Review

Public Health

Medical Discrimination
A Hidden and Non-Neglectable Issue

Lawrence Mason*

The Ohio State University, 281 W Lane Ave, Columbus, OH 43210, USA

*: All correspondence should be sent to: Dr. Lawrence Mason.
Author's Contact: Lawrence Mason, Ph.D., E-mail: lmason2@gmail.com
DOI: https://doi.org/10.15354/si.24.re963
Funding: No funding source declared.
COI: The author declares no competing interest.
AI Declaration: The author affirms that artificial intelligence did not contribute to the process of preparing the work.

Medical discrimination is a pervasive issue that often goes unnoticed or unaddressed in the healthcare system. It occurs when individuals receive differential treatment based on characteristics such as race, gender, sexual orientation, age, or socioeconomic status. This type of discrimination can have serious consequences for patients, including delayed or inadequate care, misdiagnosis, and even harm to their physical and mental health. The impacts of medical discrimination are far-reaching and systemic, perpetuating disparities in health outcomes among marginalized populations. To address this issue effectively, it is crucial for healthcare providers to undergo training on cultural competency and unconscious bias recognition. Additionally, policies must be implemented at both the institutional and governmental levels to ensure equitable access to care for all patients. Ignoring medical discrimination only perpetuates inequalities in the healthcare system and exacerbates disparities in health outcomes.

Keywords: Medical Care; Discrimination; Equality; Bias; Causal Relationship

Science Insights, 2024 April 30; Vol. 44, No. 4, pp.1327-1339.

Introduction

MEDICAL DISCRIMINATION is an omnipresent and frequently disregarded concern that impacts a substantial number of people in our society (1, 2). This type of prejudice manifests itself when individuals are denied medical care or subjected to unjust treatment on the basis of socioeconomic status, ethnicity, gender, sexual orientation, or gender identity. The repercussions of discrimination within the healthcare system are severe; affected individuals may experience delayed diagnoses, insufficient treatment, and ultimately, worsening health outcomes.

A minority population's disproportionate dearth of access to high-quality healthcare is an instance of medical discrimination. Research has indicated that in comparison to whites, African Americans, Latinos, and other minority groups have a higher probability of receiving substandard healthcare (3). This may result in reduced treatment options, longer wait periods, and less comprehensive examinations, among other consequences. Con-

sequently, there is an increased susceptibility among minority populations to develop chronic ailments, which in turn leads to inferior health outcomes.

An additional facet of medical discrimination pertains to the stigmatization and prejudice encountered by people who have mental health disorders. Despite progress in the comprehension and management of mental disorders, a considerable number of people continue to encounter bias and discrimination in the healthcare system (4). Such circumstances may result in inaccurate diagnoses, insufficient access to essential mental health services, and unsuitable treatment. It is of the utmost importance that healthcare providers acknowledge and eradicate these prejudices so that all individuals, irrespective of mental health status, may receive equitable and efficacious treatment.

Historical Context and Impact on Healthcare

Historical Roots of Medical Discrimination

The tumultuous and protracted history of medical discrimination spans several centuries (5). Because of this discrimination, marginalized and vulnerable populations have been disproportionately affected, making it more difficult for those who require quality healthcare to access it. It is critical to comprehend the historical origins of medical discrimination so that these disparities in healthcare can be addressed and combated.

An early example of medical discrimination that can be historically identified is the implementation of eugenics during the early 20th century (6). Eugenics, an unfounded scientific theory, advocated for the enhancement of the human race via coerced sterilization and selective reproduction of individuals considered "undesirable." The aforementioned prejudiced ideology resulted in the deliberate persecution of marginalized groups, including people of color, those with disabilities, and those considered "mentally unfit," thereby propagating enduringly detrimental stereotypes and biases (7).

Medical discrimination is still significantly impacted by the enduring legacy of eugenics, particularly in the manner in which specific populations are handled within the healthcare system. Studies have shown, for instance, that compared to their white counterparts, people of color are more likely to receive substandard treatment, which results in poorer health outcomes and higher rates of chronic illness (8). This systemic bias in healthcare sustains the cycle of medical discrimination and disparities in access to care.

A further historical origin of medical discrimination is evident within the domain of psychiatry, where stigmatization and pathologization of marginalized groups on the basis of their race, gender, or sexual orientation has occurred frequently. The diagnosis of "drapetomania," which allegedly induced enslaved individuals to escape captivity due to a mental illness, exemplifies how medical professionals have exploited their power to subjugate and control particular populations (9).

The influence of bioethics on medical discrimination has been substantial, as evidenced by the Tuskegee Syphilis Study, which involved the intentional withholding of syphilis treatment from African American men without their consent or knowledge (10). In medical research, this unethical experimentation dehumanizes and exploits marginalized communities, underscoring the need for increased oversight and accountability in healthcare practices.

The AIDS pandemic that ravaged the medical field during the 1980s and 1990s brought to light entrenched prejudices and discrimination against LGBTQ+ individuals and those living with HIV/AIDS (11). Inadequate care and support for those afflicted resulted from the stigma associated with the disease, demonstrating how discrimination and prejudice continue to affect marginalized groups within the healthcare system.

By recognizing and confronting the historical underpinnings of medical discrimination, we can foster a healthcare system that is more inclusive and equitable for every individual. Through an examination of the systemic biases and inequities that have influenced healthcare practices, it is possible to strive towards deconstructing these obstacles and guaranteeing equitable access to compassionate, high-quality care for all individuals, irrespective of socioeconomic standing, race, ethnicity, gender, or ethnic origin (12).

Medical discrimination has intricated and extensive historical origins, dating back centuries and comprising of discriminatory ideologies and practices that have subjugated and marginalized vulnerable communities. We can work toward a more just and equitable healthcare system that prioritizes the health and well-being of every individual, irrespective of their origin or identity, by confronting and learning from this history. The ongoing ubiquitous inequalities that afflict the healthcare system can only be confronted and transformed via education, advocacy, and collective action.

Impact on Healthcare Access and Quality

Medical discrimination can significantly impair the quality of care and access to healthcare for affected individuals. Unfortunately, medical discrimination continues to affect marginalized communities throughout the United States as a pervasive problem.

Variations in health insurance coverage constitute a significant manner in which medical discrimination impacts access to healthcare. Research has indicated that minority populations exhibit a higher prevalence of uninsured or underinsured status in comparison to the white population (13, 14). Insufficient insurance coverage may give rise to diminished preventive care rates and postponed treatment for existing conditions, ultimately culminating in unfavorable health outcomes (15).

Medical discrimination can take the form of differential treatment by healthcare providers in addition to disparities in insurance coverage, an instance of this disparity in medication adherence between black and white patients, despite exhibiting comparable symptoms (16). Patients may endure unwarranted suffering and insufficient pain management as a consequence of this disparity in treatment.

Additionally, medical discrimination may have an effect on the standard of treatment that patients receive. Prejudice among healthcare providers can result in diagnostic errors and substandard care for minority patients (17). Such repercussions may significantly impair patient outcomes and further widen health disparities prevalent in these communities.

Socioeconomic status is a major contributor to medical discrimination. Less affluent individuals frequently encounter

obstacles impeding their ability to obtain healthcare services, including financial constraints, inadequate childcare, or transportation difficulties (18). Due to the potential for delayed or deficient care, these obstacles may increase the likelihood that these individuals will experience adverse health outcomes.

Medical discrimination can be even more severely compounded by language and cultural barriers. Individuals with inadequate English language skills or a lack of familiarity with the healthcare system may encounter challenges in navigating the system and obtaining the necessary medical attention (19). Misunderstandings between patients and providers may ensue as a consequence, leading to treatment outcomes that fall short of perfection.

A multifaceted strategy is required to combat medical discrimination, including policy modifications, provider education, and increased awareness among healthcare professionals. In order to eradicate healthcare disparities, it is crucial that all individuals, irrespective of socioeconomic status or personal history, be granted equitable access to care. By addressing medical discrimination, we can strive for a healthcare system that is genuinely inclusive and guarantees superior care for every individual.

Forms and Manifestations of Medical Discrimination

Implicit Bias in Healthcare Settings

Implicit bias, alternatively referred to as unconscious bias, pertains to the prejudices or preconceived notions that inadvertently influence our cognition, behavior, and judgment (20). Pervasive in healthcare contexts, implicit bias can have severe consequences for patient care and outcomes. Implicit bias pertains to the prejudices or preconceived notions that unconsciously influence our cognition, behavior, and judgment. The interactions between healthcare professionals and patients may be impacted by these biases, resulting in treatment and outcome disparities for marginalized populations.

A manifestation of implicit bias in healthcare environments is the practice of administering differential care on the basis of race or ethnicity. Research has indicated that individuals of color face a higher probability of receiving substandard healthcare in comparison to their white counterparts, even when socioeconomic status and insurance coverage are accounted for (5). As a consequence, minority patients may experience delayed diagnoses, inappropriate treatments, and ultimately inferior health outcomes.

Further, communicated bias among healthcare providers and patients can have an effect. Healthcare professionals may inadvertently demonstrate less empathy and spend less time with patients of a different race or ethnicity (21). This phenomenon has the potential to impede the development of trust between healthcare providers and their patients, ultimately resulting in reduced levels of patient satisfaction and adherence to prescribed treatments.

An additional manner by which healthcare environments may be impacted by implicit bias is via the maintenance of stereotypes concerning particular groups. One instance of unintentional bias among healthcare personnel is the presumption that patients from low-income backgrounds possess inferior educational attainment or treatment adherence capabilities (22). Disparity in treatment and substandard care may result when patients come from disadvantaged backgrounds.

To effectively confront implicit bias in healthcare environments, it is critical that providers engage in self-reflection and introspection to recognize and combat their own prejudices. Additionally, awareness-raising training programs regarding the effects of implicit bias on patient care can be implemented. By promoting cultural competency and cultivating an inclusive culture among healthcare professionals, it is possible to reduce healthcare disparities and guarantee equitable and high-quality care for all patients, irrespective of their socioeconomic status, race, or ethnic background.

Denial of Treatment or Services

Denial of services or treatment is a grave problem that impacts a great number of people worldwide. Lack of insurance coverage, discrimination, insufficient resources, or the personal beliefs of healthcare providers are all potential causes. Denial of treatment or services can be extremely detrimental to those in need, regardless of the underlying cause.

A primary factor contributing to the refusal of treatment or services is inadequate insurance coverage. In nations where healthcare access is not universal, uninsured individuals who are unable to pay for services may be denied essential medical attention (23). Individuals who are incapable of affording treatment may potentially perish from severe health complications.

An additional factor contributing to the denial of treatment or services is discrimination (24). Based on their disability, race, ethnicity, gender, or sexual orientation, some people may not receive medical care. In many nations, this form of discrimination is not only unethical but also prohibited. Nevertheless, discrimination persists and significantly affects the welfare of those who are subjected to it.

Another frequent reason cited for the denial of treatment or services is a scarcity of resources (25). There are regions where healthcare facilities may be deficient in the apparatus, personnel, or medications required to deliver sufficient patient care. This may lead to the exclusion of patients or a reduction in the quality of care required for their recovery.

Moreover, healthcare providers' personal convictions may factor into the denial of services or treatment (26). A number of medical professionals may decline to administer particular procedures or treatments on the grounds of their religious or ethical convictions (27). Although healthcare providers may conscientiously decline certain procedures, it is also their duty to recommend alternative providers who are prepared to provide the necessary treatment to patients.

Disparities in Diagnosis and Treatment Plans

A prevalent problem in healthcare, disparities in diagnosis and treatment strategies can have severe repercussions for patients. In addition to access to healthcare services, race, ethnicity, gender, and socioeconomic status may all contribute to these disparities. Certain populations may be deprived of the necessary treatment or care to address their health concerns if they are disregarded or misdiagnosed.

A prevalent inequity in the field of diagnosis is the inadequate representation of minority populations in clinical research and studies (28). Due to this dearth of diversity in research, misdiagnoses and ineffective treatments may ensue as a consequence of the restricted understanding of how particular diseases present themselves in various populations. Certain diseases, including diabetes and cardiovascular disease, may manifest differently in women and people of color compared to white men, according to studies. Insufficient knowledge and research in this area could potentially impede the ability of medical professionals to diagnose and treat patients from these populations with precision.

Discrepancies in diagnosis may arise not only from inadequate representation in research but also due to the presence of implicit bias among healthcare providers (29). Research has indicated that healthcare providers might possess latent biases that impact the process of diagnosing patients (30). This may result in the overdiagnosis or underdiagnosis of specific populations, leading to the development of inappropriate treatment plans. An instance of this is the historical underdiagnosis and undertreatment of heart disease in women, despite the fact that the manifestation of symptoms may differ from that of males.

Inequities in treatment plans may also manifest when patients lack equal access to high-quality healthcare services. A patient's course of treatment may be substantially influenced by socioeconomic factors, including but not limited to income and insurance coverage (31). Delays in diagnoses and ineffectual treatment plans may ensue for those who lack access to preventative care or specialists due to inadequate insurance coverage or lower incomes. In the absence of adequate healthcare access, it is probable that inequities in diagnosis and treatment will endure.

Furthermore, barriers to communication between patients and providers and cultural beliefs may also contribute to disparities in treatment plans. Cultural diversity among patients may give rise to distinct perspectives regarding health and illness, potentially influencing their approaches to seeking and obtaining medical care (32). Deficiencies in diagnosis and treatment may be exacerbated by factors such as limited access to interpreters, language barriers, and a deficiency in cultural competence among healthcare providers.

A multifaceted approach is required to address disparities in diagnosis and treatment plans, including enhanced access to care for underserved populations, increased diversity in research, and cultural competence training for healthcare providers. Providers of healthcare must strive to provide equitable care for all patients, irrespective of race, ethnicity, socioeconomic status, or gender, and must be conscious of their own biases. By acknowledging and rectifying these inequities, we can guarantee that every patient is provided with precise diagnoses and suitable therapeutic strategies, thereby enhancing their overall health and welfare.

Intersectionality and Vulnerable Populations

Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Healthcare

In the United States, racial and ethnic disparities in healthcare have long been a significant issue (3). Disparities in health outcomes, access to healthcare services, and quality of care among individuals of various racial and ethnic backgrounds constitute these issues. Consistently, research has demonstrated that in comparison to whites, minorities (especially African Americans, Hispanics, and Native Americans) encounter greater obstacles in obtaining timely and high-quality healthcare.

The absence of health insurance coverage is a significant determinant in the development of racial and ethnic disparities in healthcare. Minorities are more likely to be uninsured or underinsured, which can lead to postponed or foregone medical care. Insufficient insurance coverage discourages individuals from seeking preventive care, obtaining essential remedies, and consulting medical specialists, thereby contributing to unfavorable health outcomes (33).

An additional critical element that contributes to disparities in healthcare is the limited accessibility of healthcare resources and facilities within minority communities. It has been demonstrated that minority communities are disproportionately affected by healthcare provider, facility, and medical equipment shortages (34). This dearth of access to healthcare services may result in increased appointment wait times, restricted treatment options, and diminished care quality.

Furthermore, cultural and linguistic barriers also contribute to racial and ethnic disparities in healthcare. Minority patients may encounter communication barriers with healthcare providers and have a diminished likelihood of receiving culturally competent care. Misunderstandings, incorrect diagnoses, and general discontentment with the healthcare system may result from these obstacles, ultimately affecting health outcomes (35).

Moreover, the presence of discriminatory practices, including implicit bias and stereotyping among healthcare providers, can contribute to the exacerbation of healthcare disparities. In comparison to white patients, minority patients are more prone to receiving substandard care, encountering treatment delays, and suffering from inadequate treatment for their medical conditions (36). Biases of this nature have the potential to erode confidence in the healthcare system and impede access to expeditious and suitable medical attention.

Gender and LGBTQ+ Discrimination in Medical Settings

Gender and LGBTQ+ discrimination is a widespread concern that impacts individuals seeking healthcare on a global scale, particularly in medical contexts (37). Sexual orientation or gender identity-based discrimination can significantly impair an individual's ability to obtain necessary medical care and negatively affect their physical and mental health.

The withholding of medical care on the basis of sexual orientation or gender identity is a prevalent form of discrimination encountered by members of the LGBTQ+ community in healthcare settings (38). This can materialize in numerous ways, such as healthcare providers withholding essential treatments or medications from individuals on the basis of their LGBTQ+ identity, or overtly declining to treat them. This form of discrimination hinders individuals from receiving the care they require to manage chronic conditions or attend to acute health concerns, which can have severe health consequences.

Healthcare providers' stigmatization and maltreatment of LGBTQ+ individuals are an additional prevalent form of discrimination they encounter in medical settings (39). This may encompass disparaging remarks, unsuitable inquiries regarding their sexual orientation or gender identity, or a disregard for the dignity associated with their selected appellation or pronouns. This form of maltreatment has the potential to cause significant harm to the mental well-being of individuals and may discourage them from pursuing further medical attention.

In addition to encountering discrimination from healthcare providers, LGBTQ+ individuals frequently face barriers to accessing medical care as a result of affirming or inclusive institutional policies and practices (40). As an illustration, a considerable number of healthcare establishments lack gender-neutral or inclusive facilities, thereby impeding transgender or nonbinary individuals' ability to obtain treatment in a secure and encouraging setting. LGBTQ+ individuals may encounter obstacles in obtaining insurance coverage for transition-related care or other essential remedies in certain circumstances.

Gender and LGBTQ+ discrimination within medical environments can have far-reaching and significant repercussions. Research has indicated that individuals who experience discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation or gender identity are more likely to postpone or abstain from seeking medical attention, which contributes to unfavorable health outcomes and an increased susceptibility to chronic ailments (41). Moreover, the psychological toll and social disapproval associated with encountering discrimination in healthcare environments may contribute to elevated prevalence rates of depression, anxiety, and various other mental health conditions.

In order to combat gender and LGBTQ+ discrimination in medical settings, a multifaceted strategy is required, including policy reforms, healthcare provider education and training, and advocacy for the rights of LGBTQ+ individuals. It is imperative for healthcare facilities to adopt policies that foster inclusivity for people of all sexual orientations and gender identities, and to educate their personnel on how to deliver respectful and reassuring treatment to LGBTQ+ patients. Moreover, it is imperative to establish advocacy initiatives that safeguard LGBTQ+ individuals from discrimination in healthcare environments through legal means, while also guaranteeing that all individuals require necessary medical attention without apprehension of being judged or mistreated.

Disability and Mental Health Stigma in Healthcare

The persistence of stigma surrounding mental health and disability in the healthcare system continues to hinder the provision of high-quality care and treatment for those who are confronted with these obstacles. Stigma pertains to adverse perceptions, preconceived notions, and generalizations that hinder the progress and cause discrimination against people who have mental health conditions or disabilities. Regrettably, these stigmas frequently manifest in healthcare environments, where patients and staff members seek advice, assistance, and medical interventions.

A major contributor to the stigma associated with mental health and disability in the healthcare system is the inadequate education and awareness of healthcare professionals (42). A significant number of healthcare professionals may have been inadequately trained in providing effective care for patients with mental health conditions or disabilities. Such situations may result in misinterpretation, prejudice, and insufficient care for these people, thereby intensifying the social disapproval they encounter in healthcare environments. Stigmatization further impedes individuals from seeking assistance and support for mental health conditions or disabilities (43). Healthcare seekers may be deterred from pursuing timely and appropriate care due to concerns of being judged, discriminated against, or untruthfully treated. Failure to receive necessary support may lead to individuals experiencing deteriorated health outcomes and increased suffering.

Furthermore, healthcare providers' treatment of individuals may be influenced by the stigma associated with mental health and disabilities (44). This may materialize in the form of indifference, maltreatment, or even dismissal towards people with disabilities or mental health conditions. These kinds of conduct may exacerbate the difficulties that these people already encounter when attempting to obtain and receiving high-quality healthcare.

It is crucial that healthcare professionals receive training on how to tender effective and compassionate care to individuals with disabilities and mental health conditions in order to combat the stigma associated with these conditions in the healthcare system. Furthermore, enhancing healthcare providers' knowledge and comprehension of disabilities and mental health can facilitate the dismantling of stigma-promoting stereotypes and prejudices. By cultivating an atmosphere that promotes dignity, compassion, and inclusiveness, healthcare facilities can be transformed into more welcoming and supportive environments for people who have mental health conditions and disabilities.

Consequences of Medical Discrimination

Health Outcomes and Disparities

Medical discrimination encompasses inequitable treatment and unequal access to healthcare services on the basis of socioeconomic status, race, ethnicity, gender, age, or age. Particularly for marginalized or underserved populations, the health outcomes may be adversely affected by this type of discrimination. For those who are subjected to unjust treatment within the healthcare system, medical discrimination has been linked to disparities in health outcomes, including higher rates of chronic diseases, shorter life expectancy, and overall poor health outcomes (Research).

Obstacles to obtaining high-quality healthcare services constitute a significant pathophysiological effect of medical discrimination. Discriminated-against individuals may encounter difficulties obtaining prompt medical attention, precise diagnoses, and suitable treatment for their ailments (45). These consequences may include postponed or insufficient provision of healthcare, which may exacerbate health issues and heighten the likelihood of complications for individuals who are predisposed to such conditions by virtue of their marginalized status.

Paradoxically, medical discrimination may also engender

inequities in screening and preventive care services. Discrimination in healthcare settings may result in decreased access to preventive care measures and routine examinations, which are critical for the timely identification and effective management of diseases (46). Individuals who are subjected to medical discrimination may experience worsening health outcomes and accelerated disease progression due to their lack of access to preventatories.

Moreover, adverse mental health outcomes may result from medical discrimination against patients who are subjected to unjust treatment in healthcare settings. Discrimination in the healthcare industry has been linked to stress, anxiety, melancholy, and a lack of confidence in institutions and providers (47). The aforementioned adverse mental health consequences have the potential to worsen disparities in physical health and have a significant impact on the well-being of marginalized or underserved populations.

It is critical to address medical discrimination and advance equitable healthcare practices in order to reduce healthcare disparities and improve health outcomes. It is necessary to educate healthcare institutions and providers on how to identify and combat discrimination and bias in healthcare settings. Inclusive practices and culturally competent care are essential for guaranteeing equitable access to healthcare services and meeting the health requirements of all individuals.

Patient Trust and Provider Relationships

Patient trust is of paramount importance in the provider-patient relationship, serving as the bedrock that underpins favorable healthcare outcomes. Patients are inclined to comply with treatment regimens, disclose confidential data, and actively participate in their own medical care when they have confidence in their healthcare providers (48). However, instances of medical discrimination in which patients are treated unfairly or with disdain on the basis of their ethnicity, gender, sexual orientation, or other characteristics frequently erode this confidence. The effects of medical discrimination on patient confidence and the provider-patient relationship will be examined in this paper.

In addition to overt acts of racism, medical discrimination can also manifest as implicit biases that impact treatment decisions. Irrespective of its manifestation, medical discrimination has detrimental effects on the provider-patient relationship and undermines patient confidence. Patients are less inclined to place their faith in the healthcare providers who treat them unfairly or with disrespect when they perceive such treatment (49). Patients may be less likely to adhere to treatment plans or seek necessary care when there is a deficiency of trust, which can result in negative healthcare outcomes.

Also, patients who are subjected to medical discrimination may be more inclined to abstain from seeking medical attention entirely, which can result in treatment and diagnosis delays (50). Black patients, for instance, are less likely to seek medical attention for chest pain out of concern that they will be mistreated or stereotyped, according to studies. Such reluctance to seek medical attention may result in severe ramifications, including diminished health outcomes and escalated healthcare expenditures due to treatment postponements.

Medical discrimination can have societal repercussions in addition to affecting individual patients, resulting in disparities in healthcare access and outcomes. Studies have shown, for instance, that black women have a higher mortality rate from complications related to pregnancy compared to white women (51, 52). This disparity may be partially attributed to differences in the quality of care they receive. Therefore, it is critical to address medical discrimination in order to improve healthcare outcomes for all patients and advance health equity.

In along with implementing policies and practices that foster equity and inclusivity, healthcare providers must acknowledge and confront their own biases in order to combat medical discrimination and restore patient confidence. This may encompass the provision of cultural competency training to healthcare providers, the establishment of anti-discrimination policies, and the cultivation of a welcoming and inclusive healthcare environment for all patients. Healthcare providers can strengthen the provider-patient relationship and strive to rebuild patients' trust by implementing the aforementioned measures. Ultimately, this will result in improved healthcare outcomes for all patients.

Legal and Ethical Implications

Human Rights Violations and Legal Protections

Persistent human rights violations plague societies worldwide, with discrimination constituting a prevalent manifestation of such transgressions. Medical discrimination, in which people are unjustly treated or denied access to healthcare because of their race, gender, or disability, is a common form of discrimination. Their fundamental human rights to healthcare and equal treatment are being violated. Legal safeguards are indispensable in order to avert and rectify occurrences of medical discrimination, thereby guaranteeing that every individual is able to obtain essential medical treatment devoid of any fear of prejudice.

A fundamental human right that is frequently infringed upon as a result of medical discrimination is the right to health. As a fundamental human right, the World Health Organization (WHO) acknowledges that the right to health consists of timely, affordable, and high-quality healthcare services (53). People's right to health is violated when they are denied access to medical care or receive subpar care as a result of discrimination. To prevent healthcare institutions and providers from discriminating against individuals on the basis of their personal characteristics, legal protection is vital.

Denial of treatment, refusal to provide particular services, or unequal treatment on the basis of characteristics such as race, gender, or disability is all examples of medical discrimination. For instance, members of marginalized communities, including individuals with disabilities or people of color, face a greater likelihood of encountering medical discrimination and receiving inadequate healthcare (54). To hold healthcare providers accountable for discriminatory practices and to guarantee that all individuals are treated equally and equitably in healthcare settings, legal protections are essential.

The United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (CRPD), which guarantees individuals with disabilities nondiscriminatory access to healthcare services, is an

essential legal protection against medical discrimination (55, 56). The Conference of the CRPD mandates inclusive and accessible healthcare services for all and prohibits discrimination in healthcare settings. Through ratification and implementation of the CRPD, nations can guarantee equal access to healthcare services and protection against medical discrimination for individuals with disabilities.

In conjunction with international human rights instruments, numerous nations have enacted domestic legislation and regulations that explicitly forbid medical discrimination. As an illustration, in the United States, discrimination against people with disabilities is prohibited in all spheres of public life, including healthcare, under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) (57). ADA provisions mandate that healthcare providers make reasonable accommodations for patients with disabilities and forbid discriminatory conduct in healthcare environments. Ensuring that all individuals receive equal treatment in healthcare settings and holding healthcare providers accountable for discriminatory practices require legal protections such as the ADA.

Despite the presence of legal safeguards against medical discrimination, the enforcement of these laws and the establishment of accountability for those responsible continue to present obstacles. A considerable number of people might be uninformed regarding their legal rights or encounter obstacles when attempting to obtain redress for instances of medical discrimination. Furthermore, healthcare providers might be uninformed regarding anti-discrimination legislation or might partake in discriminatory activities without incurring repercussions. It is the responsibility of legal institutions to ensure that individuals who have had their rights violated have access to legal protections and remedies and to raise awareness about medical discrimination.

Ethical Obligations of Healthcare Providers

Medical professionals have a moral and ethical duty to treat all patients, irrespective of their race, gender, sexual orientation, or any other distinguishing characteristic. In addition to contravening the Hippocratic Oath and fundamental principles of medical ethics, discrimination in the healthcare system exacerbates inequities in access to and outcomes from healthcare (58, 59). Recognizing and addressing ethical obligations to combat discrimination and ensure equitable and just healthcare for all is of the utmost importance for medical professionals.

A fundamental ethical responsibility of medical professionals is to deliver compassionate and respectful care to every patient, irrespective of their personal history or unique attributes. In healthcare, discrimination can result in substandard care and cause physical and mental damage to patients. Respect for patient autonomy, beneficence, and nonmaleficence are among the fundamental tenets of medical ethics that medical professionals must uphold to ensure that all patients receive the care and treatment they require and merit.

Also, medical discrimination sustains disparities in the availability and quality of healthcare, leading to severe repercussions for underserved and marginalized communities. Disparities in care quality and health outcomes have been linked to discrimination on the basis of race, gender, or socioeconomic status, according to studies. It is the ethical obligation of medical professionals to confront these disparities and strive for the provision of fair and impartial healthcare for every patient, irrespective of their personal history or attributes (60).

Moreover, medical discrimination violates the fundamental principles of professionalism and integrity that underpin the medical profession (61). The adherence of healthcare professionals to a code of ethics that prioritizes integrity, impartiality, and regard for the dignity and rights of patients is mandatory. Discrimination in the healthcare sector not only contravenes these fundamental values but also erodes patients' confidence and trust in their providers. To preserve the confidence and regard of their patients and the general public, medical professionals must adhere to the utmost levels of professionalism and integrity.

Additionally, healthcare providers may be subject to legal and regulatory ramifications as a result of medical discrimination. Healthcare discrimination on the basis of race, gender, sexual orientation, or other protected characteristics is prohibited by both state and federal laws. Medical practitioners who partake in discriminatory practices could potentially be subject to legal repercussions, professional sanctions, and harm to their standing and reputation within the medical community (62). To avoid legal and ethical repercussions, it is crucial that medical professionals adhere to all applicable laws and regulations and uphold the ethical standards of their field.

Furthermore, medical discrimination may negatively impact the health and well-being of the community as a whole. Marginalized populations are more likely to experience chronic illness, mental health disorders, and death due to discrimination in the healthcare system. It is the responsibility of healthcare providers to address the social determinants of health that contribute to disparities in healthcare access and outcomes and to promote the health and well-being of all patients. Medical professionals have the potential to improve the health and well-being of the entire community by battling discrimination and advocating for fair and just healthcare for all.

Strategies for Addressing and Combating Medical Discrimination

Cultural Competency Training for Healthcare Professionals

The implementation of cultural competency training is vital in the prevention of medical discrimination. It fosters in healthcare personnel a sense of appreciation and comprehension for their patients' varied cultural heritages. Healthcare providers are more adept at delivering care that is effective, respectful, and suitable for individuals of diverse cultural backgrounds when they possess cultural competence (63). By confronting implicit biases and assumptions that may contribute to discriminatory practices, this training can also promote the reduction of healthcare disparities.

A primary advantage of cultural competency training is that it facilitates the acknowledgment of personal biases and presumptions among healthcare professionals. Prosecutors can strive to eliminate these biases and deliver care that is more equitable by recognizing the ways in which their personal cul-

tural heritage and experiences may impact their interactions with patients and perceptions. African American patients were marginally more unlikely than white patients to receive pain medication (64). This disparity was partially attributable to the prejudices of healthcare providers. Training in cultural competency can prevent this from occurring and guarantee that every patient receives the necessary medical attention.

Cultural competency training can aid healthcare providers in comprehending the beliefs, values, and practices of various cultural groups, in addition to addressing biases. This comprehension is essential for establishing trust with patients and communicating effectively. Healthcare providers may acquire knowledge regarding the significance attributed to spiritual beliefs, family dynamics, and traditional healing practices within specific cultural contexts (65). By integrating this knowledge into their professional practice, healthcare providers can deliver care that is more effective, individualized, and sensitive to each patient's requirements and preferences.

Furthermore, training in cultural competency can facilitate communication between healthcare providers and patients whose primary language is not English, thereby assisting in the resolution of language barriers. By developing proficiency in utilizing interpreters efficiently and communicating with cultural sensitivity, healthcare professionals can guarantee that patients are furnished with precise information regarding their medical treatment and are afforded the opportunity to engage in decision-making procedures (66). This can aid in the reduction of misunderstandings and ensure that patients, regardless of language or culture, have access to the care they require.

Policy Reforms

Globally, medical discrimination is an enormously detrimental problem that impacts innumerable people. It occurs when healthcare providers treat individuals unjustly or unequally on the basis of their disability, race, gender, age, sexual orientation, or age. Discriminatory practices have the potential to result in inadequate healthcare provision and incorrect diagnoses, which can ultimately negatively impact patients' health and overall welfare (67). Policy reforms must be implemented to prevent medical discrimination and guarantee that all individuals are treated equally and with courtesy by healthcare providers in order to address this issue.

A fundamental policy reform that can be executed to avert medical discrimination is the integration of healthcare provider cultural competency training. Cultural competency training encompasses the provision of knowledge to healthcare professionals regarding the varied beliefs and origins of their patients, as well as the potential influence that these elements may have on their healthcare requirements (65). By imparting this training to healthcare professionals, they will be able to communicate and comprehend with patients of diverse cultural backgrounds more effectively, thereby enabling them to deliver care that is more suitable and efficient.

In order to prevent medical discrimination, the implementation of anti-discrimination laws and regulations within the healthcare system is an additional crucial policy reform. Preventing healthcare providers from engaging in discriminatory practices against patients on the basis of race, gender, age, or sexual orientation would be the intent of these laws. Patients will have greater confidence in seeking care without apprehension of discrimination, and healthcare providers will be held accountable for their conduct through the establishment of explicit guidelines and repercussions for discriminatory conduct.

Furthermore, it is critical to promote equal access to healthcare services in order to effectively combat medical discrimination. This objective can be realized by implementing policies that place health equity as a top priority and ensure that all individuals have equitable access to high-quality healthcare services. Policymakers can ensure that all individuals have access to the care they require and help reduce the disparities that contribute to medical discrimination by addressing social determinants of health such as housing, education, and poverty.

Increasing the diversity of the healthcare workforce is an additional crucial policy reform that must be implemented in order to eradicate medical discrimination. Patients are more inclined to receive culturally competent care and perceive their providers as honorable and respectful when healthcare professionals from various backgrounds are recruited and retained (68). Fostering trust between healthcare providers and patients can ultimately contribute to improved health outcomes for all individuals.

Healthcare System Changes

To mitigate medical discrimination, healthcare systems must adopt modifications that target the underlying factors contributing to this issue. An essential reform that can be implemented is the augmentation of cultural competency training for medical personnel (64). We can reduce the likelihood of discriminatory practices by providing healthcare professionals with the knowledge and abilities necessary to communicate and care for individuals from diverse backgrounds effectively.

Implementing policies that forbid healthcare providers from making decisions on the basis of personal biases or stereotypes is an additional action that can be taken to prevent medical discrimination. In addition to ensuring that all patients are treated with respect and dignity, healthcare systems can foster a culture of accountability by establishing explicit guidelines and repercussions for discriminatory conduct. Additionally, in order to more accurately represent the populations they serve, healthcare systems may strive to increase the diversity of healthcare providers (69). Healthcare systems can mitigate implicit bias and deliver care that is more culturally competent to all patients by actively seeking out and retaining a diverse workforce.

To prevent medical discrimination, it is critical to address social determinants of health in addition to implementing systemic reforms. Educational attainment and healthcare accessibility are both significantly influenced by socioeconomic factors, including income level (70). We can assist in mitigating the effects of discrimination in medical settings by addressing these disparities and enhancing healthcare access for all individuals.

In addition, healthcare systems have the capacity to enact protocols that empower patients to assert their rights and report occurrences of discrimination. Healthcare systems can establish a secure and encouraging setting for individuals who may have encountered discrimination by furnishing them with information

regarding their rights and useful contacts for lodging complaints. Furthermore, healthcare systems have the capacity to identify areas that require enhancement and trace instances of discrimination through improved data collection and monitoring (71).

Conclusion

Medical discrimination frequently goes unrecognized or untreated, yet it can have significant repercussions for people who experience it. Racial or ethnic bias in healthcare is a highly common kind of medical discrimination. Research has demonstrated that minority groups frequently experience disparities in healthcare, including receiving lower-quality care, enduring longer wait times, and undergoing fewer comprehensive examinations as compared to their white counterparts. This form of discrimination can result in incorrect diagnosis, postponed medical care, and ultimately inferior health results for individuals who experience it. For instance, African American women have a higher likelihood of mortality from breast cancer in comparison to white women, primarily due to inequities in the availability of screening and treatment.

Gender discrimination is a prevalent problem in the healthcare sector. Female individuals frequently express experiencing disregard or humiliation from medical professionals, resulting in delays in the identification of medical conditions and insufficient medical care. Conditions such as endometriosis, a persistent and agonizing gynecological disorder, are often disregarded as typical menstrual discomfort, causing numerous women to endure silently without enough medical attention or assistance. The gender-based discrepancy in medical care leads to the broader health disparities seen by women.

Medical bias is also evident in the care provided to those with impairments. A significant number of disabled patients express experiencing marginalization or neglect in healthcare environments, resulting in insufficient provision of care and assistance tailored to their specific requirements. Deaf individuals may face difficulties in communicating with healthcare practitioners who fail to offer sufficient accommodations, resulting in misunderstandings and substandard treatment. The absence of inclusion and accessibility in healthcare contributes to the continued marginalization of disabled individuals and impedes their access to adequate medical care.

Medical discrimination has wide-ranging effects that go beyond individual health outcomes. It sustains structural inequities and strengthens current power relations that put marginalized groups at a disadvantage. The inequities in healthcare access and treatment exacerbate health disparities, leading to elevated rates of chronic illness and eventually a reduced life expectancy for individuals who are most susceptible to discrimination. To tackle this widespread problem, healthcare personnel and organizations must actively strive for cultural competence, inclusivity, and equality in medical care.

Every individual is accountable for acknowledging and confronting their personal biases and prejudices that could potentially contribute to discrimination in the medical field. This includes recognizing and educating oneself about forms of discrimination in healthcare settings, such as racism, misogyny, and ableism. Through increasing their consciousness of these prejudices, individuals can strive to mitigate their influence on their interactions with patients, colleagues, and healthcare systems. This may entail actively engaging in the discourse surrounding discriminatory practices, advocating for policy reforms, and learning from the experiences of marginalized communities.

Furthermore, it is incumbent upon individuals to assume the role of advocates in protection of themselves and others who encounter discrimination within the healthcare system. This may entail disclosing occurrences of discrimination to healthcare establishments, pursuing support and alliances, and, if required, initiating legal proceedings. Individuals can contribute to the creation of a safer and more inclusive healthcare environment for all providers and patients by speaking out against medication discrimination.

In addition to individual accountability, addressing systemic discrimination in the medical field requires a collective effort. This requires community collaboration to advocate for policies and practices that advance healthcare accessibility and equality. This may encompass endorsing initiatives that foster diversity and inclusion, facilitating programs that target health disparities, and advocating for healthcare providers to receive cultural competency training. We can establish a healthcare system that is more equitable and caters to the requirements of every individual, irrespective of their origin or identity, by uniting as a community.

Individuals must acknowledge their responsibility to combat medical discrimination and take action in their communities and personal lives. Collectively opposing discriminatory practices and advocating for inclusive healthcare policies can result in the establishment of a healthcare system that is more equitable and fairer for all individuals. In essence, the effort toward a global healthcare system that is accessible, inclusive, and devoid of discrimination falls squarely on the shoulders of each individual.■

References

1. Togioka BM, Duvivier D, Young E. Diversity and Discrimination in Healthcare. [Updated 2023 Aug 14]. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024 Jan-. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK568721/
2. Nong P, Raj M, Creary M, Kardia SLR, Platt JE. Patient-reported experiences of discrimination in the US health care system. JAMA Netw Open 2020;

3(12):e2029650. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.29650

3. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine; Health and Medicine Division; Board on Population Health and Public Health Practice; Committee on Community-Based Solutions to Promote Health Equity in the United States; Baciu A, Negussie Y, Geller A, et al., editors. Communities in Action: Pathways to Health Equity. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 2017 Jan 11. 2, The State of Health Disparities in the United States. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK425844/
4. Dyrbye LN, West CP, Sinsky CA, Trockel M, Tutty M, Satele D, Carlasare L, Shanafelt T. Physicians' experiences with mistreatment and discrimination by patients, families, and visitors and association with burnout. JAMA Netw Open 2022; 5(5):e2213080. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.13080
5. Byrd WM, Clayton LA. Race, medicine, and health care in the United States: A historical survey. J Natl Med Assoc 2001; 93(3 Suppl):11S-34S.
6. Pernick MS. Eugenics and public health in American history. Am J Public Health 1997; 87(11):1767-1772. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2105/ajph.87.11.1767
7. Corrigan PW, Watson AC. Understanding the impact of stigma on people with mental illness. World Psychiatry 2002; 1(1):16-20.
8. Price JH, Khubchandani J, McKinney M, Braun R. Racial/ethnic disparities in chronic diseases of youths and access to health care in the United States. Biomed Res Int 2013; 2013:787616. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/787616
9. Opara IN, Riddle-Jones L, Allen N. Modern day drapetomania: Calling out scientific racism. J Gen Intern Med 2022; 37(1):225-226. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-021-07163-z
10. Tobin MJ. Fiftieth anniversary of uncovering the Tuskegee syphilis study: The story and timeless lessons. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2022; 205(10):1145-1158. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.202201-0136SO
11. Purcell DW. Forty Years of HIV: The intersection of laws, stigma, and sexual behavior and identity. Am J Public Health 2021; 111(7):1231-1233. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2021.306335
12. Williams DR, Rucker TD. Understanding and addressing racial disparities in health care. Health Care Financ Rev 2000; 21(4):75-90.
13. Sohn H. Racial and ethnic disparities in health insurance coverage: Dynamics of gaining and losing coverage over the life-course. Popul Res Policy Rev 2017; 36(2):181-201. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11113-016-9416-y
14. Caraballo C, Massey D, Mahajan S, Lu Y, Annapureddy AR, Roy B, Riley C, Murugiah K, Valero-Elizondo J, Onuma O, Nunez-Smith M, Forman HP, Nasir K, Herrin J, Krumholz HM. Racial and ethnic disparities in access to health care among adults in the United States: A 20-year national health interview survey analysis, 1999-2018. JAMA 2021; 326(7):637-648. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.30.20223420
15. McWilliams JM. Health consequences of uninsurance among adults in the United States: Recent evidence and implications. Milbank Q 2009; 87(2):443-494. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0009.2009.00564.x
16. McQuaid EL, Landier W. Cultural issues in medication adherence: Disparities and directions. J Gen Intern Med 2018; 33(2):200-206. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-017-4199-3
17. Hall WJ, Chapman MV, Lee KM, Merino YM, Thomas TW, Payne BK, Eng E, Day SH, Coyne-Beasley T. Implicit racial/ethnic bias among health care professionals and its influence on health care outcomes: A systematic review. Am J Public Health 2015; 105(12):e60-e76. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2015.302903
18. Andermann A; CLEAR Collaboration. Taking action on the social determinants of health in clinical practice: A framework for health professionals. CMAJ 2016; 188(17-18):E474-E483. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.160177
19. Al Shamsi H, Almutairi AG, Al Mashrafi S, Al Kalbani T. Implications of language barriers for healthcare: A systematic review. Oman Med J 2020; 35(2):e122. DOI: https://doi.org/10.5001/omj.2020.40
20. Gopal DP, Chetty U, O'Donnell P, Gajria C, Blackadder-Weinstein J. Implicit bias in healthcare: Clinical practice, research and decision making. Future Healthc J 2021; 8(1):40-48. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7861/fhj.2020-0233
21. Burgess D, van Ryn M, Dovidio J, Saha S. Reducing racial bias among health care providers: Lessons from social-cognitive psychology. J Gen Intern Med 2007; 22(6):882-887. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-007-0160-1
22. Job C, Adenipekun B, Cleves A, Samuriwo R. Health professional's implicit bias of adult patients with low socioeconomic status (SES) and its effects on clinical decision-making: A scoping review protocol. BMJ Open 2022; 12(12):e059837. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2021-059837
23. Brown LD. Comparing health systems in four countries: Lessons for the United States. Am J Public Health 2003; 93(1):52-56. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2105/ajph.93.1.52
24. D'Anna LH, Hansen M, Mull B, Canjura C, Lee E, Sumstine S. Social discrimination and health care: A multidimensional framework of experiences among a low-income multiethnic sample. Soc Work Public Health. 2018;33(3):187-201. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/19371918.2018.1434584
25. Rawlings A, Brandt L, Ferreres A, Asbun H, Shadduck P. Ethical considerations for allocation of scarce resources and alterations in surgical care during a pandemic. Surg Endosc 2021; 35(5):2217-2222. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-020-07629-x
26. Genuis SJ, Lipp C. Ethical diversity and the role of conscience in clinical medicine. Int J Family Med

2013; 2013:587541. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/587541

27. Rouse ST. Professional autonomy in medicine: Defending the right of conscience in health care beyond the right to religious freedom. Linacre Q 2012; 79(2):155-168. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1179/002436312803571393
28. Hamel LM, Penner LA, Albrecht TL, Heath E, Gwede CK, Eggly S. Barriers to clinical trial enrollment in racial and ethnic minority patients with cancer. Cancer Control 2016; 23(4):327-337. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1177/107327481602300404
29. FitzGerald C, Hurst S. Implicit bias in healthcare professionals: A systematic review. BMC Med Ethics 2017; 18(1):19. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12910-017-0179-8
30. Cooper LA, Roter DL, Carson KA, Beach MC, Sabin JA, Greenwald AG, Inui TS. The associations of clinicians' implicit attitudes about race with medical visit communication and patient ratings of interpersonal care. Am J Public Health 2012; 102(5):979-987. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2011.300558
31. Bernheim SM, Ross JS, Krumholz HM, Bradley EH. Influence of patients' socioeconomic status on clinical management decisions: A qualitative study. Ann Fam Med 2008; 6(1):53-59. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1370/afm.749
32. Nair L, Adetayo OA. Cultural competence and ethnic diversity in healthcare. Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open 2019; 7(5):e2219. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1097/GOX.0000000000002219
33. Basu J, Hanchate A, Bierman A. Racial/ethnic disparities in readmissions in US hospitals: the role of insurance coverage. Inquiry 2018; 55:46958018774180. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1177/0046958018774180
34. Lusk JB, Xu H, Thomas LE, Cohen LW, Hernandez AF, Forrest CB, Michtalik HJ, Turner KB, O'Brien EC, Barrett NJ; HERO Research Program. Racial/ethnic disparities in healthcare worker experiences during the COVID-19 Pandemic: An analysis of the HERO registry. EClinicalMedicine 2022; 45:101314. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2022.101314
35. Tiwary A, Rimal A, Paudyal B, Sigdel KR, Basnyat B. Poor communication by health care professionals may lead to life-threatening complications: Examples from two case reports. Wellcome Open Res 2019; 4:7. DOI: https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.15042.1
36. Mays VM, Jones AL, Delany-Brumsey A, Coles C, Cochran SD. Perceived discrimination in health care and mental health/substance abuse treatment among blacks, Latinos, and whites. Med Care 2017; 55(2):173-181. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1097/MLR.0000000000000638
37. Medina-Martínez J, Saus-Ortega C, Sánchez-Lorente MM, Sosa-Palanca EM, García-Martínez P, Mármol-López MI. Health inequities in LGBT people and nursing interventions to reduce them: A systematic review. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2021; 18(22):11801. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph182211801
38. Sileo KM, Baldwin A, Huynh TA, Olfers A, Woo J, Greene SL, Casillas GL, Taylor BS. Assessing LGBTQ+ stigma among healthcare professionals: An application of the health stigma and discrimination framework in a qualitative, community-based participatory research study. J Health Psychol 2022; 27(9):2181-2196. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1177/13591053211027652
39. Casanova-Perez R, Apodaca C, Bascom E, Mohanraj D, Lane C, Vidyarthi D, Beneteau E, Sabin J, Pratt W, Weibel N, Hartzler AL. Broken down by bias: Healthcare biases experienced by BIPOC and LGBTQ+ patients. AMIA Annu Symp Proc 2022; 2021:275-284.
40. Nowaskie DZ, Najam S. Lesbian, gay, bisexual, and/or transgender (LGBT) cultural competency across the intersectionalities of gender identity, sexual orientation, and race among healthcare professionals. PLoS One 2022; 17(11):e0277682. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0277682
41. Baptiste-Roberts K, Oranuba E, Werts N, Edwards LV. Addressing health care disparities among sexual minorities. Obstet Gynecol Clin North Am 2017; 44(1):71-80. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ogc.2016.11.003
42. Knaak S, Mantler E, Szeto A. Mental illness-related stigma in healthcare: Barriers to access and care and evidence-based solutions. Healthc Manage Forum 2017; 30(2):111-116. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1177/0840470416679413
43. Ahad AA, Sanchez-Gonzalez M, Junquera P. Understanding and addressing mental health stigma across cultures for improving psychiatric care: a narrative review. Cureus 2023; 15(5):e39549. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.39549
44. Noblett Jo, Henderson Claire. Attitudes and stigma held by healthcare and mental health care professionals towards people with mental illness. Ment Health Today 2015; 2015:24-27.
45. Henderson C, Evans-Lacko S, Thornicroft G. Mental illness stigma, help seeking, and public health programs. Am J Public Health 2013; 103(5):777-780. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2012.301056
46. Trivedi AN, Ayanian JZ. Perceived discrimination and use of preventive health services. J Gen Intern Med 2006; 21(6):553-558. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-1497.2006.00413.x
47. Williams DR. Stress and the mental health of populations of color: Advancing our understanding of race-related stressors. J Health Soc Behav 2018; 59(4):466-485. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1177/0022146518814251
48. Kennedy BM, Rehman M, Johnson WD, Magee MB, Leonard R, Katzmarzyk PT. Healthcare providers versus patients' understanding of health beliefs and values. Patient Exp J 2017; 4(3):29-37.
49. Lee C, Ayers SL, Kronenfeld JJ. The association between perceived provider discrimination, healthcare utilization and health status in racial and ethnic minorities. Ethn Dis 2009; 19(3):330-337.
50. Porfyri GN, Athanasiadou M, Siokas V, Giannoglou S, Skarpari S, Kikis M, Myroforidou A, Anoixa M, Zerakis

N, Bonti E, Konsta A, Diakogiannis I, Rudolf J, Deretzi G. Mental health-related stigma discrimination and prejudices among Greek healthcare professionals. Front Psychiatry 2022; 13:1027304. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2022.1027304

51. Njoku A, Evans M, Nimo-Sefah L, Bailey J. Listen to the whispers before they become screams: Addressing black maternal morbidity and mortality in the United States. Healthcare (Basel) 2023; 11(3):438. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare11030438
52. Johnson JD, Louis JM. Does race or ethnicity play a role in the origin, pathophysiology, and outcomes of preeclampsia? An expert review of the literature. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2022; 226(2S):S876-S885. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2020.07.038
53. Binagwaho A, Mathewos K. The right to health: Looking beyond health facilities. Health Hum Rights 2023; 25(1):133-135.
54. Lurie N. Addressing health disparities: Where should we start? Health Serv Res 2002; 37(5):1125-1127. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-6773.t01-2-00001
55. Stuart H. United Nations convention on the rights of persons with disabilities: A roadmap for change. Curr Opin Psychiatry 2012; 25(5):365-9. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1097/YCO.0b013e328356b7ed
56. McCusker P, Gillespie L, Davidson G, Vicary S, Stone K. The United Nations convention on the rights of persons with disabilities and social work: Evidence for impact? Int J Environ Res Public Health 2023; 20(20):6927. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph20206927
57. Institute of Medicine (US) Committee on Disability in America; Field MJ, Jette AM, editors. The Future of Disability in America. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 2007. D, The Americans with Disabilities Act in a Health Care Context. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK11429/
58. Institute of Medicine (US) Committee on Understanding and Eliminating Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Health Care; Smedley BD, Stith AY, Nelson AR, editors. Unequal Treatment: Confronting Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Health Care. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 2003. Racial and ethnic disparities in health care: An ethical analysis of when and how they matter. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK220353/
59. Hosseinabadi-Farahani M, Fallahi-Khoshknab M, Arsalani N, Hosseini M, Mohammadi E. Justice and unintentional discrimination in health care: A qualitative content analysis. J Educ Health Promot 2021; 10:51. DOI: https://doi.org/10.4103/jehp.jehp_885_20
60. Bostick N, Morin K, Benjamin R, Higginson D. Physicians' ethical responsibilities in addressing racial and ethnic healthcare disparities. J Natl Med Assoc 2006; 98(8):1329-1334.
61. Varkey B. Principles of clinical ethics and their application to practice. Med Princ Pract 2021; 30(1):17-28. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1159/000509119
62. Zibulewsky J. The Emergency Medical Treatment and Active Labor Act (EMTALA): what it is and what it means for physicians. Proc (Bayl Univ Med Cent) 2001; 14(4):339-346. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/08998280.2001.11927785
63. Saha S, Beach MC, Cooper LA. Patient centeredness, cultural competence and healthcare quality. J Natl Med Assoc 2008; 100(11):1275-85. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/s0027-9684(15)31505-4
64. Hoffman KM, Trawalter S, Axt JR, Oliver MN. Racial bias in pain assessment and treatment recommendations, and false beliefs about biological differences between blacks and whites. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2016; 113(16):4296-301. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1516047113
65. Attum B, Hafiz S, Malik A, Shamoon Z. Cultural Competence in the Care of Muslim Patients and Their Families. [Updated 2023 Jul 3]. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024 Jan-. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK499933/
66. White J, Plompen T, Tao L, Micallef E, Haines T. What is needed in culturally competent healthcare systems? A qualitative exploration of culturally diverse patients and professional interpreters in an Australian healthcare setting. BMC Public Health 2019; 19(1):1096. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-019-7378-9
67. George S, Duran N, Norris K. A systematic review of barriers and facilitators to minority research participation among African Americans, Latinos, Asian Americans, and Pacific Islanders. Am J Public Health 2014; 104(2):e16-e31. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2013.301706
68. LaVeist TA, Pierre G. Integrating the 3Ds--social determinants, health disparities, and health-care workforce diversity. Public Health Rep 2014; 129 Suppl 2(Suppl 2):9-14. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1177/00333549141291S204
69. Salsberg E, Richwine C, Westergaard S, Portela Martinez M, Oyeyemi T, Vichare A, Chen CP. Estimation and comparison of current and future racial/ethnic representation in the US health care workforce. JAMA Netw Open 2021; 4(3):e213789. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.3789
70. McMaughan DJ, Oloruntoba O, Smith ML. Socioeconomic status and access to healthcare: Interrelated drivers for healthy aging. Front Public Health 2020; 8:231. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2020.00231
71. Institute of Medicine (US) Committee on Understanding and Eliminating Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Health Care; Smedley BD, Stith AY, Nelson AR, editors. Unequal Treatment: Confronting Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Health Care. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 2003. 7, Data Collection and Monitoring. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK220342/

Received: February 09, 2024 | Revised: February 26, 2024 | Accepted: March 11, 2024