PSYCHOLOGY AND EDUCATION (2024) 61(1): 228-249
ISSN:1553-6939

SKILL ACQUISITION AND PRACTICE TECHNIQUES IN MOTOR LEARNING: A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW IN SPORTS

Tahchi Abderrahmen [1], Hassen Ahmed Chaouki [2], Brahimi Rachid [3], Ghessier Mehamed [4]

[1] Professor Lecturer - B, Institute of Science and Technology of Physical Activities and Sports, University of Constantine 2 – Abdelhamid Mehri, Algeria, abderrahmen.tahchi@gmail.com
[2] Professor Lecturer - A, Institute of Science and Technology of Physical Activities and Sports, University of Djelfa – Zian Achour, Algeria, ac.chaouki@univ-djelfa.dz
[3] Professor Lecturer - B, Institute of Science and Technology of Physical Activities and Sports, University of Djelfa – Zian Achour, Algeria, r.brahimi@univ-djelfa.dz
[4] Professor Lecturer - B, Institute of Science and Technology of Physical Activities and Sports, University of Djelfa – Zian Achour, Algeria, m.guessier@univ-djelfa.dz

Published: 01/2024

Abstract:

Motor learning in sports encompasses a multifaceted process influenced by a variety of factors, including deliberate practice, instructional guidance, feedback provision, and the utilization of mental imagery. Traditional research has relied upon information processing models to comprehend the encoding and storage of movement-related information within the realm of memory.

The acquisition of skills is facilitated through intentional practice and the implementation of cognitive intervention techniques, which play a pivotal role in enhancing athletic performance. Research emphasizes the effectiveness of employing various practice methods, such as incorporating variability, utilizing mental imagery, and introducing contextual interference, to bolster the retention, transfer, and adaptability of acquired skills. Moreover, the attentional mechanisms employed by novices and experts diverge, underscoring the significance of refining focus to attain improved performance outcomes.

Insights gleaned from neuroscience shed light on the activity of the motor cortex, synaptic plasticity, and brain adaptation during the acquisition of skills, thereby highlighting the role of brain plasticity in the modification of brain networks and the optimization of motor performance. Furthermore, developmental considerations underscore the disparities in learning abilities that arise as a result of age, thereby

guiding the implementation of tailored training approaches that cater to different developmental stages.

Ultimately, a comprehensive understanding of the principles underlying skill acquisition empowers coaches and practitioners to optimize training programs, thereby assisting athletes in cultivating essential skills and realizing their utmost potential in the realm of sports.

In conclusion, this analysis elucidates the multifaceted nature of skill acquisition and practice techniques within the domain of motor learning in sports, underscoring the significance of intentional practice, cognitive interventions, insights from neuroscience, and developmental considerations in shaping effective training methodologies for athletes across various stages and levels of skill.

Keywords: Skill Acquisition, Motor Learning, Practice Techniques, Deliberate Practice, Variable Practice, Mental Imagery.

Introduction:

The comprehension of motor learning in sports is an intricate and multifaceted process that is influenced by a multitude of factors. These factors include practice, instructions, feedback, movement demonstrations, imagery, and action observation, all of which play a significant role in shaping the learning outcomes of athletes (Luis Miguel Ruiz, 2015). Although practice has traditionally been considered the cornerstone of motor learning, recent insights have shed light on the importance of incorporating various other factors to enhance the effectiveness of skill acquisition (Ivanovych, 2019). In the past, motor learning research primarily focused on the information processing model, which aimed to understand how movement information is encoded, stored, and retrieved in memory frameworks (Light & Evans, 2018). However, these progressive viewpoints have brought attention to the complex nature of learning and advocate for a holistic understanding of motor learning in sports (Christina, 2017). Furthermore, there has been a noticeable shift towards adopting dynamic, sensorimotor, extended, and enactive approaches that recognize the interconnectedness of humans and their environments when acquiring motor skills.

Skill acquisition, a vital component of athletic performance, is facilitated through a variety of practice techniques and plays a fundamental role in enabling athletes to develop and refine the specific skills that are essential for their sport (Button &

Masters, 2011). Recent advancements in skill acquisition research have provided valuable insights into the most effective methodologies for skill development and improvement (Panteli et al., 2013). Cognitive intervention techniques, such as instructional self-talk and observational learning, have demonstrated their effectiveness in enhancing athletes' focus on key elements within motor skills, ultimately leading to improved performance outcomes (Williams & Ford, 2009). Moreover, the attentional mechanisms employed during skill execution differ between novices and experts, with experts displaying more streamlined control procedures that require less conscious attention (Farrow et al., 2007). The application and understanding of these principles of skill acquisition empower coaches and practitioners to optimize training programs, creating an environment where athletes can fully realize their potential (Gray, 2004). In summary, skill acquisition through diverse practice techniques forms the foundation for athletes to develop essential skills and excel in their performances.

II. Theoretical Framework of Skill Acquisition:

A. the deliberate practice in skill acquisition:

Deliberate practice in skill acquisition in sports refers to a focused and intentional form of practice that is aimed at improving specific aspects of performance and attaining expertise. It is characterized by being more relevant to improving key aspects of performance, more effortful, and relatively low in inherent enjoyment (Eccles et al., 2022). Deliberate practice involves engaging in activities that are specifically designed to challenge and stretch an individual's abilities, pushing them beyond their current skill level (Fadde & Boatright, 2022). It is a concept that has significantly impacted research on expertise and talent/skill development in sports (Ericsson, 2020). Deliberate practice theory emphasizes the importance of practice volume and early engagement in play and diversified sports involvement for skill development (Hendry & Hodges, 2019). It has been found that successful elite athletes often follow an early engagement pathway, which involves majority engagement in the chosen sport since early childhood, along with high volumes of self-directed play and structured practice (Ford et al., 2015).

B. The contribution of deliberate Practice to skill Acquisition:

Deliberate practice is a key factor in skill acquisition in sports. It involves focused repetitive activities with corrective feedback, which helps develop the necessary

psychological characteristics for outstanding performance (Bilalić & Cocic, 2022). Increasing cognitive processing during deliberate practice can expedite learning and improve skill acquisition in intermediate-level performers (Coughlan et al., 2019). Practice volume, particularly early engagement in play and diversified sports involvement is crucial for successful transitions and adult success at the highest level of the sport (Hendry & Hodges, 2019). Different types of deliberate practice have varying effects on attained performance, with purposeful practice explaining more variance in performance than previously reported (Ericsson & Harwell, 2019). Overall, deliberate practice plays a significant role in skill acquisition in sports, helping individuals improve their performance and achieve expertise (Ericsson, 2020).

C. The defining characteristics of the deliberate practice method:

The deliberate practice method in sports is characterized by extensive amounts of self-directed play and structured practice, initiation in the chosen sport from an early age, and a focus on enhancing specific aspects of competitive performance. Successful elite athletes are often distinguished by what is known as the early engagement pathway, which entails significant involvement in the chosen sport since early childhood, accompanied by substantial amounts of play and structured practice (Hendry & Hodges, 2019). Deliberate practice is a demanding endeavor that is primarily geared towards improving key elements of performance and is relatively devoid of inherent enjoyment(Eccles et al., 2022). The commencement of deliberate practice in a sport is believed to commence at a very young age, as indicated by the widely accepted belief of the monotonic benefits assumption (Ford et al., 2015). The deliberate practice method is essential for attaining expertise in various domains, including sports, and can be facilitated through the application of periodization principles in training (Lidor et al., 2016). The social environment and long-term involvement in clubs also play a pivotal role in fostering motivation and satisfaction with the sport (Gonçalves et al., 2012).

D. Improving motor learning through mental imagery:

Motor imagery, specifically the cognitive process of motor imagery, serves to enhance the acquisition of motor skills. The act of mentally rehearsing a movement, without its physical execution, constitutes motor imagery. This particular process, referred to as motor imagery practice (MIP) or mental practice, not only augments

motor learning but also induces neural plasticity, denoting the brain's capacity to remodel its physical structure via repeated encounters. However, there remain unresolved inquiries surrounding the construction of procedural representations by participants, the interplay between working memory and MIP, the alterations in cognitive representations during MIP, as well as the evolving aspects of motor imagery skills over time (Moran & O'Shea, 2020).

E. The scientific evidence supports the effectiveness of mental imagery in improving motor learning:

Mental visualization is efficacious in augmenting the acquisition of motor skills. The theory of motor simulation posits that the mental representation of an action and its overt execution are functionally indistinguishable, except for the activation of the terminal actuator (Frank et al., 2023). Empirical investigations have evinced that practicing motor imagery can result in the acquisition of novel skills in a manner akin to physical practice (Scarpina et al., 2022). Empirical research has demonstrated that training in mental visualization can ameliorate performance and promote the process of motor memory, even in elderly individuals (Hilt et al., 2022). Furthermore, empirical studies have indicated a correlation between mental imagery and motor planning, and manipulating virtual embodiment can heighten the correlation between mental imagery and motor planning (Bennet & Reiner, 2022). These findings proffer scientific substantiation of the efficacy of mental imagery in enhancing motor learning.

F. The effectiveness of mental imagery in athletic training:

Mental imagery is an effective tool in sports training for enhancing performance and building confidence (Cumming & Quinton, 2023; 2023). It involves creating and rehearsing optimal performance plans, as well as developing scripts for productive responses to mistakes and setbacks (Korim & Strnádelová, 2023). The use of mental imagery can lead to increased performance and reduced self-criticism in athletes (Kaplan & Bozdağ, 2022). It can be used as a mental practice to supplement physical training and result in additional performance gains. Mental imagery is particularly beneficial for esports athletes, helping them to address stressors and difficulties, and improve focus, self-regulation, positive self-talk, and resiliency. To effectively use mental imagery, athletes can engage in layered stimulus response training (LSRT) to develop the ability to generate clear and vivid images that closely approximate real-

life situations(Brackette & Ayvazian, 2023). Overall, mental imagery is a valuable tool for athletes to optimize their performance and mental states.

III. Variability in Practice Methods

A. the variable practice in sports:

The concept of variable practice in sports encompasses the utilization of diverse amounts of intentional play and deliberate practice to attain a high level of expertise in any given domain, including athletic endeavors (Bennet & Reiner, 2022). Athletes employ variable practice as a means to enhance their abilities and performance by purposefully engaging in specific techniques and strategies, as well as participating in unstructured play to foster creativity and adaptability (Coleman & Herman, 1996). This form of practice entails a combination of focused, repetitive drills and exercises, alongside more flexible and impromptu activities that allow athletes to explore alternative approaches and problem-solving strategies (Connolly & Grayson, 2021). By integrating both deliberate play and deliberate practice, athletes can elevate their overall performance and cultivate a comprehensive range of skills (Agel & Schisel, 2011).

B. The variable practice and generalization and adaptability of motor skills:

Variable practice in motor skills in sports aids in the generalization and adaptability of those skills. By providing learners with variable practice conditions and experiences in different sports, a repertoire of functional movement skills can be developed (Rothwell et al., 2022). This variability during practice allows for a larger repertoire of movements and enhances the long-term retention of motor programs (Haudum et al., 2018). The set variability leads to benefits in transfer situations, where skills learned in one context can be applied to another (Willey & Liu, 2018). The concept of "functional variability" suggests that the variability that occurs during skill execution plays a role in facilitating adaptability (Gorman & Renshaw, 2017). Diversification of motor skills through random practice with varying demands promotes the needed flexibility for adaptation (De Paula Pinheiro et al., 2015). Overall, variable practice helps athletes develop a wider range of skills and enhances their ability to adapt and generalize those skills to different contexts.

C. The blocked practice:

Blocked practice is a practice regimen in which individuals repetitively engage in the same skill or task without any variation or interruption. This method involves concentrating on a singular skill or task until mastery is attained before transitioning to the subsequent skill or task. Numerous studies have indicated that blocked practice can be advantageous for both learning and enhancing performance in certain circumstances. For instance, Ragazou and Karasavvidis' investigation (Foster et al., 2020) discovered that blocked practice enhanced self-efficacy, flow, and motivation during intricate software training. Correspondingly, Agrawal and Lalwani's study (Goode, 1986) observed that practicing content in a blocked manner can impede students' capacity to differentiate among diverse problem contexts. Consequently, although blocked practice can be advantageous in specific situations, it is crucial to consider the precise learning objectives and context when determining the most efficacious practice schedule.

D. The random practice:

Random practice, within the domain of motor skill acquisition, pertains to the utilization of a practice regimen wherein the learner partakes in a multitude of iterations of a specific skill in a sequence that is randomized in nature, in contrast to engaging in repetitive practice of the same skill or employing a blocked approach. A substantial body of research has unequivocally demonstrated that this particular form of practice schedule significantly contributes to the retention and transfer of motor skills, surpassing the benefits that accrue from blocked or repetitious practice schedules (Goode, 1986; Ugrinowitsch et al., 2019). By actively engaging in random practice, learners are provided with the invaluable opportunity to practice a skill in diverse contexts or conditions, thereby enabling them to develop optimal solution strategies and effectively capitalize on the cognitive demands that are inherently associated with the skill (Goode, 1986). Moreover, empirical evidence indicates that random practice serves to enhance the acquisition of both the Generalized Motor Program and the parameters of a given motor skill, as corroborated by the findings of studies conducted by Corrêa (Corrêa et al., 2010). Furthermore, it has been unequivocally ascertained that random practice exerts a positive influence on muscle activity in the lower extremities, as well as the balance ability in individuals afflicted with chronic stroke, as evidenced by the research conducted by N.-Y. Lee (N.-Y. Lee et al., 2015)). In summation, it can be unequivocally concluded that random practice

represents an extraordinarily advantageous practice schedule in the realm of motor skill acquisition, given its profound ability to foster skill retention, transfer, and the cultivation of optimal solution strategies.

E. The differences between blocked and random practice in sports:

Blocked practice and random practice are two distinct approaches to training in the realm of sports. In blocked practice, a particular skill is repetitively executed without any alterations in the conditions. This form of practice is frequently employed to alleviate the difficulty level of the sport for learners. Conversely, random practice entails the execution of a skill in various variations or contexts. Each endeavor at the skill exhibits slight differences from the others, thereby offering a more demanding and realistic practice environment. Random practice is presumed to augment the acquisition of skills and facilitate their transfer to enhanced performance in the competitive format of the sport. It empowers athletes to adapt and modify their skills in diverse situations, ultimately resulting in superior overall performance (Landin et al., 1993; Panchuk et al., 2013).

F. the influence of blocked and Random practice Methods on skill Retention

Blocked and random practice techniques have been extensively examined in the realm of sports for skill retention and transfer. Studies have demonstrated that random practice schedules, in contrast to blocked or repetitive schedules, facilitate the retention and transfer of motor skills in the learning process (Goode, 1986). This phenomenon has been observed in a variety of sports, including basketball and wrestling. In the realm of force field adaptation, the contextual interference effect has been discovered to enhance the retention and spatial transfer of motor learning (Herzog et al., 2022). Nonetheless, it is important to acknowledge that the influence of practice organization on skill acquisition may vary depending on factors such as learner characteristics and task parameters (Medina, 2019). Moreover, the impact of variability and distribution of practice on skill acquisition remains inconclusive in certain studies (Lotfi et al., 2019). Overall, while random practice methods have demonstrated advantages in terms of skill retention and transfer, the specific effects may be contingent upon contextual and individual factors.

IV. Role of Repetition and Feedback

A. The Impact of Contextual Interference through Repetition on Skill Acquisition:

The phenomenon known as the contextual interference (CI) effect, which pertains to the advantages of interference during the process of practice, has been examined in diverse athletic disciplines. Nevertheless, the precise ramifications of CI on the acquisition of skills in sports remain incompletely grasped. Several investigations have demonstrated that CI does not exert a noteworthy influence on the acquisition of motor skills in intricate tasks, such as sport rifle shooting (Moretto et al., 2018). Conversely, alternative studies have revealed that the CI effect may be more pronounced when evaluating skills in an open skill environment akin to a bona fide game scenario (Cheong et al., 2016). Furthermore, the CI effect has been observed in the training of anticipatory judgments in sports, with random practice schedules yield greater accuracy in responses and swifter decision-making times, as opposed to blocked practice schedules (Broadbent et al., 2015). About practicing the tennis serve, the customary CI effect remains somewhat ambiguous, as no substantial distinctions have been noted in practice performance, yet enhancements are evident in transfer performance for the moderate CI group (Buszard et al., 2017). In summary, the influence of CI on the acquisition of skills in sports may fluctuate contingent on the specific task at hand and the environment in which it is evaluated.

B. Incorporating Contextual Interference through Repetition into Sports Training for Skill Acquisition:

Contextual interference through repetition can be integrated into sports training by incorporating random practice schedules and varying task goals. The incorporation of random practice schedules, which entails engaging in the practice of different skills in a random order, has been proven through research to result in superior retention and transfer of motor skills in comparison to blocked practice schedules (T. Lee, 1982; Meeuwsen, 1987). Moreover, the alteration of task goals during practice, such as emphasizing the processing of error information, has the potential to enhance problem-solving capabilities and optimize performance (Cheong et al., 2016). The utilization of game-based training protocols, which essentially represent a form of random practice that is conducted in an unstable environment, has also demonstrated effectiveness in enhancing learning and performance in sports skills (Moretto et al., 2018). These significant findings strongly suggest that the introduction of contextual

variety and unpredictability during practice sessions can greatly contribute to the enhancement of skill acquisition and transfer in the realm of sports training.

C. Understanding the Various Types of Feedback in Skill Acquisition:

Various types of feedback in the acquisition of skills encompass intrinsic feedback, extrinsic feedback, visual feedback, verbal feedback, and amalgamated forms of auditory and visual feedback. Intrinsic feedback pertains to the sensory information received by the learner during the execution of a skill. Extrinsic feedback emanates from an external entity, be it an instructor or technological means. Visual feedback entails the utilization of graphical representations of performance, such as force-time relationships, which prove instrumental in ameliorating force-related parameters. Verbal feedback is conveyed through spoken or written words and can contribute to learners' comprehension and rectification of errors. Combined forms of auditory and visual feedback employ both auditory and visual cues to augment the process of skill acquisition (Chang et al., 2020; Cutton, 1993; Puklavec et al., 2021).

D. The Impact of Timing and Quality of Feedback on Motor Learning in Sports:

Timing and the quality of feedback exert a substantial influence on the acquisition of motor skills in sports. The temporal aspect of reward delivery after the execution of a movement holds the power to modulate the process of learning and consolidation of a novel motor skill (Geisen & Klatt, 2022). Brief intervals between the delivery of rewards, such as one second, engender a gradual and steady improvement in performance, while protracted reward delays, for instance, six seconds, initially result in rapid learning rates followed by an early stagnation in the learning curve(Vassiliadis et al., 2021). Furthermore, the postponement of reward delivery can impede the formation of motor memory (Mrayeh et al., 2015). Equally important, the caliber of feedback, encompassing technical and pedagogical aspects, assumes a pivotal role in the acquisition of motor skills. Feedback introduced during or after the execution of motor skills serves to refine the techniques and quantitative performance of learners (Chiviacowsky & Drews, 2016). Moreover, feedback that offers temporal comparisons, indicating progress or decline in performance, exerts an influence on the process of learning (McDaniel et al., 2012). In summation, the timing and quality of feedback constitute crucial factors in optimizing motion techniques and augmenting the acquisition of motor skills in sports.

V. Neuroscience and Skill Acquisition

A. Neural Changes During Skill Acquisition in Sports: Motor Cortex Activity and Synaptic Plasticity:

Motor cortex activity and synaptic plasticity play crucial roles in skill acquisition in sports. Studies have shown that the motor cortex, particularly the primary motor cortex (M1), undergoes structural and functional changes during motor skill learning (Eisenstein et al., 2022; Papale & Hooks, 2018). Neuroimaging studies have revealed that the initial stages of motor learning are accompanied by changes in the brain's main excitatory and inhibitory neurotransmitters, glutamate (Glu) and GABA, in M1 (Sun et al., 2020). These neurochemical modifications in M1 support motor memory consolidation and neural plasticity, leading to improvements in skill performance (Gao et al., 2019). Animal models have provided insights into the circuitry underlying motor skill learning, highlighting the importance of plasticity in the motor cortex(Mang et al., 2019). Additionally, training-induced neuroplasticity has been observed in athletes, with greater gray matter volume in sensorimotor areas and changes in functional connectivity streams. These neural changes enable information flow from specific cortical areas to distributed networks, regulating attention and reaction time in athletes. Overall, motor cortex activity and synaptic plasticity are key factors in the neural changes that occur during skill acquisition in sports.

B. Adaptation of Brain Plasticity in Athletes During Skill Acquisition and Neural Mechanisms in Sports Training:

Brain plasticity in athletes undergoing skill acquisition involves modifications in neuronal circuits and functional connectivity. Regular training and sports proficiency lead to changes in gray matter volume and functional connectivity streams(Gao et al., 2019). Motor skill training decreases the density distribution of whole-brain resting-state functional connectivity, indicating increased neural efficiency in attentional-motor modulation and executive control (Yang et al., 2020). High-level open-skilled athletes exhibit higher transmission efficiency and stronger interaction in attention, visual, and sensorimotor networks, with shorter path length and higher global efficiency(Pi et al., 2019). Neuroplastic adaptations occur at cellular and systems levels, resulting in alterations in brain physiology and anatomy(Mang et al., 2019). Neural efficiency and proficiency vary in athletes with different skill levels, with

differences in regional hemodynamic response function and effective connectivity(Gao et al., 2023). These findings suggest that motor training modifies brain networks, enabling athletes to optimize attention, executive control, and motor performance through enhanced neural efficiency and proficiency.

C. Neurological Changes in Athletes Mastering New Skills and Their Influence on Motor Learning in Sports Performance:

Observable neurological changes in athletes mastering new skills have been studied in the context of sports performance. Neuroplasticity, the brain's ability to adapt structurally and functionally in response to experience, plays a crucial role in motor learning. Repeated practice of motor skills stimulates experience-dependent plasticity throughout the brain, resulting in alterations at the cellular and systems levels of organization(Mang et al., 2019). Training-induced neuroplasticity has been observed in athletes, with changes in gray matter volume and functional connectivity in sensorimotor areas, limbic lobes, and the cerebellum(Gao et al., 2019). EEG patterns in the beta band have also shown changes during the learning of motor skills, with increased activity in the frontal and parietal-posterior regions and decreased activity in the central area(Khanjari et al., 2023). Additionally, covert rehearsal techniques such as imagery and observational learning have been found to contribute to the acquisition and modulation of motor skills, potentially leading to plastic adaptations in the brain(P. Holmes et al., 2010). These observable neurological changes provide insights into the mechanisms underlying motor learning and performance in athletes.

D. Utilizing Neuroscience in Motor Learning to Optimize Training Methods for Athletes:

An understanding of neuroscience in motor learning can inform the optimization of training methods for athletes. The acquisition and long-term memory of motor skills are influenced by the cerebral cortex, which plays a crucial role in motor skill learning (Yun, 2022). Neuroplasticity, the brain's ability to adapt structurally and functionally in response to experience, is stimulated by repeated practice of motor skills (Bamber, 2019). Advances in cognitive neuroscience techniques, such as electroencephalography, transcranial magnetic stimulation, and functional magnetic resonance imaging, have contributed to the understanding of motor cognition, expertise development, motor imagery, and action observation in sports psychology

(Mang et al., 2019). By applying the latest research from neuroscience, coaches can create the best brain state for their athletes, aiding cognition, decision-making, and creative thinking (P. S. Holmes & Wright, 2017). Integrating neuroscience into coaching practice can also involve the development of neuroscience-informed content and structures for coaching conversations, as well as the validation of coaching efficacy using neuroscience technology (McKay & Smith, 2021).

VI. Developmental Considerations in Motor Learning

A. Motor Learning Variations Across Developmental Stages: Children, Adolescents, and Adults:

Motor learning differs across different developmental stages. Children, adolescents, and adults show differences in their ability to explore and adapt their movement repertoire during motor learning tasks. Children, particularly younger children, tend to show limited exploration and adaptability in their movement strategies, which can result in poorer task performance (M. Lee et al., 2018; M.-H. Lee et al., 2022). Adolescents, on the other hand, exhibit adult-like patterns of retention of adapted motor patterns, but they do not show the same rapid re-learning abilities as adults(Musselman et al., 2016). In terms of cognitive mechanisms, working memory resources play a crucial role in the early stages of motor learning, and declines in working memory performance in older adults can affect their early motor learning abilities(Rajeshkumar & Trewartha, 2019). Overall, motor learning abilities improve with age, with adults generally demonstrating better performance and adaptability compared to children and adolescents (Jongbloed-Pereboom et al., 2019).

B. The Implications of Motor Learning Across Different Developmental Stages:

Motor learning is influenced by different developmental stages. Children under 12 years of age do not re-learn motor skills faster after washout, indicating that the ability to store an adapted motor pattern does not fully develop until adolescence(Pamela S. Haibach, 2011). Additionally, adults show superior motor learning compared to children and older adults, suggesting that the developmental stage interacts with the motor learning process(Musselman et al., 2016). The stages of motor learning can be divided into the discovery and strengthening of motor synergies and the weakening of these synergies when optimizing other aspects of

motor performance(Diniz et al., 2012). Working memory resources play a crucial role in the early stages of motor learning, and declines in early motor learning have been associated with working memory performance in older adults(Latash, 2010). Therefore, age differences in early motor learning can be reduced by limiting the spatial working memory demands of the task(Rajeshkumar & Trewartha, 2019).

C. The Long-Term Effects of Developmental Stages on Skill Retention and Progression in Sports Training:

Long-term effects for skill retention and progression based on developmental stages in sports training can vary depending on the specific training model and approach. The long-term athlete development-based football training model was found to impact physical abilities but did not affect academic achievements in young football players aged 10 and 12 years (Sulistiyono et al., 2021). Tactical training is considered an integral part of the training process for young athletes in team sports, but its role in the early stages of long-term training is considered insignificant(Ivan Boberskyy Lviv State University of Physical Culture, Theory of Sport and Physical Culture Department. 11, Kostushko St., Lviv 79007, Ukraine et al., 2022). The seven-stage long-term athlete development model, which considers a child's level of maturation, has been developed to guide the optimal progression of young athletes from an active child to an elite athlete (Gould, 2009). A 1-year sport-specific training program combined with physical education improved physical fitness without negatively affecting cognitive and academic performances in youth athletes(Granacher & Borde, 2017). Acoustic references, such as natural step sounds, can be used to enhance performance and may contribute to the development of a cognitive representation of a movement, leading to long-term effects on performance(Pizzera et al., 2017).

Conclusion:

The examination of skill acquisition and practice techniques in motor learning in the context of sports provides a comprehensive understanding of various methodologies and their implications. Deliberate practice is emphasized as a fundamental aspect, focusing on intentional and concentrated training to improve specific performance aspects, often associated with early and consistent involvement in a chosen sport. Despite requiring effort and lacking inherent enjoyment, deliberate practice plays a crucial role in fostering expertise.

Furthermore, the inclusion of mental imagery practice emerges as a significant factor in motor learning, demonstrating its effectiveness across different age groups and skill levels. Mental imagery not only enhances performance but also promotes neural plasticity, contributing to improved motor learning outcomes.

The article explores the importance of variability in practice methods, comparing the effects of variable, blocked, and random practice on skill acquisition. Variable practice, which combines deliberate play and practice, enhances adaptability and a wider range of skills. In contrast, while blocked practice allows for focused mastery, random practice proves to be a more beneficial strategy for skill retention and transfer.

The article also emphasizes the critical role of feedback in motor learning, highlighting how the timing and quality of feedback significantly influence skill acquisition. Furthermore, insights from neuroscience shed light on the neurological mechanisms underlying skill acquisition and proficiency, such as motor cortex activity, synaptic plasticity, and brain adaptation.

Considerations of development in motor learning highlight age-related differences in learning abilities and the need to tailor training approaches to different developmental stages. Understanding these developmental nuances, from the limited adaptability of children to the superior performance of adults, helps optimize skill retention and progression in sports training.

In conclusion, this comprehensive review underscores the complex nature of skill acquisition and practice techniques in motor learning within sports. It emphasizes the significance of deliberate practice, mental imagery, variability in practice methods, feedback, insights from neuroscience, and developmental considerations in shaping effective training methods and optimizing skill acquisition for athletes at different stages and skill levels. Applying these principles can lead to more efficient and tailored sports training programs that enhance skill acquisition and performance optimization.

Reference:

1. Agel, J., & Schisel, J. (2011). Practice injury rates in collegiate sports. *British Journal of Sports Medicine, 45*(4), 311–312. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsm.2011.084038.6
2. Bamber, R. (2019). How can neuroscience inform our coaching practice—Six strategies to facilitate an optimal brain state in coachees. *Development and Learning in Organizations: An International Journal*, *33*(4), 13–15. https://doi.org/10.1108/DLO-01-2019-0007

3. Bennet, R., & Reiner, M. (2022). Shared mechanisms underlie mental imagery and motor planning. *Scientific Reports*, *12*(1), 2947. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-06800-9
4. Bilalić, M., & Cocic, D. (2022). *Gritting One's Way to Success – Grit Explains Skill in Elite Youth Soccer Players Beyond (Deliberate) Practice* [Preprint]. Open Science Framework. https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/4ctzh
5. Brackette, C. M., & Ayvazian, V. A. (2023). Practical assessment and application of imagery techniques in esports. *Journal of Imagery Research in Sport and Physical Activity*, *18*(s1), 20230002. https://doi.org/10.1515/jirspa-2023-0002
6. Broadbent, D. P., Causer, J., Ford, P. R., & Williams, A. M. (2015). Contextual Interference Effect on Perceptual–Cognitive Skills Training. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*, *47*(6), 1243–1250. https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000000530
7. Buszard, T., Reid, M., Krause, L., Kovalchik, S., & Farrow, D. (2017). Quantifying Contextual Interference and Its Effect on Skill Transfer in Skilled Youth Tennis Players. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *8*, 1931. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.01931
8. Button, C., & Masters, R. (2011). Introduction to Special Issue of the International Journal of Sports Science and Coaching: Skill Acquisition. *International Journal of Sports Science & Coaching*, *6*(4), 501–502. https://doi.org/10.1260/1747-9541.6.4.501
9. Chang, I., Rivera, M. J., & Eberman, L. E. (2020). The Effect of Feedback on Manual Therapy Skill Acquisition: A Systematic Review. *Athletic Training Education Journal*, *15*(3), 224–234. https://doi.org/10.4085/150120038
10. Cheong, J. P., Lay, B., & Razman, R. (2016). Investigating the contextual interference effect using combination sports skills in open and closed skill environments. *Journal of Sports Science & Medicine*, *15*(1), 167.
11. Chiviacowsky, S., & Drews, R. (2016). Temporal-Comparative Feedback Affects Motor Learning. *Journal of Motor Learning and Development*, *4*(2), 208–218. https://doi.org/10.1123/jmld.2015-0034
12. Christina, R. W. (2017). Motor Control and Learning in the North American Society for the Psychology of Sport and Physical Activity (NASPSPA): The First 40 Years. *Kinesiology Review*, *6*(3), 221–231. https://doi.org/10.1123/kr.2017-0018
13. Coleman, C. V., & Herman, A. B. (1996). *Multiple sport practice system* (United States Patent US5527032A). https://patents.google.com/patent/US5527032A/en#patentCitations
14. Connolly, G. J., & Grayson, L. (2021). From Play to Practice: Athlete Development for Coaches. *Strategies*, *34*(3), 45–48. https://doi.org/10.1080/08924562.2021.1896935
15. Corrêa, U. C., Massigli, M., Barros, J. A. D. C., Gonçalves, L. A., De Oliveira, J. A., & Tani, G. (2010). Constant-Random Practice and the Adaptive Process in Motor Learning with Varying Amounts of Constant Practice. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, *110*(2), 442–452. https://doi.org/10.2466/pms.110.2.442-452
16. Coughlan, E. K., Williams, A. M., & Ford, P. R. (2019). Lessons From the Experts: The Effect of a Cognitive Processing Intervention During Deliberate Practice of a Complex Task. *Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, *41*(5), 298–308. https://doi.org/10.1123/jsep.2017-0363

17. Cumming, J., & Quinton, M. L. (2023). Developing imagery ability in esport athletes using layered stimulus response training. *Journal of Imagery Research in Sport and Physical Activity*, *18*(s1), 20220024. https://doi.org/10.1515/jirspa-2022-0024
18. Cutton, D. (1993). *The Comparative Effects of a Cognitive Learning Strategy and Movement Sequence Feedback During Motor Skill Acquisition.* [Doctor of Philosophy, Louisiana State University and Agricultural & Mechanical College]. https://doi.org/10.31390/gradschool_disstheses.5493
19. De Paula Pinheiro, J., Marques, P. G., Tani, G., & Corrêa, U. C. (2015). Diversification of motor skills rely upon an optimal amount of variability of perceptive and motor task demands. *Adaptive Behavior*, *23*(2), 83–96. https://doi.org/10.1177/1059712315571369
20. Diniz, A. B., Basso, L., Hashiguchi, D., Beltrão, N. B., Correia, E. R. F. G., Oliveira, D. da S., Oliveira, I. S. de, & Cattuzzo, M. T. (2012). Aprendizagem de uma habilidade motora seriada em diferentes estágios de desenvolvimento. *Revista Brasileira de Educação Física e Esporte*, *26*(01), 119–128.
21. Eccles, D. W., Leone, E. J., & Williams, A. M. (2022). Deliberate Practice: What Is It and How Can I Use It? *Journal of Sport Psychology in Action*, *13*(1), 16–26. https://doi.org/10.1080/21520704.2020.1850577
22. Eisenstein, T., Furman-Haran, E., & Tal, A. (2022). *Early excitatory and inhibitory modifications in the motor cortex following skill learning support motor memory consolidation and cortical plasticity overnight* [Preprint]. Neuroscience. https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.12.27.521981
23. Ericsson, K. A. (2020). Towards a science of the acquisition of expert performance in sports: Clarifying the differences between deliberate practice and other types of practice. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *38*(2), 159–176. https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2019.1688618
24. Ericsson, K. A., & Harwell, K. W. (2019). Deliberate Practice and Proposed Limits on the Effects of Practice on the Acquisition of Expert Performance: Why the Original Definition Matters and Recommendations for Future Research. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *10*, 2396. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02396
25. Fadde, P. J., & Boatright, S. (2022). Deliberate Practice, Sports Expertise, and Instincts That Can Be Taught Using Interactive Sports Technologies. In V. Tzankova & M. Filimowicz, *Interactive Sports Technologies* (1st ed., pp. 46–59). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003205111-4
26. Farrow, D., Baker, J., & MacMahon, C. (Eds.). (2007). *Developing Sport Expertise* (0 ed.). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203934937
27. Ford, P. R., Coughlan, E. K., Hodges, N. J., & Williams, A. M. (2015). Deliberate Practice in Sport. In J. Baker & D. Farrow (Eds.), *Routledge Handbook of Sport Expertise* (1st ed., pp. 347–362). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315776675-30
28. Foster, N. C., Bennett, S. J., Causer, J., Elliott, D., Bird, G., & Hayes, S. J. (2020). Facilitating sensorimotor integration via blocked practice underpins imitation learning of atypical biological kinematics in autism spectrum disorder. *Autism*, *24*(6), 1494–1505. https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361320908104

29. Frank, C., Kraeutner, S. N., Rieger, M., & Boe, S. G. (2023). Learning motor actions via imagery—Perceptual or motor learning? *Psychological Research*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00426-022-01787-4
30. Gao, Q., Luo, N., Sun, M., Zhou, W., Li, Y., Liang, M., Yang, C., Zhang, M., Li, R., Gong, L., Yu, J., Leng, J., & Chen, H. (2023). Neural efficiency and proficiency adaptation of effective connectivity corresponding to early and advanced skill levels in athletes of racket sports. *Human Brain Mapping*, *44*(2), 388–402. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.26057
31. Gao, Q., Yu, Y., Su, X., Tao, Z., Zhang, M., Wang, Y., Leng, J., Sepulcre, J., & Chen, H. (2019). Adaptation of brain functional stream architecture in athletes with fast demands of sensorimotor integration. *Human Brain Mapping*, *40*(2), 420–431. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.24382
32. Geisen, M., & Klatt, S. (2022). Real-time feedback using extended reality: A current overview and further integration into sports. *International Journal of Sports Science & Coaching*, *17*(5), 1178–1194. https://doi.org/10.1177/17479541211051006
33. Gonçalves, C. E. B., Rama, L. M. L., & Figueiredo, A. B. (2012). Talent Identification and Specialization in Sport: An Overview of Some Unanswered Questions. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*, *7*(4), 390–393. https://doi.org/10.1123/ijspp.7.4.390
34. Goode, S. (1986). *The Contextual Interference Effect in Learning an Open Motor Skill (Random Practice, Blocked Practice).* [Doctor of Philosophy, Louisiana State University and Agricultural & Mechanical College]. https://doi.org/10.31390/gradschool_disstheses.4187
35. Gorman, A. D., & Renshaw, I. (2017). Applied Motor Learning. In J. Baker, S. Cobley, J. Schorer, & N. Wattie (Eds.), *Routledge Handbook of Talent Identification and Development in Sport* (1st ed., pp. 312–324). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315668017-22
36. Gould, D. (2009). The Professionalization of Youth Sports: It's Time to Act! *Clinical Journal of Sport Medicine*, *19*(2), 81–82. https://doi.org/10.1097/JSM.0b013e31819edaff
37. Granacher, U., & Borde, R. (2017). Effects of Sport-Specific Training during the Early Stages of Long-Term Athlete Development on Physical Fitness, Body Composition, Cognitive, and Academic Performances. *Frontiers in Physiology*, *8*, 810. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2017.00810
38. Gray, R. (2004). Attending to the Execution of a Complex Sensorimotor Skill: Expertise Differences, Choking, and Slumps. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied*, *10*(1), 42–54. https://doi.org/10.1037/1076-898X.10.1.42
39. Haudum, A., Birklbauer, J., Josef, K., & Müller, E. (2018). Motor Learning of Gross-Motor Skills under Variable Practice Conditions. *Baltic Journal of Sport and Health Sciences*, *1*(80). https://doi.org/10.33607/bjshs.v1i80.336
40. Hendry, D., & Hodges, N. (2019). *Pathways to expert performance in soccer.*
41. Herzog, M., Focke, A., Maurus, P., Thürer, B., & Stein, T. (2022). Random Practice Enhances Retention and Spatial Transfer in Force Field Adaptation. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, *16*, 816197. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2022.816197

42. Hilt, P. M., Bertrand, M., Féasson, L., Lebon, F., Mourey, F., Ruffino, C., & Rozand, V. (2022). *Motor imagery training is beneficial for motor memory of upper and lower limb tasks in very old adults* [Preprint]. Neuroscience. https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.10.04.510767
43. Holmes, P., Cumming, J., & Edwards, M. (2010). Movement imagery, observation, and skill. *The Neurophysiological Foundations of Mental and Motor Imagery*. https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199546251.003.0018
44. Holmes, P. S., & Wright, D. J. (2017). Motor cognition and neuroscience in sport psychology. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, *16*, 43–47. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2017.03.009
45. Ivan Boberskyy Lviv State University of Physical Culture, Theory of sport and physical culture Department. 11, Kostushko St., Lviv 79007, Ukraine, Nakonechnyy, R. B., Khimenes, Kh. R., Antonov, S. V., & Svistelnyk, I. R. (2022). Tactical Training in Team Game Sports in the Early Stages of Long-Term Training of Athletes: Problem Statement. *Ukraïns'kij Žurnal Medicini, Bìologìï Ta Sportu*, *7*(2), 286–295. https://doi.org/10.26693/jmbs07.02.286
46. Ivanovych, N. V. (2019). Characterization of the Four-Stage Structure of the Process of Learning Motor Actions in Sports Games. *Null*. https://doi.org/10.13189/saj.2019.070403
47. Jongbloed-Pereboom, M., Nijhuis-van Der Sanden, M. W. G., & Steenbergen, B. (2019). Explicit and implicit motor sequence learning in children and adults; the role of age and visual working memory. *Human Movement Science*, *64*, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.humov.2018.12.007
48. Kaplan, E., & Bozdağ, B. (2022). The Relationship of Use of Imagery in Sports with Athletic Mental Energy. *Akdeniz Spor Bilimleri Dergisi*, *5*(4), 892–903. https://doi.org/10.38021/asbid.1162677
49. Khanjari, Y., Arabameri, E., Shahbazi, M., Tahmasebi, S., Bahrami, F., & Mobaien, A. (2023). The simultaneous changes in motor performance and EEG patterns in beta band during learning dart throwing skill in dominant and non-dominant hand. *Computer Methods in Biomechanics and Biomedical Engineering*, *26*(2), 127–137. https://doi.org/10.1080/10255842.2022.2048375
50. Korim, V., & Strnádelová, B. (2023). The effect of imagery on performance and level of self-criticism of athletes. *Journal of Imagery Research in Sport and Physical Activity*, *18*(1), 20220017. https://doi.org/10.1515/jirspa-2022-0017
51. Landin, D. K., Hebert, E. P., & Fairweather, M. (1993). The Effects of Variable Practice on the Performance of a Basketball Skill. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport*, *64*(2), 232–237. https://doi.org/10.1080/02701367.1993.10608803
52. Latash, M. L. (2010). Stages in learning motor synergies: A view based on the equilibrium-point hypothesis. *Human Movement Science*, *29*(5), 642–654. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.humov.2009.11.002
53. Lee, M., Farshchiansadegh, A., & Ranganathan, R. (2018). Children show limited movement repertoire when learning a novel motor skill. *Developmental Science*, *21*(4), e12614. https://doi.org/10.1111/desc.12614
54. Lee, M.-H., Patel, P., & Ranganathan, R. (2022). Children are suboptimal in adapting motor exploration to task dimensionality during motor learning. *Neuroscience Letters*, *770*, 136355. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neulet.2021.136355

55. Lee, N.-Y., Kim, S.-Y., & Song, H.-S. (2015). Comparison Task-Oriented Training according to the Applicable Blocked Practice and Random Practice: Chronic Hemiplegic Patients. *The Journal of Korean Physical Therapy*, *27*(4), 240–245. https://doi.org/10.18857/jkpt.2015.27.4.240
56. Lee, T. (1982). *On the Locus of Contextual Interference in Motor Skill Acquisition.* [Doctor of Philosophy, Louisiana State University and Agricultural & Mechanical College]. https://doi.org/10.31390/gradschool_disstheses.3764
57. Lidor, R., Tenenbaum, G., Ziv, G., & Issurin, V. (2016). Achieving Expertise in Sport: Deliberate Practice, Adaptation, and Periodization of Training. *Kinesiology Review*, *5*(2), 129–141. https://doi.org/10.1123/kr.2015-0004
58. Light, R., & Evans, J. R. (2018). 2 Sport, Experience, the Body and Learning. In R. Light & J. Robert Evans, *Stories of Indigenous Success in Australian Sport* (pp. 31–48). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-66450-7_3
59. Lotfi, Gh., Baghaeyan, M., & Baghaee, N. (2019). The impact of variability and distribution of practice on student's learning of basketball throw skill. *Pedagogics, Psychology, Medical-Biological Problems of Physical Training and Sports*, *23*(1), 14–18. https://doi.org/10.15561/18189172.2019.0102
60. Luis Miguel Ruiz. (2015). Motor learning in Sport. A short stroll into a (un)familiar world. [Aprendizaje motor en el deporte: Un corto paseo por un mundo (des)conocido]. *Ricyde. Revista Internacional De Ciencias Del Deporte*, *11*(39), 1–4.
61. Mang, C. S., Borich, M. R., Wadden, K. P., Boyd, L. A., & Siengsukon, C. F. (2019). Motor skill learning and its neurophysiology. In N. J. Hodges & A. M. Williams (Eds.), *Skill Acquisition in Sport* (3rd ed., pp. 293–312). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781351189750-16
62. McDaniel, T. L., Goldberg, M., Bala, S., Fakhri, B., & Panchanathan, S. (2012). Vibrotactile feedback of motor performance errors for enhancing motor learning. *Proceedings of the 20th ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, 419–428. https://doi.org/10.1145/2393347.2393408
63. McKay, S. M., & Smith, S. (2021). Towards a Neuroscience-Informed Coaching Practice: Opportunities and Limitations. In W.-A. Smith, I. Boniwell, & S. Green (Eds.), *Positive Psychology Coaching in the Workplace* (pp. 399–416). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-79952-6_21
64. Medina, S.-S. (2019). Assessment of Random and Blocked Practice Schedules on Motor Skills' Acquisition, Retention and Transfer Among Selected Senior High School Students. *American Journal of Sports Science*, *7*(1), 26. https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ajss.20190701.15
65. Meeuwsen, H. (1987). *Spacing of Repetitions and Contextual Interference Effects in Motor Skill Learning.* [Doctor of Philosophy, Louisiana State University and Agricultural & Mechanical College]. https://doi.org/10.31390/gradschool_disstheses.4369
66. Moran, A., & O'Shea, H. (2020). Motor Imagery Practice and Cognitive Processes. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *11*, 394. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.00394

67. Moretto, N. A., Marcori, A. J., & Okazaki, V. H. A. (2018). Contextual interference effects on motor skill acquisition, retention and transfer in sport rifle shooting. *Human Movement*, *2018*(2), 99–104. https://doi.org/10.5114/hm.2018.74065
68. Mrayeh, M., Bouzid, M. S., & Hawani, A. (2015). Analysis of the Impact of the Active Feedback on the Quality of Motor Learning in Athletics: Case of the Teaching of the Long Jump. *Creative Education*, *06*(10), 982–997. https://doi.org/10.4236/ce.2015.610100
69. Musselman, K. E., Roemmich, R. T., Garrett, B., & Bastian, A. J. (2016). Motor learning in childhood reveals distinct mechanisms for memory retention and re-learning. *Learning & Memory*, *23*(5), 229–237. https://doi.org/10.1101/lm.041004.115
70. Pamela S. Haibach, D. H. C., Greg Reid. (2011). *Motor Learning and Development*.
71. Panchuk, D., Spittle, M., Johnston, N., & Spittle, S. (2013). Effect of Practice Distribution and Experience on the Performance and Retention of a Discrete Sport Skill. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, *116*(3), 750–760. https://doi.org/10.2466/23.30.PMS.116.3.750-760
72. Panteli, F., Tsolakis, C., Efthimiou, D., & Smirniotou, A. (2013). Acquisition of the Long Jump Skill, Using Different Learning Techniques. *The Sport Psychologist*, *27*(1), 40–52. https://doi.org/10.1123/tsp.27.1.40
73. Papale, A. E., & Hooks, B. M. (2018). Circuit Changes in Motor Cortex During Motor Skill Learning. *Neuroscience*, *368*, 283–297. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2017.09.010
74. Pi, Y.-L., Wu, X.-H., Wang, F.-J., Liu, K., Wu, Y., Zhu, H., & Zhang, J. (2019). Motor skill learning induces brain network plasticity: A diffusion-tensor imaging study. *PLOS ONE*, *14*(2), e0210015. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0210015
75. Pizzera, A., Hohmann, T., Streese, L., Habbig, A., & Raab, M. (2017). Long-term effects of acoustic reafference training (ART). *European Journal of Sport Science*, *17*(10), 1279–1288. https://doi.org/10.1080/17461391.2017.1381767
76. Puklavec, A., Antekolović, L., & Mikulić, P. (2021). Acquisition of the Long Jump Skill Using Varying Feedback / Usvajanje tehnike skoka u dalj korištenjem povratnih informacija različitih vrsta i frekvencija. *Croatian Journal of Education - Hrvatski Časopis Za Odgoj i Obrazovanje*, *23*(1). https://doi.org/10.15516/cje.v23i1.3994
77. Rajeshkumar, L., & Trewartha, K. M. (2019). Advanced spatial knowledge of target location eliminates age-related differences in early sensorimotor learning. *Experimental Brain Research*, *237*(7), 1781–1791. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00221-019-05551-w
78. Rothwell, M., Rudd, J., & Davids, K. (2022). Integrating Specificity and Generality of Practice to Enrich Children's Learning in Sport. In M. Toms & R. Jeanes, *Routledge Handbook of Coaching Children in Sport* (1st ed., pp. 284–292). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003199359-31
79. Scarpina, F., Paschino, C., Scacchi, M., Mauro, A., & Sedda, A. (2022). Does physical weight alter the mental representation of the body? Evidence from motor imagery in obesity. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, *75*(12), 2349–2365. https://doi.org/10.1177/17470218221075038
80. Sulistiyono, S., Sugiyanto, S., Kristiyanto, A., Purnama, S. K., Saputra, J., Siswantoyo, S., & Primasoni, N. (2021). The Impact of Long-Term Athlete Development-Based Exercise

Towards Physical Ability and Academic Achievement. *WSEAS TRANSACTIONS ON BUSINESS AND ECONOMICS*, *18*, 1073–1083. https://doi.org/10.37394/23207.2021.18.101

81. Sun, X., O'Shea, D. J., Golub, M. D., Trautmann, E. M., Vyas, S., Ryu, S. I., & Shenoy, K. V. (2020). *Skill-specific changes in cortical preparatory activity during motor learning* [Preprint]. Neuroscience. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.01.30.919894
82. Ugrinowitsch, H., Matos, C. D. O., Neves, T. F., Lage, G. M., Ribeiro-Silva, P. C., & Cruz, M. P. (2019). Constant and random practice on learning of volleyball serve. *Brazilian Journal of Kinanthropometry and Human Performance*, *20*(6), 598–606. https://doi.org/10.5007/1980-0037.2018v20n6p598
83. Vassiliadis, P., Lete, A., Duque, J., & Derosiere, G. (2021). *Reward timing matters in motor learning* [Preprint]. Neuroscience. https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.10.15.464563
84. Vealey, R., & Wright, E. (2023). Using imagery to build confidence in esports. *Journal of Imagery Research in Sport and Physical Activity*, *18*(s1), 20220023. https://doi.org/10.1515/jirspa-2022-0023
85. Willey, C. R., & Liu, Z. (2018). Long-term motor learning: Effects of varied and specific practice. *Vision Research*, *152*, 10–16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.visres.2017.03.012
86. Williams, A. M., & Ford, P. R. (2009). Promoting a skills-based agenda in Olympic sports: The role of skill-acquisition specialists. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *27*(13), 1381–1392. https://doi.org/10.1080/02640410902874737
87. Yang, C., Luo, N., Liang, M., Zhou, S., Yu, Q., Zhang, J., Zhang, M., Guo, J., Wang, H., Yu, J., Cui, Q., Chen, H., & Gao, Q. (2020). Altered Brain Functional Connectivity Density in Fast-Ball Sports Athletes With Early Stage of Motor Training. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *11*, 530122. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.530122
88. Yun, J.-E. (2022). Understanding motor learning processing and motor strategies on motor skill learning. *Korean Journal of Sports Science*, *31*(5), 389–402. https://doi.org/10.35159/kjss.2022.10.31.5.389