

Λ -GENERALIZED \mathcal{A} - δ I-CLOSED SETS IN IDEAL TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

¹M Saranya ²P Periyasamy

¹Research Scholar, (Reg. 20212102092008), Department of Mathematics, Kamaraj College, Thoothukudi-3, Affiliated to Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Abishekapatti, Tirunelveli-12, Tamil Nadu, India.

E-Mail: saranyarajan1597@gmail.com

²Associate Professor, Department of Mathematics, Kamaraj College, Thoothukudi-3, Affiliated to Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Abishekapatti, Tirunelveli-12, Tamilnadu, India.

E-Mail: periyasamyvpp@gmail.com

Abstract:

In this article we provide the concept of λ -generalized \mathcal{A} - δ I-closed sets namely λ g- $(\mathcal{A}$ - δ I)-closed sets as a generalization of \mathcal{A} - δ I-closed sets using λ -open sets. Also we established its some basic characteristics. We discuss the correlation of \mathcal{A} - δ I-closed sets with existing known closed sets. Moreover, we establish the concept of λ g- $(\mathcal{A}$ - δ I)-continuous and λ g- $(\mathcal{A}$ - δ I)-irresolute functions in ITS and discuss its some characterizations and relation with some other known functions in this space.

Keywords: TS, ITS, λ -generalized \mathcal{A} - δ I-closed set, λ g- $(\mathcal{A}$ - δ I)-continuous function, λ g- $(\mathcal{A}$ - δ I)-irresolute function.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

If a subset I of $\mathcal{P}(X)$ meets the criteria called heredity and finite additivity, then it is referred to as an ideal [2]. The space (X, τ, I) is the ideal topological space or ITS in short. [7] Kuratowski and vaidyananthaswamy, [12] Ganster et.al., [5] D. Jonokovi et.al., [6] M. Navaneethakrishnan et.al., [10] etc., further studied the space and established various

fundamental characterizations and topological properties. Levine N [8] introduced and investigated the notion generalization in Topological space or shortly TS for comfort. Maki [9] carried on Levine and Dunhan work in 1986. A set S is the \mathcal{A} -set if $\mathcal{A}(S) = S$, where $\mathcal{A}(S) = \bigcap \{M \setminus M \in \tau(x) \text{ and } S \subseteq M\}$. A.F.G.Arenas et.al., [1] established λ -closed sets. A set S is called λ -closed set if $S = O \cap C$ where O is a \mathcal{A} -set and C is a closed set. The generalized λ -closed sets were later established and investigated by M. Caldas et.al, [4]. Establishing the idea of a new generalization in the same space is the aim of this paper.

Definition 1.1. Let (X, τ) be a TS and $S \subseteq X$. Then S is called a

- (i) λ -closed set [1] if $S = C \cap D$ where C is \mathcal{A} -set and D is closed set.
- (ii) Λ_g -closed[4] (resp. \mathcal{A} -g-closed[4], $g\mathcal{A}$ -closed[4]) if $cl_\lambda(S) \subseteq M$ whenever $S \subseteq M$ and M is λ -open (resp. M is open) in (X, τ) .
- (iii) g -closed set [8] if $cl(S) \subseteq M$ whenever $S \subseteq M$ and $M \in \tau(x)$ where $\tau(x) = \{M / M \in \tau \text{ and } x \in M\}$
- (iv) I_g -closed set[13] if $S^* \subseteq M$ whenever $S \subseteq M$ and $M \in \tau(x)$.
- (v) locally closed[5] if $S = M \cap H$, where M is open and H is closed.
- (vi) sg -closed[14] if $scl(S) \subseteq M$, whenever $S \subseteq M$ and M is semiopen in X .
- (vii) gs -closed [15] if $scl(S)$, whenever $S \subseteq M$ and $M \in \tau(x)$.
- (viii) αg -closed [16] if $\alpha cl(S) \subseteq M$, whenever $S \subseteq M$ and $M \in \tau(x)$.
- (ix) $g\alpha$ -closed set[17] if $\alpha cl(S) \subseteq M$, whenever $S \subseteq M$ and $M \in \tau^\alpha(X)$.
- (x) \hat{g} -closed set[18] if $cl(S) \subseteq M$ whenever $S \subseteq M$ and $M \in SO(X)$.

(xi) $\delta\hat{g}$ -closed set [19] if $cl_\delta(S) \subseteq M$ whenever $S \subseteq M$ and M is \hat{g} -open set in X .

(xii) θ - g -closed set [20] if $cl_\theta(S) \subseteq M$ whenever $S \subseteq M$ and $M \in \tau(x)$.

The aforementioned closed sets corresponding open sets are their complements.

Definition 1.2. Continuous (resp., Super continuous, δ -continuous, $g\lambda$ -continuous) if $f^{-1}(M)$ is open (resp., open, δ -open, $g\lambda$ -open) in (X, τ, I_1) for each $M \in \sigma(Y)$ set M in (Y, σ, I_2) .

Definition 1.3. In an ITS (X, τ, I) ,

(i) a subset S of an ITS (X, τ, I) is called \mathcal{A} - δ I-closed set if $S = D \cap C$, where D is a \mathcal{A} -set and C is a δ -I-closed set. Then $X - S$ is called \mathcal{A} - δ I-open set. We indicate the grouping of \mathcal{A} - δ I-closed (resp., \mathcal{A} - δ I-open) sets by \mathcal{A} - δ IC(X, τ, I) (resp., \mathcal{A} - δ IO(X, τ, I)) or briefly \mathcal{A} - δ IC(X) (resp., \mathcal{A} - δ IO(X)).

(ii) A point p in X is referred to as the \mathcal{A} - δ I-cluster point of S , if for each \mathcal{A} - δ I-open subset M_p of X that contains p , $M_p \cap S \neq \emptyset$. The \mathcal{A} - δ I-closure of S is represented by $C_{\mathcal{A}-\delta I}(S)$, which is the set of all \mathcal{A} - δ I-cluster points. That is, for each \mathcal{A} - δ I-open set M_p containing p , $C_{\mathcal{A}-\delta I}(S) = \{p \in X / M_p \cap S \neq \emptyset, \text{ for each } M_p \in \mathcal{A}\text{-}\delta\text{IO}(X)\}$.

(iii) If there is a \mathcal{A} - δ I-open set M_p that contains p such that $M_p \subseteq S$, then a point $p \in X$ is a \mathcal{A} - δ I-interior point of S . $\mathcal{I}^{\mathcal{A}-\delta I}(S)$ is the symbol for the set of all \mathcal{A} - δ I-interior point of S , which is referred to as \mathcal{A} - δ I-interior of S .

Lemma.1.4. [24] In an ITS, $C_{\mathcal{A}-\delta I}(S) = \{p \in X \setminus M_p \cap S \neq \emptyset, \text{ for each } G \in \mathcal{A}\text{-}\delta\text{IO}(x)\}$ is \mathcal{A} - δ I-closed.

Lemma.1.5. [24] Every \mathcal{A} - δ I-closed set is λ -closed.

Lemma.1.6. Let (X, τ, I) be an ITS and $S \subseteq X$. Then $C_{A-\delta I}(S) = \{p \in X / M_p \cap S \neq \emptyset, \text{ for every } G \in A-\delta I-O(x)\}$ is λ -closed.

Proof. Clear from Lemma 1.4. and Lemma 1.5.

2. λg -(A - δI)-closed set.

In an ITS (X, τ, I) an $S \subseteq X$ is called a λ -generalized A - δI (briefly, λg -(A - δI)) closed set if $C_{\Lambda-\delta I}(S) \subset M$, whenever $S \subseteq M$ and $M \in \lambda O(X)$. Then $X - S$ is known as λg -(A - δI)-open set. We denote the set of λg -(A - δI)-closed (resp., λg -(A - δI)-open) sets by λg -(A - δI)- $C(X, \tau, I)$ (resp., λg -(A - δI)- $O(X, \tau, I)$) or simply λg -(A - δI)- $C(X)$ (resp., λg -(A - δI)- $O(X)$) for convenience. It is clear that, every A - δI -closed (resp., open, A -sets, δ -closed, δ -open, Λ_δ -sets, (A, δ) -closed sets and δ - I -closed) set is λg -(A - δI)-closed. The forthcoming Example establish the lack of the reversible direction in some place of all the above. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}\}$, $I = \{\emptyset, \{b\}\}$, A -sets = $\{X, \emptyset, \{a\}\}$, δ -closed = $\{X, \emptyset\}$, δ -open = $\{X, \emptyset\}$, Λ_δ -sets = $\{X, \emptyset\}$, (A, δ) -closed sets = $\{X, \emptyset\}$, δ - I -closed = $\{X, \emptyset\}$, A - δI -closed set = $\{X, \emptyset, \{a\}\}$, λg -(A - δI) $C(X) = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$.

The given below Example 2.1 shows that closed sets, g -closed sets, I_g -closed sets, locally closed sets, I -locally*-closed sets, sg -closed sets, gs -closed sets, αg -closed sets, $g\alpha$ -closed sets, \hat{g} -closed sets, $\delta \hat{g}$ -closed sets, θ - g -closed sets, θ - I -closed sets are independent of λg -(A - δI)-closed sets.

Example 2.1. (i) Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$, Closed sets(X) = $\{X, \emptyset, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}\}$, λg -(A - δI) $C(X) = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$. Let $A = \{a\}$, $B = \{b\}$, then $A \in \lambda g$ -

$(\Lambda-\delta I)C(X)$ but $A \notin \text{closed sets}(X)$ and $B \in \text{closed set}(X)$ but $B \notin \lambda g-(\Lambda-\delta I)\text{-closed}$.

Consequently, closed sets and $\lambda g-(\Lambda-\delta I)\text{-}C(X)$ sets are independent.

(ii) Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$, $g\text{-closed sets}(X) = \{X, \emptyset, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$, $\lambda g-(\Lambda-\delta I)\text{-}C(X) = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$. Let $A = \{A\}$, $B = \{b\}$, then $A \in \lambda g-(\Lambda-\delta I)\text{-}C(X)$ but not $g\text{-closed}$ and B is $g\text{-closed}$ but $B \notin \lambda g-(\Lambda-\delta I)\text{-}C(X)$.

Consequently, $g\text{-closed}$ sets and $\lambda g-(\Lambda-\delta I)\text{-}C(X)$ sets are independent.

(iii) Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$, $I_g\text{-closed sets}(X) = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$, $\lambda g-(\Lambda-\delta I)\text{-}C(X) = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$. Let $A = \{a, c, d\}$, $B = \{b\}$, then $A \in \lambda g-(\Lambda-\delta I)\text{-}C(X)$ but not $I_g\text{-closed}$ and B is $I_g\text{-closed}$ but $B \notin \lambda g-(\Lambda-\delta I)\text{-}C(X)$.

Consequently, $I_g\text{-closed}$ sets and $\lambda g-(\Lambda-\delta I)\text{-}C(X)$ sets are independent.

(iv) Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}\}$, $\text{locally closed sets}(X) = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$, $\lambda g-(\Lambda-\delta I)\text{-}C(X) = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$. Let $A = \{a, b\}$, $B = \{b, c, d\}$, then $A \in \lambda g-(\Lambda-\delta I)\text{-}C(X)$ but not a locally closed set and B is a locally closed set but $B \notin \lambda g-(\Lambda-\delta I)\text{-}C(X)$. Consequently, locally closed sets and $\lambda g-(\Lambda-\delta I)\text{-}C(X)$ sets are independent.

(v) Let $X = \{a, b, b, d\}$, $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}\}$, $I\text{-locally*}\text{-closed sets}(X) = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$, $\lambda g-(\Lambda-\delta I)\text{-}C(X) = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, b, b\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, b, d\}\}$. Let $A = \{a, b\}$, $B = \{b, c, d\}$, then $A \in \lambda g-(\Lambda-\delta I)\text{-}C(X)$ but not a $I\text{-locally*}\text{-closed set}(X)$ and $B \in I\text{-locally*}\text{-closed set}(X)$ but $B \notin \lambda g-(\Lambda-\delta I)\text{-}C(X)$. Consequently, $I\text{-locally*}\text{-closed sets}$ and $\lambda g-(\Lambda-\delta I)\text{-}closed$ sets are independent.

(vi) Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$, $\text{sg-closed sets}(X) = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}\}$, $\lambda\text{g}-(\Lambda-\delta\text{I})\text{C}(X) = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$. Let $A = \{c, d\}$, $B = \{b\}$, then $A \in \lambda\text{g}-(\Lambda-\delta\text{I})\text{C}(X)$ but not a sg-closed set and B is a sg-closed set but $B \notin \lambda\text{g}-(\Lambda-\delta\text{I})\text{C}(X)$. Consequently, sg-closed sets and $\lambda\text{g}-(\Lambda-\delta\text{I})$ -closed sets are independent.

(vii) Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$, $\text{gs-closed sets}(X) = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$, $\lambda\text{g}-(\Lambda-\delta\text{I})\text{C}(X) = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$. Let $A = \{c, d\}$, $B = \{b, c\}$, then $A \in \lambda\text{g}-(\Lambda-\delta\text{I})\text{C}(X)$ but not a gs-closed set and B is a gs-closed set but $B \notin \lambda\text{g}-(\Lambda-\delta\text{I})\text{C}(X)$. Consequently, gs-closed sets and $\lambda\text{g}-(\Lambda-\delta\text{I})$ -closed sets are independent.

(viii)) Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$, $\alpha\text{g-closed sets}(X) = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$, $\lambda\text{g}-(\Lambda-\delta\text{I})\text{C}(X) = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$. Let $A = \{c, d\}$, $B = \{b, c\}$, then $A \in \lambda\text{g}-(\Lambda-\delta\text{I})\text{C}(X)$ but not $\alpha\text{g-closed}$ and B is $\alpha\text{g-closed}$ but $B \notin \lambda\text{g}-(\Lambda-\delta\text{I})\text{C}(X)$. Consequently, $\alpha\text{g-closed}$ sets and $\lambda\text{g}-(\Lambda-\delta\text{I})$ -closed sets are independent.

(ix) Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$, $\text{g}\alpha\text{-closed sets}(X) = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}\}$, $\lambda\text{g}-(\Lambda-\delta\text{I})\text{C}(X) = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$. Let $A = \{c, d\}$, $B = \{b\}$, then $A \in \lambda\text{g}-(\Lambda-\delta\text{I})\text{C}(X)$ but not a $\text{g}\alpha\text{-closed}$ set and B is a $\text{g}\alpha\text{-closed}$ set but $B \notin \lambda\text{g}-(\Lambda-\delta\text{I})\text{C}(X)$. Consequently, $\text{g}\alpha\text{-closed}$ sets and $\lambda\text{g}-(\Lambda-\delta\text{I})$ -closed sets are independent.

(x) Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$, \hat{g} -closed sets(X) = $\{X, \emptyset, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}\}$, $\lambda g-(\mathcal{A}-\delta I)C(X) = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$. Let $A = \{a\}$, $B = \{b\}$, then $A \in \lambda g-(\mathcal{A}-\delta I)C(X)$ but not a \hat{g} -closed set and B is a \hat{g} -closed set but $B \notin \lambda g-(\mathcal{A}-\delta I)C(X)$. Consequently, \hat{g} -closed sets and $\lambda g-(\mathcal{A}-\delta I)$ -closed sets are independent.

(xi) Let $X = \{a, b, b, d\}$, $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$, $\delta \hat{g}$ -closed sets(X) = $\{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$, $\lambda g-(\mathcal{A}-\delta I)C(X) = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$. Let $A = \{d\}$, $B = \{b\}$, then $A \in \lambda g-(\mathcal{A}-\delta I)C(X)$ but not $\delta \hat{g}$ -closed and B is $\delta \hat{g}$ -closed but $B \notin \lambda g-(\mathcal{A}-\delta I)C(X)$. Consequently, $\delta \hat{g}$ -closed sets and $\lambda g-(\mathcal{A}-\delta I)$ -closed sets are independent.

(xii) Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$, θ -g-closed sets(X) = $\{X, \emptyset, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$, $\lambda g-(\mathcal{A}-\delta I)C(X) = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$. Let $A = \{a\}$, $B = \{b, c\}$, then $A \in \lambda g-(\mathcal{A}-\delta I)C(X)$ but not θ -g-closed and B is θ -g-closed but $B \notin \lambda g-(\mathcal{A}-\delta I)C(X)$. Consequently, θ -g-closed sets and $\lambda g-(\mathcal{A}-\delta I)$ -closed sets are independent.

(xiii) Let $X = \{a, b, b, d\}$, $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$, θ -I-closed sets(X) = $\{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$, $\lambda g-(\mathcal{A}-\delta I)C(X) = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$. Let $A = \{c\}$, $B = \{b, c\}$, then $A \in \lambda g-(\mathcal{A}-\delta I)C(X)$ but not θ -I-closed and B is θ -I-closed but $B \notin \lambda g-(\mathcal{A}-\delta I)C(X)$. Consequently, θ -I-closed sets and $\lambda g-(\mathcal{A}-\delta I)$ -closed sets are independent.

Theorem 2.2. In an ITS (X, τ, I) , if $S \in \lambda O(X)$ and $\lambda g-(\mathcal{A}-\delta I)C(X)$ then $S \in \mathcal{A}-\delta I-C(X)$ for $S \subseteq X$.

Proof. Since S is λ -open and belong to $\lambda g-(\Lambda-\delta I)-C(X)$, $C_{A-\delta I}(S) \subseteq S$. But always $S \subseteq C_{A-\delta I}(S)$. Hence, $S \in A-\delta I-C(X)$.

Example 2.3 evident that the opposite direction is fails at some place.

Example 2.3. Let $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$ and $I = \{\phi, \{c\}\}$ $A-\delta I$ -closed set = $\{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$, λ -open = $\{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$, $\lambda g-(\Lambda-\delta I)-C(X)$ set = $\{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}\}$. Here $\{a, b, c\}$ is not $A-\delta I$ -closed but not λ -open or not $\lambda g-(\Lambda-\delta I)$ -closed.

Theorem 2.4. In an ITS (X, τ, I) , an $S \subseteq X$ is belongs to $\lambda g-(\Lambda-\delta I)-C(X)$ then $C_{A-\delta I}(S) - S$ contain no nonempty λ -closed set.

Proof. Suppose that $S \in \lambda g-(\Lambda-\delta I)-C(X)$. Let $H \neq \emptyset$ be λ -closed such that $H \subseteq C_{A-\delta I}(S) - S$ then $S \subseteq X - H$. Therefore, we have $C_{A-\delta I}(S) \subseteq X - H$. Consequently, $H \subseteq X - C_{A-\delta I}(S)$. But, $C_{A-\delta I}(S) \cap (X - C_{A-\delta I}(S)) = \emptyset$. Hence, $H = \emptyset$.

Example 2.5 establish the failure of the reverse direction of Theorem 2.4..

Example 2.5. Let $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$ and $I = \{\phi, \{c\}\}$. Then λ -closed set = $\{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$ and $\lambda g-(\Lambda-\delta I)-C(X)$ set = $\{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}\}$. Let $S = \{d\}$. Then $C_{A-\delta I}(S) = \{c, d\}$, $C_{A-\delta I}(S) - S = \{c\}$ which contains no non-empty λ -closed set but $S \notin \lambda g-(\Lambda-\delta I)-C(X)$.

Corollary 2.6. In an ITS (X, τ, I) for $S \subseteq X$, $S \in \lambda g-(\Lambda-\delta I)-C(X)$ then $C_{A-\delta I}(S) - S$ contain no nonempty δ -I-closed sets.

Proof. Suppose that $S \in \lambda g-(\Lambda-\delta I)-C(X)$. Let H be a nonempty δ -I-closed set such that $H \subseteq C_{A-\delta I}(S) - S$. Then $S \subseteq X - H$, where $X - H$ is δ -I-open and hence λ -open. Since $S \in$

$\lambda g-(\Lambda-\delta I)-C(X)$, we have $C_{A-\delta I}(S) \subseteq X - H$. Therefore, $H \subseteq X - C_{A-\delta I}(S)$. Consequently $H \subseteq C_{A-\delta I}(S) \cap (X - C_{A-\delta I}(S)) = \emptyset$. Hence, $H = \emptyset$.

We notice from Example 2.7, S may not belongs to $\lambda g-(\Lambda-\delta I)-C(X)$ whenever $C_{A-\delta I}(S) - S$ contain no nonempty δ -I-closed sets.

Example 2.7. Let $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$ and $I = \{\phi, \{a\}\}$. Then δ -I-closed set $= \{X, \phi, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}\}$ and $\lambda g-(A-\delta I)-C(X) = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$. Let $S = \{b\}$, $C_{A-\delta I}(S) = \{a, b\}$, $C_{A-\delta I}(S) - S = \{a\}$. Then the only δ -I-closed set in $\{a\}$ is empty and $S \notin \lambda g-(A-\delta I)-C(X)$.

Corollary 2.8. In an ITS (X, τ, I) for $S \subseteq X$, $S \in \lambda g-(\Lambda-\delta I)-C(X)$ then $C_{A-\delta I}(S) - S$ contain no nonempty δ -closed set.

Proof. Suppose that $S \in \lambda g-(\Lambda-\delta I)-C(X)$. Let $H \subseteq C_{A-\delta I}(S) - S$, H is δ -closed and $H \neq \emptyset$.

Then $S \subseteq X - H$ where $X - H$ is δ -open and hence λ -open. Therefore we have $C_{A-\delta I}(S) \subseteq X - H$. Consequently, $H \subseteq X - C_{A-\delta I}(S)$. Therefore $H \subseteq C_{A-\delta I}(S) \cap (X - C_{A-\delta I}(S)) = \emptyset$.

Hence, $H = \emptyset$.

It is clear from the Example 2.9, Corollary 2.8. is not hold in reverse direction.

Example 2.9. Let $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, d\}\}$ and $I = \{\phi, \{c\}\}$. Then δ -closed set $= \{X, \phi, \{c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$ and $\lambda g-(A-\delta I)-C(X) = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{d\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, b, d\}\}$. Let $S = \{c\}$, $C_{A-\delta I}(S) - S = \{d\}$, which does not contain any non-empty δ -closed set, but $S \notin \lambda g-(A-\delta I)-C(X)$.

Theorem 2.10. Let (X, τ, I) be an ITS and $S \subseteq X$. Then $S \in \lambda g-(\Lambda-\delta I)-C(X)$ if and only if $C_{A-\delta I}(\{x\}) \cap S \neq \emptyset$ for every $x \in C_{A-\delta I}(S)$.

Proof. Suppose that $C_{A-\delta I}(\{x\}) \cap S = \emptyset$, $x \in C_{A-\delta I}(S)$ then $X - C_{A-\delta I}(\{x\}) \in \lambda O(X)$ such that $S \subseteq X - C_{A-\delta I}(\{x\})$. Since $x \in C_{A-\delta I}(S) - (X - C_{A-\delta I}(\{x\}))$, $C_{A-\delta I}(S) \not\subseteq X - C_{A-\delta I}(\{x\})$. Therefore, $S \notin \lambda g-(\Lambda-\delta I)-C(X)$. Conversely, Suppose that $S \notin \lambda g-(\Lambda-\delta I)-C(X)$. Then there exist an $M \in \lambda O(X)$, $C_{A-\delta I}(S) \not\subseteq M$ for some $S \subseteq M$. Then for every $x \in C_{A-\delta I}(S)$ such that $x \notin M$. Hence $C_{A-\delta I}(S) \cap M = \emptyset$. Therefore, $C_{A-\delta I}(\{x\}) \cap S \neq \emptyset$ for every $x \in C_{A-\delta I}(S)$.

Theorem 2.11. For $S, T \subseteq X$, if $S \in \lambda g-(\Lambda-\delta I)-C(X)$ and $S \subseteq T \subseteq C_{A-\delta I}(S)$, then $T \in \lambda g-(\Lambda-\delta I)-C(X)$.

Proof. Let $T \subseteq M$ where M is λ -open. Then $S \subseteq M$, since $S \subseteq T$. Also since $T \subseteq C_{A-\delta I}(S)$, $C_{A-\delta I}(T) \subseteq C_{A-\delta I}(S)$. But $S \in \lambda g-(\Lambda-\delta I)-C(X)$ set and so $C_{A-\delta I}(T) \subseteq M$. Hence $T \in \lambda g-(\Lambda-\delta I)-C(X)$.

Theorem 2.12. If $S, T \in \lambda g-(\Lambda-\delta I)-C(X)$, then $S \cup T \in \lambda g-(\Lambda-\delta I)-C(X)$.

Proof. Let $S \cup T \subseteq M$ then $S \subseteq M$ and $T \subseteq M$ where M is λ -open. Since $S, T \in \lambda g-(\Lambda-\delta I)-C(X)$, $C_{A-\delta I}(S) \subseteq M$ and $C_{A-\delta I}(T) \subseteq M$. Therefore $C_{A-\delta I}(S \cup T) = C_{A-\delta I}(S) \cup C_{A-\delta I}(T) \subseteq M$. Hence $S \cup T \in \lambda g-(\Lambda-\delta I)-C(X)$.

The following Example 2.13. establish the failure of intersection in $\lambda g-(\Lambda-\delta I)-C(X)$.

Example 2.13. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$, $I = \{\emptyset, \{c\}\}$. Then the $\lambda g-(\Lambda-\delta I)-C(X) = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}\}$ and $\{a, b, c\} \cap \{a, b, d\} = \{a, b\}$ does not belongs to $\lambda g-(\Lambda-\delta I)-C(X)$.

Theorem 2.14. In an ITS (X, τ, I) , for each $x \in X$, either $\{x\} \in \lambda g-(\Lambda-\delta I)-C(X)$ or $x \in \lambda C(X)$.

Proof. If $\{x\} \notin \lambda\text{-C}(X)$ then X is the only λ -open set such that $\{x\}^c \subseteq X$. Hence, $\{x\}^c \in \lambda\text{g}-(\Lambda\text{-}\delta\text{I})\text{-C}(X)$.

Theorem 2.15. Let (X, τ, I) be an ITS. Then the intersection of two $\lambda\text{g}-(\Lambda\text{-}\delta\text{I})$ -open sets is $\lambda\text{g}-(\Lambda\text{-}\delta\text{I})$ -open.

Proof. The Proof follows from Theorem 2.12.

Theorem 2.16. Let (X, τ, I) be an ITS and $S \subseteq X$. Then, $S \in \lambda\text{g}-(\Lambda\text{-}\delta\text{I})\text{-O}(X)$ if and only if $H \subseteq \mathcal{F}^{\circ}_{\Lambda\text{-}\delta\text{I}}(S)$ whenever $H \in \lambda\text{-C}(X)$ and $H \subseteq S$.

Proof. Let $H \subseteq \mathcal{F}^{\circ}_{\Lambda\text{-}\delta\text{I}}(S)$ where $H \in \lambda\text{-C}(X)$ and $H \subseteq S$. Then, $X - S \subseteq X - H$, where $X - H \in \lambda\text{-O}(X)$. By assumption $X - \mathcal{F}^{\circ}_{\Lambda\text{-}\delta\text{I}}(S) \subseteq X - H$, so $C_{\Lambda\text{-}\delta\text{I}}(X - S) \subseteq X - H$. Hence $X - S \in \lambda\text{g}-(\Lambda\text{-}\delta\text{I})\text{-C}(X)$. Hence $S \in \lambda\text{g}-(\Lambda\text{-}\delta\text{I})\text{-O}(X)$. Conversely, let $S \in \lambda\text{g}-(\Lambda\text{-}\delta\text{I})\text{-O}(X)$. Then $X - S \in \lambda\text{g}-(\Lambda\text{-}\delta\text{I})\text{-C}(X)$. Also let $H \in \lambda\text{-C}(X)$ such that $H \subseteq S$. Then $X - H \in \lambda\text{-O}(X)$. Therefore whenever $X - S \subseteq X - H$, $C_{\Lambda\text{-}\delta\text{I}}(X - S) \subseteq X - H$. Therefore, $H \subseteq X - C_{\Lambda\text{-}\delta\text{I}}(X - S) = \mathcal{F}^{\circ}_{\Lambda\text{-}\delta\text{I}}(S)$. Thus $H \subseteq \mathcal{F}^{\circ}_{\Lambda\text{-}\delta\text{I}}(S)$.

Theorem 2.17. Let (X, τ, I) be an ITS and $S \subseteq X$. Then $S \in \lambda\text{g}-(\Lambda\text{-}\delta\text{I})\text{-O}(X)$ if and only if $M = X$ whenever M is λ -open and $\mathcal{F}^{\circ}_{\Lambda\text{-}\delta\text{I}}(S) \cup (X - S) \subseteq M$.

Proof. Let $S \in \lambda\text{g}-(\Lambda\text{-}\delta\text{I})\text{-O}(X)$ and $M \in \lambda\text{-O}(X)$ such that $\mathcal{F}^{\circ}_{\Lambda\text{-}\delta\text{I}}(S) \cup (X - S) \subseteq M$. Then $X - M \subseteq (X - \mathcal{F}^{\circ}_{\Lambda\text{-}\delta\text{I}}(S)) \cap (X - (X - S)) = X - \mathcal{F}^{\circ}_{\Lambda\text{-}\delta\text{I}}(S) \cap S = C_{\Lambda\text{-}\delta\text{I}}(X - S) - (X - S)$.

Since $X - S \in \lambda\text{g}-(\Lambda\text{-}\delta\text{I})\text{-C}(X)$ and $X - M \in \lambda\text{-C}(X)$ by Theorem 2.4 $X - M = \emptyset$.

Therefore $X = M$. Conversely, suppose that $H \in \lambda\text{-C}(X)$ and $H \subseteq S$. Then $\mathcal{F}^{\circ}_{\Lambda\text{-}\delta\text{I}}(S) \cup (X - S) \subseteq \mathcal{F}^{\circ}_{\Lambda\text{-}\delta\text{I}}(S) \cup (X - H)$. But $\mathcal{F}^{\circ}_{\Lambda\text{-}\delta\text{I}}(S) \cup (X - H) = X$ and hence $H \subseteq \mathcal{F}^{\circ}_{\Lambda\text{-}\delta\text{I}}(S)$. Therefore, $S \in \lambda\text{g}-(\Lambda\text{-}\delta\text{I})\text{-O}(X)$.

Theorem 2.18. Let (X, τ, I) be an ITS, S and T be subsets of X . If $\mathcal{J}_{A-\delta I}^0(S) \subseteq T \subseteq S$ and $S \in \lambda g-(A-\delta I)-O(X)$, then $T \in \lambda g-(A-\delta I)-O(X)$.

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{J}_{A-\delta I}^0(S) \subseteq T \subseteq S$ and $S \in \lambda g-(A-\delta I)-O(X)$. Then $X - S \subseteq X - T \subseteq C_{A-\delta I}(X - S)$ and $X - S \in \lambda g-(A-\delta I)-C(X)$. Therefore, Theorem 2.11, $T \in \lambda g-(A-\delta I)-O(X)$.

3. $\lambda g-(A-\delta I)$ -continuous and $\lambda g-(A-\delta I)$ -irresolute maps.

In this section firstly we define $\lambda g-(A-\delta I)$ -continuous function and discuss its relation with some other existing continuous functions. A function $f : (X, \tau, I_1) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, I_2)$ is called $\lambda g-(A-\delta I)$ -continuous if $f^{-1}(M) \in \lambda g-(A-\delta I)-O(X)$ for every $M \in \tau(Y)$, then we define $\lambda g-(A-\delta I)$ -irresolute function as: A function $f : (X, \tau, I_1) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, I_2)$ is said to be $\lambda g-(A-\delta I)$ -irresolute if $f^{-1}(M)$ is $\lambda g-(A-\delta I)-O(X)$ for every $\lambda g-(A-\delta I)$ -open set M of Y , Then we define $A-\delta I$ -open function from two ideal spaces as a function $f : (X, \tau, I_1) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, I_2)$ is said to be $A-\delta I$ -open if the image of $A-\delta I$ -open set is $A-\delta I$ -open.

Theorem 3.1. A function $f : (X, \tau, I_1) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, I_2)$ is $\lambda g-(A-\delta I)$ -continuous, then it is $g\lambda$ -continuous.

Proof. Let $f : (X, \tau, I_1) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, I_2)$ be $\lambda g-(A-\delta I)$ -continuous and F be any open set in (Y, σ, I_2) . Then, $f^{-1}(F) \in \lambda g-(A-\delta I)-O(X)$. Always, every $\lambda g-(A-\delta I)$ -open set is $g\lambda$ -open. Therefore, $f^{-1}(F)$ is $g\lambda$ -open in (X, τ, I_1) . Hence, f is $g\lambda$ -continuous.

Example 3.2. Let $X = Y = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$, $\lambda g-(A-\delta I)$ -open = $\{X, \emptyset, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, d\}, \{b, c\}, \{c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$, $g\Lambda$ -open = $\{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$ and $\sigma = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$. Let $f : (X,$

$\tau, I_1) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, I_2)$ be an identity function. Then f is $g\lambda$ -continuous but not $\lambda g-(\mathcal{A}-\delta I)$ -continuous as: $S = \{a\} \in \tau(Y)$ but $f^{-1}(S) = \{a\} \notin \lambda g-(\mathcal{A}-\delta I)-O(X)$.

Theorem 3.3. A function f from an ITS (X, τ, I_1) into an ITS (Y, σ, I_2) is super continuous, then it is $\lambda g-(\mathcal{A}-\delta I)$ -continuous.

Proof. Let $f : (X, \tau, I_1) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, I_2)$ be super continuous and $M \in \tau(Y)$. Then $f^1(M)$ is δ -open in (X, τ, I_1) . Generally, δ -open set are $\lambda g-(\mathcal{A}-\delta I)$ -open. Consequently, $f^1(M) \in \lambda g-(\mathcal{A}-\delta I)-O(X)$ for every $M \in \tau(Y)$ where $\tau(Y) = \{M \mid M \subseteq Y \text{ and } M \in \tau\}$. Hence, $f \in \lambda g-(\mathcal{A}-\delta I)$ -continuous.

Example 3.4. Let $X = Y = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$, $\lambda g-(\mathcal{A}-\delta I)$ -open = $\{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$, δ -open = $\{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}\}$ and $\sigma = \{X, \emptyset, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$. Let $f : (X, \tau, I_1) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, I_2)$ defined by, $f(\{a\}) = b$, $f(\{b\}) = \{a\}$, $f(\{c\}) = \{c\}$, $f(\{d\}) = \{d\}$ is $\lambda g-(\mathcal{A}-\delta I)$ -continuous but not super continuous as $M = \{c\} \in \tau(Y)$ but $f^{-1}(M) = \{c\} \notin \delta-O(X)$.

Theorem 3.5. A function $f : (X, \tau, I_1) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, I_2)$ is $\lambda g-(\mathcal{A}-\delta I)$ -continuous if and only if $f^{-1}(H) \in \lambda g-(\mathcal{A}-\delta I)-C(X)$ for every closed set H in (Y, σ, I_2) .

Proof. Necessity. Let $f : (X, \tau, I_1) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, I_2)$ be $\lambda g-(\mathcal{A}-\delta I)$ -continuous and H be any closed set in (Y, σ, I_2) . Then $f^1(X - H) \in \lambda g-(\mathcal{A}-\delta I)-O(X)$. But $f^1(X - H) = X - f^1(H)$ and so $f^1(H) \in \lambda g-(\mathcal{A}-\delta I)-C(X)$. Conversely, Suppose $f^1(H) \in \lambda g-(\mathcal{A}-\delta I)-C(X)$, for every closed set H in (Y, σ, I_2) . Again, since $f^1(X - H) = X - f^1(H)$, $f^1(X - H) \in \lambda g-(\mathcal{A}-\delta I)-O(X)$, for every $X - H \in \tau(Y)$. Hence, f is $\lambda g-(\mathcal{A}-\delta I)$ -continuous.

Theorem 3.6. If $f : (X, \tau, I_1) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, I_2)$ is both continuous and \mathcal{A} - δ I-closed, then $f(S) \in \lambda g\text{-}(\mathcal{A}\text{-}\delta\text{I})\text{-C}(Y)$ for every $S \in \lambda g\text{-}(\mathcal{A}\text{-}\delta\text{I})\text{-C}(X)$.

Proof. Let $S \in \lambda g\text{-}(\mathcal{A}\text{-}\delta\text{I})\text{-C}(X)$ and $f(S) \subset M$ where $M \in \tau(Y)$. Since $S \subset f^{-1}(M)$ and $f^{-1}(M) \in \tau(X)$ and hence $\lambda\text{-O}(X)$, $C_{\mathcal{A}\text{-}\delta\text{I}}(S) \subset f^{-1}(M)$. Thus, $f(C_{\mathcal{A}\text{-}\delta\text{I}}(S)) \subset M$. Hence, $C_{\mathcal{A}\text{-}\delta\text{I}}(f(S)) \subset C_{\mathcal{A}\text{-}\delta\text{I}}(f(C_{\mathcal{A}\text{-}\delta\text{I}}(S))) = f(C_{\mathcal{A}\text{-}\delta\text{I}}(S)) \subset M$, since f is \mathcal{A} - δ I-closed. Hence, $f(S) \in \lambda g\text{-}(\mathcal{A}\text{-}\delta\text{I})\text{-C}(Y)$.

Theorem 3.7. A map $f : (X, \tau, I_1) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, I_2)$ from an ideal space (X, τ, I_1) into an ideal space (Y, σ, I_2) is $\lambda g\text{-}(\mathcal{A}\text{-}\delta\text{I})$ -irresolute if and only if $f^{-1}(M) \in \lambda g\text{-}(\mathcal{A}\text{-}\delta\text{I})\text{-C}(X)$ for every $M \in \lambda g\text{-}(\mathcal{A}\text{-}\delta\text{I})\text{-C}(Y)$.

Proof. Let f be $\lambda g\text{-}(\mathcal{A}\text{-}\delta\text{I})$ -irresolute and M be any member of $\lambda g\text{-}(\mathcal{A}\text{-}\delta\text{I})\text{-C}(Y)$. Then, $X - M \in \lambda g\text{-}(\mathcal{A}\text{-}\delta\text{I})\text{-O}(Y)$. Since f is $\lambda g\text{-}(\mathcal{A}\text{-}\delta\text{I})$ -irresolute, $f^{-1}(X - M) \in \lambda g\text{-}(\mathcal{A}\text{-}\delta\text{I})\text{-O}(X)$. But $f^{-1}(X - M) = X - f^{-1}(M)$ and so $f^{-1}(M) \in \lambda g\text{-}(\mathcal{A}\text{-}\delta\text{I})\text{-C}(X)$. Conversely, Let V be any $\lambda g\text{-}(\mathcal{A}\text{-}\delta\text{I})\text{-C}(Y)$. Then $X - V \in \lambda g\text{-}(\mathcal{A}\text{-}\delta\text{I})\text{-C}(Y)$. By assumption, $f^{-1}(X - V) \in \lambda g\text{-}(\mathcal{A}\text{-}\delta\text{I})\text{-C}(X)$. But $f^{-1}(X - V) = X - f^{-1}(V)$ and so $f^{-1}(V) \in \lambda g\text{-}(\mathcal{A}\text{-}\delta\text{I})\text{-O}(X)$. Therefore, f is $\lambda g\text{-}(\mathcal{A}\text{-}\delta\text{I})$ -irresolute.

Generally in an ITS $\lambda g\text{-}(\mathcal{A}\text{-}\delta\text{I})$ -irresolute functions and δ -continuous functions are independent of each other as seen in the fourth coming Examples. But whenever $\lambda g\text{-}(\mathcal{A}\text{-}\delta\text{I})\text{-C}(X)$ sets coincides δ -closed sets the Theorem 3.10 holds.

Example 3.8. Let $X = Y = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$, δ -open = $\{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}\}$, $\lambda g\text{-}(\mathcal{A}\text{-}\delta\text{I})$ -open = $\{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{d\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$ and $\sigma = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$, δ -open = $\{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}\}$, $\lambda g\text{-}(\mathcal{A}\text{-}\delta\text{I})\text{-O}(X) = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, c\}, \{$

$b, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$. Let $f : (X, \tau, I_1) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, I_2)$ defined by an identity function is δ -continuous but not λg - $(\Lambda$ - $\delta I)$ -irresolute as $S = \{c\} \in \lambda g$ - $(\Lambda$ - $\delta I)$ - $O(Y)$ but $f^{-1}(S) = \{c\} \notin \lambda g$ - $(\Lambda$ - $\delta I)$ - $O(X)$.

Example 3.9. Let $X = Y = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$, δ -open = $\{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}\}$, λg - $(\Lambda$ - $\delta I)$ -open = $\{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$ and $\sigma = \{X, \emptyset, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$, δ -open = $\{X, \emptyset, \{c\}, \{d\}\}$, λg - $(\Lambda$ - $\delta I)$ -open = $\{X, \emptyset, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$. Let $f : (X, \tau, I_1) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, I_2)$ defined by an identity function is λg - $(\Lambda$ - $\delta I)$ -irresolute but not δ -continuous as $S = \{c\} \in \delta$ - $O(Y)$ but $f^{-1}(S) = \{c\} \notin \delta$ - $O(X)$.

Theorem 3.10. Let λg - $(\Lambda$ - $\delta I)C(X) \subseteq \delta C(X)$ and $f : (X, \tau, I_1) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, I_2)$ be λg - $(\Lambda$ - $\delta I)$ -irresolute. Then f is δ -continuous.

Proof. Let $H \in \delta$ - $C(Y)$. Then $H \in \lambda g$ - $(\Lambda$ - $\delta I)$ - $C(X)$. Since f is λg - $(\Lambda$ - $\delta I)$ -irresolute, $f^{-1}(H) \in \lambda g$ - $(\Lambda$ - $\delta I)$ - $C(X)$. Therefore, by hypothesis, $f^{-1}(H) \in \delta$ - $C(X)$.

Theorem 3.11. Let $f : (X, \tau, I_1) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, I_2)$ be surjective, λg - $(\Lambda$ - $\delta I)$ -irresolute and δ -closed, if λg - $(\Lambda$ - $\delta I)$ - $C(X) \subseteq \delta$ - $C(X)$ in (X, τ, I_1) then the same in (Y, σ, I_2) .

Proof. Let $S \in \lambda g$ - $(\Lambda$ - $\delta I)$ - $C(Y)$ be arbitrary. Since f is λg - $(\Lambda$ - $\delta I)$ -irresolute, $f^{-1}(S) \in \lambda g$ - $(\Lambda$ - $\delta I)$ - $C(X)$. Then by hypothesis, $f^{-1}(S) \in \delta$ - $C(X)$. Since f is surjective and δ -closed, S is δ - $C(Y)$.

References.

- [1] Arenas. F. G, J. Dontchev, M. Ganster, On λ -sets and Dual Generalized Continuity, Q & A Gen. Topology, 15, 3-13,1997.
- [2] Caldas, Saied Jafari and Govindappa Navalagi, "More on λ -closed Sets in Ideal Topological Spaces., Revisit Columbian de Mathematics Volume 41(2007)2, Paginas 355-369.,
- [3] Caldas. M, and Jafari. S. On Some Low Separation Axioms Via λ -open and λ -closure Operator. Rend. Circ. Mat. Di Palermo 54,2(2005), 195-208.
- [4] Caldas. M, Jafari. S, and Noiri. T, On Λ -Generalized Closed Sets in Topological Spaces, Acta. Math. Hunger., 118(4)(2008), 337-343.
- [5] Ganster and I. L. Reilly, Locally Closed sets and LC-Continuous functions, International J. Math. Sci., 12(1989), 417-424.
- [6] Jankovic. D and T. R. Hamlett, New topologies from old via ideals, Amer. Monthly, 97(4)(1990), 295-310.
- [7] Kuratowski. K, Topology, Vol. 1. New York. Academic press, 1996.
- [8] Levine. N, Generalized closed sets in Topology, Rend. Circ. Math. Palermo., 19, 898-96,1970.
- [9] Maki, Generalized Λ -sets and the associated closure operator, the special issue in commemoration of Prof. Kazusada IKEDA's Retirement, 139-146, 1986.
- [10] M. Navaneethkrishnan, J. Paulraj Joseph, g-closed sets in ideal topological space, Acta. Math. Hunger.

- [11] Periyasamy. P. Jenifer Grena. A, λ -I-closed set in ideal topological space.
- [12] Vaidyananthaswamy. R, the Localization Theory in set topology, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., 20(1945), 51-61.
- [13] J. Dontchev, M. Ganster and T. Noiri, Unified operation approach of generalised closed sets via topological ideals, Math.Japonica, 49(1999),395-401.
- [14] P. Bhattacharyya and B.K.Lahiri, semi-generalised closed sets in topology, Indian J.Math.,29(1987),376-382.
- [15] S.P.Arya and T. M. Nour, Characterizations of S-normal spaces, Indian J.Pure App. Math,21 (1990),717-719.
- [16] H.Maki, R. Devi and K. Balachandran, Generalised α -closed sets in topology,Bull. Fukuoka Univ.E.Part III , 17 42(1993),13-21.
- [17] H.Maki, R.Devi and K. Balachandran, Associated topologies of generalised closed sets,Mem. Sci.Kochi.Univ.Ser.A.Math.,15(1994),51-63.
- [18] M.K.R.S.Veera kumar, \hat{g} -closed sets in topological spaces, Bull. Allahabad Math. Soc.,18(2003),99-112.
- [19]M.Lellis Thivagar,B.Meera Devi and E. Hatir, $\delta\hat{g}$ -closed sets in Topological spaces, Gen.Math.Notes,Vol.1,No.2,Dec 2010,pp17-25.
- [20] J.Dontchev and H.Maki, On generalised closed sets, Int.J.Math and Math.Sci,22,No.2 (1999),239-249.
- [21] Norman Levine, Generalised closed sets in topology, Rend.Circ.Mat.Palermo,19(1970), 89-96.
- [22] B. M. Munshi and D. S. Bassan, Supercontinuous mapping, Indian j. Pure Apd.Math.13 (1982),229-236.
- [23] T. Noiri, On δ -continuous functions, J. Korean Math.Soc.,16(1980),161-166.
- [24] P.Periyasamy, M. Saranya, " Λ - δ I-closed sets in Ideal Topological Spaces" in Indian Journal of Natural Science, Volume 14(2023) PP 60397-60403.