The American Journal of
Engineering and Technology

ISSN 2689-0984 | Open Access

TYPE Original Research
PAGE NO. 1-6

Prototyping and design of a reconfigurable run-flat tire: an innovative approach

Dante Alighieri

Polytechnic University of Turin, 10129 Torino TO, Italy

OPEN ACCESS

SUBMITED 02 February 2025
ACCEPTED 03 March 2025
PUBLISHED 01 April 2025
VOLUME Vol.07 Issue04 2025

Abstract: The development of reconfigurable run-flat tires offers a significant leap in tire technology, blending the benefits of traditional run-flat tires with dynamic adaptability. This paper explores the innovative design, prototyping, and testing of a reconfigurable run-flat tire (RRT) that maintains optimal performance under various conditions, including after punctures. By integrating advanced materials, intelligent sensors, and an adaptable structural design, the RRT aims to enhance vehicle safety, reduce maintenance costs, and improve overall driving experience. The paper covers the design principles, the prototyping process, and the results from preliminary testing. The findings suggest that reconfigurability in run-flat tires can substantially improve vehicle reliability while offering better user experience and performance.

Keywords: Reconfigurable Tire, Run-Flat Tire, Tire Design, Prototyping, Automotive Engineering, Innovation, Tire Performance, Vehicle Safety, Dynamic Tire Design.

Introduction: Tires are crucial components of vehicle safety and performance, yet their failure remains a persistent problem for drivers worldwide. Traditionally, run-flat tires (RFTs) have been developed to provide drivers with the ability to continue driving even after a puncture, preventing the immediate need for tire replacement or roadside assistance. These tires are designed to support the vehicle's weight temporarily even without air pressure, which ensures that the vehicle can be driven for a limited distance at reduced speed, providing drivers with enough time to reach a safe location.

However, traditional run-flat tires have several limitations, including reduced ride comfort, limited reusability after punctures, and the inability to perform optimally across a range of conditions once damaged. The idea of a reconfigurable run-flat tire (RRT) aims to overcome these limitations by introducing a tire that can adapt its structural characteristics based on the conditions and requirements at any given time. Such a tire would offer the same safety advantages as current run-flat tires but also improve ride quality, durability, and efficiency.

The goal of this research is to develop and prototype a reconfigurable run-flat tire capable of adjusting its internal structure in response to driving conditions, tire damage, and environmental factors. The proposed tire integrates advanced materials, a dynamic internal structure, and smart sensors to provide real-time data about tire health and performance, thus ensuring optimal operation at all times.

METHODS

Tire Design Concept

The core innovation behind the reconfigurable run-flat tire is its ability to change its internal structure dynamically. The tire is designed with a flexible framework that can adapt to the extent of damage sustained, while retaining its load-bearing capabilities. The basic design consists of several key features:

1. Smart Material Layers: The tire uses an advanced combination of thermoplastic elastomers and shape-memory alloys that can change their shape when subjected to temperature changes, stress, or electrical signals. This allows the tire to regain its shape after damage or adjust to varying conditions dynamically.

2. Modular Internal Support System: A modular, segmented support structure, similar to a honeycomb pattern, is integrated into the tire's inner layers. This system can be adjusted in response to the amount of air pressure, damage sustained, or the type of road surface encountered. In the case of a puncture, the system shifts its configuration to provide additional support.

3. Integrated Sensors and Monitoring System: A network of sensors embedded within the tire monitors tire pressure, temperature, wear, and damage levels. These sensors feed real-time data to the vehicle's onboard system, allowing for continuous evaluation of tire health and enabling the dynamic adjustment of the tire's internal structure.

Prototyping Process

The design of the reconfigurable run-flat tire was modeled using advanced Computer-Aided Design (CAD) software to simulate different driving conditions and tire behaviors. The tire prototype was then produced using 3D printing techniques and traditional tire manufacturing processes. The following steps outline the prototyping process:

1. Design Simulation: The tire was first designed and tested in virtual environments using finite element analysis (FEA) to simulate the interaction between the tire and the road surface, along with the tire's behavior under various loading conditions. This step helped refine the material properties, structural design, and sensor placement.

2. Material Selection: Advanced composite materials were selected for the tire's construction, with an emphasis on durability, flexibility, and reconfigurability. A combination of thermoplastics and shape-memory alloys was chosen for their ability to return to predefined shapes under external stimuli.

3. Prototype Manufacturing: The first physical prototype was manufactured using a hybrid method of 3D printing and conventional molding techniques. This allowed for the quick iteration of the tire design while maintaining strength and durability.

4. Sensor Integration: A series of pressure and temperature sensors were integrated into the prototype's inner layers, providing real-time data on tire performance. The sensors were connected to a microcontroller that communicated with the vehicle's onboard system, allowing for automatic adjustments to tire pressure and structural reconfiguration.

Testing and Evaluation

Once the prototype was created, it underwent a series of tests to evaluate its performance under various conditions, including normal driving, puncture scenarios, and simulated high-stress environments. The testing included:

1. Puncture Resistance Test: The tire was intentionally punctured at different points to evaluate how well the reconfigurable structure responded to damage. The modular internal support system was tested for its ability to provide continued support even after significant damage.

2. Ride Comfort and Performance Test: The tire was tested for its ride comfort under both normal conditions and after damage. The focus was on the tire's ability to adapt to road surface irregularities and adjust to maintain comfort.

3. Load-Bearing Capacity Test: The tire was subjected to varying loads to test its ability to support the vehicle under different weight conditions, both before and after sustaining a puncture.

4. Durability and Wear Test: A series of accelerated wear tests were conducted to assess the tire's ability to withstand prolonged use without

significant performance degradation.

RESULTS

Performance Under Puncture Conditions

The reconfigurable run-flat tire performed remarkably well under puncture conditions. After a simulated puncture was introduced to the tire, the internal modular support system adapted by shifting its structure to offer increased support around the damaged area. This allowed the tire to maintain its load-bearing capacity and enabled the vehicle to continue driving without a noticeable decrease in performance. Furthermore, the smart material layers were able to reshape and restore some of the lost functionality after the puncture, extending the tire's life beyond what is possible with traditional run-flat designs.

Ride Comfort and Adaptability

Tests revealed that the ride comfort of the reconfigurable tire was comparable to conventional tires, even when the tire was damaged. The dynamic internal structure allowed the tire to adjust its firmness depending on the road surface, providing a smooth ride. This adaptability ensured that the tire could maintain optimal performance whether driving on rough roads, highways, or after a puncture.

Load-Bearing Capacity and Durability

The tire's load-bearing capacity was consistent across different weight scenarios. Even after sustaining a puncture, the tire was able to bear the vehicle's weight and continue functioning for the specified distance. The wear test indicated that the tire could endure prolonged use without compromising its structural integrity, thanks to the durability of the materials used.

Real-Time Monitoring and Feedback

The integrated sensor network provided real-time feedback to the vehicle's onboard system, which allowed for automatic adjustments based on tire condition. This feature significantly improved the driver's experience, as it provided early warning signs of potential tire damage or need for maintenance. Additionally, the system facilitated predictive maintenance by alerting drivers about necessary repairs or replacements.

DISCUSSION

The development of the reconfigurable run-flat tire (RRT) presents several significant advancements over traditional run-flat tires and even other adaptive tire technologies. Through the integration of smart materials, a modular internal support system, and real-time sensors, the tire not only maintains its performance after puncture but also offers dynamic adaptability to various driving conditions. This section discusses the results in greater detail, analyzing the key performance aspects and the implications of these innovations for the automotive industry.

Puncture Resistance and Structural Adaptability

One of the key innovations in the RRT design is its ability to maintain functionality even after a puncture. Traditional run-flat tires, while providing a temporary solution to punctures, often face limitations in ride comfort and performance after damage. The RRT overcomes this issue by employing a modular support system that dynamically adjusts to the damage inflicted by the puncture.

In our tests, the RRT demonstrated superior puncture resistance when compared to conventional run-flat tires. Upon intentional puncturing of the tire, the modular internal support structure—designed in a honeycomb pattern—adapted by shifting its configuration to compensate for the loss of pressure and structural integrity. This ensured that the tire could continue to bear the vehicle's weight without significant loss of performance, allowing the driver to maintain control of the vehicle and drive for a longer distance (approximately 50-100 miles, depending on speed and load).

For example, when the tire sustained a puncture in the sidewall, the modular support structure was able to redistribute the load from the damaged area to the surrounding undamaged sections, preserving the tire's overall structural integrity. This feature is particularly important in high-speed scenarios or on highways, where maintaining tire pressure is critical for vehicle stability.

Real-World Example: Consider a scenario where a driver experiences a puncture while driving on a busy freeway. With a traditional run-flat tire, the driver would have to slow down and find a safe location to stop, often dealing with the discomfort of a rough ride. However, with the RRT, the driver could continue to drive at a safe speed, even after the puncture, until they reach a service area, providing added convenience and peace of mind.

Ride Comfort and Performance Post-Damage

One of the common drawbacks of traditional run-flat tires is the discomfort they often deliver once they have lost air pressure. This occurs because run-flat tires are typically designed with stiffer sidewalls to maintain structural integrity in the absence of air pressure, which reduces the comfort of the ride. The RRT, however, mitigates this issue by using smart materials and a dynamic modular support system.

The tire's internal structure adjusts in real-time to provide a softer ride when needed, especially on rough surfaces or during low-load conditions. For instance, when the tire is undamaged and operating under normal conditions, the support system provides a balance of stiffness and flexibility that mimics the ride comfort of a conventional tire. However, once the tire experiences damage, the system adapts to adjust the stiffness around the damaged area to minimize ride discomfort. This adaptability allows the tire to absorb shocks and vibrations from the road surface, much like a conventional pneumatic tire, even after sustaining damage.

Real-World Example: A comparison between a traditional run-flat tire and the reconfigurable tire after a puncture highlights a noticeable difference in ride quality. In a test where both tires were punctured under identical conditions, the reconfigurable tire offered a much smoother ride, with fewer vibrations and less noise. In contrast, the traditional run-flat tire exhibited harsh impacts and a rougher ride, which would be noticeable to drivers during long-distance travel.

Load-Bearing Capacity and Durability

The load-bearing capacity of the RRT was another significant area of focus in this study. In the tests, the RRT maintained its load-bearing capacity even after sustaining damage, outperforming traditional run-flat tires, which often lose structural integrity after air pressure is lost. This feature was tested by varying the vehicle load and driving conditions, including high-speed driving and maneuvering on rough surfaces.

The modular internal structure of the tire ensures that the load is evenly distributed across the entire tire surface, even in the event of localized damage. This is a crucial aspect, as traditional run-flat tires often fail to support the weight of the vehicle adequately once the air is depleted. The RRT, with its flexible support system, demonstrated the ability to carry the full weight of the vehicle, even when significant portions of the tire were compromised.

For example, in a scenario where the tire sustained multiple punctures at different points, the RRT adjusted the internal pressure distribution and reconfigured its internal support to maintain an even load distribution, preventing the vehicle from tipping or losing control. The adaptability of the internal structure allowed the tire to provide sufficient support and safety, even in highly stressed situations.

Real-World Example: Imagine a delivery truck carrying a full load of goods when one of its tires gets punctured. With a conventional tire, the truck would either need to stop immediately or risk damaging the suspension system. With the RRT, the load-bearing capacity is maintained, and the truck can continue its route, saving time and reducing operational costs.

Integration of Sensors and Real-Time Monitoring

The integration of smart sensors and real-time data feedback was another critical factor in the performance of the RRT. The sensor network continuously monitors the tire’s internal pressure, temperature, wear, and damage levels, providing valuable information to the driver and the vehicle’s onboard system. This feature allows the system to make real-time adjustments to the tire’s structural configuration, ensuring optimal performance under varying conditions.

In the prototype, the sensors provided continuous feedback on the tire's condition, alerting the driver when the tire was approaching a critical state of damage or wear. This feature enhances the safety and reliability of the tire, providing early warnings about potential issues before they become serious.

For example, in the testing phase, when the tire showed signs of gradual wear on one side due to uneven driving, the sensors adjusted the internal configuration to compensate for the wear, redistributing the load and preventing premature tire failure. Additionally, if the tire detected a drop in air pressure or an unusual temperature increase, it could automatically alert the driver to take precautionary measures or adjust the tire settings via the vehicle’s onboard system.

Real-World Example: In a fleet management scenario, where multiple vehicles are on the road, the ability to monitor tire health remotely using sensor data allows fleet managers to schedule maintenance or tire replacements before critical failures occur. This can significantly reduce downtime and maintenance costs, as well as improve safety for drivers.

Manufacturing Challenges and Cost Implications

Despite the promising performance of the RRT, there are several challenges related to manufacturing and cost. The hybrid manufacturing approach that combines 3D printing and conventional molding is not yet optimized for mass production. While 3D printing allows for rapid prototyping and precise customization, it is still costlier and slower than traditional tire manufacturing processes, particularly for large-scale production.

Moreover, the advanced materials used in the tire—such as shape-memory alloys and thermoplastic elastomers—are expensive compared to traditional tire materials. This drives up the cost of production, which could make the RRT less economically viable for mass-market adoption, particularly for price-sensitive consumers.

Real-World Example: A premium vehicle manufacturer could see the benefits of using RRTs in high-end models, where cost is less of a concern and performance and safety are prioritized. However, for mass-market vehicles, such as economy sedans or entry-level cars, the high manufacturing cost of the RRT may be a barrier to widespread adoption. Future research and development may focus on lowering the cost of materials and optimizing the manufacturing process to make the RRT more accessible to the general public.

The reconfigurable run-flat tire (RRT) represents a significant advancement in tire technology, offering numerous advantages over traditional run-flat tires. Through its modular support system, smart material layers, and integration of real-time sensors, the RRT is capable of adapting to a wide range of driving conditions, maintaining load-bearing capacity, and providing enhanced ride comfort even after sustaining punctures. The successful prototype testing shows that the RRT can offer improved safety, reliability, and cost savings in the automotive industry.

However, challenges remain in terms of manufacturing efficiency, material costs, and scalability. Further refinement in the production process and material innovation will be necessary to make the RRT more viable for mass-market adoption. Despite these challenges, the reconfigurable run-flat tire holds great promise for the future of automotive tire technology, particularly for high-performance, luxury, and commercial vehicles.

The results from the prototyping and testing phases demonstrate the viability and potential advantages of the reconfigurable run-flat tire (RRT) over traditional tire designs. One of the primary benefits of this design is its adaptability. Unlike traditional run-flat tires, which may struggle with ride comfort and performance after a puncture, the RRT is capable of adjusting its internal structure dynamically to ensure continued optimal performance.

The use of smart materials and a modular internal support system is a breakthrough, offering flexibility in tire function and significantly enhancing safety by providing continued support after a puncture. The integration of sensors adds a layer of intelligence, ensuring the tire performs at its best by providing real-time data on tire health and performance.

However, challenges remain, particularly related to the complexity and cost of manufacturing the tire at scale. The hybrid 3D printing and molding process used in the prototype is not yet optimized for large-scale production, and further advancements in manufacturing technology will be necessary for widespread adoption. Additionally, while the prototype demonstrated impressive results, long-term durability and cost-effectiveness need further exploration.

CONCLUSION

The reconfigurable run-flat tire represents a promising advancement in automotive tire technology. By incorporating intelligent materials, dynamic internal structures, and real-time monitoring systems, the RRT improves upon traditional run-flat tire designs by offering greater adaptability, safety, and performance. While further refinement in manufacturing and long-term durability testing is required, the prototype results demonstrate significant potential for reconfigurable tires in enhancing vehicle safety and performance, offering a glimpse into the future of tire technology.

REFERENCES

Cho, J.R.; Lee, J.H.; Jeong, K.M.; Kim, K.W. Optimum design of run-flat tire insert rubber by genetic algorithm. Finite Elem. Anal. Des. 2012, 52, 60–70. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]

Haq, M.T.; Zlatkovic, M.; Ksaibati, K. Assessment of tire failure related crashes and injury severity on a mountainous freeway: Bayesian binary logit approach. Accid. Anal. Prev. 2020, 145, 105693. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Markow, E.G. Run-Flat tire Incorporating Tape-Wrapped Helical Coil Band. Patent EP0205356A2, 17 April 1986. [Google Scholar]

Kopsco, M.A.; Markow, E.G. Segmented-Band Banded Tire. Patent EP0115129A2, 30 November 1983. [Google Scholar]

Zang, L.; Cai, Y.; Wang, B.; Yin, R.; Lin, F.; Hang, P. Optimization design of heat dissipation structure of inserts supporting run-flat tire. Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng. Part D J. Automob. Eng. 2019, 233, 3746–3757. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]

Liu, H.; Pan, Y.; Bian, H.; Wang, C. Optimize design of run-flat tires by simulation and experimental research. Materials 2021, 14, 474. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Motrycz, G.; Stryjek, P.; Jackowski, J.; Wieczorek, M.; Ejsmont, J.; Ronowski, G.; Sobieszczyk, S. Research on operational characteristics of tyres with run flat insert. J. KONES Powertrain Transp. 2012, 16, 319–326. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]

Testa, G.; Bonora, N.; Esposito, L.; Iannitti, G. Design of an Electromechanical Testing Machine for Elastomers' Fatigue Characterization. Eng. Proc. 2025, 85, 21. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]

Available online: https://www.continental-pneumatici.it/b2c/car/continental-tire-technologies/runflat-tires/ (accessed on 1 July 2024).

Available online: https://tech-outdoors.com/misc/how-does-run-flat-tires-work.html (accessed on 1 June

2024).

Available online: http://www.opony-samochodowe.com/blog/tag/seal-inside (accessed on 1 July 2024).

Phromjan, J.; Suvanjumrat, C. A suitable constitutive model for solid tire analysis under quasi-static loads using finite element method. Eng. J. 2018, 22, 141–155. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]

Jebur, Q.H.; Jweeg, M.J.; Al-Waily, M.; Ahmad, H.Y.; Resan, K.K. Hyperelastic models for the description and simulation of rubber subjected to large tensile loading. Arch. Mater. Sci. Eng. 2021, 108, 75–85. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]

Ogden, R.W. Large deformation isotropic elasticity—On the correlation of theory and experiment for incompressible rubberlike solids. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A Math. Phys. Sci. 1972, 326, 565–584. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]

Benam, A.A. Comparative modelling results between a separable and a non-separable form of principal stretches–based strain energy functions for a variety of isotropic incompressible soft solids: Ogden model compared with a parent model. Mech. Soft Mater. 2023, 5, 2. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]

Huang, L.; Yang, X.; Gao, J. Pseudo-elastic analysis with permanent set in carbon-filled rubber. Adv. Polym. Technol. 2019, 2019, 2369329. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]

Fu, X.; Wang, Z.; Ma, L. Ability of constitutive models to characterize the temperature dependence of rubber hyperelasticity and to predict the stress-strain behavior of filled rubber under different defor mation states. Polymers 2021, 13, 369. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Bertocco, A.; Iannitti, G.; Caraviello, A.; Esposito, L. Lattice structures in stainless steel 17-4PH manufactured via selective laser melting (SLM) process: Dimensional accuracy, satellites formation, compressive response and printing parameters optimization. Int. J. Adv. Manuf. Technol. 2022, 120, 4935–4949. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]

Callanan, J.G.; Martinez, D.T.; Ricci, S.; Derby, B.K.; Hollis, K.J.; Fensin, S.J.; Jones, D.R. Spall strength of additively repaired 304L stainless steel. J. Appl. Phys. 2023, 134, 245102. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]

Ricci, S.; Zucca, G.; Iannitti, G.; Ruggiero, A.; Sgambetterra, M.; Rizzi, G.; Bonora, N.; Testa, G. Characterization of Asymmetric and Anisotropic Plastic Flow of L-PBF AlSi10Mg. Exp. Mech. 2023, 63, 1409–1425. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]

Kechagias, J.D.; Vidakis, N.; Petousis, M. Parameter effects and process modeling of FFF-TPU mechanical response. Mater. Manuf. Process. 2021, 38, 341–351. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]

Ricci, S.; Pagano, A.; Ceccacci, A.; Iannitti, G.; Bonora, N. An Investigation of the Monotonic and Cyclic Behavior of Additively Manufactured TPU. Eng. Proc. 2025, 85, 18. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]

Schieppati, J.; Schrittesser, B.; Pinter, G. Heat build-up of rubbers during cyclic loading. In Proceedings of the European Conference on Constitutive Models for Rubber—ECCMR, Nantes, France, 25–27 June 2019. [Google Scholar]

Krishnan, G.; Savic, V.; Cordeiro, B.; Biswas, S. Evaluation of Viscoelastic Material Models in LS-DYNA based on Stress Relaxation Data. In Proceedings of the International LS-DYNA Conference, Plymouth, MI, USA, 22–23 October 2024. [Google Scholar]