

## New subclasses of bi-univalent functions related with Hurwitz–Lerch Zeta function of complex order

S. Kavitha<sup>1</sup>, Prathviraj Sharma<sup>2</sup> and S. Sivasubramanian<sup>2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics,  
S.D.N.B Vaishnav College for Women,  
Chennai 600044, Tamilnadu, India

E-Mail: [kavi080716@gmail.com](mailto:kavi080716@gmail.com)

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics,  
University College of Engineering Tindivanam,  
Anna University, Tindivanam 604001, Tamilnadu, India

E-Mails: [sirprathvi99@gmail.com](mailto:sirprathvi99@gmail.com), [sivasaisastha@rediffmail.com](mailto:sivasaisastha@rediffmail.com)

\*Corresponding Author

### Abstract

In this article, the authors use the Hurwitz–Lerch zeta function associated with the subclasses of bi-univalent functions. Three new subclasses of bi-univalent functions are introduced. For these new classes, the authors obtain first two initial coefficient bounds. Furthermore, the famous Fekete-Szegő inequality is also drive for this new subclass of functions. Some findings improved results already available in the literature.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.** Primary 30C45, 33C50; Secondary 30C80.

**Key Words and Phrases.** Analytic; Bi-Univalent; Convolution; Hurwitz–Lerch Zeta function; Coefficient estimates.

### 1. Introduction

Indicate  $\mathcal{A}$  be the class of all functions  $f : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by

$$f(\xi) = \xi + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \xi^n \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in open unit disk  $\mathbb{E} := \{\xi \in \mathbb{C} : |\xi| < 1\}$ . Indicate  $\mathcal{S}$  be the subclass of  $\mathcal{A}$  which are univalent in  $\mathbb{E}$ . Fix  $0 \leq \delta < 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}^*(\delta)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(\delta)$  and  $\mathcal{R}(\delta)$  be the subclasses of class  $\mathcal{S}$  which is well known the class of starlike, convex and the class of functions whose

derivatives have positive real part of order  $\delta$  respectively. The analytic descriptions of the above classes are given by

$$\mathcal{S}^*(\delta) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{S} : \Re \left( \frac{\xi f'(\xi)}{f(\xi)} \right) > \delta, 0 \leq \delta < 1 \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{C}(\delta) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{S} : \Re \left( 1 + \frac{\xi f''(\xi)}{f'(\xi)} \right) > \delta, 0 \leq \delta < 1 \right\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}(\delta) = \{f \in \mathcal{S} : \Re(f'(\xi)) > \delta, 0 \leq \delta < 1\}.$$

The Koebe one-quarter theorem [11] ensures the range of every function of the class  $\mathcal{S}$  contains the disc of radius  $1/4$ . Hence, every univalent function  $f \in \mathcal{S}$  has an inverse  $f^{-1}$  which is introduced by

$$f^{-1}(f(\xi)) = \xi, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{E}$$

and

$$f(f^{-1}(\zeta)) = \zeta, \quad \zeta \in \left( |\zeta| < \frac{1}{4} \right).$$

The inverse function  $h \equiv f^{-1}$  is given by the power series

$$h(\zeta) \equiv f^{-1}(\zeta) = \zeta - a_2 \zeta^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3) \zeta^3 + (5a_2^3 - 5a_2 a_3 + a_4) \zeta^4 + \dots \quad (1.2)$$

A function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is said to be bi-univalent in  $\mathbb{E}$  if  $f$  and its inverse  $h \equiv f^{-1}$  are univalent in  $\mathbb{E}$ . Let  $\Sigma$  be the class of all bi-univalent functions in  $\mathbb{E}$ . In 1985 Louis de Branges [7] show that the Bieberbach Conjecture which states that, for each  $f \in \mathcal{S}$  given by (1.1) then following inequality holds

$$|a_n| \leq n, n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}.$$

Brannan and Clunie [4] conjectured that  $|a_2| \leq \sqrt{2}$ . Further in 1981, Styer and Wright [26] observed that there exist functions in  $\Sigma$  for which  $|a_2| > 4/3$  and in 1984 Tan [27] proved that  $|a_2| \leq 1.485$ . For more details, see [1, 6, 17, 18, 28].

For two holomorphic functions  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{A}$  and their Taylor series are given by

$$f_1(\xi) = \xi + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_n \xi^n \quad \text{and} \quad f_2(\xi) = \xi + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} B_n \xi^n,$$

then the function  $f_1 * f_2 : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by

$$(f_1 * f_2)(\xi) = f_1(\xi) * f_2(\xi) = \xi + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_n B_n \xi^n$$

is called the convolution of  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ . The function  $f_1 * f_2$  belongs to  $\mathcal{A}$  and it is also called the Hadamard product of  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ .

**1.1 Hurwitz–Lerch Zeta function.** Hurwitz–Lerch Zeta function [22,16] plays an important role in various fields of mathematical sciences, especially in geometric function theory. A general Hurwitz–Lerch Zeta function  $\Psi(\xi, \lambda, b)$  defined in [24] by

$$\Psi(\xi, \lambda, b) := \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\xi^n}{(n+b)^\lambda}$$

where  $b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{B_0\}$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\Re(\lambda) > 1$  and  $B_0 := \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{\mathbb{N}\}$ .

Now we consider the normalized function

$$\mathcal{E}(\xi, \lambda, \vartheta) = (1+n)^\vartheta \left[ \Psi(\xi, \lambda, b) - \frac{1}{\lambda^n} \right] = \xi + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1+\lambda}{n+\lambda} \right)^\vartheta \xi^n.$$

Motivated by the investigation of operators we introduced an operator  $\Lambda_\lambda^\vartheta: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  defined by

$$\Lambda_\lambda^\vartheta f(\xi) = \mathcal{E}(\xi, \lambda, \vartheta) * f(\xi) = \xi + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1+\lambda}{n+\lambda} \right)^\vartheta a_n \xi^n.$$

Hence, we have

$$\Lambda_\lambda^\vartheta f(\xi) = \xi + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Phi_n(\lambda, \vartheta) a_n \xi^n,$$

where

$$\Phi_n(\lambda, \vartheta) = \left( \frac{1+\lambda}{n+\lambda} \right)^\vartheta.$$

**Remark 1.** For the choice of parameters  $\lambda$  and  $\vartheta$  we have:

(i) If  $\vartheta = 0$  then

$$\Lambda_\vartheta^0 f(\xi) \equiv f(\xi).$$

(ii) If  $\vartheta = 1$  and  $\lambda = 0$  then

$$\Lambda_0^1 f(\xi) \equiv \int_0^\xi \frac{f(u)}{u} du = \mathcal{L}f(\xi) = \xi + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n} \xi^n$$

is well known as Alexander operator [2].

(iii) If  $\vartheta = 1$  and  $\lambda = b$ , ( $b > -1$ ) then

$$\Lambda_b^1 f(\xi) \equiv \mathcal{F}_b f(\xi) = \frac{1+b}{\xi^b} \int_0^\xi u^{b-1} f(u) du = \xi + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1+b}{n+b} \right) a_n \xi^n$$

is well known as Bernardi operator [3].

(iv) If  $\vartheta = \eta$ , ( $\eta > 0$ ) and  $\lambda = 1$  then

$$\Lambda_1^\eta f(\xi) \equiv \mathcal{J}^\eta f(\xi) = \xi + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left( \frac{2}{n+1} \right)^\eta a_n \xi^n$$

is well known as Jung–Kim–Srivastava integral operator [10].

Let  $\mathcal{P}_\mu(c, \delta)$  be the class of analytic functions  $x(\xi)$  analytic in  $\mathbb{E}$  normalized by the conditions  $x(0) = 1$  and  $x(0) > 1$  and

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left| \Re \left\{ \frac{1 + \frac{1}{c} [x(\xi) - 1] - \delta}{1 - \delta} \right\} \right| d\theta \leq 2\pi,$$

where  $\mu \geq 2$ ,  $0 \leq \delta < 1$  and  $c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ .  $\mathcal{P}_\mu(c, \delta)$  was introduced in [13]. A function  $x \in \mathcal{P}_\mu(c, \delta)$  then there exists two analytic functions  $x_1(\xi)$  and  $x_2(\xi)$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{P}(c, \delta)$  such that

$$x(\xi) = \frac{\mu}{4} [x_1(\xi) - x_2(\xi)] + \frac{1}{2} [x_1(\xi) + x_2(\xi)].$$

**Remark 2.** For the choice of parameters  $\mu$ ,  $\delta$  and  $\vartheta$  we have:

(i) If  $c = 1$  then,  $\mathcal{P}_\mu(1, \delta) \equiv \mathcal{P}_\mu(\delta)$  was introduced in [14].

(ii) If  $c = 1$  and  $\delta = 0$  then,  $\mathcal{P}_\mu(1, 0) \equiv \mathcal{P}_\mu$  was introduced in [15].

(iii) If  $c = 1$ ,  $\delta = 0$  and  $\mu = 2$  then,  $\mathcal{P}_2(1, 0) \equiv \mathcal{P}$  is the well – known class of Caratheodory functions of positive real part.

**Lemma 1.** [13] A function  $x \in \mathcal{P}_\mu(c, \delta)$  given in the form

$$x(\xi) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n \xi^n = 1 + x_1 \xi + x_2 \xi^2 + x_3 \xi^3 + \dots, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{E},$$

then

$$|x_n| \leq \mu |c| (1 - \delta), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

This result is sharp.

In this article, the authors use the Hurwitz–Lerch zeta function associated with the subclasses of bi-univalent functions. We introduce three new subclasses of bi-univalent functions. For these new classes, the authors obtain first two initial coefficient bounds. Furthermore, the famous Fekete-Szegő inequality are also drive for this new subclass of functions. Some findings improved results already available in the literature.

## 2. Main Definition

**Definition 1.** For fixed  $2 \leq \mu \leq 4$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\alpha, v \geq 0$  and  $0 \leq \delta < 1$ . We say that the function  $f \in \Sigma$  is in the class  $\mathcal{R}_{\Sigma, \lambda, \alpha}^{\vartheta, v}(c, \mu, \delta)$  if the following conditions hold:

$$\alpha \xi \Lambda_{\lambda}^{\vartheta} f''(\xi) + (v - 2\alpha) \Lambda_{\lambda}^{\vartheta} f'(\xi) + (1 - v + 2\alpha) \frac{\Lambda_{\lambda}^{\vartheta} f(\xi)}{\xi} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mu}(c, \delta)$$

and

$$\alpha \zeta \Lambda_{\lambda}^{\vartheta} h''(\zeta) + (v - 2\alpha) \Lambda_{\lambda}^{\vartheta} h'(\zeta) + (1 - v + 2\alpha) \frac{\Lambda_{\lambda}^{\vartheta} h(\zeta)}{\zeta} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mu}(c, \delta)$$

where  $h$  is the inverse function of  $f$  given in (1.2).

**Remark 3.** For the choice of parameters in Definition 1 we have the following cases:

(i) If  $\vartheta = 0$ ,  $v = 1 + 2\alpha$  and  $c = 1$  then  $\mathcal{R}_{\Sigma, \lambda, \alpha}^{0, 1 + 2\alpha}(1, \mu, \delta) \equiv \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}^{\alpha}(\mu, \delta)$  is the class of functions hold the following conditions:

$$f'(\xi) + \alpha \xi f''(\xi) \in \mathcal{P}_{\mu}(\delta)$$

and

$$h'(\zeta) + \alpha \zeta h''(\zeta) \in \mathcal{P}_{\mu}(\delta).$$

The family  $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}^{\alpha}(\mu, \delta)$  was initiated by Sharma [20] in 2023.

(ii) If  $\vartheta = 0$ ,  $v = 1 + 2\alpha$ ,  $\mu = 2$  and  $c = 1$  then  $\mathcal{R}_{\Sigma, \lambda, \alpha}^{0, 1 + 2\alpha}(1, 2, \delta) \equiv \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}^{\alpha}(\delta)$  is the class of functions hold the following conditions:

$$\Re(f'(\xi) + \alpha \xi f''(\xi)) > \delta$$

and

$$\Re(h'(\zeta) + \alpha \zeta h''(\zeta)) > \delta.$$

The family  $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}^{\alpha}(\delta)$  was initiated by Srivastava [23] in 2015.

(iii) If  $\vartheta = 0, \alpha = 0$  and  $c = 1$  then  $\mathcal{R}_{\Sigma, \lambda, 0}^{0, \nu}(1, \mu, \delta) \equiv \mathcal{G}_{\Sigma}^{\nu}(\mu, \delta)$  is the class of functions hold the following conditions:

$$\nu f'(\xi) + (1 - \nu) \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mu}(\delta)$$

and

$$\nu h'(\zeta) + (1 - \nu) \frac{h(\zeta)}{\zeta} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mu}(\delta).$$

The family  $\mathcal{G}_{\Sigma}^{\nu}(\mu, \delta)$  was initiated by Sharma [20] in 2023.

(iv) If  $\vartheta = 0, \alpha = 0, \mu = 2$  and  $c = 1$  then  $\mathcal{R}_{\Sigma, \lambda, 0}^{0, \nu}(1, 2, \delta) \equiv \mathcal{G}_{\Sigma}^{\nu}(\delta)$  is the class of functions hold the following conditions:

$$\Re\left(\nu f'(\xi) + (1 - \nu) \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi}\right) > \delta$$

and

$$\Re\left(\nu h'(\zeta) + (1 - \nu) \frac{h(\zeta)}{\zeta}\right) > \delta.$$

The family  $\mathcal{G}_{\Sigma}^{\nu}(\delta)$  was initiated by Frasin and Aouf [9] in 2011.

(v) If  $\vartheta = 0, \alpha = 0, \nu = 1$  and  $c = 1$  then then  $\mathcal{R}_{\Sigma, \lambda, 0}^{0, 1}(1, \mu, \delta) \equiv \mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}(\mu, \delta)$  is the class of functions hold the following conditions:

$$f'(\xi) \in \mathcal{P}_{\mu}(\delta)$$

and

$$h'(\zeta) \in \mathcal{P}_{\mu}(\delta).$$

The family  $\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}(\mu, \delta)$  was initiated by Li [12] in 2020.

(vi) If  $\vartheta = 0, \alpha = 0, \nu = 1, \mu = 2$  and  $c = 1$  then then  $\mathcal{R}_{\Sigma, \lambda, 0}^{0,1}(1, 2, \delta) \equiv \mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}(\delta)$  is the class of functions hold the following conditions:

$$\Re(f'(\xi)) > \delta$$

and

$$\Re(h'(\zeta)) > \delta.$$

The family  $\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}(\delta)$  was initiated by *Srivastava* [25] in 2020.

**Definition 2.** For fixed  $2 \leq \mu \leq 4, c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \eta \geq 0$  and  $0 \leq \delta < 1$ . We say that the function  $f \in \Sigma$  is in the class  $\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma, \lambda}^{\vartheta, \eta}(c, \mu, \delta)$  if the following conditions hold:

$$\frac{\xi \Lambda_{\lambda}^{\vartheta} f'(\xi)}{\Lambda_{\lambda}^{\vartheta} f(\xi)} + \eta \xi \Lambda_{\lambda}^{\vartheta} f''(\xi) \in \mathcal{P}_{\mu}(c, \delta)$$

and

$$\frac{\zeta \Lambda_{\lambda}^{\vartheta} h'(\zeta)}{\Lambda_{\lambda}^{\vartheta} h(\zeta)} + \eta \zeta \Lambda_{\lambda}^{\vartheta} h''(\zeta) \in \mathcal{P}_{\mu}(c, \delta)$$

where  $h$  is the inverse function of  $f$  given in (1.2).

**Remark 4.** For the choice of parameters in Definition 2 we have the following cases:

(i) If  $\vartheta = 0, \eta = 0$  and  $c = 1$  then  $\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma, \lambda}^{0,0}(1, \mu, \delta) \equiv \mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^*(\mu, \delta)$  is the class of functions hold the following conditions:

$$\frac{\xi f'(\xi)}{f(\xi)} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mu}(\delta)$$

and

$$\frac{\zeta h'(\zeta)}{h(\zeta)} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mu}(\delta).$$

The family  $\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^*(\mu, \delta)$  was initiated by *Li* [12] in 2020.

(ii) If  $\vartheta = 0, \eta = 0, \mu = 2$  and  $c = 1$  then  $\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma, \lambda}^{0,0}(1, 2, \delta) \equiv \mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^*(\delta)$  is the class of functions hold the following conditions:

$$\Re\left(\frac{\xi f'(\xi)}{f(\xi)}\right) > \delta$$

and

$$\Re \left( \frac{\zeta h'(\zeta)}{h(\zeta)} \right) > \delta.$$

The family  $\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^*(\delta)$  was initiated by Brannan and Taha [5] in 1985.

**Definition 3.** For fixed  $2 \leq \mu \leq 4, c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, 0 \leq \nu \leq 1$  and  $0 \leq \delta < 1$ . We say that the function  $f \in \Sigma$  is in the class  $\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma, \lambda}^{\vartheta, \mu}(c, \mu, \delta)$  if the following conditions hold:

$$(1 - \nu)\Lambda_{\lambda}^{\vartheta} f'(\xi) + \nu \left( 1 + \frac{\xi \Lambda_{\lambda}^{\vartheta} f''(\xi)}{\Lambda_{\lambda}^{\vartheta} f'(\xi)} \right) \in \mathcal{P}_{\mu}(c, \delta)$$

and

$$(1 - \nu)\Lambda_{\lambda}^{\vartheta} h'(\zeta) + \nu \left( 1 + \frac{\zeta \Lambda_{\lambda}^{\vartheta} h''(\zeta)}{\Lambda_{\lambda}^{\vartheta} h'(\zeta)} \right) \in \mathcal{P}_{\mu}(c, \delta)$$

where  $h$  is the inverse function of  $f$  given in (1.2).

**Remark 5.** For the choice of parameters in Definition 3 we have the following cases:

(i) If  $\vartheta = 0, \nu = 1$  and  $c = 1$  then  $\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma, \lambda}^{0, 1}(1, \mu, \delta) \equiv \mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}(\mu, \delta)$  is the class of functions hold the following conditions:

$$1 + \frac{\xi f''(\xi)}{f'(\xi)} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mu}(\delta)$$

and

$$1 + \frac{\zeta h''(\zeta)}{h'(\zeta)} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mu}(\delta).$$

The family  $\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}(\mu, \delta)$  was initiated by Li [12] in 2020.

(ii) If  $\vartheta = 0, \nu = 1, \mu = 2$  and  $c = 1$  then  $\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma, \lambda}^{0, 1}(1, 2, \delta) \equiv \mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}(\delta)$  is the class of functions hold the following conditions:

$$\Re \left( 1 + \frac{\xi f''(\xi)}{f'(\xi)} \right) > \delta$$

and

$$\Re \left( 1 + \frac{\zeta h''(\zeta)}{h'(\zeta)} \right) > \delta.$$

The family  $\mathcal{C}_\Sigma(\delta)$  was initiated by Brannan and Taha [5] in 1985.

(iii) If  $\vartheta = 0, \nu = 0$  and  $c = 1$  then  $\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma, \lambda}^{0,0}(1, \mu, \delta) \equiv \mathcal{H}_\Sigma(\mu, \delta)$  is the class of functions hold the following conditions:

$$f'(\xi) \in \mathcal{P}_\mu(\delta)$$

and

$$h'(\zeta) \in \mathcal{P}_\mu(\delta).$$

The family  $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma(\mu, \delta)$  was initiated by Li [12] in 2020.

(iv) If  $\vartheta = 0, \nu = 0, \mu = 2$  and  $c = 1$  then  $\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma, \lambda}^{0,0}(1, 2, \delta) \equiv \mathcal{H}_\Sigma(\delta)$  is the class of functions hold the following conditions:

$$\Re(f'(\xi)) > \delta$$

and

$$\Re(h'(\zeta)) > \delta.$$

The family  $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma(\delta)$  was initiated by *Srivastava* [25] in 2020.

### 3. Main Theorems

**Theorem 1.** For fixed  $2 \leq \mu \leq 4, c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \alpha, \nu \geq 0$  and  $0 \leq \delta < 1$ . A function  $f \in \mathcal{R}_{\Sigma, \lambda, \alpha}^{\vartheta, \nu}(c, \mu, \delta)$  then

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{\mu|c|(1-\delta)}{\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta)[2(\alpha+\nu)+1]}}, \quad (3.1)$$

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{\mu|c|(1-\delta)}{\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta)[2(\alpha+\nu)+1]} \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$|a_3 - \aleph a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\mu|c|(1-\delta)(1-\aleph)}{\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta)[2(\alpha + \nu) + 1]}, & \aleph \leq 0 \\ \frac{\mu|c|(1-\delta)}{\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta)[2(\alpha + \nu) + 1]}, & 0 \leq \aleph \leq 2 \\ \frac{\mu|c|(1-\delta)(\aleph - 1)}{\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta)[2(\alpha + \nu) + 1]}, & \aleph \geq 2 \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. Consider  $f \in \mathcal{R}_{\Sigma, \lambda, \alpha}^{\vartheta, \nu}(c, \mu, \delta)$ . Then, there exist two analytic functions  $x(\xi)$  and  $y(\zeta)$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{P}_\mu(c, \delta)$  such that

$$\alpha \xi \Lambda_\lambda^\vartheta f''(\xi) + (\nu - 2\alpha) \Lambda_\lambda^\vartheta f'(\xi) + (1 - \nu + 2\alpha) \frac{\Lambda_\lambda^\vartheta f(\xi)}{\xi} = x(\xi) \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$\alpha \zeta \Lambda_\lambda^\vartheta h''(\zeta) + (\nu - 2\alpha) \Lambda_\lambda^\vartheta h'(\zeta) + (1 - \nu + 2\alpha) \frac{\Lambda_\lambda^\vartheta h(\zeta)}{\zeta} = y(\zeta) \tag{3.5}$$

where

$$x(\xi) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n \xi^n = 1 + x_1 \xi + x_2 \xi^2 + x_3 \xi^3 + \dots \tag{3.6}$$

and

$$y(\zeta) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n \zeta^n = 1 + y_1 \zeta + y_2 \zeta^2 + y_3 \zeta^3 + \dots \tag{3.7}$$

Now using (3.4), (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7), we get

$$(\nu + 1)\Phi_2(\lambda, \vartheta)a_2 = x_1, \tag{3.8}$$

$$[2(\alpha + \nu) + 1]\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta)a_3 = x_2, \tag{3.9}$$

$$-(\nu + 1)\Phi_2(\lambda, \vartheta)a_2 = y_1, \tag{3.10}$$

and

$$[4(\alpha + \nu) + 2]\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta)a_2^2 - [2(\alpha + \nu) + 1]\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta)a_3 = y_2. \tag{3.11}$$

On addition of (3.8) and (3.10) we have  $x_1 = -y_1$  and similarly adding (3.9) and (3.11), we get

$$[4(\alpha + \nu) + 2]\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta)a_2^2 = x_2 + y_2. \tag{3.12}$$

Using Lemma 1 in (3.12), we get

$$|a_2|^2 \leq \frac{\mu|c|(1-\delta)}{[4(\alpha+\nu)+2]\Phi_3(\lambda,\vartheta)}. \quad (3.13)$$

Equation (3.13) gives the bound of  $|a_2|$  given in (3.1). Similarly Using Lemma 1 in (3.9) gives the bound of  $|a_3|$  given in (3.2). Hence, for any fixed real number  $\aleph$  and from (3.9) and (3.12), we get

$$a_3 - \aleph a_2^2 = \frac{(2-\aleph)x_2 - \aleph y_2}{[4(\alpha+\nu)+2]\Phi_3(\lambda,\vartheta)}. \quad (3.14)$$

Using Lemma 1 in (3.14), we get

$$\leq \frac{|a_3 - \aleph a_2^2|}{[4(\alpha+\nu)+2]\Phi_3(\lambda,\vartheta)}. \quad (3.15)$$

Equation (3.15) gives the bounds given in (3.3). Which completes the proof of Theorem 1.

**Theorem 2.** For fixed  $2 \leq \mu \leq 4, c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \eta \geq 0$  and  $0 \leq \delta < 1$ . A function  $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\Sigma,\lambda}^{\vartheta,\eta}(c, \mu, \delta)$  then

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{\mu|c|(1-\delta)}{|2(1+3\eta)\Phi_3(\lambda,\vartheta) - \Phi_2(\lambda,2\vartheta)|}}, \quad (3.16)$$

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{\mu|c|(1-\delta)}{|2(1+3\eta)\Phi_3(\lambda,\vartheta) - \Phi_2(\lambda,2\vartheta)|} \quad (3.17)$$

and

$$|a_3 - \aleph a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\mu|c|(1-\delta)(1-\aleph)}{|2(1+3\eta)\Phi_3(\lambda,\vartheta) - \Phi_2(\lambda,2\vartheta)|}, & \aleph \leq \Pi \\ \frac{\mu|c|(1-\delta)}{|2(1+3\eta)\Phi_3(\lambda,\vartheta)|}, & \Pi \leq \aleph \leq 2-\Pi \\ \frac{\mu|c|(1-\delta)(\aleph-1)}{|2(1+3\eta)\Phi_3(\lambda,\vartheta) - \Phi_2(\lambda,2\vartheta)|}, & \aleph \geq 2-\Pi \end{cases} \quad (3.18)$$

where  $\aleph$  is a real number and

$$\Pi = \frac{\Phi_2(\lambda,2\vartheta)}{2(1+3\eta)\Phi_3(\lambda,\vartheta)}.$$

Proof. Consider  $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\Sigma, \lambda}^{\vartheta, \eta}(c, \mu, \delta)$ . Then, there exist two analytic functions  $x(\xi)$  and  $y(\zeta)$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{P}_{\mu}(c, \delta)$  such that

$$\frac{\xi \Lambda_{\lambda}^{\vartheta} f'(\xi)}{\Lambda_{\lambda}^{\vartheta} f(\xi)} + \eta \xi \Lambda_{\lambda}^{\vartheta} f''(\xi) = x(\xi) \quad (3.19)$$

and

$$\frac{\zeta \Lambda_{\lambda}^{\vartheta} h'(\zeta)}{\Lambda_{\lambda}^{\vartheta} h(\zeta)} + \eta \zeta \Lambda_{\lambda}^{\vartheta} h''(\zeta) = y(\zeta) \quad (3.20)$$

where  $x(\xi)$  and  $y(\zeta)$  are given in (3.6) and (3.7). Now using (3.5), (3.6), (3.19) and (3.20), we have

$$(1 + 2\eta)\Phi_2(\lambda, \vartheta)a_2 = x_1, \quad (3.21)$$

$$2(1 + 3\eta)\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta)a_3 - \Phi_2(\lambda, 2\vartheta)a_2^2 = x_2, \quad (3.22)$$

$$-(1 + 2\eta)\Phi_2(\lambda, \vartheta)a_2 = y_1, \quad (3.23)$$

and

$$[4(1 + 3\eta)\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta) - \Phi_2(\lambda, 2\vartheta)]a_2^2 - 2(1 + 3\eta)\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta)a_3 = y_2. \quad (3.24)$$

On addition of (3.21) and (3.23) we have  $x_1 = -y_1$  and similarly adding (3.22) and (3.24), we get

$$2[2(1 + 3\eta)\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta) - \Phi_2(\lambda, 2\vartheta)]a_2^2 = x_2 + y_2. \quad (3.25)$$

Using Lemma 1 in (3.25), we get

$$|a_2|^2 \leq \frac{\mu|c|(1 - \delta)}{|2(1 + 3\eta)\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta) - \Phi_2(\lambda, 2\vartheta)|}. \quad (3.26)$$

Equation (3.26) gives the bound of  $|a_2|$  given in (3.16). Now subtracting (3.24) from (3.22) we get

$$4(1 + 3\eta)\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta)a_3 = 4(1 + 3\eta)\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta)a_2^2 + x_2 + y_2. \quad (3.27)$$

Using the value of  $a_2^2$  given in (3.25) in (3.27), we get

$$4(1 + 3\eta)\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta)a_3 = \frac{[4(1 + 3\eta)\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta) - \Phi_2(\lambda, 2\vartheta)]x_2 + \Phi_2(\lambda, 2\vartheta)y_2}{2(1 + 3\eta)\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta) - \Phi_2(\lambda, 2\vartheta)}. \quad (3.28)$$

Using Lemma 1 in (3.28) gives the bound of  $|a_3|$  given in (3.17). Hence, for any fixed real

number  $\aleph$  and from (3.25) and (3.28), we get

$$a_3 - \aleph a_2^2 = \frac{[4(1 + 3\eta)\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta) - \Phi_2(\lambda, 2\vartheta) - 2(1 + 3\eta)\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta)\aleph]x_2}{4(1 + 3\eta)\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta)[2(1 + 3\eta)\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta) - \Phi_2(\lambda, 2\vartheta)]} + \frac{[\Phi_2(\lambda, 2\vartheta) - 2(1 + 3\eta)\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta)\aleph]y_2}{4(1 + 3\eta)\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta)[2(1 + 3\eta)\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta) - \Phi_2(\lambda, 2\vartheta)]}. \quad (3.39)$$

Using Lemma 1 in (3.29), we get

$$a_3 - \aleph a_2^2 = \frac{\mu|c|(1 - \delta)|4(1 + 3\eta)\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta) - \Phi_2(\lambda, 2\vartheta) - 2(1 + 3\eta)\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta)\aleph|}{4(1 + 3\eta)\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta)[2(1 + 3\eta)\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta) - \Phi_2(\lambda, 2\vartheta)]} + \frac{\mu|c|(1 - \delta)|\Phi_2(\lambda, 2\vartheta) - 2(1 + 3\eta)\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta)\aleph|}{4(1 + 3\eta)\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta)[2(1 + 3\eta)\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta) - \Phi_2(\lambda, 2\vartheta)]}. \quad (3.40)$$

Equation (3.30) gives the bounds given in (3.18). Which completes the proof of Theorem 2.

**Theorem 3.** For fixed  $2 \leq \mu \leq 4, c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, 0 \leq \nu \leq 1$  and  $0 \leq \delta < 1$ . A function  $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\Sigma, \lambda}^{\vartheta, \nu}(c, \mu, \delta)$  then

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{\mu|c|(1 - \delta)}{|3(1 + \nu)\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta) - 4\nu\Phi_2(\lambda, 2\vartheta)|}}, \quad (3.31)$$

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{\mu|c|(1 - \delta)}{|3(1 + \nu)\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta) - 4\nu\Phi_2(\lambda, 2\vartheta)|} \quad (3.32)$$

and

$$|a_3 - \aleph a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\mu|c|(1 - \delta)(1 - \aleph)}{|3(1 + \nu)\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta) - 4\nu\Phi_2(\lambda, 2\vartheta)|}, & \aleph \leq \Theta \\ \frac{\mu|c|(1 - \delta)}{3(1 + \nu)\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta)}, & \Theta \leq \aleph \leq 2 - \Theta \\ \frac{\mu|c|(1 - \delta)(\aleph - 1)}{|3(1 + \nu)\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta) - 4\nu\Phi_2(\lambda, 2\vartheta)|}, & \aleph \geq 2 - \Theta \end{cases} \quad (3.33)$$

where  $\aleph$  is a real number and

$$\Theta = \frac{4\nu\Phi_2(\lambda, 2\vartheta)}{3(1 + \nu)\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta)}.$$

Proof. Consider  $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\Sigma, \lambda}^{\vartheta, \nu}(c, \mu, \delta)$ . Then, there exist two analytic functions  $x(\xi)$  and  $y(\zeta)$

belongs to the class  $\mathcal{P}_\mu(c, \delta)$  such that

$$(1 - \nu)\Lambda_\lambda^\vartheta f'(\xi) + \nu \left( 1 + \frac{\xi \Lambda_\lambda^\vartheta f''(\xi)}{\Lambda_\lambda^\vartheta f'(\xi)} \right) = x(\xi) \quad (3.34)$$

and

$$(1 - \nu)\Lambda_\lambda^\vartheta f'(\xi) + \nu \left( 1 + \frac{\xi \Lambda_\lambda^\vartheta f''(\xi)}{\Lambda_\lambda^\vartheta f'(\xi)} \right) = x(\xi) \quad (3.35)$$

where  $x(\xi)$  and  $y(\zeta)$  are given in (3.6) and (3.7). Now using (3.5), (3.6), (3.34) and (3.35), we have

$$2\Phi_2(\lambda, \vartheta)a_2 = x_1, \quad (3.36)$$

$$3(1 + \nu)\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta)a_3 - 4\nu\Phi_2(\lambda, 2\vartheta)a_2^2 = x_2, \quad (3.37)$$

$$-2\Phi_2(\lambda, \vartheta)a_2 = y_1, \quad (3.38)$$

and

$$[6(1 + \nu)\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta) - 4\nu\Phi_2(\lambda, 2\vartheta)]a_2^2 - 3(1 + \nu)\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta)a_3 = y_2. \quad (3.39)$$

On addition of (3.36) and (3.38) we have  $x_1 = -y_1$  and similarly adding (3.37) and (3.39), we get

$$[6(1 + \nu)\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta) - 8\nu\Phi_2(\lambda, 2\vartheta)]a_2^2 = x_2 + y_2. \quad (3.40)$$

Using Lemma 1 in (3.40), we get

$$|a_2|^2 \leq \frac{\mu|c|(1 - \delta)}{|3(1 + \nu)\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta) - 4\nu\Phi_2(\lambda, 2\vartheta)|}. \quad (3.41)$$

Equation (3.41) gives the bound of  $|a_2|$  given in (3.31). Now subtracting (3.39) from (3.37) and using the value of  $a_2^2$  given in (3.40), we get

$$a_3 = \frac{[12(1 + \nu)\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta) - 8\nu\Phi_2(\lambda, 2\vartheta)]x_2 + 8\nu\Phi_2(\lambda, 2\vartheta)y_2}{6(1 + \nu)\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta)[6(1 + \nu)\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta) - 8\nu\Phi_2(\lambda, 2\vartheta)]}. \quad (3.42)$$

Using Lemma 1 in (3.42) gives the bound of  $|a_3|$  given in (3.32). Hence, for any fixed real number  $\aleph$  and from (3.40) and (3.42), we get

$$a_3 - \aleph a_2^2 = \frac{[12(1 + \nu)\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta) - 8\nu\Phi_2(\lambda, 2\vartheta) - 6(1 + \nu)\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta)\aleph]x_2}{6(1 + \nu)\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta)[6(1 + \nu)\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta) - 8\nu\Phi_2(\lambda, 2\vartheta)]} + \frac{[8\nu\Phi_2(\lambda, 2\vartheta) - 6(1 + \nu)\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta)\aleph]y_2}{6(1 + \nu)\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta)[6(1 + \nu)\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta) - 8\nu\Phi_2(\lambda, 2\vartheta)]}. \quad (3.43)$$

Using Lemma 1 in (3.43), we get

$$a_3 - \varkappa a_2^2 = \frac{\mu|c|(1-\delta)|12(1+\varkappa)\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta) - 8\varkappa\Phi_2(\lambda, 2\vartheta) - 6(1+\varkappa)\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta)\varkappa|}{6(1+\varkappa)\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta)[6(1+\varkappa)\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta) - 8\varkappa\Phi_2(\lambda, 2\vartheta)]} + \frac{\mu|c|(1-\delta)|8\varkappa\Phi_2(\lambda, 2\vartheta) - 6(1+\varkappa)\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta)\varkappa|}{6(1+\varkappa)\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta)[6(1+\varkappa)\Phi_3(\lambda, \vartheta) - 8\varkappa\Phi_2(\lambda, 2\vartheta)]}. \quad (3.44)$$

Equation (3.44) gives the bounds given in (3.33). Which completes the proof of Theorem 3.

#### 4. Corollaries

With the choice of  $\vartheta = 0$ ,  $v = 1 + 2\alpha$  and  $c = 1$  in Theorem 1, we can deduce the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.** For fixed  $2 \leq \mu \leq 4$ ,  $\alpha \geq 0$  and  $0 \leq \delta < 1$ . A function  $f \in \mathcal{F}_\Sigma^\alpha(\mu, \delta)$  then

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{\mu(1-\delta)}{3(1+2\alpha)'}}$$

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{\mu(1-\delta)}{3(1+2\alpha)}$$

and

$$|a_3 - \varkappa a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\mu(1-\delta)(1-\varkappa)}{3(1+2\alpha)}, & \varkappa \leq 0 \\ \frac{\mu(1-\delta)}{3(1+2\alpha)}, & 0 \leq \varkappa \leq 2 \\ \frac{\mu(1-\delta)(\varkappa-1)}{3(1+2\alpha)}, & \varkappa \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

With the choice of  $\vartheta = 0$ ,  $\alpha = 0$  and  $c = 1$  in Theorem 1, we can deduce the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.** For fixed  $2 \leq \mu \leq 4$ ,  $v \geq 0$  and  $0 \leq \delta < 1$ . A function  $f \in \mathcal{G}_\Sigma^v(\mu, \delta)$  then

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{\mu(1-\delta)}{1+2v}},$$

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{\mu(1-\delta)}{1+2\nu}$$

and

$$|a_3 - \varkappa a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\mu(1-\delta)(1-\varkappa)}{1+2\nu}, & \varkappa \leq 0 \\ \frac{\mu(1-\delta)}{1+2\nu}, & 0 \leq \varkappa \leq 2 \\ \frac{\mu(1-\delta)(\varkappa-1)}{1+2\nu}, & \varkappa \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

With the choice of  $\vartheta = 0, \alpha = 0, \nu = 1$  and  $c = 1$  in Theorem 1, we can deduce the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.** For fixed  $2 \leq \mu \leq 4$  and  $0 \leq \delta < 1$ . A function  $f \in \mathcal{H}_\Sigma(\mu, \delta)$  then

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{\mu(1-\delta)}{3}},$$

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{\mu(1-\delta)}{3}$$

and

$$|a_3 - \varkappa a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\mu(1-\delta)(1-\varkappa)}{3}, & \varkappa \leq 0 \\ \frac{\mu(1-\delta)}{3}, & 0 \leq \varkappa \leq 2 \\ \frac{\mu(1-\delta)(\varkappa-1)}{3}, & \varkappa \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

With the choice of  $\vartheta = 0$  in Theorem 2, we can deduce the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.** For fixed  $2 \leq \mu \leq 4, c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \eta \geq 0$  and  $0 \leq \delta < 1$ . A function  $f \in \mathcal{S}_\Sigma^\eta(c, \mu, \delta)$  then

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{\mu|c|(1-\delta)}{1+6\eta}}$$

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{\mu|c|(1-\delta)}{1+6\eta}$$

and

$$|a_3 - \aleph a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\mu|c|(1-\delta)(1-\aleph)}{1+6\eta}, & \aleph \leq \frac{1}{2(1+3\eta)} \\ \frac{\mu|c|(1-\delta)}{1+6\eta}, & \frac{1}{2(1+3\eta)} \leq \aleph \leq \frac{3+6\eta}{2(1+3\eta)} \\ \frac{\mu|c|(1-\delta)(\aleph-1)}{1+6\eta}, & \aleph \geq \frac{3+6\eta}{2(1+3\eta)} \end{cases}$$

With the choice of  $\vartheta = 0, \eta = 0$  and  $c = 1$  in Theorem 2, we can deduce the following corollary.

**Corollary 5.** For fixed  $2 \leq \mu \leq 4$  and  $0 \leq \delta < 1$ . A function  $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^*(\mu, \delta)$  then

$$\begin{aligned} |a_2| &\leq \sqrt{\mu(1-\delta)} \\ |a_3| &\leq \mu(1-\delta) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|a_3 - \aleph a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \mu(1-\delta)(1-\aleph), & \aleph \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{\mu(1-\delta)}{2}, & \frac{1}{2} \leq \aleph \leq \frac{3}{2} \\ \mu(1-\delta)(\aleph-1), & \aleph \geq \frac{3}{2} \end{cases}$$

With the choice of  $\vartheta = 0$  in Theorem 3, we can deduce the following corollary.

**Corollary 6.** For fixed  $2 \leq \mu \leq 4, c \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \{0\}, 0 \leq \varkappa \leq 1$  and  $0 \leq \delta < 1$ . A function  $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}^{\varkappa}(c, \mu, \delta)$  then

$$\begin{aligned} |a_2| &\leq \sqrt{\frac{\mu|c|(1-\delta)}{3-\varkappa}}, \\ |a_3| &\leq \frac{\mu|c|(1-\delta)}{3-\varkappa} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|a_3 - \aleph a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\mu|c|(1-\delta)(1-\aleph)}{3-\aleph}, \\ \frac{\mu|c|(1-\delta)}{3(1+\aleph)}, \\ \frac{\mu|c|(1-\delta)(\aleph-1)}{3-\aleph}, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} \aleph \leq \frac{4\aleph}{3(1+\aleph)} \\ \frac{4\aleph}{3(1+\aleph)} \leq \aleph \leq \frac{2(3+\aleph)}{3(1+\aleph)} \\ \aleph \geq \frac{2(3+\aleph)}{3(1+\aleph)} \end{cases}$$

With the choice of  $\vartheta = 0$ ,  $\kappa = 1$  and  $c = 1$  in Theorem 3, we can deduce the following corollary.

**Corollary 6.** For fixed  $2 \leq \mu \leq 4$  and  $0 \leq \delta < 1$ . A function  $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mu, \delta)$  then

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{\mu(1-\delta)}{2}},$$

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{\mu(1-\delta)}{2}$$

and

$$|a_3 - \aleph a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\mu(1-\delta)(1-\aleph)}{2}, \\ \frac{\mu(1-\delta)}{6}, \\ \frac{\mu(1-\delta)(\aleph-1)}{2}, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} \aleph \leq \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{2}{3} \leq \aleph \leq \frac{4}{3} \\ \aleph \geq \frac{4}{3} \end{cases}$$

**Remark 6.** (i) Corollary 1 and Corollary 2 verifies the results obtained by Sharma et. al. [20].

(ii) For  $\mu = 2$  in Corollary 1 verify the bound of  $|a_2|$  and improve the bound of  $|a_3|$  obtained by Srivastava [23].

(iii) For  $\mu = 2$  in Corollary 2 verify the bound of  $|a_2|$  and improve the bound of  $|a_3|$  obtained by Frasin and Aouf [9].

(iv) Corollary 3 verifies the results obtained by Sharma et. al. [20] and verify the bound of  $|a_2|$  and improve the bound of  $|a_3|$  obtained by Li et. al. [12].

(v) Corollary 5 and Corollary 7 verifies the results obtained by Sharma et. al. [20] and verify the bound of  $|a_2|$  and improve the bound of  $|a_3|$  obtained by Li et. al. [12].

(vi) For  $\mu = 2$  in Corollary 5 and Corollary 7 verify the bound of  $|a_2|$  and  $|a_3|$  obtained by Brannan and Taha [5].

(vii) For  $\vartheta = 0, \alpha = 0, \nu = 1$  and  $\mu = 2$  in Theorem 1 verify the bound of  $|a_2|$  and improve the bound of  $|a_3|$  obtained by Deniz et. al. [8].

## 5. Conclusion

In this article, the authors have introduced three new subclasses of  $\Sigma$ , the class of bi-univalent functions related with Hurwitz–Lerch Zeta function in the open unit disk  $\mathbb{E}$ . The author's established first two initial coefficient bound for the classes  $\mathcal{R}_{\Sigma, \lambda, \alpha}^{\vartheta, \nu}(c, \mu, \delta)$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma, \lambda}^{\vartheta, \eta}(c, \mu, \delta)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma, \lambda}^{\vartheta, \mu}(c, \mu, \delta)$ . Also, very famous Fekete-Szegő inequality were obtained for these new subclasses. Interesting remarks on the main results including improvements of the earlier bounds were also given. More corollaries and remarks could be reported for the selection of parameters, and those details have been omitted.

Also, the research highlighted in this article can be further developed by analyzing close-to-convex functions [19], ozaki-close-to-convex functions [17], and concave univalent functions [21] with constrained boundary rotation.

Moreover, the research highlighted in this article can be further developed by exploring the q-analogue of a Bessel function, the q-analogue of a Mittag–Leffler-type function, a q-exponential function, and a q-Ruscheweyh derivative with limited boundary rotation and bounded radius rotation. However, these fascinating details and observations are not discussed. Additionally, the same type of findings can be replicated for other significant special functions documented in the literature.

## Notation

$\mathcal{A}$  – Class of normalized analytic functions in  $\mathbb{E}$ .

$\mathcal{S}$  – Class of all normalized univalent functions in  $\mathbb{E}$ .

$\mathcal{S}^*$  – Class of starlike functions in  $\mathbb{E}$ .

$\mathcal{C}$  – Class of convex functions in  $\mathbb{E}$ .

$\Sigma$  – The class of bi-univalent functions in  $\mathbb{E}$ .

$\Psi(\xi, \lambda, b)$  – Hurwitz–Lerch Zeta function.

$\mathcal{L}$  – Alexander operator.

$\mathcal{F}_b$  – Bernardi operator.

$J^\eta$  – Jung–Kim–Srivastava integral operator.

## Data Availability Statement

No data were used to support this study.

## Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank all the anonymous referees for their helpful corrections and opinions that improved the original version of this manuscript.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

## References

- [1] I. Aldawish, P. Sharma, S.M. El-Deeb, M.R. Almutiri and S. Sivasubramanian, Initial coefficient bounds for certain new subclasses of bi-univalent functions involving Mittag–leffler function with bounded boundary rotation, *Symmetry* 2024, 16, 971.
- [2] J. W. Alexander, Functions which map the interior of the unit circle upon simple regions, *Ann. of Math. (2)* 17 (1915), no. 1, 12–22.
- [3] S. D. Bernardi, Convex and starlike univalent functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 135 (1969), 429–446.
- [4] D.A. Brannan and J. G. Clunie, *Aspects of contemporary complex analysis*, Academic Press, Inc., London, 1980.
- [5] D. A. Brannan and T. S. Taha, On some classes of bi-univalent functions, in *Mathematical analysis and its applications (Kuwait, 1985)*, 53–60, *KFAS Proc. Ser.*, 3, Pergamon, Oxford.
- [6] D. Breaz; P. Sharma; S. Sivasubramanian; S.M. El-Deeb, On a new class of bi-close-toconvex functions with bounded boundary rotation. *Mathematics* 2023, 11, 4376.
- [7] L. de Branges, A proof of the Bieberbach conjecture, *Acta Math.* 154 (1985), no. 1-2, 137–152.
- [8] E. Deniz, Certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions satisfying subordinate conditions, *J. Class. Anal.* 2 (2013), no. 1, 49–60.
- [9] B. A. Frasin and M. K. Aouf, New subclasses of bi-univalent functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 24 (2011), no. 9, 1569–1573.
- [10] I. B. Jung, Y. C. Kim and H. M. Srivastava, The Hardy space of analytic functions associated with certain one-parameter families of integral operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 176 (1993), no. 1, 138–147.

- [11] M. Lewin, On a coefficient problem for bi-univalent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 18 (1967), 63–68.
- [12] Y. Li, K. Vijaya, G. Murugusundaramoorthy and Huo Tang, On new subclasses of bi-starlike functions with bounded boundary rotation, *AIMS Math.* 5(4) (2020) 3346–3356.
- [13] K. I. Noor, M. A. Noor and E. A. Al-Said, On analytic functions of bounded boundary rotation of complex order, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 62 (2011), no. 4, 2112–2125.
- [14] K. S. Padmanabhan and R. Parvatham, Properties of a class of functions with bounded boundary rotation, *Ann. Polon. Math.* 31 (1975/76), no. 3, 311–323.
- [15] B. Pinchuk, Functions of bounded boundary rotation, *Israel J. Math.* 10 (1971), 6–16.
- [16] D. Raducanu and H. M. Srivastava, A new class of analytic functions defined by means of a convolution operator involving the Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* 18 (2007), no. 11-12, 933–943.
- [17] P. Sharma, A. Alharbi, S. Sivasubramanian and S.M. El-Deeb, On ozaki close-to-convex functions with bounded boundary rotation, *Symmetry* 2024, 16, 839.
- [18] P. Sharma, A. Murugan and S. Sivasubramanian, Some coefficient bounds of certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions associated with lemniscate of Bernoulli, *Rom. J. Math. Comput. Sci.* 15 (2025), no. 1, 59–73.
- [19] P. Sharma, S. Sivasubramanian, A. Catas and S.M. El-Deeb, Initial coefficient bounds for bi-close-to-convex and bi-quasi-convex functions with bounded boundary rotation associated with  $q$ -Sălăgean operator, *Mathematics*, 13, 2025, 2252.
- [20] P. Sharma, S. Sivasubramanian and N. E. Cho, Initial coefficient bounds for certain new subclasses of bi-univalent functions with bounded boundary rotation, *AIMS Math.* 8 (2023), no. 12, 29535–29554.
- [21] P. Sharma, S. Sivasubramanian, G. Murugusundaramoorthy, N.E. Cho, On a new class of concave bi-univalent functions associated with bounded boundary rotation, *Mathematics*, 13(3), 2025, 370.
- [22] H. M. Srivastava and A. A. Attiya, An integral operator associated with the Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function and differential subordination, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* 18 (2007), no. 3-4, 207–216.
- [23] H. M. Srivastava and D. Bansal, Coefficient estimates for a subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions, *J. Egyptian Math. Soc.* 23 (2015), no. 2, 242–246.

- [24] H. M. Srivastava and J. Choi, Series associated with the zeta and related functions, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2001.
- [25] H. M. Srivastava, A. K. Mishra and P. Gochhayat, Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions, Appl. Math. Lett. 23 (2010), no. 10, 1188–1192.
- [26] D. Styer and D. J. Wright, Results on bi-univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 82 (1981), no. 2, 243–248.
- [27] D. L. Tan, Coefficient estimates for bi-univalent functions, Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A 5 (1984), no. 5, 559–568
- [28] K. Vijaya, G. Murugusundaramoorthy, D. Breaz, GI. Oros, SM. El-Deeb Ozaki-Type Bi-Close-to-Convex and Bi-Concave Functions Involving a Modified Caputo's Fractional Operator Linked with a Three-Leaf Function. Fractal and Fractional., (2024), 8, no. 4 , 220.