

Physics

Is Quantum Entanglement the Basis of Multiverse?

Carmen Muro*

Universidad de Granada, Avda. del Hospicio, s/n, C.P. 18010 Granada, Spain

*: All correspondence should be sent to: Dr. Carmen Muro

Author's Contact: Dr. Carmen Muro, Ph.D., E-mail: carmen_muro1@ugr.es

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.15354/si.25.op306>

Funding: No funding source declared.

COI: The author declares no competing interest.

AI Declaration: The author affirms that artificial intelligence did not contribute to the process of preparing the work.

Quantum entanglement remains one of the most perplexing and foundational phenomena in quantum mechanics, demonstrating instantaneous correlations between particles regardless of distance. This article hypothesizes that quantum entanglement may serve not merely as an artifact of quantum systems but as a fundamental structural component of a broader multiversal framework. By synthesizing principles from quantum mechanics, theoretical physics, and cosmology, the argument is developed that entanglement might indicate the coexistence and interaction of parallel realities. Such a perspective challenges the conventional interpretation of entanglement as a closed-system phenomenon and posits it instead as an interdimensional tether across multiple universes. Though currently speculative and beyond empirical verification, this hypothesis opens a philosophical and theoretical avenue for reevaluating the implications of entanglement and the nature of reality itself. By reframing entanglement as a bridge rather than a boundary, the article explores whether the quantum world subtly encodes the existence of the multiverse within its very correlations.

Keywords: Quantum Entanglement; Multiverse; Universe Framework; Theoretical Physics; Cosmology

Science Insights, June 30, 2025; Vol. 46, No. 6, pp.1847-1850.

© 2025 Insights Publisher. All rights reserved.



Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed by the Insights Publisher.

QUANTUM entanglement is among the strangest yet most empirically validated predictions of quantum mechanics. Described by Einstein as "spooky action at a distance," entangled particles exhibit correlations in their measured properties that defy classical understanding (Kurizki & Gordon, 2020). The entanglement phenomenon means that when two particles interact, they stay connected no matter how

far apart they are, so measuring one particle instantly affects the other. This peculiar connection transcends conventional notions of space and time, hinting at a deeper substructure to physical reality. The prevailing interpretations—ranging from the Copenhagen interpretation to many-worlds and objective collapse theories—strive to reconcile entanglement with causality and locality (Wootters, 1998). However, if we treat quantum entan-

gument not merely as a puzzling quantum feature but as a signature of something more profound, a compelling hypothesis emerges: could entanglement be the very foundation or evidence of a multiverse?

The concept of the multiverse has migrated from metaphysical speculation to serious scientific consideration, particularly in light of string theory, cosmic inflation, and interpretations of quantum mechanics like the many-worlds interpretation (MWI) (Ellis, 2011). The MWI suggests that every quantum event spawns a branching of the universe, creating a parallel world where each possible outcome occurs. In this framework, reality constantly splits into a staggering multiplicity of divergent histories, each as real as the one we perceive. Although MWI provides an elegant resolution to the measurement problem in quantum mechanics by eliminating wavefunction collapse, it lacks empirical support and seems detached from observable phenomena (Singh, 2017). The idea that quantum entanglement might provide a mechanism—if not a detection method—for multiverse interaction offers a fresh lens through which to interrogate this vast and daunting proposition.

Entanglement implies a kind of unity that resists division into independent states (Kanno et al., 2015). Once two particles are entangled, they form a joint wavefunction. In this wavefunction, their individual identities are subsumed into a composite quantum state. Intriguingly, this state cannot be fully described by reference to the individual particles alone—it must be treated holistically. This holistic requirement mirrors the concept of interconnected realities, where distinct universes may not be wholly independent, but may share a meta-structure or underlying informational symmetry (Fath, 2014). If entanglement reflects not just a local event within our universe but a tethering between versions of reality across different universes, then it might encode the very topology of a multiverse landscape.

The apparent nonlocality of entanglement becomes a key clue. In our universe, the speed of light defines the upper limit of causal interaction (Horodecki et al., 2009). Yet entangled particles seem to bypass this constraint, with measurement correlations manifesting instantaneously across vast distances. The standard response to this paradox is that entanglement does not transmit information in a classical sense and thus does not violate relativity. Nevertheless, the instantaneous coordination of entangled states remains suggestive. If one instead posits that entanglement is an inter-universal event—that the two entangled particles actually exist in subtly differing but correlated universes—then the paradox of nonlocality dissolves (Brassard & Raymond-Robichaud, 2019). The instantaneous correlation is not happening across space within a single universe, but across universes where time and space may be differently defined. This reframing preserves causality while allowing for a radically new ontology.

The role of the observer and measurement in quantum mechanics is another fruitful domain for examining this hypothesis. In traditional interpretations, the observer causes the collapse of the wavefunction (Marshall, 2023). In MWI, the observer becomes entangled with the observed system, branching into multiple realities. If we extend the entanglement interpretation into a multiversal context, then measurement becomes an

act of alignment—a selection of one correlated reality over others. The entangled state persists across universes, but the act of observation channels the observer into one coherent branch (Kanno, 2015). The residual connections between branches remain latent, observable only as nonlocal quantum correlations. Thus, entanglement may be seen not as communication between particles in our universe, but as synchronization across reality threads within the multiverse.

This view finds resonance in recent work on the holographic principle and the ER=EPR conjecture (Kanno, 2015). The holographic principle suggests that the information contained within a volume of space can be represented on its boundary, implying a deep connection between information and geometry. The ER=EPR conjecture, proposed by Maldacena and Susskind, posits that Einstein-Rosen bridges (wormholes) are equivalent to EPR pairs (entangled particles). If this conjecture holds, then entanglement and spatial geometry are two sides of the same coin (Maldacena & Susskind, 2013). Entangled particles may be connected through nontraversable wormholes, implying that what we perceive as entanglement could be a manifestation of higher-dimensional connectivity. If we take this geometric idea further, it's possible that the connections aren't just within our universe, but between different universes—implying that entanglement comes from shared beginnings or interactions between them (Robles-Pérez, 2013).

Moreover, entanglement entropy, a measure of the information shared between entangled systems, might offer quantitative insights into multiversal structure. Entanglement entropy plays a central role in black hole thermodynamics and quantum field theory, suggesting that spacetime itself may be emergent from entanglement patterns (Pérez, 2012). If spacetime is emergent rather than fundamental, then the "place" where entanglement occurs may lie outside our familiar four-dimensional manifold (Raamsdonk, 2010). This supports the idea that entanglement is a window—or at least a fingerprint—of a more comprehensive reality structure, of which our universe is a part.

Critically, the hypothesis that quantum entanglement reveals or underlies a multiverse does not imply that information or matter is constantly flowing between universes in a conventional sense. Rather, it suggests that entangled systems retain a correlation that is best explained not by spatial proximity, but by their coexistence in a shared meta-reality (Maes, 2020). The observable correlations are a reflection of this deeper coherence. In this view, the multiverse is not a chaotic ensemble of random worlds but a coherent fabric where certain elements remain phase-locked through entanglement, preserving structure across boundaries.

Skeptics will rightly note the difficulty of empirically verifying such a hypothesis. Current experimental frameworks do not allow us to test for the existence of other universes, much less detect interactions with them. Yet science often progresses through hypotheses that initially exceed observational reach. The expansion of the Copernican model, the postulation of atoms, or the proposal of dark matter all began as theoretical necessities to explain observed anomalies (Krauss, 2024). If entanglement continues to challenge classical understanding, then proposing a multiversal substrate is a legitimate theoretical response to the phenomena.

Furthermore, the quantum computing revolution may offer indirect support. Entanglement is the resource that gives quantum computers their theoretical advantage over classical machines (Akter et al., 2022). If entangled qubits can simultaneously represent and manipulate vast informational spaces, and if these operations are real rather than abstract, then perhaps quantum computation is tapping into a structure that is richer than our universe alone. While this remains speculative, it invites consideration that quantum processes inherently involve more than what can be described within a single world (Di Biase, 2013).

The philosophical implications of this hypothesis are profound. If entanglement reveals a multiverse, then individuality and determinism take on new meanings. Our decisions, actions, and even thoughts may be continually branching into a network of realities (Sudbery et al., 2011). Yet through entanglement, we may retain connections to these diverging paths, forming a distributed self across the multiverse. Such a view harmonizes with certain interpretations of consciousness and identity that emphasize multiplicity, potentiality, and unity.

There are, of course, limitations and dangers in stretching

entanglement beyond its empirical base. The leap from nonlocal quantum correlation to inter-universal structure is enormous and may not withstand rigorous scrutiny. Yet science requires bold ideas, and the history of physics shows that today's heresies can become tomorrow's paradigms (Grant, 2015). At the very least, reimagining entanglement in multiversal terms encourages creative thinking and fosters interdisciplinary exploration between physics, cosmology, philosophy, and information theory.

In closing, the hypothesis that quantum entanglement is the basis—or at least the evidence—of a multiverse represents a bold synthesis of quantum mechanics and cosmological speculation. It reinterprets one of physics' most mysterious phenomena as not merely a feature of our universe, but as a bridge to others. While the current state of technology and theory does not allow us to test this directly, the conceptual elegance and explanatory power of this idea warrant serious consideration. Entanglement may indeed be the thread not just between particles, but between worlds, stitching together the vast tapestry of existence in a way we are only beginning to glimpse. ■

Received: March 10, 2025 | Revised: April 16, 2025 | Accepted: May 21, 2025

References

- Akter, M. S., Faruk, M. J. H., Anjum, N., Masum, M., Shahriar, H., Sakib, N., Rahman, A., Wu, F., & Cuzzocrea, A. (2022). Software Supply Chain Vulnerabilities Detection in Source Code: Performance Comparison between Traditional and Quantum Machine Learning Algorithms. 2021 IEEE International Conference on Big Data (Big Data), 5639–5645. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1109/bigdata55660.2022.10020813>
- Brassard, G., & Raymond-Robichaud, P. (2019). Parallel Lives: A Local-Realistic Interpretation of “Nonlocal” Boxes. *Entropy*, 21(1), 87. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/e21010087>
- Di Biase, F. (2013). A Holoinformational Model of the Physical Observer. *The Physics of Reality*, 490–503. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1142/9789814504782_0050
- Ellis, G. F. R. (2011). Does the multiverse really exist? *Scientific American*, 305(2), 38–43. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/scientificamerican0811-38>
- Fath, B. D. (2014). Sustainable systems promote wholeness-extending transformations: The contributions of systems thinking. *Ecological Modelling*, 293, 42–48. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2014.01.002>
- Grant, A. (2015). Gravity’s long-distance connection: Wormhole links between black holes could broker quantum-general relativity merger. *Science News*, 188(8), 28–31. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/scin.2015.188008016>
- Horodecki, R., Horodecki, P., Horodecki, M., & Horodecki, K. (2009). Quantum entanglement. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 81(2), 865–942. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/revmodphys.81.865>
- Kanno, S. (2015). Cosmological implications of quantum entanglement in the multiverse. *Physics Letters B*, 751, 316–320. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2015.10.050>
- Kanno, S., Shock, J. P., & Soda, J. (2015). Entanglement negativity in the multiverse. *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics*, 2015(03), 015. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2015/03/015>
- Krauss, A. (2024). Redefining the scientific method: as the use of sophisticated scientific methods that extend our mind. *PNAS Nexus*, 3(4). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/pnasnexus/pgae112>
- Kurizki, G., & Gordon, G. (2020). What is Quantum Entanglement? In Oxford University Press eBooks (pp. 109–119). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198787464.003.0007>
- Maes, S. (2020). Quantum Gravity Emergence from Entanglement in a Multi-Fold Universe. *HIJ*. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/z6ubm>
- Maldacena, J., & Susskind, L. (2013). Cool horizons for entangled black holes. *Fortschritte Der Physik*, 61(9), 781–811. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/prop.201300020>
- Marshall, P. (2023). The role of quantum mechanics in cognition-based evolution. *Progress in Biophysics and Molecular Biology*, 180–181, 131–139. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbiomolbio.2023.04.007>
- Prez, S. J. R. (2012). Inter-Universal entanglement. In *InTech eBooks*. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5772/52012>
- Robles-Pérez, S. J. (2013). Entanglement in a multiverse with no common space-time. *AIP Conference Proceedings*. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4791719>
- Singh, T. P. (2017). Classical and quantum: a conflict of interest. In *Fundamental theories of physics* (pp. 411–425). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-51700-1_25
- Sudbery, A., Home, D., Kar, G., & Majumda, A. S. (2011). Philosophical lessons of entanglement. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 7–14. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3635838>
- Van Raamsdonk, M. (2010). Building up spacetime with quantum entanglement. *General Relativity and Gravitation*, 42(10), 2323–2329. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10714-010-1034-0>
- Wootters, W. K. (1998). Quantum entanglement as a quantifiable resource. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society a Mathematical Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 356(1743), 1717–1731. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.1998.0198>