

Public Health

# Medical Equity

## The Eventual Goal of Health Care

**Wanbo Wang,<sup>1</sup> Donne Will,<sup>2</sup> Fuzhou Wang<sup>3,\*</sup>**

1. West Forsyth High School, 1735 Lewisville Clemmons Rd, Clemmons, NC 27012, USA
2. Group of Ethics and Humanity, Division of Society and Politics, The BASE, Chapel Hill, NC 27510, USA
3. Group of Neuropharmacology and Neurophysiology, Division of Neuroscience, The BASE, Chapel Hill, NC 27510, USA

\*: All correspondence should be sent to: Dr. Fuzhou Wang.

Authors' Contact: Wanbo Wang, E-mail: [wanbwang5715@gmail.com](mailto:wanbwang5715@gmail.com); Donne Will, Ph.D., M.P.H., E-mail: [donne.will@basehq.org](mailto:donne.will@basehq.org); Fuzhou Wang, M.D., Ph.D., E-mail: [fred.wang@basehq.org](mailto:fred.wang@basehq.org)

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.15354/si.24.re969>

Funding: No funding source declared.

COI: The authors declare no competing interest.

AI Declaration: The authors affirm that artificial intelligence did not contribute to the process of preparing the work.

**Medical equity is the fundamental principle that all individuals should have equal access to high-quality healthcare services, regardless of their race, ethnicity, gender, socioeconomic status, or geographic location. Achieving medical equity is essential for improving health outcomes and reducing disparities in healthcare delivery. This goal requires addressing systemic barriers such as discrimination, stigma, and lack of access to resources that prevent marginalized populations from receiving appropriate care. Implementing policies and programs that promote medical equity will help ensure that everyone has the opportunity to lead a healthy life. By prioritizing equity in healthcare delivery, we can work towards creating a more just and inclusive society where every individual has the opportunity to achieve optimal health and well-being.**

**Keywords:** Medical Equity; Contributors; Barriers; Strategies; Policies

Science Insights, 2024 April 30; Vol. 44, No. 4, pp.1341-1352.

© 2024 Insights Publisher. All rights reserved.



Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed by the Insights Publisher.

### Introduction

A crucial objective for the healthcare system to pursue is medical equity, which entails providing all individuals, irrespective of socioeconomic status or origin, with equal access to high-quality healthcare. In addition to being a matter of justice and fairness, it is crucial for enhancing the health outcomes of populations as a whole.

A key rationale for the significance of medical equity is its potential to mitigate health outcome disparities that exist among various socioeconomic groups. In comparison to those from higher income brackets, individuals from lower income or marginalized communities frequently experience inferior health outcomes (1). Insufficient access to preventative care, health education, and high-quality healthcare services contributes to

this situation. It is possible for us to ensure that all individuals have equal access to the resources and services necessary to attain optimal health by pursuing medical equity.

Moreover, medical equity is a critical component in the pursuit of social justice and the mitigation of societal inequality. Access to high-quality healthcare ought to be universally guaranteed as a fundamental human right, independent of an individual's financial means (2). The collective pursuit of medical equity can contribute to the establishment of a more equitable and just society, wherein all individuals are afforded the chance to enjoy a long and healthy life.

Moreover, the attainment of medical equity may also yield favorable economic consequences (3). It can be contributed to the long-term reduction of healthcare expenditures by increasing accessibility to healthcare services for all individuals and investing in preventative care. Everyone can benefit from a more sustainable and efficient healthcare system, irrespective of origin or socioeconomic status.

Policymakers, healthcare providers, and community organizations must collaborate to resolve the underlying causes of healthcare disparities and implement strategies to ensure equal access to healthcare services in order to achieve medical equity. Potential actions to address this issue include augmenting funding for community health centers, expanding Medicaid coverage, and establishing outreach programs aimed at reaching underserved populations (4). Furthermore, it is critical to enhance health education and advocate for preventative care, with a specific focus on communities that have historically encountered obstacles in obtaining healthcare services.

## Types of Health Disparities and Contributing Factors

Health disparities manifest as variations in healthcare service accessibility or health outcomes across distinct population groups. These inequalities may stem from socioeconomic status, ethnic origin, race, gender, age, or geographical placement, among other variables. It is essential to comprehend the various types of health disparities in order to combat these inequities and advance health equity for all.

Socioeconomic disparities represent a particular form of health inequality in which those with a lower socioeconomic status frequently endure inferior health outcomes in comparison to those from more affluent backgrounds (5). In lower-income communities, this is due to a dearth of access to high-quality healthcare services, restricted resources for healthy living, and elevated levels of stress and environmental hazards.

A further significant issue is racial and ethnic health disparities, with minority populations frequently experiencing infant mortality, chronic disease rates, and lesser life expectancy than white populations (6). Due to systemic prejudice, discrimination, and a dearth of culturally competent healthcare services, these disparities exist.

Additionally, there are gender disparities in health, whereby the health challenges and outcomes experienced by men and women differ (7). For instance, specific ailments such as osteoporosis and breast cancer may be more prevalent among women, whereas males are more prone to developing cardiovascular disease and particular forms of cancer. Gender-

based disparities in access to reproductive healthcare services may also be observed.

Health disparities associated with age are prevalent, as older populations frequently encounter greater prevalence of chronic diseases and restricted availability of healthcare services in contrast to their youthful counterparts (8). Deteriorating cognitive function, social isolation, and mobility problems are all elements that can contribute to negative health outcomes among the elderly.

In terms of health outcomes, geographic disparities in health pertain to variations in the location of individuals' residences (9). In contrast to their urban counterparts, rural communities might experience restricted availability of healthcare facilities, specialists, and preventive services, which could result in elevated prevalence of chronic diseases and a reduced life expectancy.

Health disparities can also be exacerbated by disabilities, as those who have physical or cognitive impairments may encounter obstacles when attempting to obtain healthcare services, secure employment, or obtain social support (10, 11). In contrast to the general population, they might also be more susceptible to developing chronic diseases and mental health disorders.

Another significant concern pertains to health disparities associated with sexual orientation and gender identity. In healthcare environments, LGBTQ+ individuals frequently encounter prejudice and social disapproval (12). Delays in seeking care, restricted access to suitable services, and increased prevalence of mental health disorders and substance misuse may result from this.

Disparities in mental health are widespread across various populations, whereby specific groups are disproportionately affected by anxiety, depression, and other mental health disorders (13). Socioeconomic factors, stigma, and limited access to mental healthcare services can all contribute to unfavorable mental health outcomes.

A substantial element that contributes to health disparities is the accessibility of healthcare services. Those who are economically disadvantaged, uninsured, or reside in underserved regions may encounter obstacles that prevent them from obtaining expeditious and high-quality care (14). Inadequate transportation, language barriers, and cultural variations in healthcare provision are additional factors that contribute to disparities in healthcare access.

Race and ethnicity are additional significant factors that contribute to health disparities. Consistently, empirical evidence has demonstrated that racial and ethnic minorities, including but not limited to Black, Latinx, and Indigenous communities, encounter inferior health outcomes and greater prevalence rates of specific health conditions in comparison to the white population (15). Aspects including systemic prejudice, discrimination, and restricted healthcare access contribute to this.

Furthermore, level of education is a significant cause of health disparities. A lack of health literacy among individuals with lower levels of education may impede their ability to comprehend and adhere to medical recommendations (16). This can result in increased rates of preventable diseases and inferior health outcomes among populations with lower levels of

education.

In spite of substance abuse, smoking, inadequate nutrition, and insufficient physical activity are all behavioral factors that can contribute to health disparities. Those who partake in detrimental behaviors increase their susceptibility to the development of chronic illnesses and encounter unfavorable health consequences (17). In addition to individual decisions and convictions, social and environmental factors may exert an impact on these behaviors.

In alongside hazardous living conditions, pollution, and contaminant exposure are environmental factors that can contribute to health disparities (18). Living in low-income or marginalized communities may expose residents to greater environmental hazards, which have the potential to adversely affect their health. These populations may be more susceptible to respiratory ailments, allergies, and other health complications as a result.

Health disparities can be exacerbated by systemic elements, including institutional prejudice, a dearth of diversity in healthcare leadership, and partiality in medical treatment (19, 20). Disparities in treatment outcomes, delayed diagnoses, and differential access to high-quality care may ensue as a consequence of these factors affecting minority populations.

Health disparities may also be influenced by genetic predisposition (21, 22). Ancestry may confer a greater susceptibility to genetic disorders or maladies upon particular populations. An illustration of this can be seen in the elevated susceptibility to specific genetic disorders among individuals of Ashkenazi Jewish descent and sickle cell anemia, respectively, among those of African descent.

### **Barriers to Achieving Medical Equity**

Systemic racism within the healthcare industry is among the most formidable obstacles to medical equity. Racial and ethnic minorities exhibit inferior health outcomes, higher prevalence of chronic diseases, and reduced access to preventive care in comparison to the white population (23). Lack of cultural competency training, implicit bias among healthcare providers, and structural barriers that restrict access to care for minority populations are common causes.

Socioeconomic status impedes medical equity as mentioned above. There are greater likelihood that low-income or uninsured individuals will encounter obstacles when attempting to access healthcare services (24). These obstacles may include limited availability of healthcare providers in their communities, inadequate transportation, and the inability to afford medications. As a consequence, there are health outcomes that differ significantly between individuals with lower incomes and those who are more prosperous.

Cultural and linguistic differences also pose challenges in the pursuit of medical equity. It may be challenging for non-native English speakers to access healthcare information, communicate effectively with providers, and comprehend their diagnoses and treatment alternatives (25). Misunderstandings, miscommunications, and a decline in satisfaction with healthcare services may result from this.

Particularly in rural and marginalized areas, geographic obstacles can impede medical equity. Access to healthcare

facilities, specialists, and other resources is frequently limited in these regions, making it challenging for patients to receive timely and appropriate care (26). The limited availability of transportation alternatives in these regions exacerbates the issue, as people may encounter challenges when attempting to reach their healthcare appointments.

Insufficient health literacy impedes the attainment of medical equity. A considerable number of people are devoid of the requisite knowledge and abilities to navigate the healthcare system, make well-informed decisions regarding their health, and manage their conditions effectively (27). This may result in increased healthcare costs, disparities in access to care, and negative health outcomes.

Stigma and discrimination are additional substantial obstacles to medical equity. Healthcare providers may exhibit discrimination or bias towards members of marginalized or stigmatized communities, including but not limited to those who identify as LGBTQ+, individuals with disabilities, or those with mental health conditions (28). Such treatment can have an adverse effect on the standard of care that these patients receive. Additionally, stigma may dissuade people from obtaining medical attention or divulging vital information regarding their health.

Another obstacle to attaining medical equity is a lack of diversity in the healthcare workforce. A diverse healthcare workforce has been shown to reduce disparities in care and enhance health outcomes for minority populations (29, 30). Nevertheless, there exists a notable lack of representation of racial and ethnic minorities in the healthcare workforce, specifically in influential and leadership roles.

Additionally, policies and practices in healthcare can impede medical equity. Policies that impose limitations on the availability of specific healthcare services, such as mental health services or reproductive health services may have an unbalanced effect on marginalized populations (31). Likewise, restrictions on insurance coverage, obligations for cost-sharing and additional financial impediments may hinder individuals from obtaining essential medical attention.

Insufficient systems for monitoring and collecting data are an additional obstacle to the realization of medical equity (32). In the absence of precise and all-encompassing data regarding the health requirements and results of diverse populations, it could be difficult for policymakers and healthcare providers to discern inequalities, efficiently concentrate interventions, and assess advancements made in the pursuit of medical equity.

### **Strategies for Promoting Health Equity**

Promoting health equity involves implementing strategies that aim to reduce disparities in healthcare access, treatment, and outcomes among different populations. One key strategy is increasing access to quality healthcare services for marginalized communities through initiatives such as mobile clinics, telehealth services, and community health centers in underserved areas. Additionally, culturally tailored outreach programs can help bridge communication gaps and address unique needs within diverse populations. Education and awareness campaigns on the social determinants of health, such as income, education level, and housing conditions, are crucial

in advocating for policies that promote equity. Collaborating with local organizations and stakeholders to address systemic barriers and promoting inclusive practices within healthcare systems will also play a vital role in achieving health equity. By employing these comprehensive strategies, healthcare professionals can work towards creating a more equitable and inclusive healthcare system for all individuals.

### **Healthcare Access and Outreach Programs**

Healthcare access and outreach programs are of the utmost importance in ensuring that all individuals have equal access to high-quality healthcare services and advancing medical equity (33). The primary objective of these programs is to ameliorate health disparities and enhance the general well-being of marginalized communities. Healthcare access and outreach programs play a crucial role in advocating for health equity and mitigating disparities in healthcare outcomes by offering resources and assistance to marginalized communities.

A fundamental component of healthcare access and outreach initiatives is to educate and raise awareness among those in need regarding health concerns and the resources at their disposal (34). This encompasses details pertaining to preventative measures, disease control, and the healthcare services that are accessible. Through the promotion of healthcare awareness and knowledge, these initiatives enable individuals to proactively manage their well-being and obtain the necessary medical attention to sustain a healthy lifestyle.

Healthcare access and outreach programs, apart from promoting awareness and education, furnish individuals with direct support and assistance when it comes to obtaining healthcare services (35). This may encompass support in traversing the healthcare system, facilitating enrollment in health insurance programs, and establishing connections between individuals and community resources and medical providers. Through the provision of such assistance, these programs ensure that individuals receive the necessary care to enhance their health and assist them in overcoming obstacles to healthcare access.

Outreach and healthcare access programs are of the utmost importance when it comes to addressing social determinants of health that are the root cause of disparities in healthcare outcomes and access (36). These programs frequently address barriers to healthcare access, such as poverty, housing instability, food insecurity, and lack of transportation, in collaboration with community organizations, social service agencies, and other relevant stakeholders. By addressing these social determinants of health, healthcare outreach and access programs contribute to the development of a more equitable healthcare system that accommodates all individuals' requirements. Furthermore, healthcare outreach and access initiatives play a crucial role in reaching populations that are frequently neglected or marginalized within conventional healthcare environments (37). This includes immigrants, communities of color, and other vulnerable populations with limited incomes. Through proactive involvement in these communities, healthcare access and outreach initiatives endeavor to cultivate relationships, foster trust, and deliver culturally sensitive care that caters to the distinct requirements

of every individual. By adopting a personalized approach, obstacles to healthcare service access are reduced and it is guaranteed that every individual will receive the necessary care to maintain good health.

Healthcare access and outreach programs are instrumental in advocating for systemic reforms and policy adjustments that ensure health equity, in addition to delivering direct assistance to individuals. Through collaboration with healthcare providers, policymakers, and other stakeholders, these programs can influence the development of policies and procedures that rectify inequities in healthcare access and outcomes. This may encompass promoting policies that address social determinants of health, expanding access to healthcare services, and advocating for increased funding for healthcare programs. Healthcare access and outreach programs are instrumental in fostering a more equitable healthcare system that is advantageous to every individual by means of their advocacy endeavors.

### **Educational Initiatives for Health Literacy**

Health literacy is the capacity to obtain, comprehend, and apply health information so as to make well-informed healthcare decisions. Individuals must possess a comprehensive comprehension of health information in order to make informed decisions that are suitable for their overall welfare. Varying degrees of access to health information and resources can, nevertheless, result in inequities that affect health outcomes. To rectify these discrepancies, educational endeavors that advance health literacy and medical equity are necessary.

An essential educational endeavor aimed at promoting health literacy and medical equity is the creation of health education materials that are sensitive to cultural and linguistic differences (38). To effectively communicate health information, these materials ought to be customized to suit the particular requirements and attributes of distinct populations. Individuals are inclined to make more informed decisions concerning their health care when information is presented in a format and language that are readily comprehensible to diverse communities.

Another pivotal endeavor involves the advocacy for health literacy within educational institutions and classrooms (39). Through curriculum integration of health literacy, pupils can acquire knowledge and proficiency in critical health subjects while also cultivating the necessary abilities to navigate the intricate intricacies of the healthcare system. This can assist in empowering youth to assume responsibility for their health and make well-informed decisions regarding their wellbeing.

Health literacy initiatives may also encompass community outreach programs, which aim to supply underserved populations with education and resources (40). Healthcare providers can effectively engage with individuals who might otherwise lack access to conventional sources of health information by establishing collaborations with community organizations. These programs have the potential to empower individuals to advocate for their own health requirements and help bridge the gap in health literacy.

Promoting health equity can be significantly aided by digital health literacy initiatives, in addition to community

outreach. The provision of online resources and tools enables individuals to obtain health-related information and participate in self-care activities (41). Promoting digital health literacy can enable individuals to assume agency over their health and render well-informed judgments regarding their healthcare.

Efforts to promote health literacy should additionally prioritize the empowerment of individuals to actively defend their health rights and requirements (42). By educating individuals about their health care rights and how to navigate the system, they can increase their ability to advocate for themselves and guarantee that they receive the necessary care. This may contribute to the reduction of health outcome disparities and the promotion of medical equity for all individuals.

## The Role of Social Determinants of Health

### Housing and Health

Ensuring unobstructed and secure lodging is vital for the preservation of optimal health. The relationship between housing and health is profoundly intertwined, and those lacking stable housing face an increased susceptibility to adverse health consequences (43). Unstable housing can give rise to a variety of adverse health outcomes, encompassing heightened levels of tension, inadequate nutrition, and restricted availability of essential medical services. In order to attain medical equity, it is critical to confront the systemic obstacles that impede people's ability to obtain affordable and secure accommodation.

The physical conditions of the habitation area are a significant determinant of health effects associated with residence (44). Mold, lead paint, and vermin infestations, among other housing defects, have the potential to worsen respiratory conditions, allergies, and other health complications. Infectious diseases may also be more prevalent in areas where sanitation facilities are scarce, and overcrowding is prevalent. We can promote overall well-being and prevent a variety of health issues by ensuring that all individuals have access to safe and healthy living environments and by enhancing housing conditions.

Housing instability has a substantial effect on mental health. Homeless or enduring housing insecure individuals frequently contend with elevated levels of anxiety, depression, and stress (45). As a consequence, individuals may experience a variety of mental health complications, such as thoughts of self-harm, substance misuse, or suicide. By offering individuals stable housing alternatives and addressing the underlying factors that contribute to housing instability, we can alleviate the strain on our healthcare system and improve their mental well-being.

An additional crucial element of the relationship between housing and health is the influence of housing on social determinants of health. There is a positive correlation between unstable housing and food insecurity, restricted access to employment and educational opportunities, and social isolation (46, 47). All of these factors have the potential to mitigate the potential for individuals to reach their maximum health and wellness. By addressing housing insecurity and promoting access to affordable housing options, health outcomes for all members of society can be improved and the cycle of poverty broken.

To attain medical equity, it is critical to confront the systemic disparities that are inherent in our housing infrastructure. Housing access and quality have deteriorated as a result of discriminatory policies and practices, which have a disproportionate effect on marginalized communities, including individuals with disabilities, low-income individuals, and people of color. We can build a better, more equitable society for all by addressing these disparities and advocating for housing policies that prioritize equity and inclusion.

### Employment and Income Inequality

Income inequality is a pivotal concern that generates disparities in health outcomes among individuals and affects their access to healthcare services. The healthcare system in the United States is predominantly influenced by employment-based insurance, which means that individuals who are gainfully employed have more extensive access to healthcare services than those who are unemployed or inadequately employed (48). This gives rise to a recurring pattern of disparity in healthcare accessibility, wherein individuals with lower incomes encounter difficulties in financing fundamental medical services, whereas those with higher incomes can afford superior healthcare alternatives.

Earnings and employment opportunity disparities constitute a significant contributor to healthcare income inequality. Individuals occupying higher-paying positions and industries are more likely to be eligible for comprehensive health insurance and benefits (49). Conversely, those employed in low-wage occupations frequently encounter challenges in obtaining cost-effective health insurance alternatives and may be compelled to depend on government initiatives such as Medicaid, which may offer restricted coverage and benefits (50). The inequitable distribution of healthcare services according to an individual's employment status serves to sustain income inequality and further worsen health disparities.

Moreover, the quality-of-care individuals receive is impacted by healthcare income inequality. There is a positive correlation between income level and the ability to pay for premium healthcare services, including preventive care, consultations with specialists, and advanced treatment alternatives (51). On the contrary, those with lower socioeconomic status may encounter difficulties procuring even the most fundamental medical services, resulting in protracted periods for the identification and management of health conditions—a predicament that can significantly impair their overall health. This facilitates a disparity in the standard of healthcare that individuals are provided, exacerbating the already substantial discrepancy in health outcomes between socioeconomic classes.

Income inequality has a dual impact, influencing not only the accessibility of healthcare services but also the overall health outcomes of individuals. Studies demonstrated that individuals earning lower incomes are significantly more prone to developing chronic health conditions, experience elevated rates of morbidity and mortality, and have shorter life expectancies in comparison to their higher-income counterparts (52, 53). The primary cause of this is the inadequate availability of preventive care, timely diagnosis, and suitable treatment alternatives—all of which are critical for the preservation of optimal health. This

pattern of unfavorable health results among marginalized communities is sustained by healthcare income disparities, which in turn exacerbate health inequities.

In order to foster medical equity and mitigate income inequality in healthcare, it is crucial that policymakers and healthcare providers collaborate in the development of a healthcare system that is both accessible and inclusive. This objective can be realized by means of enacting policies that mandate universal healthcare coverage, augmenting financial resources allocated to public health initiatives, and enhancing the availability of affordable healthcare services that transcend income barriers for all individuals. Furthermore, it is essential to address the social determinants of health, including but not limited to housing, education, and poverty, as they exert a substantial influence on health outcomes. We can establish a healthcare system that is more equitable and just by addressing income disparities in healthcare and advocating for medical equity. This would guarantee that every individual has the means to obtain high-quality healthcare services and prospects for improved health outcomes.

## **Policy Implications for Advancing Medical Equity**

### **Legislation and Healthcare Reform**

Despite the fact that access to affordable healthcare is a fundamental human right, millions of individuals continue to lack access to high-quality medical care. Governments must implement healthcare reform and legislation that prioritizes medical equity for all individuals, irrespective of socioeconomic status, in order to address this issue (54). We can ensure that every individual receives the necessary medical care to lead a healthy and satisfying existence by instituting policies that advance equitable access to healthcare services.

Ensuring medical equity through legislation and healthcare reform necessitates the expansion of healthcare coverage for marginalized populations (55). This can be accomplished through the implementation of programs like Medicaid and Medicare, which offer affordable healthcare services to the elderly and low-income individuals. By eradicating enrollment barriers and expanding these programs, a greater number of individuals can obtain the necessary medical care without experiencing financial hardship.

As well, governments must address disparities among racial and ethnic groups with regard to healthcare access and outcomes (56). Minority populations frequently encounter obstacles to healthcare, such as language barriers, absence of insurance coverage, and discrimination, according to studies. To address these issues and guarantee that all individuals, irrespective of race or ethnicity, have equitable access to high-quality healthcare services, legislation must be enacted.

Funding increases for community health centers and other safety-net providers are an additional crucial element of healthcare reform that promotes medical equity (57). These establishments are of the utmost importance in delivering healthcare services to marginalized communities, such as those lacking or having inadequate insurance. By increasing the allocation of resources to these providers, governments can

guarantee universal access to necessary medical care, irrespective of individuals' financial means.

Legislation and healthcare reform aimed at achieving medical equity should, in addition to increasing financing for safety-net providers and expanding access to healthcare coverage, prioritize enhancements in the quality of care provided to individuals. This can be accomplished through initiatives that promote cultural competency training for healthcare providers, evidence-based practices, and patient-centered care. By guaranteeing that every individual is provided with individualized, high-quality healthcare, we can reduce disparities in healthcare access and outcomes and promote improved health.

### **Funding and Resource Allocation**

Ensuring sufficient financial resources are allocated to facilitate the provision of comprehensive and culturally sensitive healthcare to all individuals, irrespective of their socioeconomic status or personal history, is a critical element in the pursuit of medical equity.

The accessibility and efficacy of healthcare services accessible to marginalized communities are strongly influenced by funding (58). Insufficient financial resources can potentially impede the ability of healthcare providers to provide preventive care and essential services to individuals in greatest need. This has the potential to result in adverse health consequences and compound pre-existing health inequalities. Policymakers must prioritize funding for programs and initiatives that target underserved populations and address the fundamental causes of health inequities in order to overcome this obstacle.

Resource allocation is an essential factor in advancing medical equity (59). Frequently, there exists an inequitable distribution of resources, including personnel, medical facilities, and equipment; affluent communities frequently enjoy superior access to cutting-edge medical technologies and specialized healthcare. In order to guarantee equitable access to high-quality healthcare for all, it is imperative that resource allocation processes prioritize the requirements of marginalized communities and advance the principles of health equity.

An efficacious approach to advancing medical equity involves the utilization of community-based strategies, which enable local organizations and stakeholders to spearhead focused interventions and programs (60, 61). Policymakers can ensure that funds are utilized in a manner that precisely addresses the needs and priorities of marginalized populations by actively engaging community members in the decision-making process and supplying resources directly to grassroots organizations. This methodology not only amplifies the efficacy of health interventions but also cultivates a sense of confidence and cooperation between healthcare practitioners and the populations they attend to.

In addition, personnel development and health education investments are indispensable for advancing medical equity (62). Policymakers can ensure that all individuals receive culturally competent care that respects their values, beliefs, and preferences by providing healthcare providers with the knowledge and skills necessary to address the unique healthcare requirements of diverse populations. Furthermore, endeavors to

enhance diversity and representation in the healthcare workforce can contribute to the mitigation of inequities in care accessibility and the advancement of a healthcare system that is more comprehensive, equitable, and inclusive (63).

## **Community Engagement and Empowerment**

### **Building Partnerships with Communities**

Through collaboration with community members, healthcare providers can enhance their comprehension of the distinct requirements and obstacles encountered by various populations, thereby facilitating the provision of healthcare that is more efficient and fairer.

To ensure that healthcare services are accessible to all individuals, irrespective of socioeconomic status or origin, it is indispensable to establish partnerships with communities as a top priority. Through active engagement in community collaboration, healthcare clinicians have the ability to discern obstacles to access and formulate precise interventions that effectively target these concerns (64). This measure can contribute to the promotion of equal access to healthcare services, thereby enabling individuals to lead healthful and satisfying lives.

Also, community partnerships can facilitate the development of rapport and trust between healthcare providers and residents. This holds specific significance in historically marginalized or underserved communities with regard to the healthcare system. Through meaningful engagement with community members, healthcare providers have the opportunity to exhibit their dedication to mitigating health disparities and advancing equity (65). This can contribute to the establishment of an essential foundation for the delivery of effective healthcare: mutual respect and understanding.

Healthcare providers' cultural competence can be enhanced through the formation of community partnerships. Through close collaboration with diverse populations, healthcare providers can acquire a more profound comprehension of the cultural norms, values, and beliefs that influence the health preferences and behaviors of individuals (66). This can contribute to the delivery of healthcare services that are respectful and culturally sensitive, which will ultimately result in improved health outcomes for all individuals.

Moreover, community partnerships can facilitate the empowerment of locals to manage their own health and wellbeing. Through the active participation of community members in the conceptualization and execution of healthcare initiatives, providers of healthcare services can empower individuals to advocate for themselves and make well-informed choices regarding their health (67). By cultivating a sense of ownership and accountability among inhabitants, this can potentially stimulate increased engagement and participation in healthcare programs.

Likewise, establishing community partnerships can aid in identifying and resolving the underlying causes of health disparities. Healthcare providers can acquire significant knowledge regarding the social determinants of health that contribute to disparities in healthcare access and outcomes through active engagement in community collaboration (68).

This can contribute to the development of community-driven, holistic solutions that address the structural factors that perpetuate health disparities as well as the symptoms of illness.

### **Promoting Health Advocacy**

Health advocacy for medical equity can be further advanced by means of education and awareness campaigns. Educating communities and individuals about the social determinants of health and their influence on health outcomes can empower them to advocate for health equity-promoting policies and programs (69). In addition to dispelling fallacies and misconceptions that may contribute to healthcare disparities, education can also help dispel those pertaining to specific populations, such as those based on race, ethnicity, or sexual orientation (70).

In order to advance health advocacy for medical equity, it is also critical to resolve systemic barriers to accessing healthcare. This encompasses the promotion of policies that enhance health insurance coverage, diminish out-of-pocket expenses, and broaden healthcare service accessibility in underserved communities (71). Advocacy involves the active pursuit of dismantling these systemic barriers in order to guarantee universal access to necessary healthcare for the maintenance and enhancement of health for all individuals.

Beyond that, advancing health advocacy for medical equity necessitates an emphasis on the provision of culturally competent care. Culturally competent care entails the adaptation of healthcare services to cater to the distinct requirements of diverse populations, while also demonstrating an awareness of and regard for their cultural beliefs, values, and practices (72). Advocates can contribute to the amelioration of healthcare disparities among diverse populations and the enhancement of health outcomes for all by endorsing culturally competent treatment.

Collaborating with policymakers and community stakeholders to advocate for health equity is an additional critical element in promoting health advocacy for medical equity (73). This may entail establishing collaborations with healthcare providers, community organizations, and government agencies in order to formulate and execute initiatives and policies that foster health equity. Advocates can exert greater influence and effect more substantial change by constructing coalitions and cooperating.

In order to advance health advocacy for medical equity, it is essential to consider and address individual-level social determinants of health. This may entail advocating for support services and resources that assist individuals in overcoming obstacles to healthcare access and attaining optimal health, such as affordable housing, nutritious food options, and mental health counseling. By addressing these social determinants of health, advocates can reduce healthcare disparities and assist individuals in attaining improved health outcomes.

### **Technological Innovations in Achieving Medical Equity**

Certain groups of people have historically had less access to high-quality medical care in many regions of the globe due to socioeconomic status, geographic location, and cultural beliefs,

among other factors. Nevertheless, advancements in healthcare technology possess the capacity to narrow this divide and facilitate prospects for medical equity across all demographic groups.

Telemedicine is one of the most significant technological advancements that have revolutionized healthcare (74). This technology enables patients to receive medical consultations, diagnoses, and treatments remotely from healthcare professionals, thereby removing the obstacles of distance and transportation that frequently impede underserved populations' access to healthcare. Telemedicine has proven to be especially advantageous for individuals residing in rural regions or facing mobility constraints, as it empowers them to obtain prompt medical attention without the need for in-person visits to healthcare facilities (75).

The proliferation of wearable health technology, including smartwatches and fitness devices, has empowered individuals to track vital health metrics such as heart rate, blood pressure, and activity levels in real time (76). This facilitates healthcare providers in remotely monitoring their patients, empowering them to adopt a proactive stance towards their health and enabling the provision of preventive care and timely interventions when required. Over time, these technologies may contribute to enhanced health outcomes and decreased healthcare expenditures.

In healthcare, the application of machine learning algorithms and artificial intelligence (AI) for diagnostics and treatment planning is an additional significant technological development (77). By analyzing massive quantities of medical data, AI can aid physicians in developing more precise diagnoses and treatments. This has the potential to mitigate human error and enhance patient outcomes, particularly in intricate medical scenarios that demand an immediate and precise diagnosis (78, 79).

The emergence of personalized medicine has revolutionized the provision of healthcare through the customization of treatment strategies for each patient, taking into consideration their unique medical history, lifestyle choices, and genetic composition. By reducing adverse effects and increasing treatment efficacy, this strategy may ultimately result in improved health outcomes for all patients (80). The progression of genomics and bioinformatics is facilitating the implementation of personalized medicine, which offers prospects for medical equity across heterogeneous populations by rendering it more accessible and economical.

Furthermore, the implementation of health information exchange (HIE) systems and electronic health records (EHRs) has enhanced collaboration and communication among healthcare professionals, allowing for more synchronized and effective patient care (81, 82). This technological advancement enables healthcare practitioners to securely access and exchange patient data, resulting in enhanced care coordination, reduced occurrence of medical errors, and improved patient safety. HIE systems and EHRs can aid in the reduction of disparities in medical care access and guarantee that all patients receive expeditious and suitable treatment by streamlining the healthcare delivery process.

The utilization of virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR) technologies in medical education and training has witnessed a surge (83). These technologies empower healthcare practitioners to augment their expertise and understanding within a simulated setting. Furthermore, by educating patients about their medical conditions and treatment options, VR and AR can empower patients to actively partake in healthcare decision-making and improve health literacy (84, 85). By providing all patients with the necessary information to make informed healthcare decisions, these technologies ultimately contribute to medical equity by increasing patient engagement and improving health outcomes.

## Conclusion

Medical equity, often known as the principle of ensuring equal access to high-quality healthcare for all individuals, regardless of their background or financial circumstances, is a noble objective that numerous professionals in the medical sector are actively pursuing. In an optimal society, every individual would be afforded equal opportunity to access treatment and care, irrespective of variables such as income, color, gender, or geographic location. Attaining medical equity would not only be advantageous for individuals requiring medical attention, but also for society at large, as it would diminish health inequities and enhance overall public health outcomes.

A significant obstacle to attaining medical equity is the exorbitant expense associated with healthcare. A significant number of persons, especially those with lower incomes, lack the financial means to access the necessary healthcare and are consequently unable to receive essential medical treatment. This can result in inferior health outcomes and worsen pre-existing health inequities. Aside from financial obstacles, individuals also face structural impediments, such as limited availability of excellent healthcare in rural regions or discriminatory practices within the healthcare system, which hinder their access to necessary care.

Initiatives to attain medical equity are already under progress in numerous regions across the globe. Several nations have established comprehensive healthcare systems that ensure all individuals, irrespective of their financial capacity, have access to medical services. Additional endeavors prioritize the resolution of social determinants of health, including poverty, education, and housing, which exert a substantial influence on an individual's well-being. The Affordable Care Act in the United States has made significant progress in improving healthcare accessibility for millions of Americans. However, there is still a considerable distance to cover before achieving complete medical equity.

In order to attain medical equity, it is imperative that all sectors of society, including government, health care providers, insurance, and individuals, collaborate and cooperate. By collaborating to tackle the underlying factors that contribute to health inequalities and guaranteeing equitable access to high-quality healthcare, we can make significant progress towards the ultimate objective of universal healthcare. Medical equity is not only a high aspiration, but also an essential basis for a healthier and more just society. ■

## References

1. Chelak K, Chakole S. The role of social determinants of health in promoting health equality: A narrative review. *Cureus* 2023; 15(1):e33425. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.33425>
2. Hanewald B, Berthold D, Stingl M. Does the human right to healthcare apply universally? A contribution from a trauma therapeutic perspective. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2023; 20(15):6492. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph20156492>
3. Asamani JA, Alugsi SA, Ismaila H, Nabyonga-Orem J. Balancing equity and efficiency in the allocation of health resources-where is the middle ground? *Healthcare (Basel)* 2021; 9(10):1257. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare9101257>
4. Rieselbach R, Epperly T, McConnell E, Noren J, Nycz G, Shin P. Community health centers: A key partner to achieve Medicaid expansion. *J Gen Intern Med* 2019; 34(10):2268-2272. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-019-05194-1>
5. Braveman PA, Cubbin C, Egerter S, Williams DR, Pamuk E. Socioeconomic disparities in health in the United States: What the patterns tell us. *Am J Public Health* 2010; 100 Suppl 1(Suppl 1):S186-S196. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2009.166082>
6. Singh GK, Daus GP, Allender M, Ramey CT, Martin EK, Perry C, Reyes AAL, Vedamuthu IP. Social determinants of health in the United States: addressing major health inequality trends for the nation, 1935-2016. *Int J MCH AIDS* 2017; 6(2):139-164. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21106/ijma.236>
7. Cameron KA, Song J, Manheim LM, Dunlop DD. Gender disparities in health and healthcare use among older adults. *J Womens Health (Larchmt)* 2010; 19(9):1643-1650. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1089/jwh.2009.1701>
8. Institute of Medicine (US) Committee on the Future Health Care Workforce for Older Americans. *Retooling for an Aging America: Building the Health Care Workforce*. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 2008. 2, Health Status and Health Care Service Utilization. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK215400/>
9. Institute of Medicine (US) Committee on Guidance for Designing a National Healthcare Disparities Report; Swift EK, editor. *Guidance for the National Healthcare Disparities Report*. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 2002. 5, GEOGRAPHY AND DISPARITIES IN HEALTH CARE. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK221045/>
10. Zaman MS, Ghahari S, McColl MA. Barriers to accessing healthcare services for people with Parkinson's disease: a scoping review. *J Parkinsons Dis* 2021; 11(4):1537-1553. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3233/JPD-212735>
11. Gréaux M, Moro MF, Kamenov K, Russell AM, Barrett D, Cieza A. Health equity for persons with disabilities: A global scoping review on barriers and interventions in healthcare services. *Int J Equity Health* 2023; 22(1):236. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12939-023-02035-w>
12. Westwood S. Religious-based negative attitudes towards LGBTQ people among healthcare, social care and social work students and professionals: A review of the international literature. *Health Soc Care Community* 2022; 30(5):e1449-e1470. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/hsc.13812>
13. Kirkbride JB, Anglin DM, Colman I, Dykxhoorn J, Jones PB, Patalay P, Pitman A, Sonesson E, Steare T, Wright T, Griffiths SL. The social determinants of mental health and disorder: evidence, prevention and recommendations. *World Psychiatry* 2024; 23(1):58-90. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.21160>
14. Ezeonwu MC. Specialty-care access for community health clinic patients: Processes and barriers. *J Multidiscip Healthc* 2018; 11:109-119. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2147/JMDH.S152594>
15. Williams DR, Lawrence JA, Davis BA. Racism and health: Evidence and needed research. *Annu Rev Public Health* 2019; 40:105-125. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-publhealth-040218-043750>
16. Coughlin SS, Vernon M, Hatzigeorgiou C, George V. Health literacy, social determinants of health, and disease prevention and control. *J Environ Health Sci* 2020; 6(1):3061
17. Pampel FC, Krueger PM, Denney JT. Socioeconomic disparities in health behaviors. *Annu Rev Sociol* 2010; 36:349-370. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.soc.012809>
18. Gee GC, Payne-Sturges DC. Environmental health disparities: A framework integrating psychosocial and environmental concepts. *Environ Health Perspect* 2004; 112(17):1645-1653. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.7074>
19. Hood RG. Confronting racial and ethnic disparities in health care. *Acad Med* 2001; 76(6):584-585. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1097/00001888-200106000-00006>
20. Shavers VL, Shavers BS. Racism and health inequity among Americans. *J Natl Med Assoc* 2006; 98(3):386-396.
21. Mancilla VJ, Peeri NC, Silzer T, Basha R, Felini M, Jones HP, Phillips N, Tao MH, Thyagarajan S, Vishwanatha JK. Understanding the interplay between health disparities and epigenomics. *Front Genet* 2020; 11:903. DOI:

<https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2020.00903>

22. Sankar P, Cho MK, Condit CM, Hunt LM, Koenig B, Marshall P, Lee SS, Spicer P. Genetic research and health disparities. *JAMA* 2004; 291(24):2985-2989. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.291.24.2985>
23. Williams DR, Rucker TD. Understanding and addressing racial disparities in health care. *Health Care Financ Rev* 2000; 21(4):75-90.
24. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine; Health and Medicine Division; Board on Health Care Services; Committee on Health Care Utilization and Adults with Disabilities. *Health-Care Utilization as a Proxy in Disability Determination*. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 2018 Mar 1. 2, Factors That Affect Health-Care Utilization. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK500097/>
25. Squires A. Strategies for overcoming language barriers in healthcare. *Nurs Manage* 2018; 49(4):20-27. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.NUMA.0000531166.24481.15>
26. Cyr ME, Echin AG, Guthrie BJ, Benneyan JC. Access to specialty healthcare in urban versus rural US populations: A systematic literature review. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2019; 19(1):974. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-019-4815-5>
27. Kruk ME, Gage AD, Arsenault C, Jordan K, Leslie HH, Roder-DeWan S, Adeyi O, Barker P, Daelmans B, Doubova SV, English M, Garcia-Elorrio E, Guanais F, Gureje O, Hirschhorn LR, Jiang L, Kelley E, Lemango ET, Lijestrand J, Malata A, Marchant T, Matoso MP, Meara JG, Mohanan M, Ndiaye Y, Norheim OF, Reddy KS, Rowe AK, Salomon JA, Thapa G, Twum-Danso NAY, Pate M. High-quality health systems in the Sustainable Development Goals era: Time for a revolution. *Lancet Glob Health* 2018; 6(11):e1196-e1252. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X\(18\)30386-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(18)30386-3). Erratum in: *Lancet Glob Health* 2018; 2018; 6(11):e1162. Erratum in: *Lancet Glob Health* 2021; 9(8):e1067.
28. Vela MB, Erondy AI, Smith NA, Peek ME, Woodruff JN, Chin MH. Eliminating explicit and implicit biases in health care: Evidence and research needs. *Annu Rev Public Health* 2022; 43:477-501. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-publhealth-052620-103528>.
29. Stanford FC. The importance of diversity and inclusion in the healthcare workforce. *J Natl Med Assoc* 2020; 112(3):247-249. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnma.2020.03.014>
30. Jackson CS, Gracia JN. Addressing health and health-care disparities: The role of a diverse workforce and the social determinants of health. *Public Health Rep* 2014; 129 Suppl 2(Suppl 2):57-61. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/00333549141291S211>
31. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine; Health and Medicine Division; Board on Population Health and Public Health Practice; Committee on Community-Based Solutions to Promote Health Equity in the United States; Baciu A, Negussie Y, Geller A, et al., editors. *Communities in Action: Pathways to Health Equity*. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 2017 Jan 11. 3, The Root Causes of Health Inequity. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK425845/>
32. Koehle H, Kronk C, Lee YJ. Digital health equity: Addressing power, usability, and trust to strengthen health systems. *Yearb Med Inform* 2022; 31(1):20-32. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0042-1742512>
33. Bhatt J, Bathija P. Ensuring access to quality health care in vulnerable communities. *Acad Med* 2018; 93(9):1271-1275. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.0000000000002254>
34. Ravaghi H, Guisset AL, Elfeky S, Nasir N, Khani S, Ahmadnezhad E, Abdi Z. A scoping review of community health needs and assets assessment: Concepts, rationale, tools and uses. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2023; 23(1):44. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-022-08983-3>
35. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine; Health and Medicine Division; Board on Health Care Services; Committee on Improving Health Outcomes for Children with Disabilities; Byers E, Valliere FR, Houtrow AJ, editors. *Opportunities for Improving Programs and Services for Children with Disabilities*. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 2018 May 11. 4, Health Care Programs and Services. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK518928/>
36. Andermann A; CLEAR Collaboration. Taking action on the social determinants of health in clinical practice: A framework for health professionals. *CMAJ* 2016; 188(17-18):E474-E483. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.160177>
37. Gizaw Z, Astale T, Kassie GM. What improves access to primary healthcare services in rural communities? A systematic review. *BMC Prim Care* 2022; 23(1):313. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12875-022-01919-0>
38. Hasnain-Wynia R, Wolf MS. Promoting health care equity: Is health literacy a missing link? *Health Serv Res* 2010; 45(4):897-903. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-6773.2010.01134.x>
39. Logan RA. Clinical, classroom, or personal education: Attitudes about health literacy. *J Med Libr Assoc* 2007; 95(2):127-137, e48. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3163/1536-5050.95.2.127>
40. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine; Health and Medicine Division; Board on Population Health and Public Health Practice; Roundtable on Health Literacy. *Community-Based Health Literacy Interventions: Proceedings of a Workshop*. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 2018 Mar 9. 3, Examples of Community-Based Health Literacy Programs. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK500371/>
41. Haleem A, Javaid M, Singh RP, Suman R. Telemedicine for healthcare: Capabilities, features, barriers, and applications. *Sens Int* 2021; 2:100117. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sintl.2021.100117>
42. Fitzpatrick PJ. Improving health literacy using the power of digital communications to achieve better

- health outcomes for patients and practitioners. *Front Digit Health* 2023; 5:1264780. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fdgth.2023.1264780>
43. Reece J. More Than Shelter: Housing for urban maternal and infant health. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2021; 18(7):3331. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18073331>
  44. Krieger J, Higgins DL. Housing and health: Time again for public health action. *Am J Public Health* 2002; 92(5):758-768. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2105/ajph.92.5.758>
  45. Iwundu CN, Chen TA, Edereka-Great K, Businelle MS, Kendzor DE, Reitzel LR. Mental illness and youth-onset homelessness: A retrospective study among adults experiencing homelessness. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2020; 17(22):8295. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17228295>
  46. Yousefi-Rizi L, Baek JD, Blumenfeld N, Stoskopf C. Impact of housing instability and social risk factors on food insecurity among vulnerable residents in San Diego county. *J Community Health* 2021; 46(6):1107-1114. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10900-021-00999-w>
  47. Mosley-Johnson E, Walker RJ, Nagavally S, Hawks L, Bhandari S, Trasser H, Campbell JA, Egede LE. Relationship between food insecurity and housing instability on quality of care and quality of life in adults with diabetes. *PLoS One*. 2022; 17(12):e0278650. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0278650>
  48. Institute of Medicine (US) Committee on Employment-Based Health Benefits; Field MJ, Shapiro HT, editors. *Employment and Health Benefits: A Connection at Risk*. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 1993. 2, Origins and Evolution of Employment-Based Health Benefits. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK235989/>
  49. O'Brien E. Employers' benefits from workers' health insurance. *Milbank Q* 2003; 81(1):5-43, table of contents. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-0009.00037>
  50. Berdahl TA, Moriya AS. Insurance coverage for non-standard workers: Experiences of temporary workers, freelancers, and part-time workers in the USA, 2010-2017. *J Gen Intern Med* 2021; 36(7):1997-2003. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-021-06700-0>
  51. Institute of Medicine (US) Committee on the Consequences of Uninsurance. *Care Without Coverage: Too Little, Too Late*. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 2002. 3, Effects of Health Insurance on Health. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK220636/>
  52. Avendano M, Kawachi I. Why do Americans have shorter life expectancy and worse health than do people in other high-income countries? *Annu Rev Public Health* 2014; 35:307-325. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-publhealth-032013-182411>
  53. McMaughan DJ, Oloruntoba O, Smith ML. Socioeconomic status and access to healthcare: Interrelated drivers for healthy aging. *Front Public Health* 2020; 8:231. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2020.00231>
  54. Nunes R, Nunes SB, Rego G. Health care as a universal right. *Z Gesundh Wiss* 2017; 25(1):1-9. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10389-016-0762-3>
  55. Fiscella K. Health care reform and equity: Promise, pitfalls, and prescriptions. *Ann Fam Med* 2011; 9(1):78-84. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1370/afm.1213>
  56. Riley WJ. Health disparities: Gaps in access, quality and affordability of medical care. *Trans Am Clin Climatol Assoc* 2012; 123:167-172; discussion 172-174.
  57. Tilhou AS, Huguet N, DeVoe J, Angier H. The affordable care act Medicaid expansion positively impacted community health centers and their patients. *J Gen Intern Med* 2020; 35(4):1292-1295. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-019-05571-w>
  58. Newell S, Jordan Z. The patient experience of patient-centered communication with nurses in the hospital setting: a qualitative systematic review protocol. *JB I Database System Rev Implement Rep* 2015; 13(1):76-87. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.11124/jbisrir-2015-1072>
  59. Love-Koh J, Griffin S, Kataika E, Revill P, Sibandze S, Walker S. Methods to promote equity in health resource allocation in low- and middle-income countries: An overview. *Global Health* 2020; 16(1):6. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12992-019-0537-z>
  60. Committee on Valuing Community-Based, Non-Clinical Prevention Programs; Board on Population Health and Public Health Practice; Institute of Medicine. *An Integrated Framework for Assessing the Value of Community-Based Prevention*. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 2012 Oct 29. 2, Community-Based Prevention. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK206935/>
  61. Crowder SJ, Tanner AL, Dawson MA, Felsman IC, Hassmiller SB, Miller LC, Reinhard SC, Toney DA. Better together: Coalitions committed to advancing health equity. *Nurs Outlook* 2022; 70(6 Suppl 1):S48-S58. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.outlook.2022.02.013>
  62. Chisolm DJ, Dugan JA, Figueroa JF, Lane-Fall MB, Roby DH, Rodriguez HP, Ortega AN. Improving health equity through health care systems research. *Health Serv Res* 2023; 58 Suppl 3(Suppl 3):289-299. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-6773.14192>
  63. Doherty JA, Johnson M, McPherson H. Advancing health equity through organizational change: Perspectives from health care leaders. *Health Care Manage Rev* 2022; 47(3):263-270. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1097/HMR.0000000000000326>
  64. Haldane V, Chuah FLH, Srivastava A, Singh SR, Koh GCH, Seng CK, Legido-Quigley H. Community participation in health services development, implementation, and evaluation: A systematic review of empowerment, health, community, and process outcomes. *PLoS One* 2019; 14(5):e0216112. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0216112>
  65. Holden K, Akintobi T, Hopkins J, Belton A, McGregor B, Blanks S, Wrenn G. Community engaged

- leadership to advance health equity and build healthier communities. *Soc Sci (Basel)* 2016; 5(1):2. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci5010002>
66. Stubbe DE. Practicing cultural competence and cultural humility in the care of diverse patients. *Focus (Am Psychiatr Publ)* 2020; 18(1):49-51. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.focus.20190041>
  67. Dineen-Griffin S, Garcia-Cardenas V, Williams K, Benrimoj SI. Helping patients help themselves: A systematic review of self-management support strategies in primary health care practice. *PLoS One* 2019; 14(8):e0220116. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0220116>
  68. Odugleh-Kolev A, Parrish-Sprowl J. Universal health coverage and community engagement. *Bull World Health Organ* 2018; 96(9):660-661. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2471/BLT.17.202382>
  69. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine; Health and Medicine Division; Board on Population Health and Public Health Practice; Committee on Community-Based Solutions to Promote Health Equity in the United States; Baciu A, Negussie Y, Geller A, et al., editors. *Communities in Action: Pathways to Health Equity*. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 2017 Jan 11. 4, The Role of Communities in Promoting Health Equity. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK425849/>
  70. Thompson B, Molina Y, Viswanath K, Warnecke R, Prelipl ML. Strategies to empower communities to reduce health disparities. *Health Aff (Millwood)* 2016; 35(8):1424-8. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.2015.1364>
  71. Jindal M, Chaiyachati KH, Fung V, Manson SM, Mortensen K. Eliminating health care inequities through strengthening access to care. *Health Serv Res* 2023; 58 Suppl 3(Suppl 3):300-310. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-6773.14202>
  72. White J, Plompen T, Tao L, Micallef E, Haines T. What is needed in culturally competent healthcare systems? A qualitative exploration of culturally diverse patients and professional interpreters in an Australian healthcare setting. *BMC Public Health* 2019; 19(1):1096. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-019-7378-9>
  73. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine; Health and Medicine Division; Board on Population Health and Public Health Practice; Committee on Community-Based Solutions to Promote Health Equity in the United States; Baciu A, Negussie Y, Geller A, et al., editors. *Communities in Action: Pathways to Health Equity*. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 2017 Jan 11. 7, Partners in Promoting Health Equity in Communities. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK425859/>
  74. Jin MX, Kim SY, Miller LJ, Behari G, Correa R. Telemedicine: Current impact on the future. *Cureus* 2020; 12(8):e9891. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.9891>
  75. Gajarawala SN, Pelkowski JN. Telehealth benefits and barriers. *J Nurse Pract* 2021; 17(2):218-221. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nurpra.2020.09.013>
  76. Kang HS, Exworthy M. Wearing the future-wearables to empower users to take greater responsibility for their health and care: Scoping review. *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth* 2022; 10(7):e35684. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2196/35684>
  77. Davenport T, Kalakota R. The potential for artificial intelligence in healthcare. *Future Healthc J* 2019; 6(2):94-98. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.7861/futurehosp.6-2-94>
  78. Vanak J. Artificial intelligence and medicine. *Sci Insights* 2022; 41(1):567-575. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.15354/si.22.re068>
  79. Alowais SA, Alghamdi SS, Alsuehaby N, Alqahtani T, Alshaya AI, Almohareb SN, Aldairem A, Alrashed M, Bin Saleh K, Badreldin HA, Al Yami MS, Al Harbi S, Albekairy AM. Revolutionizing healthcare: The role of artificial intelligence in clinical practice. *BMC Med Educ* 2023; 23(1):689. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-023-04698-z>
  80. Paterick TE, Patel N, Tajik AJ, Chandrasekaran K. Improving health outcomes through patient education and partnerships with patients. *Proc (Bayl Univ Med Cent)* 2017; 30(1):112-113. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/08998280.2017.11929552>
  81. Belmont E, Waller AA. The role of information technology in reducing medical errors. *J Health Law* 2003; 36(4):615-625.
  82. White A, Danis M. Enhancing patient-centered communication and collaboration by using the electronic health record in the examination room. *JAMA* 2013; 309(22):2327-2328. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2013.6030>
  83. Tene T, Vique López DF, Valverde Aguirre PE, Orna Puente LM, Vacacela Gomez C. Virtual reality and augmented reality in medical education: An umbrella review. *Front Digit Health* 2024; 6:1365345. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fdqth.2024.1365345>
  84. Bhattad PB, Pacifico L. Empowering patients: Promoting patient education and health literacy. *Cureus* 2022; 14(7):e27336. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.27336>
  85. Noordman J, van Vliet L, Kaunang M, van den Muijsenbergh M, Boland G, van Dulmen S. Towards appropriate information provision for and decision-making with patients with limited health literacy in hospital-based palliative care in Western countries: A scoping review into available communication strategies and tools for healthcare providers. *BMC Palliat Care* 2019; 18(1):37. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12904-019-0421-x>

