

# Could Human Being Eradicate Mosquito-Borne Viral Diseases?

**Nazir Loveday\***

University of Cape Town, Rondebosch, Cape Town, 7700, South Africa

\*: All correspondence should be sent to: Dr. Nazir Loveday

Author's Contact: Dr. Nazir Loveday, Ph.D., E-mail: [nazirloveday@gmail.com](mailto:nazirloveday@gmail.com)

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.15354/si.25.re1183>

Funding: No funding source declared.

COI: The author declares no competing interest.

AI Declaration: The author affirms that artificial intelligence did not contribute to the process of preparing the work.

**Mosquito-borne viral diseases such as dengue, Zika, chikungunya, yellow fever, and West Nile virus continue to inflict considerable public health challenges globally, particularly in tropical and subtropical climates. These viruses are responsible for significant morbidity and mortality, impacting economic development, straining healthcare systems, and disproportionately affecting vulnerable populations. Despite noteworthy advancements in vector control, immunization programs, and emerging genetic tools, the total eradication of these diseases remains elusive. This paper reviews whether human beings can realistically eradicate mosquito-borne viral diseases. It explores the historical context of disease control efforts, current strategies, novel technologies, environmental and biological challenges, socioeconomic and political dimensions, and ethical and ecological considerations. While complete global eradication is currently implausible due to various constraints, regional elimination and significant reduction in disease burden are achievable through integrated, multidisciplinary, and sustained efforts.**

**Keywords:** Mosquito-Borne Diseases; Viruses; Eradication; Global Control; Sustainability

Science Insights, May 31, 2025; Vol. 46, No. 5, pp.1827-1832.

© 2025 Insights Publisher. All rights reserved.



Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed by the Insights Publisher.

## Introduction

**M**OSQUITOES have long been recognized as one of the most dangerous animals to humans, not because of their own biology, but because of the numerous diseases they transmit. Viral pathogens transmitted by mosquitoes, such as those causing dengue fever, Zika virus disease, chikungunya, yellow fever, and West Nile virus, have emerged as major public health concerns in both endemic and

non-endemic regions (Dong & Soong, 2021). The burden of these diseases is immense, not only due to direct health impacts but also because of their effects on economies, productivity, and public confidence in health infrastructure (Parvez & Parveen, 2017). As globalization accelerates and climate conditions become increasingly favorable for mosquito proliferation, previously unaffected regions are experiencing outbreaks, further complicating control efforts (Ebi & Hess, 2020). The success of

past global health initiatives has captivated scientists and policymakers alike with the idea of eradicating these diseases for good. However, the biological and environmental complexities inherent in mosquito-borne diseases necessitate a thorough examination of what eradication truly entails, and whether it can realistically be achieved (Moreno et al., 2024).

## Historical Context and Definitions

### Disease Elimination vs. Eradication

It is crucial to distinguish between elimination and eradication when discussing the potential outcomes of disease control efforts. Elimination refers to the interrupted transmission of a disease in a specific geographic area, often maintained through ongoing public health interventions (Caplan & Mamo, 2024). Eradication, on the other hand, represents the complete and permanent reduction to zero of the incidences of infection caused by a specific pathogen across the globe, with no risk of reintroduction. Eradication efforts require immense coordination, resources, and persistence, as they leave no room for complacency. Even a single missed case can result in re-establishment of the disease.

### Historical Efforts Against Mosquito-Borne Diseases

Historical campaigns aimed at eradicating mosquito-borne diseases provide valuable lessons. Early 20th-century efforts to eliminate yellow fever and malaria in regions of the Americas and Africa were initially successful due to aggressive vector control and environmental management (Kumar et al., 2024). However, many of these programs faltered due to financial constraints, political instability, resistance to insecticides, and lack of sustained community engagement. In some cases, the cessation of funding and weakening of public health infrastructure led to dramatic resurgences (Hancock et al., 2024). These experiences underscore the importance of consistent investment, adaptive strategies, and long-term planning in disease eradication campaigns.

## Biological and Ecological Challenges

### Vector Biology and Adaptability

Mosquitoes have evolved remarkable traits that make them effective vectors of disease. The *Aedes aegypti* mosquito, a primary vector for dengue, Zika, and chikungunya viruses, is highly anthropophilic, preferring to feed on humans and breed in artificial containers within human habitats (Nakase et al., 2024). This adaptation allows it to thrive in urban environments where control measures are more difficult to implement consistently. Its eggs can survive desiccation for months, enabling the species to persist even in dry conditions. Moreover, the mosquito's ability to develop resistance to commonly used insecticides further hampers control efforts (Carvalho & Moreira, 2017).

### Viral Evolution and Reservoirs

The RNA viruses transmitted by mosquitoes are characterized by high mutation rates, which facilitate rapid adaptation to new environments, hosts, and selective pressures (Yu & Cheng,

2022). These mutations can alter viral virulence, host range, and transmission efficiency. Some viruses, like yellow fever and Zika, maintain enzootic cycles in wildlife populations, particularly non-human primates. This means that even if human transmission were halted, the pathogen could persist in animal reservoirs and reemerge later (Parvez & Parveen, 2017). Furthermore, asymptomatic carriers among humans complicate efforts to identify and isolate cases, facilitating silent spread.

## Current Control Strategies

### Vector Control Measures

Vector control remains the cornerstone of mosquito-borne disease prevention. Traditional strategies include the application of insecticides through indoor residual spraying and fogging, larvicidal treatment of water bodies, and the use of physical barriers such as bed nets (Lobo et al., 2017). Community-based source reduction efforts, such as eliminating standing water in domestic settings, are crucial but require consistent public participation (Chotun et al., 2024). However, the emergence of resistance to insecticides among mosquito populations, coupled with challenges in maintaining high community engagement over time, has limited the long-term effectiveness of these interventions. Integrated vector management approaches seek to address these limitations by combining chemical, biological, environmental, and educational components into a unified framework (Asale et al., 2019).

### Vaccines and Therapeutics

Vaccination represents a powerful tool for disease prevention, though its development for mosquito-borne viral infections has been uneven. The yellow fever vaccine is one of the most effective in history, offering lifelong immunity with a single dose (Bifani et al., 2020). However, vaccines for diseases like dengue have encountered challenges due to the complex immunological landscape involving four serotypes and the risk of antibody-dependent enhancement (Moi et al., 2016). The Zika and chikungunya vaccines are in various stages of clinical development but are not yet widely available (De Souza et al., 2024). Therapeutic options for most mosquito-borne viral infections are limited to supportive care, emphasizing the importance of preventive strategies.

### Public Health Education and Surveillance

Public awareness campaigns play a vital role in promoting behaviors that reduce mosquito breeding and human exposure. Educational initiatives must be culturally tailored and accessible to diverse populations (Krishnappa et al., 2022). In parallel, disease surveillance systems are critical for timely detection of outbreaks and implementation of control measures. Innovations in real-time data collection, mobile health applications, and participatory epidemiology have improved outbreak monitoring (Branda et al., 2024). Nonetheless, in many endemic regions, surveillance infrastructure remains inadequate due to resource limitations, leading to underreporting and delayed responses.

## Emerging Technologies

## Genetic Control: CRISPR and Gene Drives

Recent advances in genetic engineering have opened the door to potentially transformative tools for vector control. Gene drives using CRISPR-Cas9 technology can propagate specific genetic traits through mosquito populations with unprecedented efficiency (Mäkelin, 2024). These drives could be used to reduce mosquito fertility, skew sex ratios, or render mosquito's incapable of transmitting pathogens (Wilson et al., 2020). The promise of these tools lies in their potential for self-sustaining, large-scale impact. However, their deployment raises concerns about ecological balance, unintended mutations, and the ethical implications of manipulating natural populations.

## Wolbachia-Based Biocontrol

Another promising approach involves the use of *Wolbachia*, a naturally occurring bacterium that can be introduced into mosquito populations to block virus replication (Carvalho & Moreira, 2017). Mosquitoes infected with *Wolbachia* have reduced competence for transmitting dengue, Zika, and chikungunya viruses (Guruprasad et al., 2013). This method has been successfully piloted in several urban centers, leading to significant reductions in disease incidence. Unlike gene drives, *Wolbachia* spreads through mosquito populations via maternal transmission, providing a more controllable and reversible intervention (Leftwich et al., 2018).

## AI and Remote Sensing

Artificial intelligence and remote sensing technologies are increasingly used for predicting mosquito population dynamics and potential outbreak hotspots. Satellite imagery can identify areas of high mosquito breeding potential, while AI models integrate climate, environmental, and epidemiological data to forecast disease spread (Zeng et al., 2020). These tools enable more targeted and efficient allocation of resources, particularly in settings with limited public health infrastructure. As these technologies become more affordable and user-friendly, their adoption is expected to rise.

## Socioeconomic and Political Considerations

### Inequities in Health Infrastructure

Health systems in low- and middle-income countries often struggle to provide comprehensive care and preventive services. Chronic underfunding, workforce shortages, and inadequate supply chains impede the effective implementation of vector control and vaccination programs (Scholz et al., 2015). Rural and marginalized communities are especially vulnerable due to poor access to health services and limited health literacy (De Gaetano et al., 2025). Addressing these systemic disparities is essential for any sustainable disease eradication effort.

### Funding and Political Will

Eradication initiatives require not only financial investment but also sustained political commitment. The episodic nature of mosquito-borne disease outbreaks can lead to fluctuating interest and funding, with surges during epidemics followed by neglect (González et al., 2025). Political instability and competing health priorities further complicate long-term planning. Suc-

cessful programs depend on stable governance, international cooperation, and the integration of eradication goals into national development agendas.

## Climate Change and Urbanization

Global environmental changes are reshaping the epidemiology of mosquito-borne diseases. Climate change extends the habitable range of vectors, introducing diseases into new geographic areas (Fatima et al., 2025). Warmer temperatures and altered precipitation patterns enhance mosquito reproduction and virus incubation. Meanwhile, rapid urbanization, particularly in developing countries, creates dense populations with inadequate water management and sanitation, fostering ideal breeding conditions for mosquitoes (Montgomery et al., 2025). Urban planning and climate adaptation strategies must be incorporated into public health policies to mitigate these emerging risks.

## Ethical and Ecological Dilemmas

### Ecological Risks of Vector Suppression

The potential ecological consequences of eradicating mosquito species are poorly understood. Mosquitoes serve as prey for a variety of organisms and may play a role in nutrient cycling and pollination. The removal of a species, particularly in ecosystems where it plays a nontrivial role, could have ripple effects that destabilize food webs and ecological interactions (Fang, 2010). These uncertainties illustrate the importance of comprehensive ecological assessments before implementing irreversible interventions such as gene drives.

### Ethical Questions on Gene Editing

The release of genetically modified organisms into the environment raises profound ethical concerns. These include the potential for unintended consequences, the difficulty of obtaining informed consent from affected communities, and the risk of exacerbating global inequities in decision-making (Tajudeen et al., 2023). Public engagement, transparent risk assessment, and inclusive governance are essential components of responsible research and deployment of gene-editing technologies. Ethical deliberation must keep pace with scientific innovation to ensure that technological solutions are aligned with societal values.

## Future Prospects and Recommendations

### Realistic Goals: Control vs. Eradication

Given the multitude of challenges, complete global eradication of mosquito-borne viral diseases is unlikely in the near future. However, control and regional elimination are attainable goals that can lead to significant reductions in disease burden. Strategies should focus on maintaining momentum during inter-epidemic periods, ensuring that control measures are not abandoned when case numbers decline. Achievable benchmarks can include reducing disease incidence, minimizing outbreaks, and expanding vaccination coverage.

### Strengthening International Collaboration

The global nature of mosquito-borne diseases necessitates international coordination. Countries must share data, resources,

and best practices to enhance collective preparedness and response. Multilateral organizations can facilitate these collaborations by providing technical assistance, funding, and policy guidance (Braack et al., 2023). Cross-border initiatives are particularly important in regions where vectors and pathogens easily move between countries.

### **Prioritizing Research and Ethical Governance**

Continued investment in scientific research is essential for developing new tools and improving existing interventions. This includes vaccine research, diagnostic innovation, ecological studies, and social science research on community behavior and acceptance. Simultaneously, ethical governance structures must evolve to oversee emerging technologies, ensuring transparency, accountability, and inclusivity in decision-making processes (Macnish & Ham, 2020). Empowering local communities to

participate in research and policy development enhances legitimacy and effectiveness.

### **Conclusion**

The eradication of mosquito-borne viral diseases represents a formidable scientific and societal challenge. While the goal of total global eradication remains out of reach for now, substantial progress can be made in reducing disease transmission and alleviating the associated health and economic burdens. A pragmatic approach that balances innovation with caution, and ambition with realism, is required. Integrated strategies that include vector control, vaccination, education, surveillance, and international collaboration offer the best path forward. Ultimately, success will depend not only on scientific advances but also on political will, ethical responsibility, and collective global action. ■

---

Received: January 27, 2025 | Revised: February 22, 2025 | Accepted: March 15, 2025

---

## References

- Asale, A., Kussa, D., Girma, M., Mbogo, C., & Mutero, C. M. (2019). Community based integrated vector management for malaria control: lessons from three years' experience (2016–2018) in Botor-Tolay district, southwestern Ethiopia. *BMC Public Health*, 19(1). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-019-7606-3>
- Bifani, A. M., Ong, E. Z., & De Alwis, R. (2020). Vaccination and therapeutics: Responding to the changing epidemiology of yellow fever. *Current Treatment Options in Infectious Disease*, 12(3), 349–360. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40506-020-00232-7>
- Braack, L., Wulandhari, S. A., Chanda, E., Fouque, F., Merle, C. S., Nwangwu, U., Velayudhan, R., Venter, M., Yahuuedo, A. G., Lines, J., Aung, P. P., Chan, K., Abeku, T. A., Tibenderana, J., & Clarke, S. E. (2023). Developing African arbovirus networks and capacity strengthening in arbovirus surveillance and response: findings from a virtual workshop. *Parasites & Vectors*, 16(1). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-023-05748-7>
- Branda, F., Scarpa, F., Petrosillo, N., & Ciccozzi, M. (2024). A one health platform for future epidemic preparedness. *Infectious Disease Reports*, 16(2), 281–288. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/idr16020023>
- Caplan, A., & Mamo, N. (2024). The challenging concept of eradication: A core concept guiding and frustrating public health. *Can J Public Health*. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.17269/s41997-024-00947-w>
- Carvalho, F. D., & Moreira, L. A. (2017). Why is *Aedes aegypti* Linnaeus so Successful as a Species? *Neotropical Entomology*, 46(3), 243–255. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13744-017-0520-4>
- Chotun, N., Eaton, J., Anagbogu, I. A., Tesfahunei, H. A., Shawa, S., Karutu, C., Bolarinwa, A., & Mohammed, A. (2024). Sustaining success through strategies for post-elimination management of neglected tropical diseases in African Union Member States. *Frontiers in Tropical Diseases*, 5. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fitd.2024.1421522>
- De Gaetano, S., Ponzo, E., Midiri, A., Mancuso, G., Filippone, D., Infortuna, G., Zummo, S., & Biondo, C. (2025). Global Trends and Action Items for the Prevention and Control of Emerging and Re-Emerging Infectious Diseases. *Hygiene*, 5(2), 18. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/hygiene502018>
- De Souza, W. M., Fumagalli, M. J., De Lima, S. T. S., Parise, P. L., Carvalho, D. C. M., Hernandez, C., De Jesus, R., Delafiori, J., Candido, D. S., Carregari, V. C., Muraro, S. P., Souza, G. F., Mello, L. M. S., Claro, I. M., Díaz, Y., Kato, R. B., Trentin, L. N., Costa, C. H. S., Maximo, A. C. B. M., . . . Weaver, S. C. (2024). Pathophysiology of chikungunya virus infection associated with fatal outcomes. *Cell Host & Microbe*, 32(4), 606–622.e8. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2024.02.011>
- Dong, X., & Soong, L. (2021). Emerging and Re-emerging Zoonoses are Major and Global Challenges for Public Health. *Zoonoses*, 1(1). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.15212/zoonoses-2021-0001>
- Ebi, K. L., & Hess, J. J. (2020). Health risks due to climate change: Inequity in causes and consequences. *Health Affairs*, 39(12), 2056–2062. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.2020.01125>
- Fang, J. (2010). Ecology: A world without mosquitoes. *Nature*, 466(7305), 432–434. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/466432a>
- Fatima, M., An, T., Park, P., & Hong, K. (2025). Advancements and Challenges in Addressing Zoonotic Viral Infections with Epidemic and Pandemic Threats. *Viruses*, 17(3), 352. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/v17030352>
- González, E., Anderson, M. a. E., Ang, J. X. D., Nevard, K., Shackelford, L., Larrosa-Godall, M., Leftwich, P. T., & Alphey, L. (2025). Optimization of SgRNA expression with RNA pol III regulatory elements in *Anopheles stephensi*. *Scientific Reports*, 15(1). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-025-98557-0>
- Guruprasad, N. M., Jalali, S. K., & Puttaraju, H. P. (2013). *Wolbachia*-a foe for mosquitoes. *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Disease*, 4(1), 78–81. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/s2222-1808\(14\)60319-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/s2222-1808(14)60319-4)
- Hancock, P. A., Ochomo, E., & Messenger, L. A. (2024). Genetic surveillance of insecticide resistance in African *Anopheles* populations to inform malaria vector control. *Trends in Parasitology*, 40(7), 604–618. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2024.04.016>
- Krishnappa, L., Gadicherla, S., Chalageri, V. H., & Jacob, A. M. (2022). Impact of School-Based Health Education on Dengue Prevention and Control in an Urban Area during an Epidemic. *Medical Journal of Dr D Y Patil Vidyapeeth*, 16(Suppl 1), S10–S14. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.4103/mjdrdypu.mjdrdypu\\_875\\_21](https://doi.org/10.4103/mjdrdypu.mjdrdypu_875_21)
- Kumar, G., Baharia, R., Singh, K., Gupta, S. K., Joy, S., Sharma, A., & Rahi, M. (2024). Addressing challenges in vector control: a review of current strategies and the imperative for novel tools in India's combat against vector-borne diseases. *BMJ Public Health*, 2(1), e000342. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjph-2023-000342>
- Leftwich, P. T., Edgington, M. P., Harvey-Samuel, T., Paladino, L. Z. C., Norman, V. C., & Alphey, L. (2018). Recent advances in threshold-dependent gene drives for mosquitoes. *Biochemical Society Transactions*, 46(5), 1203–1212. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1042/bst20180076>
- Lobo, N. F., Achee, N. L., Greico, J., & Collins, F. H. (2017). Modern Vector Control. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Medicine*, 8(1), a025643. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a025643>
- Macnish, K., & Van Der Ham, J. (2020). Ethics in cybersecurity research and practice. *Technology in Society*, 63, 101382. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2020.101382>
- Mäkelin, M. (2024). Between the lab and the Wild: Establishing the Potential of Gene Drive Mosquitoes for Malaria Control. *Science as Culture*,

33(4), 491–510. DOI:

<https://doi.org/10.1080/09505431.2024.2325982>

Moi, M. L., Takasaki, T., & Kurane, I. (2016). Human antibody response to dengue virus: implications for dengue vaccine design. *Tropical Medicine and Health*, 44(1). DOI:

<https://doi.org/10.1186/s41182-016-004-y>

Montgomery, M. J., Harwood, J. F., Yougang, A. P., Wilson-Bahun, T. A., Tedjou, A. N., Keumeni, C. R., Wondji, C. S., Kamgang, B., & Kilpatrick, A. M. (2025). The effects of urbanization, temperature, and rainfall on *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* mosquito abundance across a broad latitudinal gradient in Central Africa. *Parasites & Vectors*, 18(1). DOI:

<https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-025-06764-5>

Moreno, R. D., Valera, L., Borgoño, C., Castilla, J. C., & Riveros, J. L. (2024). Gene drives, mosquitoes, and ecosystems: an interdisciplinary approach to emerging ethical concerns. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, 11. DOI:

<https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2023.1>

[254219](https://doi.org/10.1186/s41182-016-004-y)

Nakase, T., Giovanetti, M., Obolski, U., & Lourenço, J. (2024). Population at risk of dengue virus transmission has increased due to coupled climate factors and population growth. *Communications Earth & Environment*, 5(1). DOI:

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-024-01639-6>

Parvez, M. K., & Parveen, S. (2017). Evolution and emergence of pathogenic viruses: past, present, and future. *Intervirology*, 60(1–2), 1–7. DOI:

<https://doi.org/10.1159/000478729>

Scholz, S., Ngoli, B., & Flessa, S. (2015). Rapid assessment of infrastructure of primary health care facilities – a relevant instrument for health care systems management. *BMC Health Services Research*, 15(1). DOI:

<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-015-0838-8>

Tajudeen, Y. A., Oladipo, H. J., Oladunjoye, I. O., Oladipo, M. K., Shittu, H. D., Abdulmumeen, I., Afolabi, A. O., & El-Sherbini, M. S. (2023). Transforming malaria prevention and control: the prospects

and challenges of gene drive technology for mosquito management. *Annals of Medicine*, 55(2). DOI:

<https://doi.org/10.1080/07853890.2024.2302504>

Wilson, A. L., Courtenay, O., Kelly-Hope, L. A., Scott, T. W., Takken, W., Torr, S. J., & Lindsay, S. W. (2020). The importance of vector control for the control and elimination of vector-borne diseases. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, 14(1), e0007831. DOI:

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0007831>

Yu, X., & Cheng, G. (2022). Adaptive evolution as a driving force of the emergence and Re-Emergence of Mosquito-Borne viral diseases. *Viruses*, 14(2), 435. DOI:

<https://doi.org/10.3390/v14020435>

Zeng, D., Cao, Z., & Neill, D. B. (2020). Artificial intelligence-enabled public health surveillance—from local detection to global epidemic monitoring and control. In Elsevier eBooks (pp. 437–453). DOI:

<https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-821259-2.00022-3>