

ISSN 1220-8841 (Print)
ISSN 2344-4959 (Online)

ROMANIAN
NEUROSURGERY

Vol. XXXVIII | No. 1

March 2024

The impact of rehabilitation programs
on patients postoperative recovery after
spine surgery

Muhammad Jehanzeb

DOI: 10.33962/roneuro-2024-016



The impact of rehabilitation programs on patients postoperative recovery after spine surgery

Muhammad Jehanzeb

Punjab Institute of Neurosciences, Lahore, PAKISTAN

ABSTRACT

Spine surgery is a common intervention aimed at improving the lives of individuals with various spinal conditions, and the success of this procedure extends beyond the operating room to the postoperative recovery phase. This research paper explores the impact of rehabilitation programs on postoperative recovery in spine surgery patients. By delving into the multidisciplinary approach of rehabilitation, including physical therapy, occupational therapy, pain management, and patient education, the study aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of how these programs optimize outcomes and restore functional abilities.

Rehabilitation programs play a vital role in managing postoperative pain, restoring mobility, promoting muscle strength, and enhancing overall functional abilities. The paper investigates the specific interventions involved, such as physical therapy exercises, manual therapy, pain management strategies, and patient education, highlighting their collective contribution to a holistic recovery process. The benefits of rehabilitation programs extend beyond physical aspects to address the psychological and emotional well-being of patients, providing a supportive environment through counselling and stress management techniques.

Factors influencing the effectiveness of rehabilitation programs, including patient-specific factors, surgical complexity, timing, and patient compliance, are examined. The study draws upon a comprehensive literature review, presenting evidence from research studies, randomized controlled trials, and prospective cohort studies that consistently demonstrate the positive impact of rehabilitation programs on pain management, functional outcomes, and quality of life.

Challenges in implementing rehabilitation programs, such as resource constraints and patient education, are acknowledged, and potential strategies for improvement are proposed. These include the integration of technology, collaboration among healthcare professionals, and a focus on patient education and engagement to enhance accessibility and effectiveness.

In conclusion, this research underscores the critical role of rehabilitation programs in optimizing postoperative recovery for spine surgery patients. The findings have implications for clinical practice, emphasizing the need for comprehensive, tailored rehabilitation approaches, collaboration among healthcare professionals, and the integration of technology to further improve patient outcomes. Future research should explore the optimal timing and duration of rehabilitation interventions, comparative studies of different approaches, and long-term outcomes to inform clinical decision-making in the field of spine surgery recovery.

Keywords
rehabilitation,
postoperative recovery,
spine surgery



Corresponding author:
Muhammad Jehanzeb

Punjab Institute of Neurosciences,
Lahore, Pakistan

drjehanzeb28@gmail.com

Copyright and usage. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial No Derivatives License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>) which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is unaltered and is properly cited.

The written permission of the Romanian Society of Neurosurgery must be obtained for commercial re-use or in order to create a derivative work.

ISSN online 2344-4959
© Romanian Society of
Neurosurgery



First published
March 2024 by
London Academic Publishing
www.lapub.co.uk

INTRODUCTION

Spine surgery is a commonly performed procedure aimed at alleviating pain, improving functionality, and enhancing the quality of life for individuals with various spinal conditions. While surgical intervention can be effective in addressing specific pathologies, such as herniated discs, spinal stenosis, or degenerative disc disease, the success of the procedure relies not only on the surgical technique but also on the subsequent postoperative recovery process. The recovery phase plays a critical role in achieving optimal outcomes and restoring patients' functional abilities. (1,2)

The postoperative period following spine surgery represents a critical phase for patients to regain functionality and return to their daily activities. Rehabilitation programs specifically designed for spine surgery patients play a pivotal role in optimising the recovery process. These programs encompass a comprehensive and multidisciplinary approach, involving physical therapy, occupational therapy, pain management, and patient education. By addressing pain, restoring mobility, promoting muscle strength, and enhancing overall functional abilities, rehabilitation programs aim to maximise the long-term benefits of spine surgery. (3,4)

The purpose of this research paper is to explore and evaluate the impact of rehabilitation programs on postoperative recovery in spine surgery patients. By examining the benefits, factors influencing effectiveness, research studies supporting the efficacy of rehabilitation programs, and challenges in implementation, we aim to provide a comprehensive understanding of the role of rehabilitation in optimising outcomes and enhancing functional recovery for individuals undergoing spine surgery.

THE ROLE OF REHABILITATION PROGRAMS IN SPINE SURGERY RECOVERY

Rehabilitation programs play a crucial role in facilitating the recovery process for individuals undergoing spine surgery. These programs are designed to address the specific needs of patients and aim to achieve several key goals. Firstly, they focus on managing postoperative pain through a combination of pharmacological interventions, physical modalities, and pain education techniques. Secondly, rehabilitation programs aim to restore mobility and improve range of motion through targeted exercises, stretching, and manual therapy.

Additionally, these programs promote muscle strength, stability, and endurance to enhance functional abilities. Lastly, rehabilitation programs provide patient education and guidance to promote self-management skills, independence, and a smooth transition back to daily activities. (5, 6)

Postoperative rehabilitation programs for spine surgery patients typically adopt a multidisciplinary approach. This approach involves collaboration among various healthcare professionals, including physical therapists, occupational therapists, pain specialists, and psychologists. Each discipline brings unique expertise and contributes to different aspects of the patient's recovery. Physical therapists focus on improving physical function, mobility, and strength through tailored exercise programs. Occupational therapists address activities of daily living, work-related tasks, and ergonomic adjustments to facilitate a safe return to regular routines. Pain specialists provide pain management strategies, including medication management and interventions such as nerve blocks. Psychologists offer support, counselling, and coping strategies to address emotional well-being and mental health during the recovery process. (7, 8)

Rehabilitation programs for spine surgery recovery incorporate a variety of interventions to address the unique needs of each patient. These interventions may include:

Physical therapy exercises: Specific exercises are prescribed to improve muscle strength, flexibility, and overall mobility. These may include stretching, aerobic conditioning, core stabilisation exercises, and functional training. (9)

Manual therapy: Techniques such as joint mobilisation, soft tissue mobilisation, and spinal manipulation are employed by physical therapists to enhance joint mobility, alleviate pain, and restore proper movement patterns. (10)

Pain management strategies: Pharmacological interventions, such as non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), opioids, and adjuvant medications, may be utilised in combination with other modalities to manage postoperative pain effectively. (11,12)

Patient education and self-management: Rehabilitation programs emphasise educating

patients about their condition, postoperative precautions, proper body mechanics, and strategies for self-management of symptoms. This empowers patients to actively participate in their recovery process and make informed decisions about their health. (13)

BENEFITS OF REHABILITATION PROGRAMS IN POSTOPERATIVE SPINE SURGERY

Rehabilitation programs in postoperative spine surgery play a vital role in effectively managing pain and reducing the reliance on medication. Through a combination of therapeutic exercises, manual therapy techniques, and pain education, these programs aim to address the underlying causes of pain and promote effective pain management strategies. By improving muscular strength, enhancing flexibility, and optimising movement patterns, rehabilitation helps alleviate pain and discomfort. As a result, patients may experience reduced dependence on pain medications, such as opioids, leading to improved overall pain control and minimising the potential risks associated with long-term medication use. (14, 15)

Rehabilitation programs are designed to restore and enhance mobility, range of motion, and functional abilities in patients undergoing spine surgery. Through specific exercises and therapeutic interventions, such as stretching, strengthening, and functional training, these programs aim to improve physical capabilities. Rehabilitation helps regain lost mobility, restore normal joint function, and enhance overall functional performance. By targeting specific impairments and limitations, patients can achieve improved movement patterns, increased flexibility, and enhanced functional abilities required for daily activities, work, and recreational pursuits. (16, 17)

Rehabilitation programs in postoperative spine surgery not only address the physical aspects but also prioritise the psychological and emotional well-being of patients. Surgery and the subsequent recovery process can be emotionally challenging, leading to anxiety, depression, and a reduced quality of life. Rehabilitation programs provide a supportive environment and incorporate psychological interventions to help patients cope with the emotional aspects of their recovery. Through education, counselling, and techniques such as relaxation exercises and stress management, rehabilitation programs aim to improve

psychological well-being, promote positive coping strategies, and enhance overall emotional resilience. (18, 19)

Rehabilitation programs in postoperative spine surgery also play a crucial role in preventing postoperative complications and rehospitalization. These programs focus on early mobilization, optimizing respiratory function, and educating patients on proper wound care and postoperative precautions. By addressing potential complications such as deep vein thrombosis, pneumonia, and surgical site infections, rehabilitation programs help minimize the risk of complications and reduce the likelihood of rehospitalization. Furthermore, early intervention and close monitoring during the recovery process enable healthcare professionals to detect and address any potential issues promptly, leading to improved overall outcomes. (20,21)

FACTORS INFLUENCING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF REHABILITATION PROGRAMS

The effectiveness of rehabilitation programs in postoperative spine surgery can be influenced by patient-specific factors. Age, comorbidities, and overall health play a significant role in determining the outcomes of rehabilitation interventions. Older patients may have reduced physiological reserves and slower healing processes, which can affect the pace and extent of recovery. The presence of comorbid conditions, such as diabetes or cardiovascular disease, can impact the response to rehabilitation and the ability to engage in certain exercises. Additionally, the overall health status and functional capacity of the patient prior to surgery can influence the potential for improvement and the ability to achieve rehabilitation goals. (22,23)

The type and complexity of the surgical procedure performed on the spine can impact the effectiveness of rehabilitation programs. Different surgical techniques, such as spinal fusion, discectomy, or laminectomy, require specific considerations in the rehabilitation process. The extent of tissue trauma, surgical site stability, and postoperative restrictions influence the rehabilitation approach and progression. Complex spine surgeries involving extensive fusion or instrumentation may necessitate a more gradual and cautious rehabilitation program to ensure proper healing and optimal outcomes. Therefore, tailoring the rehabilitation interventions to the

specific surgical procedure is essential for achieving successful recovery. (24, 25)

The timing and duration of rehabilitation interventions can significantly impact their effectiveness in postoperative spine surgery. Early initiation of rehabilitation, when deemed appropriate by the healthcare team, allows for the optimization of wound healing, prevention of complications, and early mobilization. The duration of rehabilitation varies depending on the individual's progress and the complexity of the surgery. It is essential to strike a balance between providing sufficient time for tissue healing and allowing for progressive rehabilitation. Tailoring the timing and duration of rehabilitation interventions to individual patient needs and surgical considerations is crucial for achieving optimal outcomes. (26, 27)

Patient compliance and adherence to the prescribed rehabilitation program play a crucial role in determining its effectiveness. Patients need to actively engage in their rehabilitation, consistently performing exercises, following postoperative precautions, and attending scheduled sessions. Compliance with activity modifications, lifestyle changes, and home exercise programs contributes to successful outcomes. Patient education, clear communication, and ongoing support from the healthcare team can help promote adherence to the rehabilitation program. Identifying and addressing barriers to compliance, such as pain, fatigue, or psychosocial factors, are essential for maximizing the benefits of rehabilitation. (28, 29)

LITERATURE REVIEW AND EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE EFFICACY OF REHABILITATION PROGRAMS

Numerous research studies and clinical trials have investigated the efficacy of rehabilitation programs in postoperative spine surgery. These studies have examined various aspects, including pain management, functional outcomes, patient satisfaction, and quality of life. The research has utilized both quantitative and qualitative methods to evaluate the effectiveness of rehabilitation interventions. Randomized controlled trials, prospective cohort studies, and systematic reviews form the foundation of the evidence base. These studies have involved diverse patient populations, different surgical procedures, and various rehabilitation approaches to provide a

comprehensive understanding of the benefits of rehabilitation in spine surgery recovery.

One meta-analysis evaluated the effectiveness of physical therapy in patients undergoing lumbar spinal fusion surgery. The study concluded that physical therapy interventions, including exercise programs, mobilization, and education, resulted in improved functional outcomes and reduced disability in postoperative patients.(5)

In one prospective cohort study, the authors investigated the association between physical therapy utilization and subsequent healthcare costs in patients with acute low back pain. The study found that early physical therapy intervention led to decreased healthcare costs and utilization of healthcare resources, highlighting the cost-effectiveness of rehabilitation programs. (30)

Analysis of outcomes and results demonstrating the positive impact of rehabilitation programs

Multiple research studies and clinical trials have consistently demonstrated the positive impact of rehabilitation programs in postoperative spine surgery. These interventions have shown significant improvements in pain management, functional outcomes, and overall quality of life. Patients participating in rehabilitation programs have reported reduced pain intensity, decreased reliance on pain medications, and improved physical functioning, including mobility, range of motion, and functional abilities. Furthermore, rehabilitation interventions have been associated with enhanced psychological well-being, reduced postoperative complications, and decreased rates of rehospitalization. The cumulative evidence strongly supports the efficacy of rehabilitation programs in optimizing recovery and improving patient outcomes following spine surgery.

One randomized controlled trial compared surgical and nonsurgical treatment approaches for lumbar degenerative spondylolisthesis. The study demonstrated that both surgical and nonsurgical treatment led to significant improvements in pain and function. However, the surgical group had greater improvements in the short term, emphasizing the potential benefits of rehabilitation following surgery. (31)

In one retrospective analysis examined the longitudinal association between incident lumbar spine MRI findings and chronic low back pain or radicular symptoms. The study found that specific

MRI findings were not strongly associated with the development of chronic low back pain or radicular symptoms, highlighting the importance of a comprehensive approach to rehabilitation that considers individual patient characteristics and symptoms. (32)

CHALLENGES AND LIMITATIONS IN IMPLEMENTING REHABILITATION PROGRAMS

One of the significant challenges in implementing rehabilitation programs in postoperative spine surgery is resource constraints and limited access to rehabilitation services. Availability of specialized rehabilitation centers, trained healthcare professionals, and necessary equipment can vary across different healthcare settings. In some regions or communities, there may be a shortage of rehabilitation facilities or a lack of financial resources to support comprehensive rehabilitation programs. This can result in limited access to appropriate rehabilitation interventions, delayed initiation of therapy, and suboptimal outcomes for patients undergoing spine surgery. (33)

Variations in healthcare settings and availability of specialized rehabilitation centers

The availability and accessibility of specialized rehabilitation centers can vary across different healthcare settings. While some regions may have well-established rehabilitation centers with experienced multidisciplinary teams, others may have limited options or rely on general healthcare facilities for postoperative rehabilitation. Variations in the availability of specialized rehabilitation centers can impact the quality and continuity of care for patients undergoing spine surgery. Patients in remote areas or underserved communities may face challenges in accessing appropriate rehabilitation services, leading to potential disparities in outcomes. (34)

Patient education and awareness about the importance of rehabilitation in postoperative spine surgery can present a significant challenge. Some patients may have limited knowledge or misconceptions about the role and benefits of rehabilitation. This can result in hesitancy to participate in rehabilitation programs, lack of compliance with prescribed exercises, or premature discontinuation of therapy. It is crucial to educate patients about the goals, rationale, and expected

outcomes of rehabilitation, empowering them to actively engage in the recovery process and adhere to the rehabilitation program. (30,35)

STRATEGIES FOR IMPROVING REHABILITATION PROGRAMS IN SPINE SURGERY RECOVERY

The integration of technology and digital solutions in rehabilitation can enhance the effectiveness and accessibility of postoperative spine surgery recovery programs. Telemedicine, mobile applications, wearable devices, and virtual reality platforms offer opportunities for remote monitoring, real-time feedback, and home-based rehabilitation. These technologies can facilitate personalized exercise programs, provide educational resources, and track patient progress. By leveraging technology, rehabilitation programs can reach a broader patient population, improve adherence to therapy, and enable more frequent communication between healthcare providers and patients. (36, 37)

Collaboration between healthcare professionals, including surgeons, physiatrists, physical therapists, and occupational therapists, along with rehabilitation specialists, is crucial for optimizing postoperative spine surgery recovery. Multidisciplinary team-based care allows for comprehensive assessment, personalized treatment planning, and coordinated rehabilitation interventions. Regular communication and coordination among team members ensure that rehabilitation programs are tailored to individual patient needs and goals. Collaboration also promotes seamless transitions of care from the acute surgical setting to outpatient rehabilitation, ensuring continuity and effectiveness of the rehabilitation process. (38)

Patient education and engagement play a vital role in the success of rehabilitation programs in spine surgery recovery. Educating patients about their condition, surgical procedure, and the importance of rehabilitation fosters active participation and promotes adherence to therapy. Providing clear instructions, written materials, and multimedia resources empowers patients to take ownership of their recovery journey. Involving patients in goal setting, shared decision-making, and self-monitoring of progress enhances motivation and encourages long-term engagement in the rehabilitation process. (39,40)

CONCLUSION

In summary, rehabilitation programs play a crucial role in the postoperative recovery of spine surgery patients. The benefits of these programs include improved pain management, enhanced mobility and functional abilities, and better psychological and emotional well-being. Rehabilitation programs also contribute to the prevention of postoperative complications and rehospitalization. Research studies and clinical trials have provided evidence supporting the efficacy of rehabilitation interventions in improving outcomes in postoperative spine surgery patients. Factors influencing the effectiveness of these programs include patient-specific factors, the surgical procedure complexity, timing and duration of interventions, and patient compliance.

The findings discussed in this paper have several implications for clinical practice. Healthcare professionals should consider incorporating comprehensive rehabilitation programs into the standard care pathway for spine surgery patients. Collaboration between healthcare professionals and rehabilitation specialists is crucial to ensure coordinated and individualized care. Patient education and engagement should be prioritized to optimize adherence to the rehabilitation program. Furthermore, the integration of technology and digital solutions can enhance the accessibility and effectiveness of rehabilitation interventions.

Future research should focus on further investigating the optimal timing and duration of rehabilitation interventions in different spine surgery populations. Comparative studies evaluating different rehabilitation approaches and strategies would provide valuable insights into the most effective interventions. Additionally, research exploring the long-term outcomes and cost-effectiveness of rehabilitation programs in postoperative spine surgery patients would further inform clinical decision-making.

REFERENCES

1. Deyo RA, Mirza SK, Martin BI, Kreuter W, Goodman DC, Jarvik JG. Trends, major medical complications, and charges associated with surgery for lumbar spinal stenosis in older adults. *JAMA*. 2010;303(13):1259-1265. doi:10.1001/jama.2010.338
2. Bono CM, Ghiselli G, Gilbert TJ, et al. An evidence-based clinical guideline for the diagnosis and treatment of cervical radiculopathy from degenerative disorders. *Spine J*. 2011;11(1):64-72. doi:10.1016/j.spinee.2010.10.023
3. Manchikanti L, Singh V, Falco FJ, Cash KA, Fellows B. Comparative outcomes of a 2-year follow-up of cervical medial branch blocks in management of chronic neck pain: a randomized, double-blind controlled trial. *Pain Physician*. 2010;13(5):437-450.
4. Manchikanti L, Singh V, Falco FJ, Cash KA, Fellows B. Comparative outcomes of a 2-year follow-up of cervical medial branch blocks in management of chronic neck pain: a randomized, double-blind controlled trial. *Pain Physician*. 2010;13(5):437-450.
5. Madera M, Brady J, Deily S, et al. The role of physical therapy and rehabilitation after lumbar fusion surgery for degenerative disease: a systematic review. *J Neurosurg Spine*. 2017;26(6):694-704. doi:10.3171/2016.10.SPINE16627
6. Urits I, Burshtein A, Sharma M, et al. Low Back Pain, a Comprehensive Review: Pathophysiology, Diagnosis, and Treatment. *Curr Pain Headache Rep*. 2019;23(3):23. Published 2019 Mar 11. doi:10.1007/s11916-019-0757-1
7. Gatchel RJ, McGeary DD, McGeary CA, Lippe B. Interdisciplinary chronic pain management: past, present, and future. *Am Psychol*. 2014;69(2):119-130. doi:10.1037/a0035514
8. Kamper SJ, Apeldoorn AT, Chiarotto A, et al. Multidisciplinary biopsychosocial rehabilitation for chronic low back pain: Cochrane systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ*. 2015;350:h444. Published 2015 Feb 18. doi:10.1136/bmj.h444
9. Greenwood J, McGregor A, Jones F, Mullane J, Hurley M. Rehabilitation Following Lumbar Fusion Surgery: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2016;41(1):E28-E36. doi:10.1097/BRS.0000000000001132
10. Synnott A, O'Keeffe M, Bunzli S, Dankaerts W, O'Sullivan P, O'Sullivan K. Physiotherapists may stigmatise or feel unprepared to treat people with low back pain and psychosocial factors that influence recovery: a systematic review. *Journal of physiotherapy*. 2015 Apr 1;61(2):68-76.
11. Sutbeyaz ST, Sezer N, Koseoglu BF. The effect of pulsed electromagnetic fields in the treatment of cervical osteoarthritis: a randomized, double-blind, sham-controlled trial. *Rheumatol Int*. 2006;26(4):320-324. doi:10.1007/s00296-005-0600-3
12. Van Backer JT, Jordan MR, Leahy DT, Moore JS, Callas P, Dominick T, Cataldo PA. Preemptive analgesia decreases pain following anorectal surgery: a prospective, randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled trial. *Diseases of the Colon & Rectum*. 2018 Jul 1;61(7):824-9.
13. Skolasky RL, Mackenzie EJ, Wegener ST, Riley III LH. Patient activation and adherence to physical therapy in persons undergoing spine surgery. *Spine*. 2008 Oct 10;33(21):E784.
14. Chou R, Qaseem A, Snow V, et al. Diagnosis and treatment of low back pain: a joint clinical practice

- guideline from the American College of Physicians and the American Pain Society [published correction appears in *Ann Intern Med*. 2008 Feb 5;148(3):247-8]. *Ann Intern Med*. 2007;147(7):478-491. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-147-7-200710020-00006
15. Jirarattanaphochai K, Jung S. Nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs for postoperative pain management after lumbar spine surgery: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Neurosurg Spine*. 2008;9(1):22-31. doi:10.3171/SPI/2008/9/7/022
 16. Mombell K, Perry N, Wade SM, Fredericks D, Glassman D, Morrissey P. Physical therapy after lumbar spinal fusion is necessary to optimize patient outcomes. *Clinical Spine Surgery*. 2019 Jul 1;32(6):223-5.
 17. Iversen MD, Fossel AH, Katz JN. Enhancing function in older adults with chronic low back pain: a pilot study of endurance training. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2003;84(9):1324-1331. doi:10.1016/s0003-9993(03)00198-9
 18. Vowles KE, Witkiewitz K, Sowden G, Ashworth J. Acceptance and commitment therapy for chronic pain: evidence of mediation and clinically significant change following an abbreviated interdisciplinary program of rehabilitation. *J Pain*. 2014;15(1):101-113. doi:10.1016/j.jpain.2013.10.002
 19. Ho EK, Chen L, Simic M, et al. Psychological interventions for chronic, non-specific low back pain: systematic review with network meta-analysis. *BMJ*. 2022;376:e067718. Published 2022 Mar 30. doi:10.1136/bmj-2021-067718
 20. Wai EK, Roffey DM, Bishop P, Kwon BK, Dagenais S. Causal assessment of occupational lifting and low back pain: results of a systematic review. *Spine J*. 2010;10(6):554-566. doi:10.1016/j.spinee.2010.03.033
 21. Andersen LL, Mortensen OS, Hansen JV, Burr H. A prospective cohort study on severe pain as a risk factor for long-term sickness absence in blue- and white-collar workers. *Occup Environ Med*. 2011;68(8):590-592. doi:10.1136/oem.2010.056259
 22. Latham NK, Bennett DA, Stretton CM, Anderson CS. Systematic review of progressive resistance strength training in older adults. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2004;59(1):48-61. doi:10.1093/gerona/59.1.m48
 23. Zhao L, Manchikanti L, Kaye AD, Abd-Elsayed A. Treatment of Discogenic Low Back Pain: Current Treatment Strategies and Future Options-a Literature Review. *Curr Pain Headache Rep*. 2019;23(11):86. Published 2019 Nov 9. doi:10.1007/s11916-019-0821-x
 24. Fritzell P, Hägg O, Wessberg P, Nordwall A; Swedish Lumbar Spine Study Group. Chronic low back pain and fusion: a comparison of three surgical techniques: a prospective multicenter randomized study from the Swedish lumbar spine study group. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2002;27(11):1131-1141. doi:10.1097/00007632-200206010-00002
 25. Schoenfeld AJ, Carey PA, Cleveland AW 3rd, Bader JO, Bono CM. Patient factors, comorbidities, and surgical characteristics that increase mortality and complication risk after spinal arthrodesis: a prognostic study based on 5,887 patients. *Spine J*. 2013;13(10):1171-1179. doi:10.1016/j.spinee.2013.02.071
 26. Wong JJ, Côté P, Shearer HM, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for the management of conditions related to traffic collisions: a systematic review by the OPTIMA Collaboration. *Disabil Rehabil*. 2015;37(6):471-489. doi:10.3109/09638288.2014.932448
 27. Carlesso LC, Gross AR, Santaguida PL, Burnie S, Voth S, Sadi J. Adverse events associated with the use of cervical manipulation and mobilization for the treatment of neck pain in adults: a systematic review. *Man Ther*. 2010;15(5):434-444. doi:10.1016/j.math.2010.02.006
 28. Slade SC, Keating JL. Trunk-strengthening exercises for chronic low back pain: a systematic review. *J Manipulative Physiol Ther*. 2006;29(2):163-173. doi:10.1016/j.jmpt.2005.12.011
 29. MacDermid JC, Walton DM, Avery S, et al. Measurement properties of the neck disability index: a systematic review. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*. 2009;39(5):400-417. doi:10.2519/jospt.2009.2930
 30. Fritz JM, Cleland JA, Speckman M, Brennan GP, Hunter SJ. Physical therapy for acute low back pain: associations with subsequent healthcare costs. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2008;33(16):1800-1805. doi:10.1097/BRS.0b013e31817bd853
 31. Weinstein JN, Lurie JD, Tosteson TD, et al. Surgical versus nonsurgical treatment for lumbar degenerative spondylolisthesis. *N Engl J Med*. 2007;356(22):2257-2270. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa070302
 32. Suri P, Boyko EJ, Goldberg J, Forsberg CW, Jarvik JG. Longitudinal associations between incident lumbar spine MRI findings and chronic low back pain or radicular symptoms: retrospective analysis of data from the longitudinal assessment of imaging and disability of the back (LAIDBACK). *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2014;15:152. Published 2014 May 13. doi:10.1186/1471-2474-15-152
 33. Stampas A, Tansey KE. Spinal cord injury medicine and rehabilitation. In *Seminars in neurology* 2014 Nov (Vol. 34, No. 05, pp. 524-533). Thieme Medical Publishers.
 34. Hwang R, Morris NR, Mandrusiak A, Bruning J, Peters R, Korczyk D, Russell T. Cost-utility analysis of home-based telerehabilitation compared with centre-based rehabilitation in patients with heart failure. *Heart, Lung and Circulation*. 2019 Dec 1;28(12):1795-803.
 35. Jordan K, Dunn KM, Lewis M, Croft P. A minimal clinically important difference was derived for the Roland-Morris Disability Questionnaire for low back pain. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2006;59(1):45-52. doi:10.1016/j.jclinepi.2005.03.018
 36. Rendon AA, Lohman EB, Thorpe D, Johnson EG, Medina E, Bradley B. The effect of virtual reality gaming on dynamic balance in older adults. *Age and ageing*. 2012 Jul 1;41(4):549-52.

37. Kim GJ. A SWOT analysis of the field of virtual reality rehabilitation and therapy. *Presence*. 2005 Apr;14(2):119-46.
38. Pastora-Bernal JM, Martín-Valero R, Barón-López FJ, Estebanez-Pérez MJ. Evidence of benefit of telerehabilitation after orthopedic surgery: a systematic review. *Journal of medical Internet research*. 2017 Apr 28;19(4):e142.
39. Darlow B, Fullen BM, Dean S, Hurley DA, Baxter GD, Dowell A. The association between health care professional attitudes and beliefs and the attitudes and beliefs, clinical management, and outcomes of patients with low back pain: a systematic review. *Eur J Pain*. 2012;16(1):3-17. doi:10.1016/j.ejpain.2011.06.006
40. Sluijs EM, Kok GJ, van der Zee J. Correlates of exercise compliance in physical therapy. *Phys Ther*. 1993;73(11):771-786. doi:10.1093/ptj/73.11.771.