

Botany

## How Do Plants Prevent Diseases?

**Stéphanie Lecler\***

Université Paris-Saclay, Bâtiment Breguet, 3 rue Joliot-Curie, 91190 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

\*: All correspondence should be sent to: Dr. Stéphanie Lecler

Author's Contact: Dr. Stéphanie Lecler, Ph.D., E-mail: [stephanie\\_lecler@yahoo.com](mailto:stephanie_lecler@yahoo.com)

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.15354/si.25.pe261>

Funding: No funding source declared.

COI: The author declares no competing interest.

AI Declaration: The author affirms that artificial intelligence did not contribute to the process of preparing the work.

**Plants live in environments teeming with microbes, many of which are pathogenic, yet they possess remarkable strategies to prevent diseases and ensure survival. Unlike animals, plants lack mobile immune cells, but they have evolved sophisticated defense systems at structural, molecular, and biochemical levels. These defenses include preformed barriers such as cuticles and cell walls, as well as inducible mechanisms like pathogen recognition receptors, hypersensitive responses, and systemic acquired resistance. Plants also rely on beneficial microbial associations, secondary metabolites, and hormonal regulation to reduce pathogen load and limit disease spread. The ability of plants to defend themselves is crucial not only for their survival but also for global food security, biodiversity, and ecosystem balance. This perspective article explores how plants anticipate, resist, and adapt to constant microbial challenges, highlighting the elegance of their defense systems and the broader implications for agriculture, biotechnology, and sustainable disease management.**

**Keywords:** Plants; Disease Prevention; Sustainability; Defense System; Ecosystem

Science Insights, September 30, 2025; Vol. 47, No. 3, pp.1959-1962.

© 2025 Insights Publisher. All rights reserved.



Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed by the Insights Publisher.

**P**LANTS exist in a world where pathogens are omnipresent, yet their survival across millions of years demonstrates the effectiveness of their defensive strategies. Unlike animals, which can mobilize specialized immune cells, plants are sessile organisms without circulating immune systems (Spoel & Dong, 2012). This constraint has driven the evolution of a layered and highly integrated defense system that begins at the physical boundaries of the plant and extends deep into cellular and molecular mechanisms. In many respects, plants exemplify how immobile organisms can nevertheless thrive in hostile

environments by relying on structural resilience, chemical ingenuity, and adaptive signaling networks (Petrov et al., 2015). Their success in disease prevention is not just a matter of survival but forms the foundation of ecosystems and food chains, making plant immunity a subject of both scientific fascination and practical importance.

One of the most obvious but often underestimated ways that plants prevent disease is through their physical structures. The cuticle, a waxy layer covering leaves and stems, forms the first line of defense against fungal spores, bacterial colonization,

and viral entry (Kong & Yang, 2023). Beneath it lies the cell wall, a dynamic barrier composed of cellulose, lignin, and hemicellulose, which provides both mechanical strength and biochemical resistance (Wan et al., 2021). Unlike inert fortifications, these barriers are constantly reinforced or remodeled in response to environmental cues and pathogen attacks. For example, deposition of callose and lignin at infection sites can localize pathogens and limit their spread (Wang et al., 2021). Even stomata, tiny pores involved in gas exchange, can close upon detecting microbial signals, showing that disease prevention begins with the very architecture of plant tissues.

Yet structural barriers alone cannot explain the remarkable resilience of plants in pathogen-rich environments. Central to their defense is the ability to recognize invaders at the molecular level. Plants are equipped with pattern recognition receptors that detect conserved microbial features, known as pathogen-associated molecular patterns (Chaliha et al., 2018). This recognition triggers a cascade of immune responses called pattern-triggered immunity, which includes the production of antimicrobial compounds, reinforcement of cell walls, and activation of signaling pathways that alert neighboring cells. Some pathogens, however, have evolved effectors to suppress these defenses (Bigeard et al., 2015). In turn, plants counter with resistance genes encoding proteins that detect these effectors, leading to a more robust defense known as effector-triggered immunity. The outcome is a molecular arms race between plants and pathogens, where each adapts to the strategies of the other in an ongoing cycle of attack and counterattack.

A hallmark of this defensive interplay is the hypersensitive response, in which plant cells at the site of infection undergo programmed cell death. By sacrificing a limited area of tissue, the plant prevents the spread of biotrophic pathogens that rely on living host cells (Ghozlan et al., 2020). This localized resistance is not isolated but often linked to systemic acquired resistance, a phenomenon in which the entire plant enters a heightened defensive state following an initial infection. This systemic response, mediated by signaling molecules such as salicylic acid, primes distant tissues to respond more quickly and effectively to future attacks (Fu & Dong, 2013). Such immune memory, although mechanistically different from the adaptive immunity seen in animals, provides plants with a form of preparedness that significantly reduces disease incidence.

Chemical defenses also play a crucial role in plant disease prevention. Plants synthesize a wide variety of secondary metabolites, many of which have antimicrobial properties (Iriti & Vitalini, 2021). Phytoalexins, for example, are produced rapidly in response to pathogen invasion and can inhibit microbial growth directly. Other compounds, such as saponins and alkaloids, act as deterrents or toxins to potential pathogens and herbivores alike (Jeandet, 2015). Some plants maintain a baseline level of chemical defenses, while others ramp up production only when challenged, balancing energy costs with protective benefits (Al-Khayri et al., 2023). These chemical arsenals not only prevent disease but also shape microbial communities on plant surfaces and within tissues, selecting for symbiotic organisms that further enhance resilience.

Indeed, beneficial microbes are themselves an integral component of plant defense. The rhizosphere, the soil zone sur-

rounding roots, hosts diverse microbial communities that can outcompete pathogens, produce antibiotics, or induce systemic resistance in plants (Bakker et al., 2013). Symbiotic relationships with mycorrhizal fungi and nitrogen-fixing bacteria not only provide nutrients but also bolster immune readiness. In this way, disease prevention extends beyond the plant's genome to include ecological partnerships that act as living shields against infection (Khaliq et al., 2022). Such associations illustrate that plant immunity is not purely an individual trait but a community-based phenomenon.

Hormonal signaling further integrates plant defenses into a coordinated whole. Hormones such as salicylic acid, jasmonic acid, and ethylene play central roles in determining the type of immune response (Hönig et al., 2023). Salicylic acid is often associated with resistance against biotrophic pathogens, while jasmonic acid and ethylene are critical in defense against necrotrophs and herbivores. Cross-talk among these pathways ensures that the plant deploys appropriate defenses without wasting energy (Zhou et al., 2019). The dynamic regulation of hormonal signaling highlights the sophistication of plant immunity, where responses are tailored not only to the nature of the threat but also to developmental stage and environmental conditions.

The elegance of plant disease prevention is not without challenges. Pathogens continuously evolve strategies to evade recognition, suppress host defenses, or exploit weaknesses (Ghozlan et al., 2020). Viral pathogens, for instance, hijack host cellular machinery, while fungi and bacteria may secrete enzymes that degrade cell walls or toxins that disable defenses (Finlay & McFadden, 2006). Nevertheless, plants exhibit remarkable resilience, often maintaining a delicate balance between susceptibility and resistance. Their capacity to mount multi-layered defenses ensures survival even in environments where pathogens abound.

The implications of plant immunity extend far beyond the individual organism. For agriculture, understanding how plants prevent diseases is essential for securing crop yields and food security (Kaur et al., 2022). Breeding for disease resistance, engineering resistance genes, and leveraging beneficial microbes all draw on insights from natural plant defenses. With global challenges such as climate change and population growth, enhancing plant immunity is increasingly critical (Du et al., 2024). Equally, the study of plant defenses offers lessons for biotechnology and medicine, as many antimicrobial compounds discovered in plants have potential therapeutic applications in humans.

From an ecological perspective, the ability of plants to resist disease underpins ecosystem stability. Forests, grasslands, and agricultural systems all depend on the persistence of plants in the face of pathogens (De Jesús Cenobio-Galindo et al., 2024). The survival of plant communities ensures habitat, food, and oxygen for countless other species, including humans (Andersen et al., 2018). The effectiveness of plant disease prevention strategies is therefore a cornerstone of life on Earth.

In considering how plants prevent diseases, one cannot help but admire their ingenuity. With no capacity for flight or movement, plants stand rooted in place, enduring constant exposure to microbial threats. Yet through barriers, molecular

recognition, chemical warfare, symbiotic partnerships, and systemic signaling, they manage not just to survive but to flourish. Their defenses illustrate that immunity does not always require mobility or specialized cells but can emerge from distributed systems of resilience and adaptation.

The perspective that emerges is one of profound interdependence. Plants prevent diseases not only through their structures and signals but also by engaging microbial allies, responding dynamically to environmental cues, and evolving in tandem

with their pathogens. The result is a finely tuned balance that sustains both individual health and global ecosystems. In appreciating these strategies, humanity gains not only knowledge but also tools to protect crops, harness natural compounds, and design sustainable systems of disease management. The question of how plants prevent diseases reveals answers that are elegant in their simplicity and powerful in their implications, underscoring the resilience of life in its many forms. ■

---

Received: May 04, 2025

| Revised: June 30, 2025

| Accepted: August 11, 2025

---

## References

- Al-Khayri, J. M., Rashmi, R., Toppo, V., Chole, P. B., Banadka, A., Sudheer, W. N., Nagella, P., Shehata, W. F., Al-Mssallem, M. Q., Alessa, F. M., Almaghasla, M. I., & Rezk, A. A. (2023). Plant secondary metabolites: the weapons for biotic stress management. *Metabolites*, 13(6), 716. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/metabo13060716>
- Andersen, E., Ali, S., Byamukama, E., Yen, Y., & Nepal, M. (2018). Disease resistance mechanisms in plants. *Genes*, 9(7), 339. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/genes9070339>
- Bakker, P. A., Doornbos, R. F., Zamioudis, C., Berendsen, R. L., & Pieterse, C. M. (2013). Induced systemic resistance and the rhizosphere microbiome. *The Plant Pathology Journal*, 29(2), 136–143. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5423/ppj.si.07.2012.0111>
- Bigeard, J., Colcombet, J., & Hirt, H. (2015). Signaling Mechanisms in Pattern-Triggered Immunity (PTI). *Molecular Plant*, 8(4), 521–539. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2014.12.022>
- Chaliha, C., Rugen, M. D., Field, R. A., & Kalita, E. (2018). Glycans as modulators of plant defense against filamentous pathogens. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 9. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.00928>
- De Jesús Cenobio-Galindo, A., Hernández-Fuentes, A. D., González-Lemus, U., Zaldivar-Ortega, A. K., González-Montiel, L., Madariaga-Navarrete, A., & Hernández-Soto, I. (2024). Biofungicides based on plant extracts: on the road to organic farming. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 25(13), 6879. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms25136879>
- Du, Y., Han, X., & Tsuda, K. (2024). Microbiome-mediated plant disease resistance: recent advances and future directions. *Journal of General Plant Pathology*. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10327-024-01204-1>
- Finlay, B. B., & McFadden, G. (2006). Anti-Immunity: evasion of the host immune system by bacterial and viral pathogens. *Cell*, 124(4), 767–782. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2006.01.034>
- Fu, Z. Q., & Dong, X. (2013). Systemic Acquired Resistance: Turning Local Infection into Global Defense. *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, 64(1), 839–863. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-arplant-042811-105606>
- Ghozlan, M. H., El-Argawy, E., Tokgöz, S., Lakshman, D. K., & Mitra, A. (2020). Plant Defense against Necrotrophic Pathogens. *American Journal of Plant Sciences*, 11(12), 2122–2138. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4236/ajps.2020.1112149>
- Hönig, M., Roeber, V. M., Schmülling, T., & Cortleven, A. (2023). Chemical priming of plant defense responses to pathogen attacks. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 14. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2023.1146577>
- Iriti, M., & Vitalini, S. (2021). Plant immunity and crop yield: A Sustainable approach in Agri-Food Systems. *Vaccines*, 9(2), 121. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines9020121>
- Jeandet, P. (2015). Phytoalexins: current progress and future prospects. *Molecules*, 20(2), 2770–2774. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules2022770>
- Kaur, S., Samota, M. K., Choudhary, M., Choudhary, M., Pandey, A. K., Sharma, A., & Thakur, J. (2022). How do plants defend themselves against pathogens-Biochemical mechanisms and genetic interventions. *Physiology and Molecular Biology of Plants*, 28(2), 485–504. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12298-022-01146-y>
- Khaliq, A., Perveen, S., Alamer, K. H., Haq, M. Z. U., Rafique, Z., Alsudays, I. M., Althobaiti, A. T., Saleh, M. A., Hussain, S., & Attia, H. (2022). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi symbiosis to enhance Plant–Soil interaction. *Sustainability*, 14(13), 7840. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14137840>
- Kong, F., & Yang, L. (2023). Pathogen-triggered changes in plant development: Virulence strategies or host defense mechanism? *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 14. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2023.122947>
- Petrov, V., Hille, J., Mueller-Roeber, B., & Gechev, T. S. (2015). ROS-mediated abiotic stress-induced programmed cell death in plants. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 6. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2015.00069>
- Spoel, S. H., & Dong, X. (2012). How do plants achieve immunity? Defence without specialized immune cells. *Nature Reviews. Immunology*, 12(2), 89–100. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/nri3141>
- Wan, J., He, M., Hou, Q., Zou, L., Yang, Y., Wei, Y., & Chen, X. (2021). Cell wall associated immunity in plants. *Stress Biology*, 1(1). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44154-021-00003-4>
- Wang, Y., Li, X., Fan, B., Zhu, C., & Chen, Z. (2021). Regulation and function of Defense-Related Callose deposition in plants. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 22(5), 2393. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22052393>
- Zhou, Y., Van Leeuwen, S. K., Pieterse, C. M. J., Bakker, P. a. H. M., & Van Wees, S. C. M. (2019). Effect of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> on plant defense against leaf and root pathogens of Arabidopsis. *European Journal of Plant Pathology*, 154(1), 31–42. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10658-019-01706-1>