

Artificial Intelligence

Is It Possible for Artificial Intelligence to Undermine the Root of Science?

Sabine Zur Schlemmer*

University of Göttingen, Wilhelmsplatz 1, 37073 Göttingen, Germany

*: All correspondence should be sent to: Dr. Sabine Zur Schlemmer.

Author's Contact: Sabine Zur Schlemmer, Ph.D., E-mail: szschlemmer1@gmail.comDOI: <https://doi.org/10.15354/si.24.re881>

Funding: No funding source declared.

COI: The author declares no competing interest.

Artificial intelligence (AI) has brought about a paradigm shift in numerous industries and is persistently altering the methodology employed in scientific inquiry. Although AI has the potential to streamline specific facets of the scientific method, detractors contend that its integration could potentially erode the foundations of science. A potential issue arises when an excessive dependence on AI for data analysis and experimentation results in the erosion of human creativity and intuition as pivotal components in scientific breakthroughs. The propensity for fortuitous discoveries and innovative concepts to arise from ostensibly unrelated disciplines may be impeded by AI's emphasis on identifying patterns in preexisting data sets. Moreover, algorithms employed in AI systems that rely on training data possess an intrinsic bias, which may introduce intangible prejudices into scientific investigation. Furthermore, it is critical to specify that AI is incapable of engaging in debates or comprehending profound philosophical inquiries pertaining to the fundamental principles that govern our universe. As a result, although AI has the potential to significantly aid scientists in their endeavors, it must be implemented with prudence to guarantee that it enhances rather than erodes the fundamental tenets and character of scientific investigation.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence; Scientific Research; Undermining; Future

Science Insights, 2024 January 31; Vol. 44, No. 1, pp.1229-1234.

© 2024 Insights Publisher. All rights reserved.

Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed by the Insights Publisher.

ARTIFICIAL intelligence (AI) has experienced notable progressions in recent times, bringing about a paradigm shift in numerous domains of human existence. As a result of its capability to aid scientists in complex simulations, hypothesis generation, and data analysis, AI has been integrated into scientific research (1). Conversely, this integration raises the inquiry: is it possible for AI to erode the fundamental principles

and bedrock of science? We herein examine the potential advantages and disadvantages of integrating AI into scientific practice, and discusses the impact on the scientific community, the limitations on human creativity that AI-generated hypotheses may impose, and the dependability of such hypotheses.

AI and Scientific Data Analysis

By processing vast quantities of data, recognizing patterns, and producing insightful observations, AI can accelerate the data analysis process. Although this may potentially improve operational effectiveness, detractors contend that an excessive dependence on AI could diminish researchers' acquaintance with unprocessed data. A scientist's capacity to scrutinize methodologies, identify anomalies, or discern unforeseen patterns that may pose a challenge to established scientific theories could be impeded by an excessive dependence on AI (2).

Automation of the data analysis process permits operations to be carried out at an unprecedented rate and magnitude. By virtue of their capacity to analyze enormous volumes of structured and unstructured data, AI is capable of detecting trends, correlations, and patterns that would have otherwise remained undetected. By doing so, one can not only improve the precision and effectiveness of data analysis but also decrease the duration and exertion needed to extract significant findings.

Predictive analytics is one of the most significant implementations of AI in data analysis. Through the integration of real-time data with historical data patterns analysis, AI algorithms possess the capability to precisely predict forthcoming developments and trends (3). The ability to predict outcomes enables individuals in positions of authority to make well-informed decisions and implement proactive strategies to enhance operational procedures and business strategies.

AI enhances the proficiencies of data analysts, empowering them to tackle intricate challenges that were previously deemed unmanageable. It is possible to train AI algorithms to execute complex data analysis tasks, including sentiment analysis, classification, and anomaly detection. This not only streamlines the process of data analysis but also enables human analysts to allocate their time and effort towards more strategic and crucial facets of their projects.

Additionally, data interpretation and visualization are critical functions of AI. Machine learning algorithms enable AI to convert intricate data sets into formats that are aesthetically pleasing and straightforward to comprehend (4). These visualizations facilitate the comprehension of intricate information by data analysts and decisionmakers, enabling them to make informed decisions based on data.

Notwithstanding the immense advantages, the convergence of AI and data analysis is not devoid of obstacles. A notable concern pertains to the dependability and excellence of the data. AI is highly dependent on the input data; therefore, any inaccuracies, biases, or errors in the data can have a substantial effect on the results of the analysis. Hence, it is important to uphold stringent criteria for data quality and rectify any possible biases that may have been introduced throughout the data collection and preprocessing phases.

The ethical implications of AI-driven data analysis represent an additional obstacle. Unknowingly perpetuating biases inherent in the data, AI algorithms have the potential to generate decision-making processes that are biased. Ensuring transparency, impartiality, and accountability in AI systems is thus imperative in order to avert any inadvertent repercussions or discriminatory results that may result from data analysis.

With respect to the future, the data analysis potential of AI is enormous. Anticipated advancements in AI technologies will

inevitably result in continued refinements of data processing functionalities, thereby augmenting the precision and efficacy of data analysis (5). Moreover, the integration of AI with other nascent technologies, including blockchain and the Internet of Things, is on the rise. This integration will yield an even more extensive and varied repertoire of data for analytical purposes.

The future of AI-driven data analysis is likely to be influenced by the emergence of Explainable AI (XAI). XAI endeavors to furnish AI models that are both transparent and interpretable, thereby empowering users to comprehend the reasoning behind conclusions (6). By doing so, data analysts and decision-makers will not only gain confidence in AI systems, but also be better able to comprehend the fundamental mechanisms and patterns that emerge from the analysis.

AI and Scientific Creativity

Scientific discovery often requires a creative mindset to propose innovative hypotheses and experimental designs. AI, which is based on predefined algorithms, lacks true creativity and the ability to think outside the box. This limitation could potentially stifle scientific progress by limiting the exploration of unconventional ideas and hypotheses that may lead to groundbreaking discoveries.

AI can foster scientific innovation by generating novel concepts and possibilities. Through the analysis of patterns and pre-existing scientific knowledge, AI models have the capability to suggest experimental procedures, simulations, or avenues for investigation by researchers (7). Scientists may not have instantaneously recognized these concepts; consequently, they may inspire novel discoveries and facilitate innovative thought. AI functions as a collaborative collaborator in the domain of science, enabling the exploration of ideas that surpass the capacity of human imagination.

AI further augments scientific innovation in the domain of predictive modeling. AI algorithms are capable of generating predictive models that can simulate experiments or forecast the outcomes of specific scenarios from immense quantities of existing data (8). By facilitating the exploration and simulation of various possibilities by scientists in lieu of undertaking physical experiments, AI promotes a heightened level of creativity through the reduction of time and resources needed for scientific inquiries. This phenomenon inspires scientists to approach their theories and hypotheses with greater audacity. Often, the source of scientific innovation is the recognition of knowledge deficits and regions that demand additional investigation. By analyzing vast quantities of scientific literature and identifying extant limitations or inconsistencies in research, AI can aid in this endeavor (9). AI facilitates the navigation of scientists towards uncharted domains by emphasizing these deficiencies, thereby encouraging their imaginative faculties and nurturing innovation.

Serendipity is an essential factor in the realm of science, as it frequently propels groundbreaking discoveries. AI has the potential to expedite serendipity by empowering researchers to forge unforeseen correlations or associations within their datasets (10). Through the identification of unforeseen correlations, AI has the potential to direct scientists along routes they might not have otherwise contemplated, thereby substantially aug-

menting their ingenuity, and facilitating the advancement of revolutionary scientific endeavors.

As the pursuit of science becomes more interdisciplinary, it is becoming increasingly difficult for scientists to bridge the divide between fields. By connecting ostensibly unrelated fields of study and extracting knowledge from multiple disciplines, AI can facilitate this integration (11). AI enhances the creative capacity of scientists by integrating data from diverse domains, thereby facilitating the discovery of novel correlations and inventive resolutions to intricate challenges.

AI and Scientific Experimental Design

AI's ability to run countless simulations can undoubtedly enhance scientific research. However, there is a possibility that AI may limit the involvement of scientists in experimental design. This exclusivity could potentially diminish the researcher's understanding of the underlying principles and assumptions behind the experiment, leading to a lack of critical thinking and misinterpretation of results.

To optimize experimental design, AI is capable of identifying the most crucial variables to consider. AI's sophisticated machine learning algorithms enable it to identify the critical variables that have a substantial impact on experimental results. By adopting this approach, researchers are able to devise experiments that investigate these pivotal factors in greater depth, thereby producing more significant findings and mitigating the potential oversight of crucial variables.

The implementation of AI has the potential to optimize experimental designs through the automation of specific processes. An illustration of this is how AI can generate hypotheses automatically on the basis of existing data and literature, thereby eradicating the requirement for researchers to manually conceive of potential lines of inquiry. Furthermore, AI is capable of assessing and contrasting various experimental designs in order to determine the most efficacious ones. This process considers cost, time, and feasibility, among other factors.

In spite of these benefits, the limitations of AI in experimental design must be acknowledged. AI is highly dependent on pre-existing data; its experimental design may be compromised in terms of accuracy and validity if the data is skewed or insufficient (13). Therefore, it is imperative that scientists exercise prudence and guarantee that the AI algorithms are trained using unbiased data in order to prevent the replication of biases or inaccuracies in their experimental designs.

Moreover, AI is incapable of substituting the ingenuity and perception exhibited by human researchers. Although AI may demonstrate proficiency in data processing, they are unable to imitate the intuitive abilities and profound knowledge that scientists possess. To ensure the quality and originality of experimental designs, it is therefore essential that scientists establish a balance between employing the analytical capabilities of AI and relying on their own acumen.

The potential of integrating AI and experimental design is extremely promising. With the ongoing advancement of AI algorithms, their capability to identify subtle patterns and correlations that may elude human observation will inevitably improve. This phenomenon presents an opportunity to uncover previously unknown correlations among variables, thereby broadening the

boundaries of scientific understanding. In addition, AI can aid in the development of more ethically sound investigations by considering the potential effects on subjects or the environment; this contributes to the promotion of responsible research practices.

AI and Scientific Reproducibility

Reproducibility is fundamental to scientific progress. However, AI algorithms often work as "black boxes," where the rationale behind their decision-making process is obscure. This lack of transparency can create challenges in reproducing AI-generated results, potentially casting doubts on the validity and credibility of scientific findings.

By assisting with the standardization and automation of experimental protocols, AI can enhance reproducibility. The ability to implement the same experiment repeatedly with consistent results is what constitutes reproducibility. Nevertheless, the execution of experiments may be subject to variations due to human error, which can impede reproducibility. By optimizing experimental procedures, decreasing human involvement, and minimizing errors, AI can assist in overcoming this obstacle. Moreover, AI algorithms have the capability to establish uniform protocols for carrying out investigations, thereby guaranteeing consistency among laboratories. AI increases the reproducibility of scientific research by reducing discrepancies in experimental implementation.

AI may further improve the reproducibility of scientific research through its assistance in the validation and quality control of datasets. Algorithms powered by AI can detect errors or inconsistencies in datasets that would otherwise go undetected, thereby ensuring that researchers utilize dependable and precise information (13). Additionally, AI has the capability to detect possible biases or confounding elements within the data, enabling scientists to address these concerns and enhance the dependability of their results. Reproducibility is enhanced by AI's contribution of assuring data quality and validity, which empowers scientists to generate results that are consistent and dependable.

AI can support reproducibility-critical transparent research practices, which are a critical component of AI. Scientists can systematically and openly store, share, and access their data and analysis methods by utilizing platforms and tools powered by AI. This provision of open access to research materials enables additional scientists to replicate, authenticate, and expand upon prior investigations. The establishment of a more collaborative scientific community is enhanced by the transparency enabled by AI, which further strengthens reproducibility by enabling researchers to validate and duplicate findings.

AI can assist in the detection and control of possible biases in scientific investigations, thereby augmenting reproducibility. Biases, regardless of their conscious or implicit nature, have the potential to greatly influence the results of research. Algorithms powered by AI can aid scientists in detecting possible biases in experimental design, data collection, or analysis (14). Researchers can mitigate the effects of these biases by identifying them and implementing corrective measures, such as modifying study designs or altering analysis methods. Preventive detection and management of bias facilitates the maintenance of reproducibility in research by guaranteeing the absence of un-

warranted influence.

AI can facilitate the preservation and documentation of research methodologies, thereby increasing their reproducibility. Tools propelled by AI are capable of autonomously documenting and retaining the intricacies of experimental configurations, methodologies, and analysis approaches. By providing a step-by-step guide for reproducing research, this documentation enables other scientists to adhere to the exact same methodology. Moreover, the implementation of AI for the preservation of research methodologies guarantees the longevity of scientific knowledge and techniques, thereby establishing a robust framework for reproducibility.

AI has the potential to boost the replication of intricate scientific models and simulations. In scientific research, the development of complex models and simulations is becoming increasingly prevalent (15). However, the intricate nature of these models can make replication and verification difficult. The validation and verification process can be aided by AI through the provision of algorithms that perform cross-validation, model evaluation, and performance assessment. The utilization of AI-powered verification guarantees the ability to replicate intricate models and simulations, empowering researchers to confidently expand upon prior investigations.

AI may benefit in detecting inaccuracies or inconsistencies in scientific publications, thereby enhancing the reproducibility of research as a whole. By analyzing scientific texts, AI algorithms are capable of detecting inconsistencies and alerting to potential errors in experimental procedures, analyses, or conclusions. Through the detection of these errors, AI enables the remediation and enhancement of scientific publications, thereby bolstering reproducibility through the assurance of precise and dependable research outcomes.

AI and Scientific Overreliance

Overreliance on AI has the potential to erode scientists' technical skills and decrease their overall understanding of complex scientific concepts. As AI takes on an increasing role in the research process, scientists may become overly dependent on AI-generated recommendations and results, which may diminish their problem-solving abilities and research competence.

An important consideration associated with an excessive dependence on AI in scientific inquiry is the presence of inherent biases within the data sets utilized to train AI algorithms (10). If AI systems acquire knowledge from extensive datasets that contain societal biases, it is probable that the algorithms will reinforce and magnify those biases. In disciplines such as medicine, where biased data can result in unequal access to healthcare or incorrect diagnoses, this is of particular concern. In order to guarantee ethical considerations and ensure fairness, a critical evaluation of AI outputs must therefore invariably incorporate human presence.

A further limitation of scientific progress may result from AI's reliance on historical data, which restricts the scope of research. Conventional scientific approaches promote inquisitive investigation and the development of hypotheses that surpass current understanding. Nevertheless, the exclusive dependence of AI algorithms on patterns identified in past data poses a significant obstacle to the exploration of novel phenomena or theo-

ries. AI's inability, at least currently, to account for critical insights that lay beyond established patterns could prove to be an impediment to scientific progress in the absence of human intuition.

Indeed, the utilization of AI for data interpretation could potentially result in the oversimplification or misrepresentation of intricate scientific phenomena (16). Algorithms powered by AI that prioritize accuracy and efficiency frequently fail to grasp contextual nuances or render decisions that exceed the scope of their training. This constraint has the potential to lead to erroneous interpretations and potentially deceptive deductions. In contrast, human scientists are endowed with the expertise and capacity for critical thinking that enable them to accurately interpret and analyze intricate scientific data.

An excessive reliance on AI may cause the scientific method to overlook an essential component: creativity. Unconventional thought processes, the capacity to link apparently unrelated concepts, and the ability to think beyond predetermined limitations frequently give rise to scientific breakthroughs (17). AI, due to its reliance on the training data, is devoid of the innovative drive that human researchers impart to scientific inquiries. Consequently, the exclusion of human scientists from the research process could impede the development of novel concepts and scientific progress.

Exclusive dependence on AI eradicates the capacity of humans to engage in critical inquiry and exercise subjectivity in decision-making. Inquiring "why" and "how" matters, formulating hypotheses, and designing experiments to examine those hypotheses are all components of the scientific method. Although AI can provide support in tasks such as pattern recognition and data analysis, it may lack the capacity to independently generate hypotheses, experimental designs, or pose perceptive questions (18). Delegating absolute authority to AI could potentially impede scientific inquiry and the capacity to perform exploratory studies.

Moreover, an excessive dependence on AI could result in a disconnection of science from society. Although AI is capable of efficiently mining large datasets and automating repetitive tasks, it is devoid of comprehension regarding societal context and values. It is critical that scientific inquiry confronts urgent societal concerns while also considering the ethical ramifications. When scientists delegate decision-making to AI algorithms, they run the risk of neglecting the human element that is vital to scientific advancement. This oversight could result in solutions that lack ethical considerations, equity, or are simply disconnected from the demands of society.

The availability and caliber of data for training AI can be constrained, particularly in specialized or burgeoning research domains. To effectively learn, AI algorithms require enormous quantities of high-quality data. Nevertheless, certain disciplines might be deficient in the requisite database, resulting in training sets that are either incomplete or biased (2). This gives rise to the possibility of insufficient or erroneous analysis, which might hinder progress in those specific domains. Hence, it is critical to integrate AI-powered evaluations with conventional methodologies that can mitigate these constraints and offer a comprehensive comprehension.

Conclusion

While incorporating AI in scientific research offers numerous benefits, it poses potential risks to the core principles of science. Careful consideration of the limitations and ethical implications is crucial to ensure that AI is utilized appropriately as a tool to

augment human capabilities rather than undermine the fundamental tenets of scientific exploration and discovery. By striking a balance between human creativity and AI's analytical power, scientists can harness AI's potential while preserving the essence of scientific inquiry. ■

References

1. Biswas A, Kumari A, Gaikwad DS, Pandey DK. Revolutionizing biological science: The synergy of genomics in health, bioinformatics, agriculture, and artificial intelligence. *OMICS* 2023; 27(12):550-569. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1089/omi.2023.0197>
2. Aldoseri A, Al-Khalifa KN, Hamouda AM. Re-thinking data strategy and integration for artificial intelligence: Concepts, opportunities, and challenges. *Appl Sci* 2023; 13(12):7082. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/app13127082>
3. Xu Y, Liu X, Cao X, Huang C, Liu E, Qian S, Liu X, Wu Y, Dong F, Qiu CW, Qiu J, Hua K, Su W, Wu J, Xu H, Han Y, Fu C, Yin Z, Liu M, Roepman R, Dietmann S, Virta M, Kengara F, Zhang Z, Zhang L, Zhao T, Dai J, Yang J, Lan L, Luo M, Liu Z, An T, Zhang B, He X, Cong S, Liu X, Zhang W, Lewis JP, Tiedje JM, Wang Q, An Z, Wang F, Zhang L, Huang T, Lu C, Cai Z, Wang F, Zhang J. Artificial intelligence: A powerful paradigm for scientific research. *Innovation (Camb)* 2021; 2(4):100179. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xinn.2021.100179>
4. Taye MM. Understanding of machine learning with deep learning: Architectures, workflow, applications and future directions. *Computers* 2023; 12(5):91. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/computers12050091>
5. Ahuja AS. The impact of artificial intelligence in medicine on the future role of the physician. *PeerJ* 2019; 7:e7702. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.7702>
6. Rane N, Choudhary S, Rane J. Explainable artificial intelligence (XAI) approaches for transparency and accountability in financial decision-making. *SSRN Electr J* 2023; DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4640316>
7. Krenn M, Pollice R, Guo SY, Aldeghi M, Cervera-Lierta A, Friederich P, Dos Passos Gomes G, Häse F, Jinich A, Nigam A, Yao Z, Aspuru-Guzik A. On scientific understanding with artificial intelligence. *Nat Rev Phys* 2022; 4(12):761-769. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s42254-022-00518-3>
8. Wang H, Fu T, Du Y, Gao W, Huang K, Liu Z, Chandak P, Liu S, Van Katwyk P, Deac A, Anandkumar A, Bergen K, Gomes CP, Ho S, Kohli P, Lasenby J, Leskovec J, Liu TY, Manrai A, Marks D, Ramsundar B, Song L, Sun J, Tang J, Veličković P, Welling M, Zhang L, Coley CW, Bengio Y, Zitnik M. Scientific discovery in the age of artificial intelligence. *Nature* 2023; 620(7972):47-60. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-06221-2>. Erratum in: *Nature* 2023; 621(7978):E33.
9. Salvagno M, Taccone FS, Gerli AG. Can artificial intelligence help for scientific writing? *Crit Care* 2023; 27(1):75. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-023-04380-2>. Erratum in: *Crit Care* 2023; 27(1):99.
10. Norori N, Hu Q, Aellen FM, Faraci FD, Tzovara A. Addressing bias in big data and AI for health care: A call for open science. *Patterns (N Y)* 2021; 2(10):100347. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patter.2021.100347>
11. Perifanis NA, Kitsios F. Investigating the influence of artificial intelligence on business value in the digital era of strategy: A literature review. *Information* 2023; 14(2):85. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/info14020085>
12. Lambert SI, Madi M, Sopka S, Lenes A, Stange H, Buszello CP, Stephan A. An integrative review on the acceptance of artificial intelligence among healthcare professionals in hospitals. *NPJ Digit Med* 2023; 6(1):111. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41746-023-00852-5>. Erratum in: *NPJ Digit Med* 2023; 6(1):125.
13. Dakka MA, Nguyen TV, Hall JMM, Diakiw SM, VerMilyea M, Linke R, Perugini M, Perugini D. Automated detection of poor-quality data: Case studies in healthcare. *Sci Rep* 2021; 11(1):18005. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-97341-0>
14. Nazer LH, Zatarah R, Waldrip S, Ke JXC, Moukheiber M, Khanna AK, Hicklen RS, Moukheiber L, Moukheiber D, Ma H, Mathur P. Bias in artificial intelligence algorithms and recommendations for mitigation. *PLOS Digit Health* 2023; 2(6):e0000278. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pdig.0000278>
15. Jalali MS, DiGennaro C, Guitar A, Lew K, Rahmandad H. Evolution and reproducibility of simulation modeling in epidemiology and health policy over half a century. *Epidemiol Rev* 2022; 43(1):166-175. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/epirev/mxab006>
16. Graziani M, Dutkiewicz L, Calvaresi D, Amorim JP, Yordanova K, Vered M, Nair R, Abreu PH, Blanke T, Pulignano V, Prior JO, Lauwaert L, Reijers W, Depeursinge A, Andrearczyk V, Müller H. A global taxonomy of interpretable AI: Unifying the terminology for the technical and social sciences. *Artif Intell Rev* 2023; 56(4):3473-3504. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10462-022-10256-8>
17. Birhane A, Kasirzadeh A, Leslie D, Sandra W. Sci-

ence in the age of large language models. Nat Rev Phys 2023; 5:277-280. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s42254-023-00581-4>

gence and the conduct of literature reviews. J Inform Technol 2022; 37(2):209-226. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/02683962211048201>

18. Wagner G, Lukyanenko R, Paré G. Artificial intelli-

Received: November 11, 2023 | Revised: November 29, 2023 | Accepted: December 06, 2023
