological imaging in pregnancy, a multidisciplinary approach is essential to ensure optimal outcomes for both mother and child. This review aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the current landscape of radiological imaging in pregnancy, highlighting the critical need for informed decision-making that prioritizes maternal and fetal safety.

1. Introduction

Pregnancy is a unique physiological state that presents both challenges and opportunities for medical management. It is a time marked by significant physiological changes and adaptations that can affect the health and well-being of both the mother and the developing fetus. As such, the safety of the mother and the developing fetus is paramount, particularly when considering diagnostic imaging. Radiological imaging has become an indispensable tool in modern medicine, facilitating the diagnosis of a wide range of conditions, from acute injuries to chronic diseases [1]. It provides critical information that can guide treatment decisions and improve patient outcomes. However, the potential risks associated with ionizing radiation exposure during pregnancy necessitate a careful assessment of the benefits and risks of various imaging modalities.

The primary concern regarding radiological imaging in pregnant patients is the potential for ionizing radiation to adversely affect fetal development. The developing fetus is particularly sensitive to radiation exposure, especially during the first trimester when organogenesis occurs. During this critical period, the formation of vital organs and systems takes place, making the fetus more susceptible to teratogenic effects. As a result, any exposure to ionizing radiation during this time can lead to significant developmental issues, including congenital malformations, growth retardation, and neurodevelopmental disorders. Understanding the implications of radiological imaging in pregnancy requires a thorough knowledge of the types of imaging modalities available, the associated risks, and the guidelines that govern their use [2].

This review will provide a comprehensive overview of the role of radiological imaging in pregnancy, focusing on several key areas. First, we will explore the biological effects of ionizing radiation on pregnancy and fetal development, delving into the mechanisms by which radiation interacts with developing tissues and the potential outcomes of

Adel Hisn Al-Dahim¹, Khaled Awad Al-Anzi², Hamoud Mutlaq Al-Mutairi², Ahmed Mohammed Al-Shammariz, Bandar Khalaf Al-Anzi², Shujaa Marzi AlRashidi², Nawaf Saeed Al-Abiwi², Khaled Matar Al-Anzi², Falah Aiban Al-Anzi², MASHAEL SHALASH ALSHAMMARI², Metab Fares Altemyat³, Saleema Mohamad ALJohne⁴, Huda Sarhan Al-Jahni⁵, Afnan Saleh Hawsawi⁵, Sultan Abdullah Yahya Alqurashi⁶

exposure at various stages of gestation. Second, we will examine commonly used imaging modalities, including X-ray, computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and ultrasound, highlighting their respective advantages and disadvantages in the context of pregnancy [3]. Third, we will discuss current guidelines and recommendations for imaging in pregnant patients, emphasizing the importance of a multidisciplinary approach to ensure patient safety. Fourth, we will investigate emerging technologies and advancements in imaging that may enhance safety and reduce risks associated with traditional modalities. Finally, we will present case studies and clinical scenarios that highlight the decision-making process in radiological imaging during pregnancy, illustrating the complexities and considerations involved in providing optimal care [4].

Through this exploration, we aim to equip healthcare providers with the knowledge necessary to navigate the complexities of radiological imaging in pregnant patients. By understanding the risks and benefits associated with various imaging modalities, healthcare professionals can make informed decisions that prioritize the health and well-being of both mother and fetus. Ultimately, this review seeks to foster a greater understanding of the critical role that radiological imaging plays in managing medical conditions during pregnancy, while also addressing the ethical and safety considerations that must be taken into account [5].

2. Biological Effects of Ionizing Radiation on Pregnancy

2.1 Mechanism of Action

Ionizing radiation has the potential to cause biological effects at the cellular and molecular levels. When ionizing radiation interacts with biological tissues, it can lead to the ionization of atoms, resulting in the formation of free radicals. These free radicals can damage DNA, proteins, and lipids, leading to cellular dysfunction, apoptosis, or malignant transformation. The effects of radiation exposure can vary depending on several factors, including the dose, the stage of fetal development at the time of exposure, and the specific type of radiation [6].

2.2 Fetal Sensitivity to Radiation

The developing fetus is particularly vulnerable to the effects of ionizing radiation, with the degree of risk varying depending on the gestational age at the time of exposure:

- **Pre-implantation (0-2 weeks):** Radiation exposure during this period may result in the loss of the embryo (all-or-nothing effect) but is unlikely to cause congenital abnormalities [7].
- **Organogenesis (3-8 weeks):** This is the most critical period for radiation exposure, as it coincides with the formation of major organs. Exposure during this time is associated with an increased risk of congenital malformations, growth retardation, and neurodevelopmental disorders [8].
- **Fetal period (9 weeks to term):** While the fetus is less sensitive to radiation after organogenesis, exposure can still result in functional abnormalities, particularly affecting the brain. Studies have suggested a potential link between radiation exposure during the second and third trimesters and an increased risk of cognitive deficits [9].

2.3 Dose-Response Relationship

The relationship between radiation dose and the risk of adverse effects is complex. Studies have shown that the risk of radiation-induced malformations is dosedependent, with higher doses associated with greater risks. The threshold dose for significant teratogenic effects is generally considered to be around 100 mGy, although even lower doses may pose risks under certain circumstances [10].

3. Imaging Modalities in Pregnancy

3.1 X-ray

X-ray imaging is one of the most commonly used diagnostic tools in medicine. It utilizes ionizing radiation to produce images of internal structures. While X-rays are generally safe for the mother, concerns arise regarding fetal exposure [11].

3.1.1 Indications for Use

X-rays may be indicated in pregnant patients for various reasons, including:

- Evaluation of trauma (e.g., fractures)
- Assessment of pulmonary conditions (e.g., pneumonia)
- Detection of gastrointestinal issues (e.g., bowel obstruction)

3.1.2 Safety Considerations

When considering the use of X-ray imaging in pregnant patients, it is essential to weigh the potential benefits against the risks of fetal exposure to ionizing radiation. The

Adel Hisn Al-Dahim¹, Khaled Awad Al-Anzi², Hamoud Mutlaq Al-Mutairi², Ahmed Mohammed Al-Shammariz, Bandar Khalaf Al-Anzi², Shujaa Marzi AlRashidi², Nawaf Saeed Al-Abiwi², Khaled Matar Al-Anzi², Falah Aiban Al-Anzi², MASHAEL SHALASH ALSHAMMARI², Metab Fares Altemyat³, Saleema Mohamad ALJohne ⁴, Huda Sarhan Al-Jahni⁵, Afnan Saleh Hawsawi⁵, Sultan Abdullah Yahya Alqurashi⁶

amount of radiation that reaches the fetus during a standard X-ray is relatively low, typically ranging from 0.1 to 0.2 mGy. This level of exposure is generally considered safe, especially when the imaging is clinically justified [12]. To minimize fetal exposure, several safety measures can be implemented:

- **Shielding:** The use of lead aprons or shields can significantly reduce the amount of radiation that reaches the fetus. Proper placement of shielding can protect the abdominal area during X-ray procedures.
- **Technique Optimization:** Radiologists can employ techniques that minimize radiation dose, such as using the lowest possible exposure settings and limiting the area being imaged.
- **Alternative Imaging Modalities:** In cases where X-ray imaging may pose a risk, alternative imaging modalities such as ultrasound or MRI, which do not involve ionizing radiation, should be considered.

3.2 Computed Tomography (CT)

Computed tomography (CT) is a powerful imaging modality that provides detailed cross-sectional images of the body. However, the use of CT in pregnant patients raises significant concerns due to the higher doses of ionizing radiation involved compared to conventional X-rays [13].

3.2.1 Indications for Use

CT scans may be indicated in pregnant patients for various clinical scenarios, including:

- Evaluation of acute abdominal pain (e.g., appendicitis)
- Assessment of pulmonary embolism
- Detection of traumatic injuries

3.2.2 Safety Considerations

The radiation dose from a single CT scan can range from 5 to 10 mGy, which is significantly higher than that of a standard X-ray. Given the potential risks associated with this level of exposure, the following considerations should be taken into account:

- **Risk-Benefit Analysis:** A thorough assessment of the clinical necessity of the CT scan should be conducted. If the benefits of obtaining critical diagnostic

Radiological Imaging in Pregnancy: Balancing Maternal and Fetal Safety information outweigh the risks of fetal exposure, the scan may be justified [14].

- Use of Alternative Imaging: Whenever possible, alternative imaging modalities such as ultrasound or MRI should be considered, particularly in cases where the clinical question can be adequately addressed without the use of ionizing radiation.
- Radiation Dose Reduction Techniques: Advances in CT technology, such as iterative reconstruction algorithms and dose modulation techniques, can help reduce the radiation dose while maintaining image quality.

3.3 Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI)

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is a non-ionizing imaging modality that utilizes strong magnetic fields and radiofrequency waves to produce detailed images of soft tissues. MRI is increasingly being used in pregnant patients due to its safety profile and ability to provide high-resolution images without the risks associated with ionizing radiation [15].

3.3.1 Indications for Use

MRI may be indicated in pregnant patients for various reasons, including:

- Evaluation of neurological conditions (e.g., brain tumors)
- Assessment of pelvic masses or abnormalities
- Investigation of musculoskeletal disorders

3.3.2 Safety Considerations

While MRI is generally considered safe during pregnancy, there are still some considerations to keep in mind:

- Contrast Agents: The use of gadolinium-based contrast agents during pregnancy is generally avoided unless absolutely necessary, as there is limited data on their safety in pregnant patients. If contrast is required, the risks and benefits should be carefully evaluated [16].
- Thermal Effects: The strong magnetic fields and radiofrequency energy used in MRI can lead to heating of tissues. However, studies have shown that the thermal effects are minimal and do not pose significant risks to the fetus when standard safety guidelines are followed.

Adel Hisn Al-Dahim¹, Khaled Awad Al-Anzi², Hamoud Mutlaq Al-Mutairi², Ahmed Mohammed Al-Shammariz, Bandar Khalaf Al-Anzi², Shujaa Marzi AlRashidi², Nawaf Saeed Al-Abiwi², Khaled Matar Al-Anzi², Falah Aiban Al-Anzi², MASHAEL SHALASH ALSHAMMARI², Metab Fares Altemyat³, Saleema Mohamad ALJohne⁴, Huda Sarhan Al-Jahni⁵, Afnan Saleh Hawsawi⁵, Sultan Abdullah Yahya Alqurashi⁶

- **Patient Comfort:** Pregnant patients may experience discomfort during MRI due to the need to lie still for extended periods. Adequate measures should be taken to ensure patient comfort and minimize anxiety [17].

3.4 Ultrasound

Ultrasound is a widely used imaging modality in pregnancy, primarily for fetal assessment and monitoring. It utilizes high-frequency sound waves to create images of internal structures without the use of ionizing radiation, making it the safest imaging option for pregnant patients [18].

3.4.1 Indications for Use

Ultrasound is commonly used in pregnancy for various purposes, including:

- Fetal monitoring and assessment of growth
- Evaluation of fetal anatomy and development
- Detection of complications such as ectopic pregnancy or placental abnormalities

3.4.2 Safety Considerations

Ultrasound is considered safe for both the mother and fetus, with no known adverse effects associated with its use. However, the following considerations should be noted:

- **Operator Skill:** The quality of ultrasound imaging is highly dependent on the skill and experience of the operator. Proper training and expertise are essential to ensure accurate assessments [10].
- **Limitations of Ultrasound:** While ultrasound is an excellent tool for fetal assessment, it may not provide the same level of detail as other imaging modalities, such as MRI or CT, for certain clinical scenarios.
- **Doppler Ultrasound:** The use of Doppler ultrasound, which measures blood flow, may pose a theoretical risk of thermal effects due to the increased energy deposition in tissues. However, the risks are considered minimal, and Doppler ultrasound is widely used in pregnancy without significant adverse effects [19].

4. Guidelines and Recommendations for Imaging in Pregnancy

Several professional organizations and regulatory bodies have established guidelines and recommendations for imaging in pregnancy, emphasizing the importance of balancing the need for accurate diagnosis with the imperative to minimize risks to both the mother and fetus [15].

4.1 American College of Radiology (ACR) Guidelines

The ACR has developed guidelines for imaging in pregnancy, which emphasize the following principles:

- **Justification:** Imaging studies should only be performed when clinically justified, and the benefits of the examination outweigh the potential risks.
- **Optimization:** Imaging protocols should be optimized to minimize radiation exposure while maintaining diagnostic image quality [20].
- **Alternative Imaging:** Alternative imaging modalities, such as ultrasound or MRI, should be considered when possible to reduce the use of ionizing radiation.

4.2 International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP) Recommendations

The ICRP has established recommendations for radiation protection in medical imaging, including the following:

- **Dose Limits:** The ICRP recommends dose limits for fetal exposure, which vary depending on the gestational age at the time of exposure.
- **Radiation Safety:** Healthcare providers should ensure that radiation safety measures are in place, including proper shielding, technique optimization, and patient education [21].

4.3 Other Professional Organizations

Other professional organizations, such as the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) and the Society of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists of Canada (SOGC), have also developed guidelines and recommendations for imaging in pregnancy, emphasizing the importance of a multidisciplinary approach to care and the need for ongoing education and training [22].

5. Emerging Technologies and Advancements in Imaging

Several emerging technologies and advancements in imaging hold promise for enhancing safety and improving diagnostic accuracy in pregnant patients.

Adel Hisn Al-Dahim¹, Khaled Awad Al-Anzi², Hamoud Mutlaq Al-Mutairi², Ahmed Mohammed Al-Shammariz, Bandar Khalaf Al-Anzi², Shujaa Marzi AlRashidi², Nawaf Saeed Al-Abiwi², Khaled Matar Al-Anzi², Falah Aiban Al-Anzi², MASHAEL SHALASH ALSHAMMARI², Metab Fares Altemyat³, Saleema Mohamad ALJohne⁴, Huda Sarhan Al-Jahni⁵, Afnan Saleh Hawsawi⁵, Sultan Abdullah Yahya Alqurashi⁶

5.1 Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML)

The integration of AI and ML into imaging modalities may enable the development of more accurate and efficient diagnostic algorithms, potentially reducing the need for repeat imaging studies and minimizing radiation exposure [23].

5.2 Low-Dose CT and Iterative Reconstruction

Advances in CT technology, such as low-dose CT and iterative reconstruction algorithms, have significantly reduced radiation doses while maintaining image quality.

5.3 Hybrid Imaging Modalities

The development of hybrid imaging modalities, such as PET/MRI and SPECT/CT, offers the potential for improved diagnostic accuracy and reduced radiation exposure [24].

5.4 Fetal MRI

Fetal MRI has emerged as a promising tool for assessing fetal development and detecting abnormalities, potentially reducing the need for ionizing radiation-based imaging modalities [25].

6. Conclusion

Radiological imaging plays a vital role in the diagnosis and management of various medical conditions during pregnancy. However, the use of imaging modalities in pregnant patients raises significant concerns regarding the safety of both the mother and the developing fetus. By understanding the biological effects of ionizing radiation, the guidelines and recommendations for imaging in pregnancy, and the emerging technologies and advancements in imaging, healthcare providers can make informed decisions that prioritize the health and well-being of both mother and child.

References

Guidelines for computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging use during pregnancy and lactation. Chen MM, Coakley FV, Kaimal A, Laros RK Jr. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2008;112:333–340. doi: 10.1097/AOG.0b013e318180a505. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

- Diagnostic imaging of pregnant women and fetuses: literature review. Kim E, Boyd B. *Bioengineering (Basel)* 2022;9 doi: 10.3390/bioengineering9060236. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Radiation exposure and pregnancy: when should we be concerned? McCollough CH, Schueler BA, Atwell TD, Braun NN, Regner DM, Brown DL, LeRoy AJ. *Radiographics*. 2007;27:909–917. doi: 10.1148/rg.274065149. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Pregnancy and perinatal outcomes of women with COVID-19 pneumonia: a preliminary analysis. Liu D, Li L, Wu X. *SSRN*. 2020 doi: 10.2214/AJR.20.23072. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Contemporary use of computed tomography (CT) imaging in suspected urolithiasis in pregnancy. Dean NS, Krambeck AE. *Curr Urol Rep*. 2023;24:443–449. doi: 10.1007/s11934-023-01171-8. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Webb JA, Thomsen HS, Morcos SK. The use of iodinated and gadolinium contrast media during pregnancy and lactation. *Eur Radiol* 2005; 15: 1234–1240. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Kanal E, Barkovich AJ, Bell C, et al. ACR guidance document for safe MR practices: 2007. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2007; 188: 1447–1474. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Ray JG, Vermeulen MJ, Bharatha A, et al. Association between MRI exposure during pregnancy and fetal and childhood outcomes. *JAMA* 2016; 316: 952–961. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- ACOG. Committee Opinion No. 723 summary: guidelines for diagnostic imaging during pregnancy and lactation. *Obstet Gynecol* 2017; 130: 933–934. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Wall BF, Meara JR, Muirhead CR, et al. Protection of pregnant patients during diagnostic medical exposures to ionising radiation. London: Health Protection Agency, The Royal College of Radiologists and the College of Radiographers, 2009, pp.1–24, https://www.rcr.ac.uk/system/files/publication/field_publication_files/HPA_preg_2nd.pdf (accessed 1 September 2018).
- Rowan M. A new national pregnancy policy template. *Phys Med* 2018; 52: 169. [Google Scholar]
- Yoon I, Slesinger TL. *StatPearls [Internet] Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024. Radiation exposure in pregnancy. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]*
- Radiation safety and accidental radiation exposures in nuclear medicine. Marengo M, Martin CJ, Rubow S, Sera T, Amador Z, Torres L. *Semin Nucl Med*. 2022;52:94–113. doi: 10.1053/j.semnuclmed.2021.11.006. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Valentin J. The 2007 recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection. Oxford: Elsevier, 2007, pp.1–333. [Google Scholar]
- Tharmalingam S, Sreetharan S, Kulesza AV, et al. Low-dose ionizing radiation exposure, oxidative stress and epigenetic programming of health and disease. *Radiat Res* 2017; 188: 525–538. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Valentin J. Biological effects after prenatal irradiation (embryo and fetus): ICRP Publication 90 approved by the Commission in October 2002. *Ann ICRP* 2003; 33: 1–206. [PubMed]

Adel Hisn Al-Dahim1, Khaled Awad Al-Anzi2, Hamoud Mutlaq Al-Mutairi2, Ahmed Mohammed Al-Shammariz, Bandar Khalaf Al-Anzi2, Shujaa Marzi AlRashidi2, Nawaf Saeed Al-Abiwi2, Khaled Matar Al-Anzi2, Falah Aiban Al-Anzi2, MASHAEL SHALASH ALSHAMMARI2, Metab Fares Altemyat3, Saleema Mohamad ALJohne 4, Huda Sarhan Al-Jahni5, Afnan Saleh Hawsawi5, Sultan Abdullah Yahya Alqurashi6

[Google Scholar]

Cuttler J. Remedy for radiation fear – discard the politicized science. *Dose Response* 2014; 12: 170–184. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

Coakley FV, Cody DD, Mahesh M. The pregnant patient: alternatives to CT and dose-saving modifications to CT technique, <https://www.imagewisely.org/imaging-modalities/computed-tomography/imaging-physicians/articles/the-pregnant-patient> (2010, accessed 1 September 2018).

Alexander EK, Pearce EN, Brent GA, et al. 2017 Guidelines of the American Thyroid Association for the diagnosis and management of thyroid disease during pregnancy and the postpartum. *Thyroid* 2017; 27: 315–389. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

Stewart A, Webb J, Giles D, et al. Malignant disease in childhood and diagnostic irradiation in utero. *Lancet* 1956; 268: 447. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

Torloni MR, Vedmedovska N, Merialdi M, et al. ISUOG-WHO Fetal Growth Study Group . Safety of ultrasonography in pregnancy: WHO systematic review of the literature and metaanalysis. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2009;33:599-608. 10.1002/uog.6328 [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

Streffer C, Shore R, Konermann G, et al. Biological effects after prenatal irradiation (embryo and fetus). A report of the International Commission on Radiological Protection. *Ann ICRP* 2003;33:5-206. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

International Commission on Radiological Protection . The 2007 Recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection. ICRP publication 103. *Ann ICRP* 2007;37:1-332. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

Satoh M, Aso K, Katagiri Y. Thyroid dysfunction in neonates born to mothers who have undergone hysterosalpingography involving an oil-soluble iodinated contrast medium. *Horm Res Paediatr* 2015;84:370-5. 10.1159/000439381 [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

The Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Radiologists . Iodinated contrast media guideline. 2018. [Google Scholar]