

ADAPTING TO ENVIRONMENTAL CHALLENGES IN POTABLE WATER STORAGE AND DISTRIBUTION IN KENYA

Patrick Kimutai Tum

Lecturer, Department of Chemistry, University of Nairobi, Nairobi, Kenya

Abstract: Water scarcity is a widespread issue in Africa, and access to clean and safe drinking water is a significant challenge faced by communities in rural, peri-urban, and urban areas in Kenya. The study investigated the various modes of storing and distributing portable water in two Kenyan counties, Uasin Gishu and Nandi. The research design employed a combination of naturalistic and descriptive survey methods, combining both qualitative and quantitative approaches in research, and raw data were collected using questionnaires and interview guides. The study aimed to identify the various modes of distributing movable and immovable potable water and the factors that determine the mode, such as geography, infrastructure, distance to water sources, economic status, and cultural beliefs. It was found that household tanks are the primary mode of immovable potable water storage, while the manual-head is the main mode of movable potable water distributed in Uasin Gishu and Nandi counties. Furthermore, the study highlighted the issue of water resource pollution in Kenya and the legal framework regulating water resources in the country, emphasizing access to water resources as a constitutional right.

Keywords: Water scarcity, potable water, potable water storage, water distribution, movable mode, immovable mode, water resource pollution, Kenya, legal framework, constitutional right.

1. Introduction

1.1. Water as a Scarce of Critical Natural Resource

Across the developing world, including Kenya, the availability and distribution of clean water have a vital role in the socio-economic status of African societies (UNICEF/WHO, 2016). According to UNESCO (2012), the population of the continent of Africa is expected to hit 1.4 billion inhabitants by mid-2023. This increase in population will place enormous strain on the already over-exploited water resources (WHO/UNICEF, 2012). Estimates by WHO (2012) show that in Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA), about 39% of the population have water connected to their homes – and in the rural and peri-urban areas, the figure drops to just 19% (United Nations, 2012). This indicates that 1 in 3 Africans are directly impacted by water scarcity, translating to at least 400 million people lacking access to clean and safe drinking water (Stephenson & Latham, 2000; WHO, 2012). On average, a citizen in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) travels 30 minutes daily, sourcing water resources (Gleick, 1996). The main causes of water scarcity in Africa are as follows: deepening poverty, rapid urbanization, rainfall deficit and drought, rapid population growth, and the 'climate crisis' (Berkman & Lescano, 2002; WHO/UNICEF, 2010). The 'water crisis' is a global phenomenon, despite 80% of the Earth's surface being

covered by water (Niehaus & Moore, 2002). Governments in Africa are developing strategies to mitigate challenges posed by scarce water sources on the health, well-being, and living standards of their citizens. However, several economic instruments are being used to alleviate this crisis, depending on the availability of sustainable water resources (Dillingham & Guerrant, 2004). The interdependence between the availability of water resources and development is exemplified by the relationship between water and poverty (UNECA, 2009). As a direct consequence of water scarcity and reduced sanitation, the prevalence of communicable diseases has created a huge disease burden in Africa (Stephenson & Latham, 2000). According to WHO/UNWater (2012), about 115 people in Africa die every hour from diseases attributed to poor sanitation, poor hygiene, and contaminated water (Dillingham & Guerrant, 2004). The available water resources in Africa are not available at the right time, quality, and quantity (White & Bradley, 1972; UNICEF/WHO, 2016). The availability of water resources in Africa may encounter challenges aggravated by poor and inefficient water storage and distribution methods that include both movable and immovable. These disparities in water management across communities vary greatly between countries on the continent, including Kenya (UN-HABITAT, 2007).




Figure 1: Major Rivers and Lakes in Kenya

Cumulatively Kenya is home to a total of 64 lakes representing 9.5% of Africa's lakes (White & Bradley, 1972; FAO, 2008). The collective volume of water in these lakes is around 20 billion m³ – heavily influencing the local climate, ecosystem, and biodiversity (UNEP, 2010). Major rivers in Kenya include: Tana, Athi, and Galana in the east and Kerio, Nzoia, Sondu-Miriu, Mara, and Nyando in the west. Small lakes include: Magadi, Turkana, Naivasha, Baringo, Elementata, Nakuru, and Bogoria, located in the Eastern Rift Valley (UNEP, 2010).

1.2. Pollution of Water Resources in Kenya

The majority of the population in Kenya relies on unsanitary sources of water, mainly for domestic use (UNHABITAT, 2007). These sources include:

- Streams
- Rivers
- Ponds
- Dams
- Stagnant wells
- Lakes

- Springs
- Aquifers, etc

The majority of these water sources are prone to bacterial contamination and pollution (White & Bradley, 1972). Dependence on such water for domestic usage has created the 'water crisis' in Kenya. Studies by Mbui *et al.* (2016) show that many rivers flowing through urban areas in Kenya are contaminated with potentially dangerous concentration levels of lead (Pb), Zinc (Zn), copper (Cu), Manganese (Mn), Iron (Fe) and Chromium (Cr). Additionally, the presence of high levels of *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*), *Helicobacter pylori* (*H. pylori*), fecal coliforms, and other forms of enteric bacteria has been detected in a majority of water sources across Kenya (Mbui *et al.*, 2016). Anthropogenic activities, domestic waste that includes raw sewage and municipal waste contribute significantly to the pollution of water resources (UNICEF/WHO, 2016). Outdated agricultural practices result in runoff accessing and contaminating water resources with heavy metals and fertilizers, leading to nutrient pollution of water, and causing algal growth (Carruthers, 1973). These algae reduce the amount of Dissolved Oxygen (DO) in water, thereby harming aquatic life. Estimates show that by the year 20215, Kenya's per capita water availability is projected to drop to 235 m³, down from 650 m³ in 2011 (UNECA, 2009).

1.3. Water Resources Regulations Legal Framework in Kenya

According to [article 62] & [article 43. (1)(d) of the Constitution of Kenya, 2010, water resources, i.e., rivers, lakes, and water catchment areas, are held in trust for the people of Kenya by the National Government (Wafula, 2010; Constitution of Kenya, 2010). Furthermore, [Article 204] of the constitution of Kenya, 2010, operationalized the equalization fund to promote equity in service provisions that includes water services. Access to a safe and steady water supply is a right of every Kenyan citizen under [Article 43] of the constitution. The law also provides access to reasonable sanitation standards that include access to safe water for domestic consumption (Constitution of Kenya, 2010). Access and regulation of water resources are regulated by the [Water Act, 2016 (No. 43 of 2016)] that defines ownership of and defines the rights in water resources of Kenya (Constitution of Kenya, 2010). The legal instrument strengthened the role of the Water Authority (WARMA) established under section 11 of the [Water Act, 2016] as an agent of the National Government to safeguard the right to clean water by ensuring effective regulation of the management and use of water resources, in order to guarantee access to sufficient water by all citizens (Constitution of Kenya, 2010). The [Water Act, 2016 (No. 43 of 2016)] also regulates the provision and designation of national public water works managed by individual sewerage and water treatment bodies at the County levels in Kenya.

2. Modes of Potable Water Distributed in Rural, Peri-Urban & Urban Areas

2.1. Movable Modes

Several modes of potable water storage and distribution are reported to be in use across the developing world and particularly in Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA). In Kenya, the modes vary from one geographical locality to another. The modes are dictated mainly through:

- Income levels
- Terrain
- Socio-cultural considerations

Some modes of potable water distribution are reserved for a specific gender, e.g., carrying water manually-head (Figure 5) is reserved for mainly females. The use of beasts of burden-donkeys (Figure 2), hand carts (Figure 3), and motorcycles (Figure 6) are generally utilized by males. Households and individuals of higher incomes used water boozers (Figure 8) and pick-ups/trucks (Figure 4) to distribute potable water to homes and business premises.



Figure 2: Donkey



Figure 3: Hand Carts



Figure 4: Pick-up Carrying Water Tank



Figure 5: Manual Ferrying Water



Figure 6: Motorcycle



Figure 7: Rollable Water Containers



Figure 8: Water Boozer



Figure 9: Water Tunnels




Figure 10: Underwater Pipelines



Figure 11: Overhead Water Pipelines

The various modes of movable potable water distribution in Nandi and Uasin Gishu are shown in figures 2–6 above.

2.2. Immovable Modes

In most developing countries, most modes of potable water storage and distribution result in leakages and the wastage of critical resources. Most of the potable water storage methods reported in the current study, i.e., containers (Figure 13), jugs (Figure 15), and calabash/gourd (Figure 14), are limited in capacity to hold a large volume of the resource. In urban areas, the use of household water tanks (Figure 19) and overhead steel water tanks (Figure 18) was found in many areas across Nandi and Uasin Gishu. In domestic environments (households), the use of water dispensers (Figure 16) was reported as a convenient immovable mode of potable water storage. In rural areas, the Traditional African water pot (Figure 17) was reported to be widely in use in most households.



Figure 12: Jerricans



Figure 13: Container



Figure 14: Calabash-Gourd



Figure 15: Water Jug



Figure 16: Table Water Dispenser



Figure 17: African Traditional Water Pot



Figure 18: Overhead Steel Water Tanks



Figure 19: Household Water Tanks

The figures 7–10 show the various immobile modes of potable water storage in Nandi and Uasin Gishu.

3. Methodology

3.1. Research Question

The research study aimed to identify the various modes of distributing movable and immovable potable water in rural, peri-urban, and urban areas in Uasin-Gishu and Nandi Counties in Kenya.

3.2. Research Design

A total of 25 respondent subjects (male/female) were purposefully identified and interviewed to provide information. The respondents were requested to participate in the study by completing a research questionnaire confidentially. The study used a combination of descriptive survey and naturalistic design methods of data acquisition, employing both qualitative and quantitative approaches in research, and raw data were collected

using one questionnaire (Kombo & Tromp, 2006). The researchers purposefully identified potential respondents and requested them to participate in the research study. The instruments of data collection were an on-the-spot questionnaire and interview guide to enhance comprehensive data collection (Kothari, 2004). The obtained raw data were analysed using statistical methods to arrange and summarise the results into frequencies and percentages. Statistical methods were used to analyse, arrange and summarise the raw data obtained into frequencies and percentages. The qualitative data processed was arranged thematically.

3.3. Research Instrument

The raw data were handwritten in the questionnaires before reporting in themes, frequencies, and percentages. The questionnaire was sub-divided into two sub-sections based on the research objective. Each respondent was then expected to respond to questions in the questionnaire. Questionnaires were used for this study because it was the most suitable research instrument for descriptive research design (Kombo & Tromp, 2006). The obtained data were processed using descriptive statistics, i.e., frequencies and percentages, and the results were presented in charts, tables, and graphs.

3.4. Maps of Study Area

3.4.1. Uasin Gishu County

Figure 20 below shows a map of Uasin Gishu County in Kenya.




Figure 20: Map of Uasin-Gishu County

Uasin Gishu County is located in the former Rift Valley Province in Kenya and geographically situated in the midwest. The County headquarters is located in Eldoret town. Uasin Gishu is located on a plateau and has a cool and temperate climate. The area has high and reliable rainfall, relatively large farm sizes, and mechanized farming. According to the Kenya Housing and Population census (2019), Uasin Gishu is inhabited by 2.1 million people.

3.4.2. Nandi County

Figure 21 below shows a map of Nandi County in Kenya.




Figure 21: Map of Nandi County

Nandi County is located in the former Rift Valley province in Kenya. The County headquarters is located in Kapsabet town. The County experiences a cool, wet climate with sufficient rainfall. According to the Kenya Housing and Population census (2019), the County population was 885.711.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1. Classification of Respondents by Gender

The respondents that participated in this study were categorized according to their gender and the results are shown in figure 22 below.




Figure 22: Categorization of Respondents by Gender

From figure 22, the respondents in this study were sampled from both male and female gender to obtain representative data. The respondents in this study were categorized as follows: [(female= 64%, 16 respondents; male=36%, 9 respondents)]. The results show that female respondents were more willing to participate and provide information on the research study, i.e., modes of potable water storage and distribution by an index (28%) in comparison to the male respondents.

4.2. Age Bracket of Respondents

The categorization of respondents according to their age bracket is shown in figure 23 below.




Figure 23: Categorization of Respondents by Age Bracket

The age bracket of the respondents in this study is shown in figure 23. The categorization was determined as follows:

- (10-20)=6 respondents, • (21-30)=7 respondents, • (31-40)=6 respondents,
- (41-50)=2 respondents,
- (51-60)=1 respondent,
- (61-70)= 3 respondents,
- (71-80)=1 respondent

The results indicate that a majority of respondents were in the age bracket (21-30) = 7 respondents, while the least number of respondents were in the age brackets (51-60); (71-80), each represented by a single respondent.

4.3. Highest Educational Qualifications of Respondents

The categorization of respondents according to the highest educational qualifications attained is shown in figure 24 below.




Figure 24: Categorization of Respondents According to the Highest Educational Qualifications Attained

The respondents were categorized according to their highest educational qualifications, and the results are shown in figure 24. The respondents had attained the following educational qualifications:

- (Certificate) = 8 respondents,
- (Diploma) = 11 respondents,
- (Degree) =3 respondents,
- (Masters) = 2 respondents,

- (Ph.D.) = 1 respondent

The results indicate that a majority of respondents had attained a diploma, (11) respondents as the highest educational qualification. The least number of respondents, (1), had attained a Ph.D. qualification.

4.4. Movable Modes of Potable Water Storage and Distribution

The various modes of movable potable water storage and distribution are shown in figure 25 below:




Figure 25: Movable Modes of Potable Water Storage and Distribution

Figure 25 shows the various modes of movable potable water storage and distribution as reported by the respondents in this study. The results were as follows:

- (Overhead water pipeline) = 19%,
- (Water boozzer) = 5%,
- (Hand carts) = 8%,
- (Beasts of burden) = 15%,
- (Pick-up/lorry –Tank) = 9%,
- (Motorcycle) = 17%,
- (Manual-head) = 21%,
- (Rolleable water container) = 4%,
- (Water Tunnel) = 1%,
- (Undersea pipeline) = 1%

The results show that the main mode of movable potable water distribution in Nandi and Uasin Gishu counties is (Manual-head), reported by 21% of the respondents.

4.5. Immovable Modes of Potable Water Storage

Figure 26 below shows the various modes of immovable potable water storage.




Figure 26: Immoveable Modes of Potable Water Storage

Figure 26 shows the various modes of immovable potable water storage as reported by respondents. The results indicate that the various modes are as follows:

- (Jerrycan) = 8%,
- (Containers) = 3%,
- (Calabash/gourd) = 1%,
- (Water jug) = 6%,
- (Dispenser) = 4%,
- (Traditional African pot) = 11%,
- (Overhead steel Tanks) = 14%,
- (Household Tanks) = 53%

The findings reveal that the most widely used mode of immovable potable water storage in Uasin Gishu and Nandi Counties was the (Household Tank) = 53%.

5. Conclusions

The study concludes that in Nandi and Uasin Gishu Counties, both modes of water distribution and storage, i.e., movable and immovable, were found to be used widely. The modes selected by the inhabitants were influenced mainly by income levels, terrain, geographical locality, and socio-cultural considerations, e.g., gender. The modes of potable water storage and distribution in urban areas are fairly effective compared to peri-urban and rural areas, where large volumes of water are lost due to ineffective modes of storage and distribution. The communities in this study are faced with a severe 'water crisis' occasioned by depleted water resources and poor distribution infrastructure.

6. References

Africa Water Atlas. UNEP, 2010.

Africa Water Vision 2025: Equitable and Sustainable Use of Water for Socio-economic Development. UNECA, 2009.

The Challenge of Extending and Sustaining and Drinking Water: Africa Highlights, GLAAS Report 2012. WHO, UN-WATER, 2012. iv. Kombo, D.K. and Tromp, D. L. A. (2006). Proposal and Thesis writing: An introduction. Nairobi: Pauline's Publications Africa.

- White, G., & Bradley, D. (1972). *Drawers of water: Domestic water use in East Africa*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Mbui, D., Chebet, E., Kamau, G., & Kibet, J. (2016). The state of Water Quality in Nairobi River, Kenya. *Asian Journal of Research in Chemistry*, 9(11), pp. 579–586.
- Republic of Kenya. (2010). *Constitution of Kenya*, Nairobi: Government printer.
- Gleick, P.H. (1996). Water Resources in *Encyclopedia of Climate and Weather* edited by S.H. Schneider. Oxford University Press: New York, NY. Vol. 2: pp. 817–823.
- Stephenson, L.S., & Latham, M.C. (2000). Malnutrition and parasitic helminth infections. *Parasitology* 121: pp.23–38.
- Dillingham, R., & Guerrant, R.L. (2004) Childhood stunting: measuring and stemming the staggering costs of inadequate water and sanitation. *Lancet*; 363: pp.94–95.
- Niehaus, M., & Moore, S. (2002). Early childhood diarrhea is associated with diminished cognitive function 4 to 7 years later in children in a northeast Brazilian shantytown. *American Journal of Tropical Hygiene and Medicine*, 66(5): pp.590–593.
- Berkman, D., & Lescano, A. (2002). Effects of stunting, diarrheal disease, and parasitic infection during infancy on cognition in late childhood: a follow-up study. *Lancet* 359 (9306): pp. 542–571.
- Kothari, C. R. (2004). *Research Methodology Methods and Techniques*. (2nd ed.). New Age International Publishers.
- Facts and figures from WWDR4. UNESCO, UN-Water, WWAP, March 2012.xvi.Facts and figures: Water, sanitation, and hygiene links to health. WHO, 2012.xvii.The Millennium Development Goals Report 2012. United Nations, July 2012.
- Progress of sanitation and drinking water: 2010 update. Joint Monitoring Programme (JMP) for Water and Sanitation. WHO/UNICEF JMP, 2010.(A) Snapshot of Drinking Water and Sanitation in Africa. 2012 Update. AMCOW WHO/UNICEF JMP, 2012.
- What are slums and why do they exist? 21st Session of the Governing Council. 16-20 April 2007, Nairobi, Kenya. UN-Habitat, April, 2007.
- UNICEF/WHO. (2016). *Safely Managed Drinking Water Services. Thematic Report on Drinking Water*. UNICEF and World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.
- Carruthers, I.D. (1973), *Impact and Economics of Community Water Supply: a Study of Rural Water Investment in Kenya* (Ashford, Kent, UK: Wye College, School of Rural Economics and Related Studies).
- Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO) & Kundell, J. (2008) "Water Profile of Kenya," in: Cutler J. Cleveland (eds.) *Encyclopedia of Earth* (Washington, DC: Environmental Information Coalition,

National Council for Science and the Environment); available at:
http://www.eoearth.org/article/Water_profile_of_Kenya.

Wafula, P. (2010). "Lack of Investment in Water Sector Leaves Kenyan Towns Parched," *Business Daily* (Nairobi, Kenya: Nation Media Group), p.2; available at: <http://www.businessdailyafrica.com/-/539546/958756/-/item/1/-/wo5d3ez/-/index.html>.