Opinion

Artificial Intelligence

Artificial Intelligence-Integrated Dynamic Prostheses

Gerd Holmberg[*]
Technische Universität München, Arcisstraße 21, 80333 München, Germany
*: All correspondence should be sent to: Dr. Gerd Holmberg
Author's Contact: Dr. Gerd Holmberg, Ph.D., E-mail: gholmberg1@gmail.com
DOI: https://doi.org/10.15354/si.25.op313
Funding: No funding source declared.
COI: The author declares no competing interest.
AI Declaration: The author affirms that artificial intelligence did not contribute to the process of preparing the work.

Artificial intelligence-integrated dynamic prostheses will represent a transformative leap in rehabilitative and assistive technologies, offering amputees and individuals with limb deficiencies unprecedented mobility, adaptability, and user-centered control. These advanced prosthetics combine cutting-edge artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning algorithms, neural interfaces, and biomechanical engineering to create responsive, intuitive devices that mimic the complexity of natural limb movement. Unlike traditional static prostheses, AI-enhanced models learn from users' motion patterns, adjust to environmental variables, and improve over time through continuous feedback loops. Their development involves multidisciplinary collaboration between data scientists, engineers, neuroscientists, and clinicians. While the field shows immense promise—improving functional outcomes, enhancing quality of life, and reducing physical and psychological burdens—challenges remain in accessibility, affordability, neural integration, and long-term user adaptability.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence; Dynamic Prostheses; Feedback Loop; Adaptability; Quality of Life

Science Insights, July 31, 2025; Vol. 47, No. 1, pp.1875-1878.

THE CONVERGENCE of artificial intelligence (AI) and prosthetic technology has led to the potential emergence of AI-integrated dynamic prostheses—devices that offer amputees and individuals with limb loss an unprecedented level of control, adaptability, and functionality. These intelligent prostheses will do more than replace a missing limb; they will learn, adapt, and evolve alongside the user, bridging the gap between artificial components and biological intention. Through the seamless integration of sensors, actuators, machine learning algorithms, and neural interfaces, AI-driven prosthetic limbs will offer a far more intuitive and personalized experience than ever before.

Traditional prostheses have long been limited by their mechanical nature. Most static prosthetic limbs follow pre-programmed movement patterns or require manual adjustments to adapt to different terrains or activities (Cordella et al., 2016). While some advancements in microprocessor-controlled joints and myoelectric prosthetics improved functionality, they still relied on fixed rule-based control systems (Lathouwers et al., 2025). In contrast, AI-integrated prostheses are equipped with the ability to learn from the user's behavior, environment, and physiological signals, resulting in dynamic adjustments that enhance natural movement and decrease the cognitive load on the user.

At the heart of these advanced devices is the principle of adaptive intelligence. Sensors embedded within the prosthesis collect real-time data on motion, pressure, electromyographic (EMG) activity, and external environmental conditions. AI algorithms, often based on deep learning or reinforcement learning models, process this data to predict the user's intent and dynamically control the prosthetic limb accordingly. For example, a smart knee prosthesis might detect subtle changes in walking speed, terrain incline, or gait pattern and adjust resistance and motion parameters on-the-fly to ensure balance, efficiency, and comfort (Ahkami et al., 2025).

One of the key features of AI-enhanced prostheses is their ability to form predictive models based on historical data. Over time, the prosthetic limb becomes more attuned to the user's unique walking patterns, habits, and preferences. This learning capacity reduces the need for constant recalibration and manual control. In essence, the prosthesis becomes an extension of the user's neuromuscular system, responding to intention rather than requiring deliberate manipulation.

An especially revolutionary advancement is the incorporation of brain-computer interfaces (BCIs) and peripheral nerve interfaces (Hussain et al., 2025). These technologies allow the AI system to interpret neural signals—either directly from the brain or from residual limb nerves—and translate them into motor commands for the prosthesis. This level of integration facilitates bidirectional communication: not only can users control the limb through thought, but the prosthesis can also provide sensory feedback, such as pressure or touch, back to the nervous system. Such feedback loops are essential for maintaining balance, improving precision in motor control, and fostering a sense of embodiment.

Dynamic prostheses have found particular success in lower-limb replacements, where terrain variability, activity changes, and load shifts make adaptability crucial. AI-controlled ankle and knee joints, for instance, adjust resistance and movement in real-time to account for uneven surfaces, stairs, or abrupt directional changes. In upper-limb prosthetics, AI supports complex hand and finger movements necessary for gripping, pinching, and tool manipulation. By learning task-specific motion sequences, these devices can assist users in everyday tasks like typing, cooking, or handling delicate objects with remarkable precision.

A central component of these intelligent systems is machine learning. Supervised learning models are often used during the initial training phase, where users perform a series of movements to help the prosthesis recognize and label neural or muscular patterns (Kristoffersen et al., 2021). Once deployed, the device continues to evolve through unsupervised and reinforcement learning, modifying its behavior based on user feedback and success metrics (e.g., gait efficiency, stability). This self-optimization process ensures continuous improvement without the need for external recalibration.

The development of AI-integrated prostheses is highly interdisciplinary. Engineers design the hardware components—motors, actuators, power systems, and structural elements—to be lightweight, durable, and biologically compatible. Neuroscientists and clinicians provide insight into motor control, neuroplasticity, and user interface design, ensuring that the prosthesis interacts seamlessly with the human nervous system (Gupta et al., 2023). Data scientists and software developers create robust AI architectures capable of real-time processing, learning, and error correction.

However, the promise of AI-enhanced dynamic prosthetics is not without challenges. Cost remains a significant barrier to accessibility. Many of the most advanced models, such as the LUKE Arm or the Ottobock Genium X3 leg, can cost tens of thousands of dollars—making them inaccessible to much of the global population (Dababneh et al., 2025). Insurance coverage for such devices remains inconsistent, and many healthcare systems struggle to justify the investment without long-term outcome data.

Technical challenges also persist. Interpreting noisy biological signals like EMG or EEG remains difficult, especially in real-world settings where signal quality can fluctuate due to movement, sweat, or electrode degradation. The development of robust signal filtering and adaptive AI models is essential to maintaining reliability. Similarly, neural integration through implanted electrodes—while promising—poses risks related to infection, device rejection, and long-term biocompatibility (Leccardi & Ghezzi, 2020).

Moreover, ethical considerations come into play. As prostheses become more intelligent and capable, questions arise about autonomy, identity, and human enhancement. Will these devices remain tools, or could they become so sophisticated that they alter the user's sense of agency? Should there be limits to how much a prosthetic can enhance beyond normal human capacity—for instance, with strength, speed, or sensory acuity? The line between restoration and augmentation is increasingly blurred, and society must grapple with how to regulate and integrate such advancements ethically.

Despite these challenges, the future of AI-integrated dynamic prostheses is extraordinarily promising. With ongoing advancements in edge computing, battery technology, miniaturized sensors, and bioelectronic interfaces, future devices will be lighter, faster, more intuitive, and more affordable. Cloud-based AI training and data sharing across users may accelerate learning, allowing prosthetic limbs to benefit from a shared knowledge base of movement patterns and use cases.

In the coming years, we may witness a profound shift in how prostheses are designed—not as static tools but as co-adaptive partners in human motion. Personalized AI models, trained on a user's unique neurophysiological data, could be pre-loaded into prostheses before fitting, shortening the adaptation period. Augmented reality (AR) and virtual reality (VR) could aid in prosthesis training and user education. Additionally, prostheses may one day be capable of autonomous behavior—recognizing hazardous conditions or initiating fall-prevention maneuvers without user input.

Perhaps the most transformative potential lies in the psychological and social dimensions. Users of AI-integrated prostheses often report improved confidence, independence, and social engagement. By mimicking natural movement more closely and reducing the “robotic” feel of earlier models, these devices help individuals feel more whole and less stigmatized. As AI continues to refine its capacity to interpret human intent and context, prosthetic devices will increasingly feel like seamless extensions of the self rather than external aids (Lan et al., 2021).

In conclusion, artificial intelligence-integrated dynamic prostheses mark a critical evolution in rehabilitative medicine and human-computer interaction. By merging intelligent algorithms with biomechanical innovation, these devices move us closer to restoring not just limb function, but the full spectrum of human mobility and autonomy. As technological, clinical, and ethical frameworks continue to evolve, the challenge will be ensuring that this revolutionary advancement becomes not only a scientific triumph but also a universally accessible tool for human dignity and empowerment.■

Received: March 10, 2025 | Revised: May 05, 2025 | Accepted: May 21, 2025

References

Ahkami, B., Kristoffersen, M. B., & Ortiz-Catalan, M. (2025). Real-time locomotion mode detection in individuals with transfemoral amputation and osseointegration. Journal of NeuroEngineering and Rehabilitation, 22(1). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12984-025-01672-2

Cordella, F., Ciancio, A. L., Sacchetti, R., Davalli, A., Cutti, A. G., Guglielmelli, E., & Zollo, L. (2016). Literature Review on Needs of Upper Limb Prosthesis Users. Frontiers in Neuroscience, 10. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2016.00209

Dababneh, S., Dababneh, N., Xie, C., Henchi, H., & Efanov, J. I. (2025). Shaping the future of upper extremity prostheses through 3D printing. Prosthesis, 7(2), 39. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/prosthesis7020039

Gupta, A., Vardalakis, N., & Wagner, F. B. (2023). Neuroprosthetics: from sensorimotor to cognitive disorders. Communications Biology, 6(1). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-022-04390-w

Hussain, S. a. H., Raza, I., Hussain, S. A., Jamal, M. H., Gulrez, T., & Zia, A. (2025). A mental state aware brain computer interface for adaptive control of electric powered wheelchair. Scientific Reports, 15(1). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-82252-7

Kristoffersen, M. B., Franzke, A. W., Bongers, R. M., Wand, M., Murgia, A., & Van Der Sluis, C. K. (2021). User training for machine learning controlled upper limb prostheses: a serious game approach. Journal of NeuroEngineering and Rehabilitation, 18(1). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12984-021-00831-5

Lan, N., Hao, M., Niu, C. M., Cui, H., Wang, Y., Zhang, T., Fang, P., & Chou, C. (2021). Next-Generation Prosthetic Hand: from Biomimetic to Biorealistic. Research, 2021. DOI: https://doi.org/10.34133/2021/4675326

Lathouwers, E., Maricot, A., Tassignon, B., Geers, S., Flynn, L., Verstraten, T., & De Pauw, K. (2025). User accommodation to an active microprocessor-controlled knee in individuals with unilateral transfemoral amputation: a 5-week non-randomized trial. Journal of NeuroEngineering and Rehabilitation, 22(1). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12984-025-01637-5

Leccardi, M. J. A., & Ghezzi, D. (2020). Organic electronics for neuroprosthetics. Healthcare Technology Letters, 7(3), 52–57. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1049/htl.2019.0108