

Public Health

Crisis of Medical Humanity

It Is the Time for a Real Consideration

Cynthia Frye*

Stony Brook University, 100 Nicolls Rd, Stony Brook, NY 11794, USA

*: All correspondence should be sent to: Dr. Cynthia Frye.

Author's Contact: Cynthia Frye, Ph.D., E-mail: cindy-frye@yahoo.comDOI: <https://doi.org/10.15354/si.24.re960>

Funding: No funding source declared.

COI: The author declares no competing interest.

AI Declaration: The author affirms that artificial intelligence did not contribute to the process of preparing the work.

The medical humanity issue presents a significant obstacle to the healthcare profession, raising concerns about the ethical standards, compassion, and empathy that are vital aspects of patient-centered care. Amidst the prevalence of technological progress and a focus on measurable results, there is a rising apprehension that healthcare providers are becoming increasingly detached from their patients, viewing them as just medical cases rather than individuals who deserve comprehensive care. The erosion of medical humanity not only weakens the bond between patients and healthcare providers but also has consequences for patient outcomes and the overall quality of service. Healthcare organizations must prioritize activities focused on cultivating a culture of empathy, providing training in communication skills, and promoting mindfulness practices among healthcare staff. To tackle this problem and guarantee the provision of compassionate care that respects the dignity and well-being of every patient, we need to shift our attention towards humanistic ideals and recognize the significance of emotional intelligence in medical practice.

Keywords: Medical Humanity; Healthcare System; Challenges; Technology; Practice

Science Insights, 2024 April 30; Vol. 44, No. 4, pp.1319-1325.

© 2024 Insights Publisher. All rights reserved.



Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed by the Insights Publisher.

Introduction

A DEVELOPING concern has emerged in recent years regarding the medical humanity crisis in the healthcare industry (1). The pervasive influence of technological progress in the medical domain poses a potential threat to the human element of patient care. It is imperative to acknowledge

this crisis and implement measures to guarantee that patients are not merely considered cases, but rather as unique individuals with distinct emotional requirements.

An important factor contributing to the crisis is the growing dependence on technology in the healthcare sector. The implementation of diagnostic tools and electronic health records

has facilitated the retrieval and storage of patient information by healthcare professionals (2). Although these developments have enhanced diagnostic and therapeutic efficacy and precision, they have also played a role in the depersonalization of patient care. Patients might experience a diminished sense of personhood, perceiving themselves as mere data points devoid of emotions and requirements.

In addition, in healthcare settings, the pressure to reduce costs and increase patient volume has resulted in an emphasis on productivity at the expense of care quality (3). As a result of feeling hurried and overworked, healthcare professionals may experience diminished empathy and communication with patients. The potential consequences of this situation extend beyond patient satisfaction and outcomes, as individuals may perceive their healthcare providers as neglectful or miscommunicative.

Furthermore, the proliferation of medical specialization has resulted in a dispersion of healthcare services, whereby patients consult with numerous practitioners regarding distinct facets of their well-being (4). As a result, patients may find it challenging to receive holistic and coordinated care, given that each provider may be confined to their specific area of expertise. As a consequence, patients may experience disengagement and frustration due to feelings of being overwhelmed and perplexed regarding their treatment plan.

In order to effectively confront the crisis of medical humanity, it is critical that healthcare professionals place communication and empathy at the forefront of their interactions with patients. This requires actively listening to the concerns, anxieties, and preferences of patients and involving them in care-related decision-making. It also entails treating every patient with dignity and respect, irrespective of their medical history or personal background.

Current Challenges in Upholding Medical Humanity

As we confront the rapidly changing landscape of healthcare, various challenges threaten the core principles of medical humanity. From diminishing trust in the healthcare system to the alarming rates of burnout among healthcare providers, addressing these obstacles is essential to preserve the humanistic values that define quality patient care.

Erosion of Trust in the Healthcare System

In healthcare, trust is an essential component of the doctor-patient relationship (5-7). Patients are less likely to adhere to treatment plans, disclose vital information, or seek medical attention, when necessary, in the absence of trust. Regrettably, confidence in the healthcare system and medical practitioners has suffered a discernible decline over time. The repercussions of this erosion of trust can be severe for both specific patients and for society at large.

An important determinant in the decline of confidence in the healthcare sector is the escalating commercialization of the enterprise (8). Patients frequently perceive that healthcare institutions and physicians are profit-driven rather than committed to delivering high-quality care. Patients may develop skepticism regarding the recommendations of medical personnel due to this

perception, which may cast doubt on their motivations. Patients might perceive that the prioritization of financial gain is increasing in the healthcare industry.

The escalation of distrust in the healthcare has been further exacerbated by the widespread occurrence of medical errors and malpractice (9). When patients are subjected to inadequate care or are harmed by a medical professional, it can significantly erode their confidence in the healthcare system. The repercussions of an error, even if unintentional, can be catastrophic for patients, fostering sentiments of betrayal and mistrust. In addition to undermining the overall credibility of the healthcare system, medical errors can increase patients' skepticism regarding the quality of care they receive.

In healthcare, the proliferation of misinformation and false news has also contributed to the erosion of confidence (10). Patients have access to a plethora of inaccurate or misleading information online in the current digital age. Patients may find it difficult to distinguish between fact and fiction due to this deluge of false information, which can also erode confidence in medical professionals. Patients might exhibit a greater propensity to pursue alternative therapies or engage in self-diagnosis using internet-sourced information, as opposed to placing their trust in the medical professionals' expertise.

Additionally, a dearth of cultural sensitivity and diversity in the healthcare workforce may contribute to the erosion of confidence in the medical system (11). Patients who belong to marginalized communities may experience a lack of regard from healthcare providers or a perception that their concerns are not given due consideration. This lack of empathy and comprehension can cause deterioration in the relationship of trust between patients and medical professionals, thereby increasing the difficulty for patients in seeking care (12).

Institutions of healthcare must place a premium on patient-centered care, accountability, and transparency in order to halt the erosion of confidence in the healthcare system and medical practitioners. It is imperative for healthcare practitioners to communicate candidly and openly with their patients, actively consider their concerns, and engage them in the decision-making process regarding their medical treatment. Healthcare providers can aid in restoring patients' faith in the medical system and guaranteeing they receive the care they require and are entitled to by fostering trust through polite and compassionate exchanges. Integral to fostering positive health outcomes and the well-being of every individual, trust constitutes a foundational element of the doctor-patient relationship.

Issues of Burnout and Moral Distress among Healthcare Providers

Healthcare providers are indispensable members of the community who diligently attend to the needs of the ill and safeguard the welfare of others. Nevertheless, the arduous demands of their occupations frequently result in burnout, a condition characterized by mental, physical, and emotional depletion brought on by protracted and excessive stress. Burnout can significantly impair the health and performance of healthcare professionals, thereby compromising the quality of care they deliver to their patients.

Healthcare providers frequently experience burnout as a

result of their excessive responsibilities and extended work hours (13). Constant pressure to provide efficient care, lengthy shifts, and heavy patient loads are typical responsibilities of healthcare professionals. Ultimately, this can contribute to burnout by causing feelings of exhaustion, disappointment, and overwhelm in the workplace.

Moral distress, which occurs when healthcare providers are unable to act in accordance with their moral values due to external constraints or limitations, is another phenomenon that healthcare providers encounter alongside exhaustion (14). Moral distress may manifest among healthcare providers when confronted with circumstances beyond their capacity to deliver the requisite standard of care, be it attributable to resource constraints, systemic flaws, or competing obligations. These emotions—guilt, resentment, and helplessness—may exacerbate the experience of burnout.

The detrimental effects of burnout and moral distress on healthcare professionals' health and the caliber of care they deliver to patients are substantial (15). Among healthcare personnel, burnout can result in increased rates of turnover, decreased job satisfaction, and increased absenteeism, all of which have a negative effect on patient care and outcomes. In a similar vein, moral distress has the potential to undermine the sense of purpose and satisfaction that healthcare professionals obtain from their profession, culminating in a decline in their level of involvement and drive.

To effectively mitigate fatigue and moral distress among healthcare providers, it is critical to establish conducive work environments that prioritize personnel welfare and foster a culture characterized by collaboration, respect, and empathy. This can be accomplished via wellness programs, mental health support services, and opportunities for reflection and debriefing. In order to ultimately improve the quality of care delivered to patients, healthcare organizations can prevent fatigue and moral distress among their personnel by cultivating an environment that promotes open communication and support.

Impact of Technological Advancements on Patient Care

In an era dominated by technological advancements, the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and digital tools in healthcare has revolutionized patient care. While these innovations offer promising solutions, navigating the balance between technology and human touch poses significant challenges in maintaining a personalized approach to healthcare delivery.

The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Medicine

AI has emerged as an indispensable component across numerous sectors, including the medical field (16). With capabilities including disease diagnosis and prognosis of patient outcomes, AI possesses the capacity to significantly transform the healthcare industry. Notwithstanding this swift progression in technology, the significance of medical humanity should not be disregarded.

AI has demonstrated significant potential in the medical domain through its ability to aid physicians in disease diagnosis and treatment recommendation. Machine learning algorithms are capable of discerning patterns and generating precise predictions by analyzing immense quantities of patient data (17, 18). Con-

sequently, this may result in expedited and precise diagnoses, which will ultimately enhance patient outcomes. AI can also contribute to the advancement of personalized medicine, which involves the customization of treatment plans for specific patients in accordance with their medical history and genetic composition.

Although AI in healthcare offers numerous advantages, the invaluable contribution of healthcare providers remains incomparable. Communication, empathy, and compassion are fundamental qualities of medical humanity that are impracticable to imitate in machines (19). Patients frequently appreciate the compassionate and empathetic assistance rendered by their healthcare providers, as it significantly enhances their holistic welfare and facilitates the process of recovery. Although AI has the potential to improve medical practice in terms of efficiency and accuracy, maintaining a human connection with patients remains crucial for healthcare providers.

The potential loss of human supervision and control is a concern that may arise as the reliance on AI in healthcare continues to grow (20). Although machines are programmed to execute algorithms and arrive at decisions grounded in data, their capacity to comprehend intricate emotions and render ethical judgments is limited. Determination in critical medical situations is heavily reliant on the application of human discernment and intuition. To ensure optimal patient outcomes, healthcare providers must establish a balance between employing AI as a diagnostic and therapeutic aid and exercising their professional judgment.

The potential influence of AI integration on healthcare disparities is an additional factor to contemplate. The unequal distribution of access to AI technology among patients may exacerbate pre-existing health disparities (21). Healthcare providers must prioritize the ethical ramifications of AI implementation and guarantee equitable access to its advantages for all patients, irrespective of technological means or socioeconomic standing. The utilization of AI in healthcare must be guided by the principles of medical humanity, which encompass justice and equity.

The rapid evolution of AI's involvement in healthcare has the potential to enhance patient outcomes, treatment, and diagnosis. Nevertheless, it is impossible to exaggerate the significance of medical humanity in the practice of medicine. In order to maintain a human connection with their patients while utilizing AI technology to improve efficiency and accuracy, healthcare providers must establish a balance. Through the integration of AI's capabilities and the principles of medical humanity, a healthcare system that is more effective, compassionate, and equitable for all can be established.

Challenges of Maintaining a Personalized Approach in the Digital Age

As healthcare becomes increasingly digitized, the risk of depersonalization in patient interactions looms large. Balancing the benefits of technology with the humanistic values of care delivery requires healthcare providers to prioritize empathetic communication, active listening, and individualized treatment plans that recognize the unique needs and preferences of each patient (22). In a world driven by data and algorithms, preserving the

human touch in healthcare remains essential for upholding the principles of medical humanity.

Every aspect of our lives—communication, shopping, employment, and education—has been transformed by the digital age. In numerous spheres of our existence, personalized approaches have grown in popularity alongside the advancement of technology. The advent of the digital age has enabled businesses and educators to provide unprecedented levels of customization, from personalized advertising to integrated learning experiences (23). Nevertheless, although personalized approaches may present numerous advantages, they also entail certain obstacles that require attention.

Privacy concerns are one of the primary obstacles that personalized approaches face in the digital age (24). The accumulation and analysis of an enormous volume of data for the purpose of customizing experiences poses a potential hazard of sensitive data being compromised or leaked. Many people are hesitant to disclose confidential information online, particularly when they do not know how their information will be utilized. In order to maintain confidence and adhere to regulations, businesses and institutions must therefore give precedence to the protection of personal information in terms of both privacy and security.

An additional obstacle posed by personalized strategies in the era of digitalization is the possibility of prejudice and discrimination. While personalization algorithms and data analysis tools may inadvertently reinforce stereotypes or exhibit preferential treatment towards specific individuals, they may do so undetected (25). This may result in disparities in treatment or opportunities on the basis of socioeconomic status, ethnicity, or gender. Constant monitoring and evaluation of personalized approaches is imperative for organizations to mitigate bias and guarantee impartiality for all users.

Personalization has the potential to result in filter bubbles and information inundation. The proliferation of online content has the potential to restrict individuals' access to novel ideas and diverse perspectives, thereby fostering echo chambers in which users are exclusively presented with information that confirms their preexisting convictions (26). This has the potential to impede critical thinking and decision-making processes, in addition to hindering collaboration and innovation. It is essential to strike a balance between customization and fortuitous occurrences in order to offer users a comprehensive and enlightening experience.

Furthermore, the implementation of personalized experiences in the era of digitalization may present obstacles concerning cost-effectiveness and scalability. Such approaches can be difficult for smaller businesses or organizations with limited budgets to implement due to the substantial resources and expertise required developing and maintaining personalized systems and services. Companies must prioritize the scalability and long-term viability of personalized strategies in order to guarantee that they remain accessible and advantageous to a diverse user base.

Strategies for Restoring Medical Humanity

Promoting Patient-Centered Care Models

A healthcare model known as patient-centered care places emphasis on the unique requirements and preferences of every individual patient (27). It emphasizes involving patients in care planning and decision-making while treating them with compassion, respect, and dignity. This model acknowledges the individuality of each patient and the potential existence of distinct cultural, social, and emotional requirements that necessitate attention in the delivery of care of superior quality. The purpose of patient-centered care models is to improve outcomes and the overall patient experience by shifting the focus of healthcare from disease-centered to patient-centered.

An essential element of patient-centered care is the recognition of the value placed on establishing solid rapport between patients and nurses (28). This includes actively attending to patients, comprehending their concerns and preferences, and incorporating their input into care decision-making. Healthcare providers can deliver more effective and individualized care by establishing trust and conversing openly, which enables them to better comprehend and attend to the unique requirements of each patient. Additionally, this strategy fosters a sense of patient empowerment and active participation in their healthcare, potentially leading to improved outcomes and an enhanced patient experience as a whole.

An additional critical element of patient-centered care models is the acknowledgment of the medical humanities' significance within the healthcare domain. Medical humanities are a multidisciplinary discipline that investigates the cultural, social, and emotional dimensions of healthcare as well as other humanistic aspects of medicine (29, 30). A more holistic and compassionate approach to patient care can result from the integration of medical humanities into patient-centered care models, which enable healthcare providers to better comprehend and attend to the specific requirements and preferences of every individual.

Furthermore, the integration of medical humanities into patient-centered care models fosters the development of heightened empathy and compassion among healthcare providers towards their patients (31). By investigating the humanistic dimensions of medicine, practitioners acquire a more profound comprehension of the cultural and social milieus in which patients exist, in addition to the personal journeys they have undergone. This can facilitate healthcare providers in perceiving patients as complete beings, transcending their diagnosis or symptoms, thereby fostering the delivery of more individualized and empathetic treatment.

In general, the integration of medical humanities into patient-centered care models holds promise for a paradigm shift in the healthcare industry—through enhanced patient experiences, improved outcomes, and the cultivation of greater compassion and empathy among healthcare professionals. By recognizing the significance of the humanistic aspects of medicine and focusing on the unique needs and preferences of each patient, healthcare providers can deliver care that is more dignified, respectful, and personalized, thereby better meeting the patients' requirements. Patient-centered care models can contribute to the enhancement of healthcare quality and the promotion of patient satisfaction and well-being by means of this approach.

Training Programs to Enhance Empathy and Communication Skills

Active listening is a critical component of training in empathy and communication (32). This entails maintaining attentiveness to the patient's discourse without interrupting or passing judgment, while also demonstrating genuine involvement in the dialogue. By actively listening, medical professionals can gain a deeper understanding of the concerns and requirements of their patients, which can result in more effective treatment and improved outcomes.

An additional critical element of empathy and communication training entails mastering the skill of lucidly and empathetically conveying information to patients (33). This requires the use of simple language, the avoidance of medical jargon, and the provision of comprehensive responses to any inquiries posed by the patient (34). Healthcare professionals can cultivate a sense of collaboration and establish trust with patients through the practice of open and honest communication throughout the treatment process.

Cultural competence is an essential element of medical humanity communication and empathy training (35). It is imperative that healthcare providers demonstrate awareness of and respect for the varied beliefs, values, and practices that their patients hold. Healthcare practitioners can foster stronger patient relationships and deliver individualized care by recognizing and comprehending cultural distinctions.

Communication and empathy training may also incorporate role-playing scenarios in which medical professionals hone their ability to respond with understanding and empathy to challenging situations (36). Healthcare providers can cultivate the necessary abilities and self-assurance to handle emotionally charged encounters with patients in a compassionate and efficient fashion by engaging in challenging interaction simulations.

Communication and empathy training are critical components in fostering medical humanity among healthcare professionals. Healthcare providers can develop the necessary abilities and comprehension to deliver optimal patient care through the implementation of simulated scenarios that exercise empathy, cultural competency, active listening, and effective information transmission. In light of the fact that these abilities can ultimately aid in fostering patient confidence, facilitating recovery,

and enhancing results, empathy and communication instruction are essential elements of medical education.

Conclusion

A humanistic healthcare system places the utmost importance on the well-being and unique requirements of each patient. This approach prioritizes the dignity, autonomy, and intrinsic worth of the patient over an exclusive fixation on medical interventions and processes. Healthcare providers take the time to attend to patients, comprehend their fears and concerns, and include them in the decision-making process regarding their own care in a more humanistic healthcare system. This ultimately results in a healthcare delivery approach that is characterized by compassion, empathy, and personalization.

Preserving trust and fostering transparent communication between healthcare providers and patients is an integral element of a humanistic healthcare system. Patients' sense of safety and support throughout their healthcare journey is more likely to be enhanced when they perceive that their healthcare team listens to, values, and respects them. Enhanced patient outcomes, heightened patient satisfaction, and ultimately, a more robust patient-provider relationship may result from this. Furthermore, promoting a humanistic paradigm within the healthcare sector may result in decreased occurrences of medical errors, enhanced compliance with treatment regimens, and an overall improvement in the standard of care provided.

Transitioning towards a healthcare system that is more humanistic necessitates a mental and behavioral change on the part of every stakeholder in the healthcare sector. It is essential that healthcare providers are motivated to incorporate patient-centered care, empathy, and compassion into their routine interactions with individuals. Establishing systems and policies that encourage patient autonomy and empowerment, in addition to investing in training programs that promote a more humanistic approach to care, should be the focus of hospitals and healthcare organizations. By adopting humanistic principles into the healthcare sector, a more efficacious, considerate, and compassionate system can be established—one that genuinely respects and safeguards the dignity and well-being of every patient. ■

References

1. Biel M, Grondys K, Androniceanu AM. A crisis in the health system and quality of healthcare in economically developed countries. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2022; 20(1):469. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph20010469>
2. Aguirre RR, Suarez O, Fuentes M, Sanchez-Gonzalez MA. Electronic health record implementation: A review of resources and tools. *Cureus* 2019; 11(9):e5649. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.5649>
3. Institute of Medicine (US) Committee on Employment-Based Health Benefits; Field MJ, Shapiro HT, editors. *Employment and Health Benefits: A Connection at Risk*. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 1993. 6, Health Care Costs: More Questions than Answers. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK235994/>
4. Elrod JK, Fortenberry JL Jr. Centers of excellence in

- healthcare institutions: What they are and how to assemble them. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2017; 17(Suppl 1):425. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-017-2340-y>
5. Ray A, Pathak-Ray V. Withering trust: Redefining the doctor patient relationship. *Indian J Ophthalmol* 2018; 66(11):1529-1530. DOI: https://doi.org/10.4103/ijjo.IJO_821_18
 6. Kels CG. Censorship of the patient-physician relationship. *JAMA* 2023; 330(11):1100. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2023.13168>
 7. Sawicki NN. Censorship of the Patient-Physician Relationship-Reply. *JAMA* 2023; 330(11):1100-1101. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2023.13171>
 8. Melhado EM. Health planning in the United States and the decline of public-interest policymaking. *Milbank Q* 2006; 84(2):359-440. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0009.2006.00451.x>
 9. Rodziewicz TL, Houseman B, Hipskind JE. Medical error reduction and prevention. [Updated 2023 May 2]. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024 Jan-. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK499956/>
 10. Aïmeur E, Amri S, Brassard G. Fake news, disinformation and misinformation in social media: A review. *Soc Netw Anal Min* 2023; 13(1):30. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13278-023-01028-5>
 11. Handtke O, Schilgen B, Mösko M. Culturally competent healthcare - A scoping review of strategies implemented in healthcare organizations and a model of culturally competent healthcare provision. *PLoS One* 2019; 14(7):e0219971. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0219971>
 12. Brach C, Fraser I. Reducing disparities through culturally competent health care: An analysis of the business case. *Qual Manag Health Care* 2002; 10(4):15-28. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1097/00019514-200210040-00005>
 13. De Hert S. Burnout in healthcare workers: Prevalence, impact and preventative strategies. *Local Reg Anesth* 2020; 13:171-183. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2147/LRA.S240564>
 14. Čartolovni A, Stolt M, Scott PA, Suhonen R. Moral injury in healthcare professionals: A scoping review and discussion. *Nurs Ethics* 2021; 28(5):590-602. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/0969733020966776>
 15. Maunder RG, Heeney ND, Greenberg RA, Jeffs LP, Wiesenfeld LA, Johnstone J, Hunter JJ. The relationship between moral distress, burnout, and considering leaving a hospital job during the COVID-19 pandemic: A longitudinal survey. *BMC Nurs* 2023; 22(1):243. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12912-023-01407-5>
 16. Basu K, Sinha R, Ong A, Basu T. Artificial intelligence: How is it changing medical sciences and its future? *Indian J Dermatol* 2020; 65(5):365-370. DOI: https://doi.org/10.4103/ijid.IJD_421_20
 17. Bohr A, Memarzadeh K. The rise of artificial intelligence in healthcare applications. *Art Intell Healthc* 2020; 2020:25-60. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-818438-7.00002-2>
 18. Pinto-Coelho L. How artificial intelligence is shaping medical imaging technology: A survey of innovations and applications. *Bioengineering (Basel)* 2023; 10(12):1435. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/bioengineering10121435>
 19. Yew GCK. Trust in and ethical design of Carebots: the case for ethics of care. *Int J Soc Robot* 2021; 13(4):629-645. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12369-020-00653-w>
 20. Mennella C, Maniscalco U, De Pietro G, Esposito M. Ethical and regulatory challenges of AI technologies in healthcare: A narrative review. *Heliyon* 2024; 10(4):e26297. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e26297>
 21. Green BL, Murphy A, Robinson E. Accelerating health disparities research with artificial intelligence. *Front Digit Health* 2024; 6:1330160. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fdgth.2024.1330160>
 22. Kwame A, Petrucka PM. A literature-based study of patient-centered care and communication in nurse-patient interactions: Barriers, facilitators, and the way forward. *BMC Nurs* 2021; 20(1):158. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12912-021-00684-2>
 23. Grassini S. Shaping the future of education: Exploring the potential and consequences of AI and ChatGPT in educational settings. *Educ Sci* 2023; 13(7):692. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13070692>
 24. Grande D, Luna Marti X, Feuerstein-Simon R, Merchant RM, Asch DA, Lewson A, Cannuscio CC. Health policy and privacy challenges associated with digital technology. *JAMA Netw Open* 2020; 3(7):e208285. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.8285>
 25. Peters U. Algorithmic political bias in artificial intelligence systems. *Philos Technol* 2022; 35(2):25. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13347-022-00512-8>
 26. Modgil S, Singh RK, Gupta S, Dennehy D. A confirmation bias view on social media induced polarisation during Covid-19. *Inf Syst Front* 2021; 2021:1-25. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10796-021-10222-9>
 27. Edgman-Levitan S, Schoenbaum SC. Patient-centered care: Achieving higher quality by designing care through the patient's eyes. *Isr J Health Policy Res* 2021; 10(1):21. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13584-021-00459-9>
 28. Greene SM, Tuzzio L, Cherkin D. A framework for making patient-centered care front and center. *Perm J* 2012; 16(3):49-53. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.7812/TPP/12-025>
 29. Batistatou A, Doulis EA, Tiniakos D, Anogiannaki A, Charalabopoulos K. The introduction of medical humanities in the undergraduate curriculum of Greek medical schools: Challenge and necessity. *Hippokratia* 2010; 14(4):241-243.
 30. Mi M, Wu L, Zhang Y, Wu W. Integration of arts and humanities in medicine to develop well-rounded physicians: The roles of health sciences librarians. *J Med Libr Assoc* 2022; 110(2):247-252. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5195/jmla.2022.1368>
 31. Moudatsou M, Stavropoulou A, Philalithis A, Koukoulis

- S. The role of empathy in health and social care professionals. *Healthcare (Basel)* 2020; 8(1):26. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare8010026>
32. Jahromi VK, Tabatabaee SS, Abdar ZE, Rajabi M. Active listening: The key of successful communication in hospital managers. *Electron Physician* 2016; 8(3):2123-2128. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.19082/2123>
33. Kahriman I, Nural N, Arslan U, Topbas M, Can G, Kasim S. The effect of empathy training on the empathic skills of nurses. *Iran Red Crescent Med J* 2016; 18(6):e24847. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5812/ircmj.24847>
34. Hardee JT. An overview of empathy. *Perm J* 2003; 7(4):51-54.
35. Rukadikar C, Mali S, Bajpai R, Rukadikar A, Singh AK. A review on cultural competency in medical education. *J Family Med Prim Care* 2022; 11(8):4319-4329. DOI: https://doi.org/10.4103/jfmprc.jfmprc_2503_21
36. Lajante M, Del Prete M, Sasseville B, Rouleau G, Gagnon MP, Pelletier N. Empathy training for service employees: A mixed-methods systematic review. *PLoS One* 2023; 18(8):e0289793. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0289793>

Received: February 16, 2024 | Revised: March 03, 2024 | Accepted: March 07, 2024
